


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 18390

Synthesis and DSSC application of BODIPY decorated triazole bridged and benzene nucleus cored conjugated dendrimers†

Velaautham Saravanan,^a Shanmugam Ganesan^b and Perumal Rajakumar[✉]

Conjugated dendrimers decorated with 5,5-difluoro-10-(4-(prop-2-ynoxy)phenyl)-5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-c:1',2'-f][1,3,2]diazaborinin-4-ium-5-uide, usually known as boron dipyrromethene (BODIPY), have been synthesized and their application as photosensitizer in dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) has been evaluated. Third generation triazole bridged BODIPY dendrimers show higher light energy harvesting efficiency of 2.5% better than the first and second generation dendrimers, when used as a dye material in solar cells. The current intensity increases with an increase in the generation of the dendrimer as revealed by cyclic voltammetry. Fluorescence decay analysis shows that the relaxation times τ_1 and τ_2 increase as the dendrimer generation increases, however τ_2 for the third generation dendrimer decreases because of fluorescence quenching due to molecular crowding.

Received 21st February 2020
Accepted 28th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra01672a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

During recent times the design and synthesis of donor–acceptor (D–A) molecular systems has attracted the attention of organic chemists. Incorporation of donor groups such as triazole at the *meso* position of the boron dipyrromethane (BODIPY) conjugated dendrimer endows many interesting properties. The donor–acceptor (D–A) molecular system has gained considerable attention from the scientific community due to its applications in optoelectronic devices. The BODIPY conjugated dendrimer dyes are known for their unique properties like strong absorption and emission with high quantum yield, high thermal and photochemical stability and ease of synthetic functionalization. The growing interest in BODIPY based fluorophores is due to their spectacular spectroscopic properties, such as strong absorption with high extinction coefficients, high fluorescence yields, and stabilities towards heat and light. The BODIPY unit exhibits a strong NLO response, good electrochemical properties and high thermal and photochemical stability.¹ Our group and others have explored the application of the conjugated dendrimers for non-linear optical and multi-photon absorbing properties.

The BODIPY conjugated dendrimers function as strong electron acceptor, and their electron accepting strength and

photonic property can be tuned by incorporating suitable functionality at appropriate position. BODIPY units when incorporated into the dendrimer system creates unique and novel class of supramolecules with interesting photophysical and electrochemical properties² due to the long excited-state lifetime, photo chemical stability and possess good solubility in many solvent systems. BODIPY class of dyes are unique fluorophores with spectacular properties.³ BODIPY-based molecules show attractive applications in photovoltaics,⁴ optoelectronics,⁵ nonlinear optics,⁶ bioimaging or sensing,⁷ and photodynamic therapy,⁸ light-harvesting,⁹ and electron donor–acceptor¹⁰ systems and as of fluorescent probes for metal ions,¹¹ components for molecular logic gates,¹² and photosynthetic models^{13,14} and also in dye-sensitized solar cells.¹⁵ The electronic and photonic properties of BODIPY group are more interesting and useful in the field of supramolecular systems. 1,3-Dipolar cycloaddition^{16–18} between alkynes and azides by “click” chemistry is widely used in organic and bio-organic chemistry¹⁹ and chosen as an elective and convenient approach for the synthesize of triazole system. Triazole group is an ideal choice in supramolecular system to interact with many anions and cations.

Dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSC) are important alternative to the traditional semiconductor based solar cells because of the limits and problems that exist in the widely used constructs.^{20,21} The clean, renewable, free and readily available solar energy has triggered on the growing research interest on DSSCs. DSSC with the highest solar to electric energy efficiency of 13% was first reported by Gratzel in 1991.²² A practical DSSC has three vital components; (i) a ruthenium dye coated TiO₂ working electrode (ii) a redox electrolyte comprising of a potassium iodide and iodine mixture in acetonitrile medium and (iii) a platinum

^aDepartment of Organic Chemistry, University of Madras, Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: perumalrajakumar@gmail.com; Fax: +91 044 22300488; Tel: +91 044 22202814; +91 9551379907

^bDepartment of Chemistry, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, SRM Nagar, Kattankulathur-603203, Tamil Nadu, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra01672a







Fig. 1 Molecular structure of BODIPY decorated triazole bridged dendrimers 1, 2 and 3.

counter electrode. It is clear that there are a number of factors that determine the efficiency of solar cells, but the structural and physical properties of the sensitizer are clearly important ones. Most of the recent research work focus on the modification of ruthenium based dyes. The ruthenium dyes while holding the record for conversion efficiencies has relatively low extinction coefficients, and further they are expensive and hard to purify. Motivated by the possibility of finding a replacement for the metal-complex dyes such as ruthenium dye, a number of chromophores, including coumarins, indolines,^{23,24} the porphyrin derivatives are particularly attractive as photo sensitizer's in DSSCs due to their structural similarity to chlorophylls in natural photosynthetic systems with tunable visible absorption properties.²⁵ BODIPY dyes are likely to evolve as satisfactory alternatives to the traditional core of photo sensitizers. The absorption bands of the BODIPY dyes are highly tunable.^{26,27} Tris-BODIPY based supramolecular structure allows extension of the pi-conjugated system and thus reduces the HOMO–LUMO energy gap while the oxyethylene chains ensure chemical

stability, good solubility and film forming properties of the molecules. Derivatives of BODIPY form an important class of fluorescent dyes that have attracted considerable interest in recent years owing to a unique combination of facile synthesis, stability and high photosensitizer properties.^{28,29} The present investigation deals with the synthesis of BODIPY conjugated dendrimer dyes 1, 2 and 3 and their optical, fluorescence and electrochemical properties along with their function as dye for harvesting solar energy in DSSC (Fig. 1).

