Antibiotic resistance: bioinformatics-based understanding as a functional strategy for drug design

Umar Ndagi, Abubakar A. Falaki, Maryam Abdullahi, Monsurat M. Lawal and Mahmoud E. Soliman

The use of antibiotics to manage infectious diseases dates back to ancient civilization, but the lack of a clear distinction between the therapeutic and toxic dose has been a major challenge. This precipitates the notion that antibiotic resistance was from time immemorial, principally because of a lack of adequate knowledge of therapeutic doses and continuous exposure of these bacteria to suboptimal plasma concentration of antibiotics. With the discovery of penicillin by Alexander Fleming in 1924, a milestone in bacterial infections’ treatment was achieved. This forms the foundation for the modern era of antibiotic drugs. Antibiotics such as penicillins, cephalosporins, quinolones, tetracycline, macrolides, sulphonamides, aminoglycosides and glycopeptides are the mainstay in managing severe bacterial infections, but resistant strains of bacteria have emerged and hampered the progress of research in this field. Recently, new approaches to research involving bacteria resistance to antibiotics have appeared; these involve combining the molecular understanding of bacteria systems with the knowledge of bioinformatics. Consequently, many molecules have been developed to curb resistance associated with different bacterial infections. However, because of increased emphasis on the clinical relevance of antibiotics, the synergy between in silico study and in vivo study is well cemented and this facilitates the discovery of potent antibiotics. In this review, we seek to give an overview of earlier reviews and molecular and structural understanding of bacteria resistance to antibiotics, while focusing on the recent bioinformatics approach to antibacterial drug discovery.

1. Introduction

The use of antimicrobial entities dates back to ancient civilization during which a variety of naturally available healing methods were used in curbing infections. Some of these methods require the use of moulds and plant extracts with characteristics antibiotic properties. This is true for compounds that were accidentally discovered (such as penicillin by Alexander Fleming in 1924) and even for those that are linked to the knowledge handed down by successive generations; Egyptians applied mouldy bread to infected wounds.

However, until the 20th century, bacterial infections were the number one cause of human death in the developed world.

This is as a result of dose-related issues, the knowledge of the amount required to clear the organism from the system being inadequate. Therefore, marked symptoms of bacterial infection persist until such time that a significant amount is reached, and symptoms begin to disappear. Exposure of bacteria to the inadequate dose of these agents is presumed as the number one cause of bacterial resistance, which could be a contributing factor to bacterial evolution and perhaps the origin of bacteria evolution.

In the 1940s, antibiotics were major lifelines for treating serious infections, this was re-established by the successful use of penicillin in controlling bacterial infections among military personnel during the Second World War. Luria and Delbrück in the year 1943, conducted a fluctuation test and acknowledged that bacterial resistance arises from heritable changes in the bacterial cell (mutation), which is independent of factors such as co-habitation of the bacterial colony with the virus. Despite the advantages derived from the use of penicillin, resistance to these drugs emerged, such that in the 1950s, the giant strides made in antibiotics development in the prior decade were threatened. In response to this, new beta (β)-lactam antibiotics were discovered and eventually upheld, thereby re-establishing the initial confidence of “magic-use and magic
result” antibiotics are being portrayed.\(^1\)\(^4\) Within the same decade, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) was first identified in the United Kingdom in 1962 and the United States in 1968.\(^6\)\(^8\) Unfortunately, resistance to most antibiotics emerged in time-course to the extent that even the most powerful antibiotics developed in the early 1970s like vancomycin used in managing methicillin resistance in both *Staphylococcus aureus* (S. aureus) and coagulase-negative staphylococci had its share of resistance\(^9\)\(^10\) in the late 1970s.

To understand the key aspect of bacterial survival, Baym et al. (2016) introduced microbial evolution and growth arena (MEGA) – plate,\(^9\) typically used to study microbial evolution. Their work suggests that evolution is not always led by the most resistant mutants; highly resistant mutant can be trapped behind more sensitive lineages.\(^9\) Baym concept of Petri dish offers an unprecedented insight into the phenomenon of antibiotic resistance.\(^10\)

Resistance to antibiotics is eroding the scientific effort in keeping up the pace of antibiotics’ discovery in the 21st century.\(^11\) In the past (the golden era of antibiotics drug discovery), resistance to antibiotics was not too challenging,\(^11\) this was because scientific research was coping with the challenges of antibiotic resistance.\(^11\) However, recent events in the antibiotic resistance profile have pushed most pharmaceutical industries to re-decide their efforts in developing novel molecules used in managing chronic illnesses, this approach provides more financial benefits that guaranty their survival in monetary times. Thus, leading to drying up in the antibiotics pipeline and leaving behind a few potent molecules that may limit the choice of antibiotics in curing certain disease conditions. The emergence and widespread of antibiotic-resistant pathogens is an emerging challenge in the public health domain, this requires global action with a multi-dimensional approach that would address the fundamental challenges inherent in curbing drug-resistance and fine-tune the lead way to minimise drug-resistance.\(^12\)

The most recent World Economic Forum Global Risks reports have listed antibiotic resistance as one of the greatest threats that put the health system under new pressure.\(^13\) Similarly, the United Nations (UN) ad hoc interagency coordinating group on antimicrobial resistance in one of its recent report warned that drug-resistant diseases could account for up to 10 million deaths each year by 2050 and damage to the economy as catastrophic as the 2008–2009 global financial crisis. By 2030, it is likely that antimicrobial resistance could drag up to 24 million people into extreme poverty.\(^14\) Currently, at least 700 000 people die each year due to drug-resistant diseases, including 230 000 people who die from multidrug-resistant tuberculosis.\(^14\) United State (U.S.) Centers for Disease, Control and Prevention in its 2019 report, states that more than 2.8 million antibiotic-resistant infections occur in the U.S. each year,\(^15\) and more than 35 000 death result from this menace.\(^15\) Also, 223 900 cases of *Clostridioides difficile* occurred in 2017 and at least 12 800 people died. Relative to this, there has been an upsurge in antibiotic resistance profile and relative lack of new antibiotics in development.\(^15\)

Nevertheless, bacteria resistant to antibiotics might be acquired through gene mutation and by horizontal gene transfer; it can also be due to intrinsic activities resulting from inherent structural or functional characteristics (Fig. 1). Unavailability of the susceptible target of a specific antibiotic is a typical example of intrinsic resistance, this is well represented in gram stain organism, with Gram-negative bacteria having a lower proportion of anionic phospholipids in the cytoplasmic membrane than do Gram-positive bacteria.\(^16\) This reduces the efficiency of the Ca\(^{2+}\) – mediated insertion of daptomycin into the cytoplasmic membrane that is required for its antibacterial activity.\(^17\) The intrinsic properties of some Gram-negative bacteria give them protective measures that allow them to resist antibiotic effects such as antibiotic transport across the outer membrane. For example, the glycopeptide antibiotic vancomycin inhibits peptidoglycan cross-linking by binding to target \(\alpha\)-Ala-\(\alpha\)-Ala peptides, this effect is more pronounced in Gram-positive bacteria, whereas in Gram-negative organisms, the drug transport across the outer membrane is hindered and access to the peptides in the periplasm is shutdown.\(^18\) The word antibiotic has become synonymous with antibacterial drugs therefore, in this article; the term antibacterial refers to the antibiotic.