Results and discussions

The BODIPY conjugated dendrimer dyes were synthesized by divergent strategy through click chemistry approach. The synthetic pathway leading to the chloro and azido dendrimers 6, 7, 8 and 9 is shown in Scheme 1. The divergent synthesis begins with the reaction of 1,3,5-tris(azido)benzene 5 with 3.1 equiv. of 1,3-bis(chloromethyl)-5-(propargyloxy)benzene 4 under Cu(i) catalyzed click reaction conditions gave the first generation




Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (i) CuSO_4 (5 mol%), sodium ascorbate (10 mol%), H_2O –THF (1 : 1); rt, 12 h, **6** (86%); (ii) NaN_3 , (9 equiv./18 equiv.), DMSO, 90 °C, 3 h, **7** (92%). (iii) CuSO_4 (5 mol%), sodium ascorbate (10 mol%), H_2O –THF (1 : 1); rt, 12 h, **8** (86%). (iv) NaN_3 , (9 equiv./18 equiv.), DMSO, 90 °C, 3 h, **9** (88%).

chloro dendrimer **6** in 86% yield, which on further treatment with NaN_3 in DMSO at 90 °C afforded the corresponding first generation azido dendrimer **7** in 92% yield. In ^1H -NMR spectrum the compound **7** showed a singlet at δ 4.43 for the benzylic protons and two different singlets at δ 5.15, δ 5.60 for the *O*-methylene and *N*-methylene protons and a sharp singlet at δ 7.02 for the triazole proton in addition to the signals for the aromatic protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the compound **7** displayed benzylic carbon at δ 53.3 and signals at δ 47.8 and 61.2 for $-\text{N}-\text{CH}_2$ and $-\text{O}-\text{CH}_2$ carbons respectively and the triazole carbon appeared at δ 142.7 in addition to the signals for the aromatic carbons. Reaction of 1.0 equiv. of the azido dendrimer **7** with 6.2 equiv. of 1,3-bis(chloromethyl)-5-(propargyloxy)benzene **4** under Cu(I) catalyzed click reaction conditions gave the second generation chloro dendrimer **8** in 86% yield. The ^1H -NMR spectrum of the compound **8** showed a singlet at δ 4.68 for the benzylic protons and two different singlets at δ 5.13, δ 5.54 for the *O*-methylene and *N*-methylene protons and a sharp singlet at δ 7.01 for the triazole protons in addition to the signals for the aromatic protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the compound **8** displayed benzylic carbon at δ 52.6 and signals at δ 46.7 and 61.1 for $-\text{N}-\text{CH}_2$ and $-\text{O}-\text{CH}_2$ carbons respectively, and the triazole carbon appeared at δ 139.4 in addition to the signals for the aromatic carbons. Reaction of 1.0 equiv. of the


chloro dendrimer **8** with 18.0 equiv. of NaN_3 in DMSO at 90 °C for 3 h gave the azido dendrimer **9** in 88% yield. The ^1H -NMR spectrum of the compound **9** showed a singlet at δ 4.41 for the benzylic protons and two different singlets at δ 5.10, δ 5.55 for *O*-methylene and *N*-methylene protons and a sharp singlet at δ 7.02 for the triazole protons in addition to the signals for the aromatic protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the compound **7** displayed the benzylic carbon at δ 52.6 and signals at δ 52.3 and 61.2 for $-\text{N}-\text{CH}_2$ and $-\text{O}-\text{CH}_2$ carbons respectively and the triazole carbon appeared at δ 142.5 in addition to the signals for the aromatic carbons. Further, the constitution of the dendritic wedges **7** and **9** was also confirmed from elemental analysis (Scheme 1).

The synthetic pathway leading to the propargylated BODIPY derivative **12** is shown in Scheme 2. Reaction of 1.0 equiv. of 4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)benzaldehyde **10** with 2.1 equiv. of pyrrole in the presence of TFA, dry DCM at room temperature for 8 h gave the 2,2'-((4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)phenyl)methylene)bis(1*H*-pyrrole) **11** as white solid in 87% yield, which on further treatment with TEA, DDQ in dry DCM at room temperature for 1.5 h and $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ in dry DCM at 0 °C for 3 h gave 5,5-difluoro-10-(4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)phenyl)-5*H*-dipyrrolo[1,2-*c*:1',2'-*f'*][1,3,2]diazaborinin-4-ium-5-uide (BODIPY) **12** in 74% yield (Scheme 2).





Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (i) pyrrole (2.1 equiv.), TFA (0.1 mL), dry DCM, rt, 8 h, **11** (87%), (ii) TEA (10 mL), DDQ in dry DCM, rt, 1.5 h; (iii) $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ (10 mL), dry DCM, 0 °C, 3 h, **12** (74%).



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (i) CuSO_4 (5 mol%), sodium ascorbate (10 mol%), H_2O –THF (1 : 1); rt, 12 h. **1** (86%), **2** (88%), **3** (85%).

The ^1H NMR spectrum of the propargylated BODIPY **12** displayed a triplet at δ 3.71 for the acetylenic protons, a doublet at δ 4.71 for the propargyl methylene protons and a sharp singlet at δ 5.12 for $\text{O}-\text{CH}_2$ proton in addition to the signals for the aromatic protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of **12** showed the BODIPY carbons at δ 114.9, 127.1, 143.6, 147.1, and 159.9 in addition to the signals for the other aliphatic and aromatic carbons.

The synthesis of the BODIPY dendrimer **1**, **2** and **3** is shown in Scheme 3. Reaction of 3.1/6.1/12.1 equiv. of the propargylated BODIPY **12** with 1.0 equiv. of the azidodendrimer **5**, **7** and **9** in the presence of $\text{Cu}(\text{I})$ catalyzed click chemistry reaction conditions gave BODIPY dendrimer **1**, **2** and **3** in 86%, 88% and 85% yields, respectively (Scheme 3).

The ^1H NMR spectrum of the BODIPY dendrimer **1** showed the BODIPY protons as singlets at δ 5.29 and 5.57, the triazole

protons also as singlets at δ 7.66 and 7.72 in addition to the signals for the other aliphatic and aromatic protons. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the dendrimer **1** showed three different BODIPY carbons at δ 68.7, 68.8, 69.0 and 69.2 and the triazole carbons at δ 139.3 and 143.6 in addition to the signals for the other aliphatic and aromatic carbons. The appearance of molecular ion peak at m/z 751.36 $[\text{M}]^+$ in mass spectrum also confirmed the structure of the BODIPY dendrimer **1**. Similarly, the structure of the BODIPY dendrimer **2** and **3** was also confirmed from the spectral and analytical data.

Photophysical studies

The UV-vis absorption spectra of all the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** were obtained in DCM (1×10^{-5} M) and the absorption maxima are listed in Table 1. The UV-visible spectra of the G0, G1 and G2 dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** shows absorption peak at 386 and 499 nm, 385 and 500 nm and 384 and 501 nm respectively (Fig. 2a) due to the BODIPY unit present in the dendrimeric system **1**, **2** and **3**. The absorption intensity increases from the lower to higher generation dendrimers, due to the increasing number of triazole and BODIPY units in the dendritic system though λ_{max} remains almost constant at a given concentration of the of the dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** (Table 1) and hence, the dendrimer **3** has the highest light absorption efficiency due to more number of BODIPY units than the dendrimers **1**, **2**, which is usually called valence effect in dendrimer chemistry. Further, the optical density also increases linearly with respect to the number of BODIPY units which is shown in Fig. 2b. In conclusion, for the dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** the absorption intensity increases on increasing the dendritic generation.

The fluorescence spectra of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in DCM at room temperature is shown in Fig. 3. The BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** when excited at 490 nm, exhibited the emission bands at 507, 508 and 509 nm, respectively (Fig. 3 and

Table 1 Absorption and emission maximum of dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in CH_2Cl_2 at 1×10^{-5} M

Entry no.	λ_{abs} max (nm)	Molar extinction coefficient (ϵ) $\text{L mol}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$	λ_{em} [nm]	Quantum yield (Φ_{F})
1 (G0)	384, 499	6000, 37 300	507	0.18
2 (G1)	385, 500	9300, 51 700	508	0.34
3 (G2)	386, 501	14 600, 75 000	509	0.46






Fig. 2 (a) UV and visible absorption spectra of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in DCM (1×10^{-5} M) at room temperature. (b) Variation of optical density in UV and visible absorption spectra with increase in the number of the BODIPY units in the dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3**.




Fig. 3 Fluorescence spectra of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in DCM (1×10^{-5} M) at room temperature.

Table 1). The increase in fluorescence intensity of the BODIPY dendrimers with increase in dendritic generation is due to the presence of increased number of triazolyl and BODIPY units, otherwise known as multivalency effect in dendrimer chemistry.^{30,31}

From the fluorescence spectrum the quantum yield of the synthesised BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** were determined in dichloromethane and shown in Table 1, and compared with the fluorescence quantum yield of Rhodamine B as the standard. Fluorescence quantum yields (Φ_F) were calculated by the comparative method [eqn (1)].³²

$$\Phi_F = \Phi_{F(\text{std})} F A_{\text{std}} \eta_2 F_{\text{std}} A \eta_{2\text{std}} \quad (1)$$

where Φ_F is the fluorescence quantum yield of the standard viz. Rhodamine B was employed as the standard ($\Phi_F = 0.76$ in ethanol). F and F_{std} are the areas under the fluorescence emission curves of the dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** and the standard, respectively. A and A_{std} are the respective absorbance of the samples and standard at the excitation wavelengths. η_2 and $\eta_{2\text{std}}$ are the refractive indices of solvents used for the sample and standard, respectively. The concentration of the solutions at the excitation wavelength was fixed as 1×10^{-5} M. The fluorescence quantum yields of the synthesised dendrimer

increases with the increase in the generation of the dendrimers from zeroth to second generation dendrimer in dichloromethane and are found to be 0.18, 0.34 and 0.46 the dendrimer **1**, **2** and **3** respectively.

Lifetime decay analysis

Lifetime decay analysis was carried out on all the fluorescent BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** by using the IBH time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) technique on excitation at 490 nm in CH_2Cl_2 as solvent. The fluorescence decay (Fig. 4) of the dendrimer **2** show longer relaxation times τ_2 than for the dendrimer **1** and **3**. The relaxation time τ_1 decreases with increases in the dendrimer generation. The relaxation time τ_2 is greater than τ_1 for all the dendrimer and it increases from the dendrimer **1** to **2**. However the relaxation time τ_2 decreases for the second generation dendrimer **3** which could be due to fluorescence quenching because of molecular crowding. In general the fluorescence lifetime increases as the generation of the dendrimer increases, which could be due to greater number of triazole and BODIPY units in the higher generation dendrimers.




Fig. 4 Lifetime decay of the BODIPY triazolyl dendrimers **1**, **2**, and **3** (prompt = instrument response function).