Bioinformatics is a practical discipline that employs a range of computation techniques including sequence and structural alignment, analyses of large collections of biological data, such as genetic sequences, cell populations or protein samples, to make new predictions or discover new biology.\(^19\) The application of bioinformatics tools and techniques in analysing the increasing data generated in molecular biology, genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics is gaining momentum.\(^20\) Furthermore, the quantum of information aggregated in the form of databases and literature for generating molecular profiles and for collecting data related epidemiology of pathogens has significantly increased.\(^21\) Therefore, the use of bioinformatics tools and techniques in curbing bacteria resistance, pathogen identification and typing, identifying markers for early diagnosis and treatment, enabling personalized interventions and predicting patient outcomes, is crucial.\(^22\) Herein, we provide an overview of previous reviews, molecular and structural understanding of bacteria resistance to antibiotics while focusing on the recent bioinformatics approach to antibacterial drug discovery.

### 1.1 Antibiotic resistance; what we need to know

Bacteria resistance to antibiotics is relative in terms of the dose of exposure to antibiotic,\(^23\) this is so because even the most resistant bacterium could be inhibited or killed by a sufficiently high concentration of antibiotics.\(^24\) However, many patients might be unable to tolerate the high concentration required to kill/inhibit the bacteria, this is further complicated by variation in the susceptibility profile of different bacteria. For example, most strains of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in Britain have a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.01 mg L\(^{-1}\) with benzylpenicillin.\(^25\) Whereas for Escherichia coli, 32–64 mg L\(^{-1}\) is ideal to inhibit bacteria growth;\(^26\) a concentration that is likely difficult to meet in human plasma, which would prevent thriving drug-resistance.\(^21\) Hence, resistance to antibiotics can
be better considered as clinical resistance as opposed to drug
resistance. Similarly, the rapid spread of the transposon
(jumping DNA) drives bacterial resistance. This DNA is a vehicle
for spreading of bacteria resistance and characterise with the
autonomous switch of locations in the genome.34

Antibiotics resistance is best understood using two conceptual
models;25 first, resistance to antibiotics could be considered as
a result of microorganisms (bacteria) interaction with their
immediate environment.26 The presence of these molecules, some
of which are natural compounds, within the bacteria milieu exert
the survival pressure that allows the bacteria to adapt to a certain
concentration, hence, co-resident bacteria evolved mechanisms to
overcome these molecules' actions to survive. Therefore, these
organisms are often considered as “intrinsically” resistant to one
or more antibiotics.25 However, in clinical settings, the focus is
more on “acquired resistance” in a bacterial population that was
originally susceptible to the antibiotics.25 Usually, acquired resis-
tance results from mutations in chromosomal genes or perhaps
due to acquired external genetic determinants of resistance,25
which is likely obtained from intrinsically resistant organisms
present in the environment.25

In the second model, antibiotics resistance/susceptibility in
clinical practice could be considered as a relative phenomenon
with different strata.25 The establishment of clinical resistance or
susceptibility endpoints (susceptible, intermediate and
resistant) depends on the in vivo activity of antibiotics against
a particular bacterial population, combined with some phar-
macodynamics parameters.25 Thus, when treating antibiotic-
resistant bacteria, susceptibility patterns interpretations may
vary according to the clinical scenario and treatment options
availability.25 For instance, the plasma concentration of cefuro-
oxime achieved in the lungs could be sufficiently high to treat
upper respiratory tract infection caused by an organism re-
ported as cefuroxime-resistant.25 However, the in vivo suscepti-
bility of an organism to a particular antibiotic depends on the
dose of bacterial inoculum.25 As noted from research, some
cephalosporins (like cefazolin) may fail in the setting of high-
inocula (deep-seated infections) caused by cephalosporin-
susceptible S. aureus. This situation has been well docu-
mented in S. aureus infections with some cephalosporins.26

2. Molecular basis of antibiotics resistance
Bacteria genome flexibility allows them to respond to a range of
environmental challenges,25 including when antibiotic mole-
cules that may distort the strength of their survival are present.
Just like other organisms, bacteria share atomic (chemical
structure) similarities with antibacterial agents and hence ease
adaptability to the harmful effect of antibiotics.27 Two major
genetic strategies define bacteria adaptability to antibiotics: (1)
gene mutation and (2) horizontal transfer of resistance gene.

2.1 Resistance resulting from a gene mutation
Mutation is one of the useful means of survival of bacterial
amidst antibiotics threat; it usually results from exposure of
bacteria to the sub-optimal concentration of antibiotics. Once
a resistant mutant emerges,27 the antibiotic eliminates the
susceptible population and the resistant bacterial predomi-
nate.27 From a molecular perspective, mutation undermines the
network of interaction between the atoms of the drug and atoms
of the amino acid from the bacterial enzyme. Consequently,
drug-receptor resident-time would be shortened and potency of
the drug molecule could be affected. In many instances,
mutational changes leading to resistance affects cell homeo-
stasis27 with an irreversible decrease in drug fitness. Several
mechanisms of bacteria resistance are available in the litera-
ture, the most common ones include; (1) changes in bacteria
drug target site (2) reduction in drug uptake (3) activation of
efflux mechanism to exclude drug molecule and (4) changes in
essential metabolic pathways.

2.2 Horizontal gene transfer (HGT)
Transfer of genetic trait through DNA has been known as the most
common method of bacteria resistance,25 it involves acquiring
foreign DNA material through horizontal gene transfer. It is a well-
known fact that bacteria share the same environmental charac-
teristic with most pharmaceuticals, thereby developing an
intrinsic resistance trait required to facilitate the growth of anti-
microbial resistance. This genetic exchange could be associated
with the widespread resistance to many frequently used antibi-
otics.27 Acquisition and transfer of genetic materials by bacterial
could be achieved via three basic mechanisms, this includes
transformation (incorporation of available DNA), transduction
(microphage mediated) and conjugation (bacterial mating).25
Transformation is the simplest mechanism of horizontal gene
transfer with only a few clinically relevant bacterial proceeds with
this method. However, conjugation is an efficient mechanism of
gene transfer that involves contact between two or more cells
driving by free genetic elements which transfer valuable genetic
information.25 Plasmid and transposon (jumping DNA) are crit-
cal to bacterial in the development and transfer of resistance
genetic materials in the clinical relevant organism. Similarly,
integrons have been identified as one of the most effective
mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance gene assemble.27 It played
a major role in the acquisition, expression, and dissemination of
antibiotic resistance genes. Its mechanism is simple and efficient
in adding new genes into bacterial chromosomes, along with the
necessary machinery to ensure their expression25-28 (Fig. 1).

It is important to note that bacteria can develop resistance to
antibiotics using multiple biochemical pathways, this is true for
some bacterial that gain exposure to different types of antibiot-
ics. They adopt these pathways to resist the effect of antibi-
tics and for continuous survival, this is targeted at some antibiotics
perhaps because of their structural peculiarities, for
example, bacteria resistance to fluoroquinolone comes with
three different mechanisms.29 These are (1) alterations in the
target enzymes (DNA gyrase and topoisomerase) (2) change in
drug entry and efflux mechanism (3) plasmid-mediated Qnr-
protein which protects quinolones targets from inhibition.29
These mechanisms may co-exist in some bacterial and can
augment resistance effects of one mechanism from the others.
Similarly, a selective mechanism of resistance is adequate for some bacterial to resist certain antibiotics effect, this is common with the β-lactamase producing organisms. In this case, the Gram-negative bacterial produce β-lactamases while Gram-positive bacteria adopt target-site adjustment or modification. This might be partly due to major differences in the cell envelope between Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria.

Generally, bacterial resistance to β-lactam antibiotics is mainly due to similarity in the structural feature of beta-lactam ring which is partly responsible for inhibiting the synthesis of the bacterial cell wall. These antibiotics target peptidoglycan cross-linked enzymes particularly transpeptidase and carboxypeptidase (penicillin-binding proteins, PBP) which are highly susceptible to autolytic effects of the beta-lactam antibiotics in Gram-negative bacteria. However, in Gram-positive bacteria that lack outer membrane, resistance could be attributed to penicillin-binding protein modification or porins (permeability barrier and low affinity of PBP to the drugs). Hence, producing inactivating enzymes (β-lactamases) and inhibition of release of autolytic enzymes is more pronounced. The commonest mechanism of adaptability among bacteria is therefore presented.

3. Mechanism of antibiotics resistance

3.1 Structural modification of antibiotics molecules

Structural modification of the drug molecule is one of the adaptive mechanisms of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. These organisms produce enzymes that inactivate the drug by adding specific chemical moieties to the molecule itself thereby changing the molecular orientation of the drug at the target site. This mechanism involves the chemical replacement of a certain group or moiety by bacterial enzyme limiting the antibacterial profile and reducing the potency of antibiotics. Most antibiotics that inhibit the bacteria ribosomal subunit fall prey to this mechanism. Typically, aminoglycoside modifying enzymes (AMEs) presence which covalently modify the hydroxyl (-OH) or amino groups (-NH₂) of the 2-deoxystreptamine nucleus or the sugar moieties could be nucleotidylyltransferase, phosphotransferases, or acetyltransferases. This phenomenal of aminoglycoside modification is responsible for most clinical resistance to these groups of antibiotics and is the predominant mechanism of aminoglycoside resistance worldwide. Many AMEs have been discovered and are usually found in mobile genetic elements (MGEs). However, the
genes responsible for AMEs coding have been found as integral of chromosomes in some bacterial species. This is a typical representation of different types of amino-acid modifying enzymes as seen in Fig. 2.

The modified entity as depicted in Fig. 2 represents different biochemical activity as acetyltransferase (AAC), adenylationase (ANT) and phosphotransferase (APH). The distribution of these enzymes varies among the bacteria and differs among aminoglycosides. This could be exemplified by APH family presence in Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria which alter the structure of kanamycin and streptomycin but spares gentamycin and tobramycin. In a related development, AAC is found mainly in Gram-negative clinical isolates like Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter and affects most aminoglycosides including amikacin and gentamicin. Besides, there is a variation in the distribution and AMEs activity from the same family.

Within the same context, the chloramphenicol is also a subject of enzyme modification; the chemical modification of chloramphenicol is mainly driven by chloramphenicol acetyltransferases (CATs) expression. These CATs genes are of different types in both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, they exist mainly as Type A which promote high-level resistance and Type B that only allows for low-level chloramphenicol resistance.

### 3.2 Decreased in antibiotic penetration (an efflux mechanism)

Antibiotics targets for many bacteria are located within the cytoplasm of the bacteria cell. Therefore, these compounds must penetrate through the outer to the inner layer of the bacterial cytoplasm to exert its effect. Bacteria have developed a protective mechanism that prevents antibiotics from reaching their target sites. This mechanism is most common in Gram-negative bacterial where it limits the outer membrane from adequate exposure to antibiotics. Antibiotics with hydrophilic properties such as β-lactams, some fluoroquinolones and tetracyclines could be affected by the changes in the bacterial outer membrane permeability. They often used porin (water-filled diffusion channel) to cross the barrier, other antibiotics such as vancomycin has reduced effect in some Gram-positive bacterial due to antibiotics restricted mechanism (lack of penetration through the outer membrane). Similarly, decrease in Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter baumanii susceptibility to β-lactams (compared to Enterobacteriaceae) is partly due to decrease in number and variation in porins expression.

### 3.3 Efflux pump

Bacteria resistance to antibiotics could be predicted when a mechanism of expelling drugs out of bacteria cells is available through a pump known as an efflux pump. This mechanism was first described in the 1980s when an efflux pump was responsible for ejecting tetracycline out of the cytoplasm of E. coli. A wide range of bacterial operates their resistance mechanism in this manner, this includes Gram-positive and Gram-negative organism. The mechanism is often highly specific for some substrate or may have a broad spectrum of substrate specificity which are widely found MDR bacteria. Because of this, a good number of antibiotics would be affected by this mechanism, this includes carbapenems, polymyxins, β-lactams, fluoroquinolones and protein synthesis inhibitors.

Another important clinical relevance antibiotic resistance mediated by the efflux mechanism is that of resistance to macrolides. Efflux pumps are typically encoded by the macrolide efflux (mef) genes [mef(A) and mef(E)] that are responsible for extruding the macrolides class of antibiotics (such as erythromycin). The mef genes are mainly found in S. pyogenes, S. pneumoniae, streptococci and Gram-positive organisms.