Table 2 Laser parameters for the dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in CH₂Cl₂ at 1×10^{-5} M

S. no.	τ_1 (ns)	τ_2 (ns)	A_1 (%)	A_2 (%)	$\tau_{\text{Avg.}}$ (ns)	Red. χ^2
1 (G0)	0.43	2.53	90.61	9.39	4.69	1.28
2 (G1)	0.51	3.57	91.20	8.80	5.54	1.25
3 (G2)	0.90	1.62	65.50	34.50	1.07	1.27

The lifetime decay is biexponential with lifetimes τ_1 and τ_2 . The lifetime decay values of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** are $\tau_1 = 0.43$ ns and $\tau_2 = 2.53$ ns, $\tau_1 = 0.51$ ns and $\tau_2 = 3.57$ ns, $\tau_1 = 0.90$ ns and $\tau_2 = 1.62$ ns, respectively (Table 2).


Electrochemical studies

The redox property of the BODIPY conjugated dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** was carried out using cyclic voltammetry (CV) technique.³³ The cyclic voltammogram for dendrimer **1** to **3** was obtained in the potential range of 0.0 V to 1.0 V at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.1 M TBAP as the supporting electrolyte at the scan rate 50 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 5) with glassy carbon (GC) electrode as the working electrode, platinum wire as the supporting electrode and Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode in CH₂Cl₂ using 0.1 M [*n*BuN][PF₆] as supporting electrolyte. The reversible oxidation potential for the BODIPY conjugated dendrimers **1–3** is found to be 662, 638 and 600 mV and the reversible reduction peak is 594, 575 and 522 mV respectively. On increasing the dendrimer generation from **1** to **3** there is an increase in the redox peak potential from less positive to more positive peak potential due to the presence of more number of triazolyl and BODIPY units in **3** than in **1** and **2**, though the current intensity remains constant (Table .3).

The BODIPYconjugated dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** exhibit oxidation and reduction waves. The oxidation and reduction waves correspond to the BODIPY moiety.³⁴ The oxidation potential of the BODIPYconjugated dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3**

Table 3 Cyclic voltammetry parameters of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in DCM (1×10^{-5} M)

S. no.	Cyclic voltammetry		
	E_{pc}	E_{pa}	$\Delta E = (E_{\text{pc}} - E_{\text{pa}})$
1 (G0)	0.662	0.594	0.068
2 (G1)	0.638	0.575	0.063
3 (G2)	0.600	0.522	0.078

**Fig. 6** Photovoltaic properties of the BODIPY dendrimers **1**, **2**, **3** in dye-sensitized solar cells under the illumination of 100 mW cm⁻².

follows the order $3 > 2 > 1$. The current response of the BODIPY conjugated dendrimers **3** is much higher than the BODIPY conjugated dendrimers **2** and **1**, due to strong repulsive force operating with electron deficient BODIPY unit in dendrimers **1** and **2**. The electron deficient BODIPY unit withdraws the electron density thereby making oxidation much difficult in the synthesised dendrimers.

DSSC studies

In an attempt to improve the solar energy harvesting performance in the solar cells, studies have been carried out to examine the role of the BODIPY based dye in DSSC. Fig. 6 shows the current density (J_{sc}) and voltage (V_{oc}) characteristics of the cell with the BODIPY dendrimer **1**, **2** and **3** under simulated solar illumination of 70 mW cm⁻² and the parameters are listed in Table 4.

In the current investigation cost effective BODIPY dyes were designed so as to have certain special features that could make them highly advantageous compared to other organic dyes, and thus, they are promising from the angle of stability and performance in harvesting solar energy. The presence of the BODIPY based dendrimer in DSSC shows an improved fill factor (FF) value of 52%, which is relatively high for BODIPY based DSSC and suggests that the more extended pi-conjugated structure of BODIPY may lead to better hole-transporting properties and/or better charge collection at the working



**Fig. 5** Cyclic voltammogram of BODIPY conjugated dendrimers **1**, **2** and **3** in DCM (1×10^{-5} M) at room temperature (scan rate at 50 mV s⁻¹) and 0.1 M tetrabutyl ammonium perchlorate as a supporting electrolyte in dry DCM.

Table 4 Photovoltaic properties of the BODIPY dendrimer based dye-sensitized solar cells under the illumination of 70 mW cm^{-2}

System	Voltage (mV)	Current (mA)	Fill factor	Efficiency (η) %
TiO ₂ /BODIPY dyes conjugated dendrimer 1/KI/I ₂ /Pt	670	3.4	0.51	1.7
TiO ₂ /BODIPY dyes conjugated dendrimer 2/KI/I ₂ /Pt	698	4	0.52	2.1
TiO ₂ /BODIPY dyes conjugated dendrimer 3/KI/I ₂ /Pt	725	4.7	0.52	2.5

**Fig. 7** The schematic cell diagram for TiO₂/BODIPY dye/KI/I₂/Pt.

(BODIPY) dye coated on TiO₂ and counter Pt electrode.³⁵ The efficient light-harvesting abilities of the dyes led to high J_{sc} values for these dye-loaded cells and report power conversion efficiency of 2.5%, a value which ranks the good reported for BODIPY based solar cells. The BODIPY dendrimer 1, 2, 3 has anchoring units such as triazolyl and BODIPY groups and hence the power conversion efficiency increases from lower to higher generation dendrimer due to the increasing BODIPY group in the surface, which is beneficial for the design of organic sensitizers and the results confirms that the synthesised BODIPY dye based dendrimer plays key role in dye-sensitized solar cells. The detailed electron transfer mechanism occurring in the present DSSC based on the TiO₂/BODIPY dye/KI/I₂/Pt system is shown in Fig. 7.