Mef(A) is usually carried in a transposon (Tn1207) within the
chromosome, Mef(E) is found in the “MEGA-element” (macrolide efflux genetic assembly), which is a DNA fragment that is naturally associated with different regions of the bacterial chromosome. It is important to note that, macrolide resistance caused by this mechanism does not result in cross-resistance to lincosamides and streptogramins (the so-called MLS\textsubscript{B} group).

3.4 Target site interference

Some bacteria can interfere with their target site to negate the effects of antibiotics. This is usually achieved by preventing the antibiotic from reaching its binding site or modifications of the target site resulting in a decrease in affinity to the antibiotic molecule.

3.5 Target site protection

Some of the genetic determinants coding for the proteins that mediate target protection have been found in the bacterial chromosome, most of which are clinically relevant genes and are involved in target site protection mechanism of resistance which is often carried by MGEs. Examples of drugs affected by this mechanism include tetracycline [Tet(M) and Tet(O)], fluoroquinolones (Qnr) and fusidic acid (FusB and FusC).

Tetracycline resistance is well-studied in this regard, during this period tetracycline resistance determinants Tet(M) and Tet(O) were considered. Tet(M) was only described in *Streptococcus* spp and Tet(O) in *Campylobacter jejuni*. However, their presence in other bacteria species has been also established.

These proteins are a sub-family member of GTPases (translation factor superfamily) and act as homologues of elongation factors (EF-G and EF-Tu) used in protein synthesis. Interaction of Tet(O) and Tet(M) with the ribosomes dislodges the tetracycline from its binding site in a GTP-dependent manner. To understand tetracycline resistance, Dönhöfer *et al.* (2012) showed that Tet(M) directly dislodges and releases tetracycline from the ribosome. This interaction alters the ribosomal conformational space thereby preventing the rebinding of the antibiotic. Bacterial can adopt this mechanism of resistance to other antibiotics like quinolones.

3.6 Mutations of the target sites

Mutation is one of the major and commonest mechanisms of bacterial resistance to antibiotics, it involves changes in amino acid residue resulting in a defective network of amino acid interaction which eventually affects drug binding. A good example of this mechanism is in rifampin (RIF) resistance.

![Fig. 3](image_url) *Crystal structure of rifampicin monooxygenase (RIFMO) with mutant residues.*
Rifampin (a rifamycin) is used as a first-line antibiotic treatment for tuberculosis (TB), and it remains the cornerstone of current short-term TB treatment. Rifampicin-resistant (RIFR) TB,\(^\text{47}\) results from the RpoB S531L mutation in RNA polymerase (RNAP), this has become a growing problem worldwide.\(^\text{37}\) Molodtsov et al. (2017) determined the X-ray crystal structures of the E. coli RNAPs containing the most clinically important S531L mutation and two other frequently observed RIFR mutants, RpoB D516V and RpoB H526Y\(^\text{47}\) have been subjected to molecular analysis. The structures show that the S531L mutation impacts subtle if any structural or functional impact on RNAP occurs when RIF is absent,\(^\text{47}\) this could be illustrated in Fig. 3 with the binding pocket of rifampicin monoxygenase (RIFMO).

However, upon RIF binding, the S531L mutant exhibits a disordering of the RIFMO binding interface,\(^\text{47}\) which effectively reduces the RIF affinity. In contrast, the H526Y mutation reshapes the RIFMO binding pocket, generating significant steric conflicts that essentially prevent any RIF binding.\(^\text{47}\) While the D516V mutant does not exhibit any such gross structural changes, certainly the electrostatic surface of the RIFMO binding pocket is dramatically changed, likely resulting in the decreased affinity for RIFs.\(^\text{47}\) In a related development, conversion of RIF to oxidative products causes a decrease in the potency of RIFMO.\(^\text{48}\) Further decomposition of RIF was observed in bacterial producing RIFMO and contributes to RIFMO-mediated drug resistance.\(^\text{48}\)

### 3.7 Enzyme alteration of target sites

Alteration in the target sites of antibiotics is one of the commonest mechanisms of bacterial resistance\(^\text{49}\) attracting a lot of significance, even the clinical strains showing resistance could be found in every class of antibiotic irrespective of the mechanism of action.\(^\text{49}\) Alteration at the target site often results from continuous mutation of a bacterial gene on the chromosome and selection when the antibiotics are present.\(^\text{49}\) For instance, mutations in RNA polymerase and DNA gyrase of susceptible bacterial result in rifamycins and quinolones resistance, respectively.\(^\text{49}\) Similarly, acquisition of resistance may involve the transfer of resistance genes from other organisms through the genetic exchange (conjugation, transduction, or transformation). Examples of these mechanisms include acquisition of the mecA genes encoding methicillin resistance in S. aureus and the various van genes in enterococci encoding resistance to glycopeptides.\(^\text{49}\)

In a related development, ribosomal methylation of some antibiotics is catalysed by an enzyme encoded by the erm genes, this includes methylation of erythromycin ribosomes which results in macrolide resistance.\(^\text{50}\) These enzymes are capable of mono- or demethylation of an adenine residue in position A2058 of the domain V of the 23sRNA of the 50s ribosomal subunit.\(^\text{50}\) Changes resulting from this biochemical process are directly responsible for the distortion and re-orientation of the binding site that affects the drug fitness. All the antibiotics with overlapping binding sites tend to cross-resistance, this is true with macrolides, lincosamide and streptogramin B with overlapping binding sites in the 23s RNA.\(^\text{50}\)

Enzymatic alteration of the target is also involved in Cfr-mediated linezolid resistance.\(^\text{51,52}\) The plasmid-borne determinent gene (cfr gene) was initially described in the year 2000 from a bovine isolate of Staphylococcus sciuri,\(^\text{51}\) it was first reported in a human in 2001 in an S. aureus isolated from a patient in Colombia.\(^\text{51}\) Since then, it has been found in several species of human pathogens, including S. aureus, E. faecalis, E. faecium and some Gram-negative bacteria.\(^\text{51}\) This gene encodes the Cfr enzyme, which is a member of the S-adenosyl-l-methionine (SAM) methylase family that also confers resistance to phenicols, lincosamides, pleuromutilins and streptogramin A.\(^\text{52,53}\) Also, cfr has been found to enhance the activities of the various mobile genetic elements (MGEs) suggesting the relative capacity of transmission of linezolid resistance soon.\(^\text{53}\)

### 3.8 Target site replacement or circumvention

In applying this strategy, bacterial evolve new targets with similar biochemical functions like the original target but are not susceptible to inhibition of antibiotics.\(^\text{54}\) This includes methicillin resistance in S. aureus due to the acquired exogenous penicillin-binding protein (PBP) and vancomycin resistance in enterococci through modifications of the peptidoglycan structure mediated by the van gene clusters.\(^\text{54}\) The microorganisms can also avoid antimicrobial action by "circuiting" the susceptible metabolic pathway resulting in an overproduced antibiotic target.\(^\text{55,56}\) A relevant example of this mechanism includes resistance to trimethoprim–sulfamethoxazole (TMP–SMX) by a “clever" bypass strategy from dihydropteroic acid synthase (DHPS) overproduction. DHPS forms dihydrofolate from para-aminobenzoic acid (inhibited by SMX), and dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) through mutations in the promoter region of the DNA encoding these enzymes.\(^\text{57,58}\) These mutations result in excessive production of enzymes, thus, “overwhelming" the ability of TMP–SMX to inhibit the folate production thereby opening the door for bacterial survival.\(^\text{57,58}\)

### 4. Pharmacodynamics drug resistance

Pharmacodynamics (PD) of the antibiotic is essentially a matter of the impact of antibiotics on the targeted pathogen.\(^\text{59}\) It is a complex relationship and very much affiliated with the pathogen susceptibility to a given antibiotic, it relates the ability of the antibiotic to reach the targeted tissue, achieving optimum plasma concentrations at the target site, and patient or host factors.\(^\text{59}\) Patients' underlying comorbidities, immune function status, renal and liver function status, and concomitant administration of drugs have a major impact on antibiotic PD—in part by affecting pharmacokinetics (PK) and in part by increasing susceptibility to colonization and bacterial infection,\(^\text{59}\) decreasing the ability to fight the bacterial infection.\(^\text{59}\)

Toxicity is also an important issue. Therefore, it is important to balance the need to administer a high enough antibiotic dose to eradicate the pathogen keeping in mind patient safety and...
5. Pharmacokinetics drug resistance

The efficiency of antimicrobial treatment could be determined by both pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics. Pharmacokinetics is the study of the bodily absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of drugs. Antibiotic resistance is relatively associated with the various mechanisms of drug absorption, distribution (transport) and elimination (metabolism and excretion). Antibiotic PK is often considered in terms of body’s effect on the drug, but physicochemical properties of these drugs must also be considered to predict its disposition. Most importantly is the relative solubility of the antimicrobial, which can impact significantly on its volume of distribution, and thus might be vital in selecting agents expected to attain adequate penetration to the site of infection. PK parameters that affect antibiotic efficacy includes;

5.1 Absorption

The ingestion of orally administered antibiotics goes through a normal route like any other oral formulation. However, this could change when permeability glycol-protein (p-gp), also known as multidrug-resistant (MDR) protein, found in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) and small intestines (primary site for epithelial absorption), is present. Co-administration of antibiotics and anticancer drugs could lead to over-expression of p-gp thereby decreasing some of these agents’ bioavailability including azithromycin hence, suboptimal plasma concentration. Therefore, antibiotics concentration within bacteria milieu might be quite low, hence encourages bacterial resistance. Genetic polymorphism and change in the body physiological state also play an important role in expressing p-gp in the GIT. Thus, causing erratic absorption of some antibiotics including actinomycin D, erythromycin, gramicidin D, rifampin, salinomycin, sparfloxacin and valinomycin.

Generally, drugs are better absorbed in the small intestine (presence of larger surface area) than in the stomach. Therefore, gastric (stomach) emptying plays a major role in plasma drug concentrations, while eating stimulates gastric acid secretion into the gastric lumen. Food, especially fatty food, delays gastric emptying, slows and lowers peak plasma concentration, and may affect the drug’s bioavailability and efficacy. Eating is a physiological process that stimulates gastric acid secretion
into the gastric lumen. Antibiotics such as penicillin G, which is unstable in gastric acid, is best administered in the fasting state (i.e., a half-hour before or 2 hours after a meal). Penicillin V is also better absorbed in the fasting state. Amoxicillin is equally well absorbed with food or in the fasting state. However, when amoxicillin combines with clavulanate, enhanced absorption of clavulanate potassium would be observed when it is administered at the start of a meal. Similarly, macrolides such as azithromycin and erythromycin have low bioavailability of about 40% that could be further lowered by food availability; these drugs are best administered in fasting state for optimum plasma concentration and to avoid drug resistance.

5.2 Distribution

To optimise dosing regimens of antibiotics, it is imperative to have a good understanding of pharmacokinetics (PK) and pharmacodynamics (PD). Drug efficacy is usually related to unbound concentrations of drug at the site of action. For antibiotics, the infection site is typically located outside plasma, and a drug should be transported across the capillary membranes to reach its target site. Disease- and drug-related factors can contribute to differential tissue distribution of these drugs, therefore, the impact of protein binding is of prime interest because the free, unbound drug concentrations at the site of action/infection are responsible for the drug’s effect.

Drug binding to protein can occur in intra- and extravascular spaces and is an important determinant of a drug’s pharmacokinetics (PK), as it will impact distribution and elimination processes. Tissue binding increases the drug fraction outside plasma and away from systemic drug elimination organs. The impact of protein binding on drug efficacy will depend on the binding limit, PK properties, and intrinsic activity of the drug. Despite adequate total plasma levels of highly protein-bound drugs, free (i.e., active) drug concentration might be less than the MIC of the pathogen, which will require the use of higher doses. The clinical significance of this phenomenon showed in vitro failure of cefonicid against S. aureus but was highly protein-bound in vivo to cure endocarditis caused by S. aureus. When the drug reaches the site of infection, local factors determine its antibacterial activity. For example, the aminoglycosides and erythromycin have decreased activity at an acidic pH, such a situation occurs in an abscess. The aminoglycosides are also less active against facultative organisms in an anaerobic environment because aminoglycosides penetration into the bacterial cells depends on an oxygen-dependent reaction. Other substances such as beta-lactamases and those that may cause enzymes deactivation, might be at the site.