The BODIPY dendrimers 1, 2 and 3 are strongly adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface in the DSSC. All the dendrimers 1, 2 and 3 were independently mixed with TiO₂ and after 3 h, the TiO was separated washed with water and UV-vis spectra was recorded by DRS technique. The TiO₂ sample adsorbed with dendrimer 1, 2, and 3 showed the λ_{max} in the UV-visible spectra at 384 and 501 nm, 385 and 502 nm and 386 and 503 nm respectively (ESI, Fig. S1†) and this is reflected in SEM analysis also (refer ESI, Fig. S2†). When BODIPY unit alone is used as dye in the DSSC, solar energy harvesting efficiency was reported to be very less ($\eta = 1.7, 2.1, 2.5$).³⁶ However dendrimer with the BODIPY unit

along with triazole bridging unit shows better power conversion efficiency. Hence, it is concluded that triazole unit is responsible for the adsorption of the dye at the TiO₂ surface and the presence of BODIPY unit helps in increasing the solar energy harvesting efficiency in DSSC (ESI, Fig. S3†).

Experimental

All reagents were obtained commercially and used as such unless otherwise stated. Analytical TLC was performed on commercial Merk plates coated with Silica Gel GF254. Analytical samples were obtained from silica gel chromatography, using silica gel of 100–200 mesh and elution with the solvent system as mentioned under each Experiment section. The melting points were determined by using a Metler Toledo melting point apparatus by open capillary tube method and were uncorrected. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a 300 MHz BRUKER AVANCE (75 MHz for ¹³C NMR) spectrometer. UV-vis absorption spectrum was measured with a PerkinElmer Lambda 35 UV-vis spectrometer.

BODIPY was adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface by soaking the TiO₂ photoelectrode in an ethanol solution of the dye at a concentration of ($5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$) for 24 h at room temperature. The photoelectrode was washed dried and used for the measurement of photovoltaic performance. A sandwich type photoelectrochemical cell was composed of a dye-coated TiO₂ photoanode. Platinum coated fluorinated tin oxide (FTO) conducting glass act as a counter electrode.³⁷ The electrolyte solution was injected into the space between two electrodes. The electrolyte solution was composed of $4.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$ of KI, $8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ of I₂, and dimethylpropylimidazolium iodide of 5.1×10^{-2} in 10 mL acetonitrile–ethanol (80–20%) solvent. The solar to electric energy conversion efficiency was measured under simulated solar light at 70 mW cm^{-2} . The photocurrent–photovoltage (I – V) was measured using a BAS 100A electrochemical analyzer. The apparent cell area of TiO₂ photoelectrode was 1 cm^2 ($1 \text{ cm} \times 1 \text{ cm}$).^{38,39} The cell configuration of the BODIPY based dye-sensitized solar cells is TiO₂/BODIPY dye/KI/I₂/Pt.

General procedure for the Cu-catalyzed Huisgen ‘click reaction’ (procedure A)

A solution of the azide (1.0 equiv.), alkyne (3.0 equiv./6.0 equiv./12.0 equiv.), CuSO₄·5H₂O (5 mol%) and NaAsc (10 mol%) in a mixture of THF–H₂O (1 : 1, 20 mL) was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was dissolved in CHCl₃ (150 mL) and washed with NH₄Cl solution (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated to give a residue, which was



purified by column chromatography (SiO₂), using the eluent as mentioned under each compound.

General procedure for the first generation azido dendron (procedure B)

The reaction flask was charged with the chloro compound (1 equiv.), DMSO (10 mL) and added NaN₃ (9.0 equiv./18 equiv. (1.5 equiv. per chloride)) and the mixture was heated up to 90 °C for 3 h and the completion of the reaction was monitored by TLC using MeOH : CHCl₃ (1 : 19). The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and diluted with H₂O (100 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 150 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaCl, dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to give the corresponding azido compound as a solid.

1,3-Bis(chloromethyl)-5-(prop-2-ynyloxy)benzene 4

1,3-Bis(chloromethyl)-5-(prop-2-ynyloxy)benzene **4** was obtained as white solid as per the reported procedure²⁸ from 1,3-bis(hydroxymethyl)-5-propargyloxybenzene (1 g, 5.2 mmol) and thionyl chloride (1.52 mL, 20.83 mmol). Yield: 87%; mp: 88–90 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_H 2.54 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.1 Hz), 4.55 (s, 4H), 4.71 (d, 2H, *J* = 2.4 Hz), 6.90 (s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_C 45.7, 56.0, 76.0, 78.1, 115.1, 121.8, 139.4, 158.0; mass spectrum: MS (ES): *m/z* = 229 (M⁺).

First generation chlorodendron 6

Following the general procedure **A**, reaction of 1,3-bis(chloromethyl)-5-(propargyloxy)benzene **4** (1.17 g, 5.1 mmol), with 1,3,5-tris(azidomethyl)benzene **5** (0.4 g, 1.7 mmol) gave the chlorodendron **6** (1.36 g) as light yellow amorphous solid which was used as such without purification. Yield: 86%; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ_H 4.54 (s, 12H), 5.20 (s, 6H), 5.52 (s, 6H), 6.95 (s, 6H), 7.05 (s, 3H), 7.16 (s, 3H), 7.70 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO): δ_C 45.6, 53.2, 61.9, 114.9, 121.4, 123.3, 127.7, 136.7, 139.4, 142.9, 158.5; mass spectrum: MS (ES): *m/z* = 927 (M⁺).