5.3 Elimination

The vital organs responsible for drug elimination in the human system include; liver, kidney, bile, lung, and skin. However, the liver and the kidney are responsible for the major elimination process of the drug in the body. Drugs could be eliminated by converting them to metabolites (mainly in the liver); unchanged drugs or their metabolites are often eliminated in faeces or urine by the excretory organs, mainly the kidneys, liver, and gut. Some drugs or their metabolites that are usually excreted in bile would be reabsorbed into the bloodstream and recycled by a process called enterohepatic circulation. The aminoglycosides, tetracycline, and vancomycin are primarily excreted by glomerular filtration. More than 80% to 90% of vancomycin is often recovered unchanged in urine within 24 hours after administration of a single dose. Only free drugs in the plasma can pass through the glomerular filter, so drugs such as ceftriaxone that are highly bound to plasma protein stays longer in the body. Most fluoroquinolones are primarily eliminated by renal mechanisms and to a lesser extent, by nonrenal mechanisms, such as hepatic metabolism and transepithelial intestinal elimination. Fluoroquinolone moxifloxacin, however, is mainly eliminated by nonrenal mechanisms such as hepatic metabolism and transepithelial intestinal elimination.

Polar substances elimination is via the renal system because they are not freely diffusible across the tubular membrane and so, remain in the urine despite the concentration gradient that favours back-diffusion into the interstitial fluid. While nonpolar drugs are usually eliminated after the metabolic conversion of the drugs in the liver to more polar metabolites, which cannot diffuse out of the tubular lumen and are then excreted in the urine. Erythromycin, azithromycin, moxifloxacin, clindamycin, rifampin, nafcillin, and cefoperazone are mainly excreted by the liver into bile; about 40% dose of ceftriaxone is often eliminated by the liver bile, but when renal failure occurs, hepatic excretion increases. Doxycycline is normally eliminated by the gut.

6. Prevention of resistance

Studies show that drug levels should exceed at least 8 to 10 times the MIC to prevent potential antibiotic resistance, this could be accomplished by using single daily dosing of an aminoglycoside, using the most potent fluoroquinolone, or using high doses of a beta-lactam. In vitro and animal models of infection have identified the peak MIC ratios and free-drug 24 hour AUC/MIC ratios for fluoroquinolones capable to prevent emerging resistant subpopulations. The minimal prevention dose, which varies among bacterial species, is higher for denser bacterial populations and is often higher than the ratios required for efficacy.

6.1 Quorum sensing

With bacterial antimicrobial resistance on the rise, the search for alternative therapeutic agents remained sustainable. One
such alternative is the use of anti-virulence strategies such as quorum-sensing inhibition (QSI), which is a potentially viable treatment option for pathogenic microorganisms such as MDR *S. aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (*P. aeruginosa*). Quorum sensing (QS) simply means bacterial cell–cell communication process that involves the production, detection, and response to extracellular signalling molecules called autoinducers (AIs). Increase in bacteria population density reflects an increase in AIs in the bacteria milieu. These track changes are then monitored by microorganisms that use such information to change their cell numbers and collectively alter gene expression. QS monitors the genes that direct activities that are important when performed by groups of bacteria acting in synchrony. This controls a range of processes, that includes bioluminescence, sporulation, competence, antibiotic production, biofilm formation, and virulence factor secretion. QS inhibition focuses on targeting bacterial virulence and not survival, thus less likely to spur evolutionary moves towards resistance by bacteria.

In more than 50 Gram-negative bacterial species, QS was mediated by producing N-acyl t-homoserine lactones (AHLs) synthesized from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) and fatty acid intermediates. Acyl-homoserine lactones could be formed using acyl carrier proteins that donate the acyl chain and S-adenosyl methionine to form the homoserine lactone component. Produced by LuxI-type synthase genes, these AHL signals consist of a core N-acylated homoserine-lactone ring with an acyl side chain between 4 and 18 carbons, which can then be modified with simple acyl, 3-oxoacyl or 3-hydroxyacyl groups. N-acyl t-homoserine lactone signals are membrane-diffusible; accumulating such that they are directly proportional to cell density. A LuxR-type receptor found either within the cytoplasm or bound within the membrane binds the signals and then activated once a threshold AHL concentration has been reached. Thereafter acting as a transcriptional regulator for the previously mentioned QS-mediated genes as well as for the further production of signalling molecules, creating a positive feedback loop.

The AHL-based QS systems of Gram-negative bacteria have been the most widely considered viable targets for QSI due to their important role in regulating proteobacterial virulence factor production. In general, these agents aim to reduce bacterial virulence in common pathogens such as *P. aeruginosa* without decreasing bacterial growth, thereby minimising the selection for resistance. The host immune system should then theoretically stave off the weakened pathogens, or in the case of immune-compromised patients, a dual-therapy approach pairing QSI agents and antibiotics could be used. QS pathways in Gram-negative bacteria usually consist of three important steps: production of signals (autoinducer molecules), diffusion of signals, and recognition of signals by receptor molecules which then activate the target genes. Thus, any of these three steps, as well as QS genes transcription, may potentially be targeted to inhibit the QS pathway, either through binding or interfering with the biosynthesis of AHLs or degradation of the QS molecules themselves to reduce their concentration.

An unprecedented infection by several bacteria species associated with pulmonary infections in Cystic Fibrosis (CF) patients was reportedly shown in the literature. These include *S. aureus*, *P. aeruginosa*, *Burkholderia cepacia*, *Pandoraea* species, and a spectrum of other Gram-negative bacteria. Members of genus *Pandoraea* had shown QS activity that can communicate via AHLs production. Bioinformatics prediction of QS genes in the genomes of proteobacteria has revealed the presence of many additional orphan LuxR homologs with no LuxI homologs nearby. In addition to their widespread distributions in proteobacteria, some of these LuxR solos are phylogenetically related and several reports have provided evidence on the clustering of LuxR solos into different functionally relevant groups. An *in silico* systemic bioinformatics prediction workflow development, which is useful for LuxI and LuxR genes identification of bacterial species, has thus laid down the foundation for the use of bioinformatics as an important tool in the study of QS systems in various pathogenic organisms.

### 7. Bioinformatics strategies to limit antibiotic resistance

Bacteria resistance to an antibiotic is a serious public health concern worldwide, and has imposed selective pressure on the spread of resistance genes through the exchange of genetic material among bacterial isolates. Also, excessive use of antibiotics has changed the microbial populations of the soil, water, and microbiota. Because of relentless effort to subdue the bacteria adaptability to the effect of antibiotics, the need to cement the knowledge of antibiotic resistance and bioinformatics becomes very important. Bioinformatics is a discipline that employs a lot of computation techniques including sequence and structural alignment, analyses of large collections of biological data, such as genetic sequences, cell populations, or protein samples, to make new predictions or discover new biology. Nowadays bioinformatics techniques such as molecular docking have been used to evaluate ligand–protein interaction and also to estimate binding energy during the docking process. Bioinformatics revolutionises molecular studies to determine the protein structure, gene structure or sequence, molecular markers and relate them to other previously known structures. Bioinformatics studies have provided an important system of modelling a biological living cell (with proven DNA sequence) and docking proteins that enabled scientists to discover effective drug strategies to combat the diversifying problem of antibiotic resistance that is becoming one of the major public health challenges. Bioinformatics can be used to explore the connections between classical mathematical modelling (at different scales) and predictions of omic scope along with specific aspects of the immune system. Some commonly used software in bioinformatics include; Swiss-model (online software for homology modelling), Autodock Vina (for ligand-protein docking) Avogadro (for ligand energy minimisation) and Chimera (to prepare and view 3D docked complex), among others. In the recent time, bioinformatics strategy at preventing antibiotic resistance has evolved, this includes;
7.1 Whole genome sequencing bioinformatics strategies of curbing antibiotics resistance

MDR bacteria is one of the major threats to vulnerable patient populations in hospitals around the world.\textsuperscript{95,96} This is particularly true for the patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) because of their high level of susceptibility to nosocomial infections perhaps due to indwelling devices such as intravascular catheters, drains, and intratracheal tubes for mechanical ventilation.\textsuperscript{95} This is why clinicians advocate for effective outbreak management protocols in place, for proper management of these conditions.\textsuperscript{95} A good understanding of pathogens transmission via genotyping methods could serve as an important tool for outbreak management.\textsuperscript{95}

In recent times, whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of pathogens has become a more accessible and affordable tool for genotyping\textsuperscript{95} and plays an important role in human and agricultural research.\textsuperscript{97} Analysis of the entire bacteria genome using WGS could provide insight into related lineages of bacterial\textsuperscript{98} and can revolutionize outbreak analysis in hospitals.\textsuperscript{95} The recent development in bioinformatics has changed the understanding of clinicians and perhaps financial burden been perceived on the patients.\textsuperscript{95} Sequencing technologies and analysis tools have rapidly increased the output and analysis speed as well as reduced the overall costs of WGS.\textsuperscript{95} Genome sequencing provide a good regime for improvement in scientific research, particularly in biomolecular modelling and drug design with an emphasis on antibiotics resistance.\textsuperscript{95,99} In addition to identifying pathogens more rapidly and precisely than traditional methods, high-throughput technologies and bioinformatics can provide new insights into disease transmission, virulence, and antimicrobial resistance.\textsuperscript{99}

Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequencing provides a good platform for protein modelling and drug design. Also, remarkable progress made in genome sequencing, protein expression, high-throughput crystallography, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) has radically transformed the opportunities to use protein three-dimensional structures to accelerate drug discovery.\textsuperscript{100} Coping with eminent bacteria resistance in our environment, structural biology, and bioinformatics have assisted in lead optimisation and target identification where they have well-established roles.\textsuperscript{100} They can now contribute to lead discovery,\textsuperscript{100} exploiting high-throughput methods of structure determination that provide powerful approaches to the screening complex bacteria proteins.\textsuperscript{100} This is one of the major strategies of curbing bacteria resistance, it allows for putative relatives identification the sequences aligned, and the three-dimensional structures modelled.\textsuperscript{100} Bioinformatics is also helpful in proposing binding sites and molecular functions if key residues are nicely conserved.\textsuperscript{100}

7.2 In silico analysis of serovar, serogroup, and antigenic profile

Development of whole-genome sequencing technology takes centre stage in genotyping,\textsuperscript{101} as serovar prediction by traditional serotyping got replaced by molecular serotyping.\textsuperscript{101} An existing in silico serovar prediction approaches utilise surface antigen encoding genes\textsuperscript{101} with core genome multilocus sequence typing (MLST) and serovar-specific gene markers or DNA fragments for serotyping. However, these serovar-specific gene markers or DNA fragments can only distinguish a few serovars.\textsuperscript{101} A recent study by Zhang et al. (2019)\textsuperscript{101} designed and evaluated an in silico serovar prediction approach by screening 1089 genomes representing 106 serovars against a set of 131 serovar-specific gene markers.\textsuperscript{101} This approach was reportedly a useful diagnostic tool for culture-independent and metagenomic methods\textsuperscript{101} as well as providing the best alternative of confirming other genome-based analyses.\textsuperscript{101} This set of bioinformatics procedure is useful in identifying a specific type of gene markers and may also be useful in developing more cost-effective molecular assays designed to detect specific gene markers of the all major serovars.\textsuperscript{101}

7.3 In silico plasmid identification

Plasmids are extrachromosomal genetic elements which are also known as mobile genetic elements\textsuperscript{102} (MGEs), they play an important role in horizontal gene transfer and characterised by their ability to self-replicate and transfer between bacteria.\textsuperscript{103–105} They exist in different sizes and often are carriers of genes with selective advantage to the host under specific conditions.\textsuperscript{103–105} Plasmids are essential MGEs for the acquisition and spread of antibiotic resistance and are important in transmitting virulence traits.\textsuperscript{102}

Different types of tools are readily available for use in plasmid extraction and assembling from high throughput sequencing (HTS) data, these include: cBar,\textsuperscript{106} PLACNET,\textsuperscript{107} plasmidSPAdes,\textsuperscript{108} and Recycler.\textsuperscript{109} These tools could be used to access plasmid data by searching for specific markers or exploring the distinctive nature of plasmid sequence.\textsuperscript{106} The tools are assumptions based on relative failure because plasmid can exist in single-copy in the cell\textsuperscript{110} or linear DNA molecules.\textsuperscript{103–105} Other tools such as plasmidFinder,\textsuperscript{111} MOB-suite\textsuperscript{112} Plasmid Profiler,\textsuperscript{113} regardless of any of these methods used to reconstruct or find plasmids in HTS data,\textsuperscript{102} the user will have to struggle to interpret the list of hits and to evaluate the impact of these possible plasmids on the host bacteria.\textsuperscript{102} There appears about 13 924 entries in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) reference sequence (RefSeq) plasmid database\textsuperscript{114} with a relative lack of essential tools for accessing these huge data of plasmid sequences.\textsuperscript{102}

However, Jesus et al. (2019) described Plasmid Atlas (pATLAS)\textsuperscript{103} as intending to offer an easily accessible visual analytics tool for users to explore the existing plasmids in the NCBI’s RefSeq database and to aid in identifying plasmids from HTS data.\textsuperscript{103} pATLAS enables the visualization and exploration of the metadata associated with all plasmids available in NCBI’s RefSeq database,\textsuperscript{102} as well as their putative antibiotic resistance and virulence genes,\textsuperscript{102} and plasmid families,\textsuperscript{102} through de novo annotations based on CARD,\textsuperscript{115} ResFinder,\textsuperscript{116} Virulence Factors Database (VFDB),\textsuperscript{117} and PlasmidFinder.\textsuperscript{113} By offering a representational state transfer application programming interface (REST API), pATLAS can easily be integrated into systems that aim to identify plasmids,\textsuperscript{102} with leverage on the advantage of the database and visualization tools available in it.\textsuperscript{102}
7.4 In silico identification of antibiotic resistance genes and their association with plasmids