First generation azidodendron 7

Following the general procedure **B**, reaction of the first generation chlorodendron **6** (1 g, 1.08 mmol) with NaN₃ (0.7 g, 10.8 mmol) gave the azide **7** (0.97 g) as light brown solid. Yield: 92%; mp: 101–103 °C; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_H 4.43 (s, 12H), 5.15 (s, 6H), 5.60 (s, 6H), 6.97 (s, 3H), 7.02 (s, 6H), 7.30 (s, 3H), 8.28 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz CDCl₃): δ_C 53.3, 61.2, 63.7, 114.3, 120.6, 124.8, 127.7, 137.1, 137.7, 142.7, 158.4; mass spectrum: MS (ES): *m/z* = 969 (M⁺).

Second generation chlorodendron 8

From the reaction of 1,3-bis(chloromethyl)-5-propargyloxybenzene **4** (0.21 g, 0.92 mmol, 6.2 equiv.) and the azidodendron **7** (0.15 g, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) using the general procedure **A** for click chemistry, the chlorodendron **8** was obtained as a light brown amorphous solid after eluting from the column with CHCl₃ : MeOH (19 : 1). Yield: 0.3 g, 86%; mp: 201 °C; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ_H 4.68 (s, 24H); 5.04 (s, 6H); 5.13 (s, 12H); 5.54 (s, 12H); 5.57 (s, 6H); 6.93–7.01 (s, 27H); 7.25 (s, 3H);

8.23 (s, 3H); 8.28 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR: (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ_C 46.7, 52.6, 61.1, 61.2, 114.2, 115.0, 121.6, 130.7, 137.8, 139.4, 158.1, 158.4; MS (MALDI-TOF): *m/z* = 2467 (M²⁺).

Second generation azidodendron 9

Following the general procedure **B**, reaction of the second generation chlorodendron **8** (0.2 g, 0.083 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) with NaN₃ (0.08 g, 1.25 mmol, 15.0 equiv.) gave the azidodendron **9** as light brown solid from the column after eluting with CHCl₃ : MeOH (19 : 1). Yield: 0.17 g, 85%; mp: 180 °C; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ_H 4.41 (s, 24H); 5.10 (s, 6H); 5.15 (s, 12H); 5.55 (s, 12H); 5.58 (s, 6H); 6.9–7.02 (m, 27H); 7.29 (s, 3H); 8.25 (s, 3H); 8.29 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR: (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ_C 52.3, 52.6, 53.3, 61.2, 114.2, 114.3, 120.0, 120.6, 124.8, 127.6, 137.1, 137.6, 137.9, 142.5, 142.8, 158.3; MS (MALDI-TOF): *m/z* = 2446 (M⁺).

5,5-Difluoro-10-(4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)phenyl)-5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-*c*:1',2'-*f*][1,3,2]diazaborinin-4-ium-5-uide 12

Following the general procedure, 5,5-difluoro-10-(4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)phenyl)-5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-*c*:1',2'-*f*][1,3,2]diazaborinin-4-ium-5-uide **12** was obtained as dark brown solid from 2,2'-((4-(4-(prop-2-ynyloxy)benzyloxy)phenyl)methylene)bis(1H-pyrrole) **10** (0.5 g, 1.8 mmol) and BF₃·OEt₂ (10 mL). Yield: 87%; mp: 80–82 °C; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_H 2.61 (t, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.71 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.17–6.20 (m, 2H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.98 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR: (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_C 56.0, 76.3, 77.9, 114.9, 118.4, 127.1, 131.4, 132.3, 134.9, 143.6, 147.1, 159.9; mass spectrum: MS (FAB): *m/z* = 322 [M⁺]; elemental analysis calculated for: C₁₈H₁₃BF₂N₂O; C, 67.12 H, 4.07; found: C, 67.01 H, 4.02.

BODIPY dendrimer 1

The BODIPY dendrimer **1** was synthesized from 1,3,5-tris(azidomethyl)benzene **4** (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.), and the BODIPY alkyne **12** (3.0 mmol, 3.0 equiv.), in the presence of CuSO₄·5H₂O (5 mol%) and NaAsc (10 mol%) in a mixture of THF–H₂O (1 : 1, 20 mL) following the procedure **A**. The residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was dissolved in CHCl₃ (150 mL) and washed with NH₄Cl solution (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and then concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography with CHCl₃ : MeOH (25 : 1) as eluent. Yield: 85%; ¹H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_H 5.29 (s, 6H), 5.56 (s, 6H), 6.53–6.54 (s, 6H), 6.93–6.96 (s, 6H), 7.12–7.15 (s, 6H), 7.26 (s, 3H), 7.52–7.55 (s, 6H), 7.67 (s, 3H), 7.91 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR: (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ_C 53.6, 62.1, 114.8, 118.4, 123.0, 126.9, 127.3, 127.8, 131.3, 132.4, 134.8, 136.4, 143.6, 144.2, 160.6; MS (MALDI): *m/z* = 1209.58 [M + Na]⁺; elemental anal. calcd for C₆₃H₄₈B₃F₆N₁₅O₃: C, 62.56%; H, 4.00%; N, 17.37%; found: C, 62.46%; H, 4.09%; N, 17.47%.

BODIPY dendrimer 2

The BODIPY dendrimer **2** was synthesized from 1,3,5-tris(azidomethyl)benzene **4** (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.), BODIPY alkyne **12** (6.0 mmol, 6.0 equiv.), in the presence of CuSO₄·5H₂O (5 mol%) and NaAsc (10 mol%) in a mixture of THF–H₂O (1 : 1, 20 mL)



following the procedure A. The residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was dissolved in CHCl_3 (150 mL) and washed with NH_4Cl solution (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and then concentrated to give a residue, which was purified from column chromatography with CHCl_3 : MeOH (25 : 1) as eluent. Yield: 88%; ^1H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ_{H} 4.80 (s, 24H), 4.95 (s, 3H), 5.96 (s, 6H), 5.01 (s, 6H), 6.56–6.59 (m, 19H), 6.61 (s, 8H), 6.98–7.12 (m, 12H), 7.51 (s, 5H), 7.54 (s, 16H), 7.57 (s, 4H), 7.92 (s, 14H); ^{13}C NMR: (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ_{C} 56.0, 62.1, 114.0, 114.8, 114.9, 118.4, 127.1, 128.9, 131.4, 132.2, 132.3, 134.9, 139.3, 141.54, 143.6, 147.1, 159.9; MS (MALDI): m/z = 2902.64 $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$; elemental anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{150}\text{H}_{117}\text{B}_6\text{F}_{12}\text{N}_{39}\text{O}_9$: C, 62.07%; H, 4.06%; N, 18.82%; found: C, 62.17%; H, 4.09%; N, 18.85%.

BODIPY dendrimer 3

The BODIPY dendrimer 3 was synthesized from 1,3,5-tris(azido-methyl)benzene 4 (1.0 mmol, 1 equiv.), and the BODIPY alkyne 12 (12.0 mmol, 12.0 equiv.), in the presence of $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (5 mol%) and NaAsc (10 mol%) in a mixture of THF– H_2O (1 : 1, 20 mL) following the procedure A. The residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was dissolved in CHCl_3 (150 mL) and washed with NH_4Cl solution (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and then concentrated to give a residue, which was purified from column chromatography with CHCl_3 : MeOH (25 : 1) as eluent. Yield: 85%; ^1H NMR: (300 MHz, CDCl_3): δ_{H} 5.27 (s, 12H), 5.37 (s, 24H), 5.46 (s, 40H), 6.51 (s, 19H), 6.80–6.83 (m, 19H), 6.90 (s, 20H), 7.10–7.11 (m, 24), 7.47–7.50 (m, 15H), 7.51–7.55 (m, 32H), 7.70 (s, 22H), 7.89 (s, 28H); ^{13}C NMR: (75 MHz, CDCl_3): δ_{C} 56.0, 62.1, 114.1, 114.8, 114.9, 118.4, 127.4, 127.1, 128.9, 131.4, 132.2, 132.3, 134.9, 139.3, 141.5, 143.6, 147.1, 159.9; MS (MALDI): m/z = 4096.19 $[\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+$; elemental anal. calcd for $\text{C}_{324}\text{H}_{255}\text{B}_{12}\text{F}_{24}\text{N}_{87}\text{O}_{21}$: C, 61.88%; H, 4.09%; N, 19.38%; found: C, 61.97%; H, 4.09%; N, 19.45%.

Conclusion

The triazole bridged BODIPY conjugated dendrimers 1, 2 and 3 were obtained up to third generation. In the UV-vis spectra the absorption intensity linearly increases with increase in the number of BODIPY group. In CV the current intensity increases with increase in the dendrimer generation. Dendrimer 3 shows increase in the solar energy harvesting efficiency of 2.5% when used as the dye material which ranks good among the reported BODIPY based dye compounds.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank DST-FIST for providing an NMR facility to the Department of Organic Chemistry and University of Madras, Chennai 600025, India. SG thanks the financial assistance from DST Fast Track (SB/FT/CS-063/2013), New Delhi for the solar cell studies.

References

- (a) R. Misra, T. Jadhav, B. Dhokale, P. Gautam, R. Sharma, R. Maragani and S. M. Mobin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 13076; (b) R. Misra, B. Dhokale, T. Jadhav and M. Shaikh Mobin, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 3579.
- R. Misra, B. Dhokale, T. Jadhav and S. M. Mobin, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 13658.
- (a) A. B. Nepomnyashchii and A. J. Bard, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 1844; (b) T. Cheng, T. Wang, W. Zhu, X. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Xu and X. Qian, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 3656; (c) O. A. Bozdemir, R. Guliyev, O. Buyukcakil, S. Selcuk, S. Kolenen, G. Gulseren, T. Nalbantoglu, H. Boyaci and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8029; (d) M. Benstead, G. H. Mehl and R. W. Boyle, *Tetrahedron*, 2011, **67**, 3573.
- (a) S. E. Ela, M. D. Yilmaz, B. Icil, Y. Dede, S. Icli and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3299; (b) D. Kumaresan, R. P. Thummel, T. Bura, G. Ulrich and R. Ziessel, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 6335; (c) S. Kolenen, Y. Cakmak, S. E. Ela, Y. Altay, J. Brendel, M. Thelakkat and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3812.
- (a) M. T. Whited, P. I. Djurovich, S. T. Roberts, A. C. Durrell, C. W. Schlenker, S. E. Bradforth and M. E. Thompson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 88; (b) A. Florian, M. J. Mayoral, V. Stepanenko and G. Fernandez, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 14957.
- (a) Q. Zheng, G. Xu and P. Prasad, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 5812; (b) P. Didier, G. Ulrich, Y. Mely and R. Ziessel, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, **7**, 3639; (c) P. A. Bouit, K. Kamada, P. Feneyrou, G. Berginc, L. Toupet, O. Maury and C. Andraud, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1151.
- (a) J. Murtagh, D. O. Frimannsson and D. F. O'Shea, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 5386; (b) W. J. Shi, J. Y. Liu and D. K. P. Ng, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 196; (c) S. Madhu, R. Gonnade and M. Ravikanth, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 5056.
- (a) T. Yogo, Y. Urano, Y. Ishitsuka, F. Maniwa and T. Nagano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12162; (b) S. Ozlem and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 48.
- (a) J. Warnan, F. Buchet, Y. Pellegrin, E. Blart and F. Odobel, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 3944; (b) J. Y. Liu, M. E. E. Khouly, S. Fukuzumi and D. K. P. Ng, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 1605; (c) J. Iehl, J. F. Nierengarten, A. Harriman, T. Bura and R. Ziessel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 988.
- (a) C. A. Wijesinghe, M. E. E. Khouly, J. D. Blakemore, M. E. Zandler, S. Fukuzumi and F. D'Souza, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 3301; (b) C. A. Wijesinghe, M. E. E. Khouly, N. K. Subbaiyan, M. Supur, M. E. Zandler, K. Ohkubo, S. Fukuzumi and F. D'Souza, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 3147.
- (a) S. Atilgan, T. Ozdemir and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 4065; (b) R. Guliyev, A. Coskun and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9007.
- (a) O. A. Bozdemir, R. Guliyev, O. Buyukcakil, S. Selcuk, S. K. Iemen, G. Gulseren, T. Nalbantoglu, H. Boyaci and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8029; (b)



- R. Guliyev, S. Ozturk, Z. Kostereli and E. U. Akkaya, *Angew. Chem.*, 2011, **123**, 10000; *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 9826.
- 13 (a) S. Ozlem and E. U. Akkaya, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 48; (b) H. He, P. C. Lo, S. L. Yeung, W. P. Fong and D. K. P. Ng, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 3097.
- 14 (a) R. Ziessel and A. Harriman, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 611; (b) C. Y. Lee, O. K. Farha, B. J. Hong, A. A. Sarjeant, S. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15858.
- 15 (a) S. E. Ela, M. D. Yilmaz, B. Icli, Y. Dede, S. Icli and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3299; (b) S. Kolemen, Y. Cakmak, S. E. Ela, Y. Altay, J. Brendel, M. Thelakkat and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 3812.
- 16 R. Huisgen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1968, **7**, 321.
- 17 A. Kannan, V. Saravanan and P. Rajakumar, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **5**, 1155.
- 18 (a) V. Saravanan, A. Kannan and P. Rajakumar, *New J. Chem.*, 2017, **41**, 1714; (b) V. Saravanan, A. Kannan and P. Rajakumar, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **242**, 904; (c) V. Saravanan, A. Kannan and P. Rajakumar, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 2504.
- 19 (a) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2004; (b) C. Tornøe, C. Christensen and M. Meldal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 3057.
- 20 A. Hagfeldt and M. Gratzel, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2000, **33**, 269.
- 21 M. K. Nazeeruddin, A. Kay, I. Rodicio, R. Humphry-Baker, E. Muller, P. Liska, N. Vlachopoulos and M. Gratzel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 6382.
- 22 B. O'Regan and M. Gratzel, *Nature*, 1991, **353**, 737.
- 23 K. Hara, K. Sayama, Y. Ogata, A. Shinpo, S. Suga and H. Arakawa, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 569.
- 24 T. Horiuchi, H. Miura, K. Sumioka and S. Uchida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 12218.
- 25 C. Y. Lee and J. T. Hupp, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 3760.
- 26 Y. Cakmak, T. Nalbantoglu, T. Durgut and E. U. Akkaya, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 538.
- 27 A. Treibs, F. Kreuzer and H. Liebigs, *Ann. Chem.*, 1968, **718**, 208.
- 28 T. Rousseau, A. Cravino, T. Bura, G. Ulrich, R. Ziessel and J. Roncali, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 1673.
- 29 C. Yeon Lee and T. H. Joseph, *Langmuir*, 2010, **26**, 3760.
- 30 J. C. T. Carlson, L. G. Meimetis, S. A. Hilderbrand and R. Weissleder, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6917.
- 31 H. D. Agnew, R. D. Rohde, S. W. Millward, A. Nag, W. S. Yeo, J. E. Hein, S. M. Pitram, A. A. Tariq, V. M. Burns, R. J. Krom, V. V. Fokin, K. B. Sharpless and J. R. Heath, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4944.
- 32 F. S. Fery and D. Lavabre, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1999, **76**, 1260.
- 33 M. Poddar, V. Sharma, S. M. Mobin and R. Misra, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 4881.
- 34 B. Dhokale, T. Jadhav, M. Shaikh Mobin and R. Misra, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 1476.
- 35 (a) S. Erten-Ela, M. DenizYilmaz, B. Icli, Y. Dede, S. Icli and E. U. Akkaya, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **15**, 3299; (b) J. Liao, H. Zhao, Y. Xu, W. Zhou, F. Peng, Y. Wanga and Y. Fang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 33975.
- 36 S. Ganesan, B. Muthuraaman, J. Madhavan, P. Maruthamuthu and A. Suthanthiraraj, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2008, **92**, 1718.
- 37 E. Zervaki Galateia, N. Agapi, N. Vasilis, D. S. Ganesh and C. G. Athanassios, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 5652.
- 38 J. Babu, S. Ganesan, M. Karuppusamy and P. Rajakumar, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 9222.
- 39 K. Rajavelua, M. Sudip, R. Kothandaraman and P. Rajakumar, *Sol. Energy*, 2018, **166**, 379.