Bacteria resistance to antibiotics is constantly evolving\textsuperscript{118} and horizontal gene transfer through plasmids plays a crucial role.\textsuperscript{98,118} Assessment of plasmids characteristics and their association with different bacterial hosts provides a good understanding of plasmids’ contribution in transmitting antibiotic-resistant (ABR) determinants.\textsuperscript{118} Molecular identification of plasmids and strain genotypes elicits a distinction between the spread of ABR genes by plasmids and the dissemination of these genes by the spread of bacterial clones.\textsuperscript{118}

In one of the successful studies conducted by Kudirkienė et al. (2018),\textsuperscript{119} they have selected 16 plasmids carrying antimicrobial resistance genes of Salmonella enterica using whole-genome sequencing (WGS).\textsuperscript{119} The combination of PlasmidFinder,\textsuperscript{118,120} ResFinder,\textsuperscript{118,120} plasmidSPAdes, and BLAST genomic analysis tools\textsuperscript{118} were used to predict plasmids and resistance genes sites. SI-PFGE was then employed for analysis of plasmids profiles,\textsuperscript{118} whole genome sequencing confirmed antimicrobial resistance genes present in Salmonella isolates\textsuperscript{118} showing multidrug resistance phenotypically.

In S. enteritidis,\textsuperscript{119} resistance genes were predominantly carried on plasmids of IncN type, in S. Typhimurium on plasmids of IncFI(S)/IncFIB(S)/IncQ1 type. Likewise, resistance genes in S. Virchow and S. Poona were carefully detected on plasmids of IncX1 and TfrA/IncHI2/IncHI2A type, respectively. These two plasmids were therefore described for the first time in these serovars.\textsuperscript{119} The combination of genomic analytical tools allowed full mapping of the resistance plasmids in all Salmonella stains analyzed.\textsuperscript{119} Their results suggest that the improved analytical approach used in the study could be used to identify plasmids that are specifically associated with resistance phenotypes in whole-genome sequences.\textsuperscript{119} Such knowledge would allow the development of rapid multidrug resistance tracking tools in Salmonella populations using world genome sequencing.\textsuperscript{119}

7.5 Metagenomics for antimicrobial surveillance

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) surveillance has focused mainly on a few pathogens based on passive reporting of certain phenotypes from laboratory results.\textsuperscript{20} This limits the spectrum to a few selected pathogens as in the Danish Monitoring System (DANMAP) https://www.danmap.org, leading to a narrow pathogen spectrum that does not capture all relevant AMR genes.\textsuperscript{20} The majority of AMR genes might be found in the commensal bacterial flora of healthy humans and animals or the environment.\textsuperscript{20}

Metagenomics techniques use short-read next-generation sequencing data,\textsuperscript{20} with the capacity to quantify thousands of transmissible resistance genes in a single sample without any predetermined genes.\textsuperscript{20} Therefore, it can provide more information about bacterial species presence,\textsuperscript{20} pathogens, and virulence genes,\textsuperscript{20} the generated data can then be re-analysed, if novel genes of interest are identified.\textsuperscript{20} Recently, metagenomics is superior to conventional methods of AMR surveillance in pig herds,\textsuperscript{121} successfully been used for comparing AMR in livestock in Europe,\textsuperscript{122} also used in the investigations related to epidemiological data.\textsuperscript{123}

The prospect of metagenomics as a tool for AMR surveillance in the future is quite bright because of its enormous advantage,\textsuperscript{20} one of which involves direct application on samples from healthy and clinically ill individuals and animals as well as the potential reservoir.\textsuperscript{20} This might result in the ultimate one goal surveillance of AMR allowing determination of all resistance genes and their context in all reservoirs.\textsuperscript{20}

7.6 Use of comprehensive antibiotic resistance database

The Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD; https://card.mcmaster.ca) is a rigorously curated resource providing reference DNA and protein sequences, detection models, and bioinformatics tools on the molecular basis of bacterial antimicrobial resistance (AMR).\textsuperscript{124,125} CARD is used to focus on providing high-quality reference data and molecular sequences within a controlled vocabulary. The Antibiotic Resistance Ontology (ARO), designed by the CARD biocuration team to integrate with software development efforts for resistance analysis and prediction includes CARD’s Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI) software.\textsuperscript{124} In 2017, the use of CARD expanded through extensive curation of reference sequences, revision of the ontological structure, curation of over 500 new AMR detection models, development of a new classification paradigm and expansion of analytical tools.\textsuperscript{124} Most importantly is the available new module (Resistomes & Variants) that provides analysis and statistical summary of in silico predicted resistance variants from 82 pathogens and over 100 000 genomes.\textsuperscript{124} By adding these resistance variants to CARD, predicted resistance could be summarized using the information included in CARD, identify trends in AMR mobility and determine previously undescribed and novel resistance variants.\textsuperscript{124}

A good number of resistance gene prediction tools are available, this includes Resfams\textsuperscript{126} and ARG-ANNOT;\textsuperscript{127} relative to RGI these provide a certain level of detection of individual components of resistance.\textsuperscript{127} This approach has proven to have several limitations, however, bioinformatics approaches are often required for analysis of genome sequence that is tolerant of missing data such as unsampled sequence while simultaneously replacing simple sequence similarity with total evidence when predicting antibiogram.\textsuperscript{125} One possible way is the development of Probabilistic Graphic Models (PGMs), which are a mathematical framework for incorporating uncertainty and probability when making predictions from limited or noisy data\textsuperscript{128} and that has proven very successful in genomics research, particularly in the analysis of regulatory networks, genetic association studies, and genetic architecture of complex diseases.\textsuperscript{125}

7.7 Use of machine learning tools to predict antibiotic resistance

In a study conducted by Moradigaravand et al. (2018), a machine learning tool was intentionally used to predict antibiotic resistance in Escherichia coli from large-scale pan-genome data.\textsuperscript{129} In this study, antibiotic resistance detection was typically made by measuring a few known determinants previously identified from...
genome sequencing, this requires the prior knowledge of its biological mechanisms. To overcome this limitation, machine learning models were then used to predict resistance to 11 compounds across four classes of antibiotics from existing and novel whole-genome sequences of 1936 E. coli strains. They considered a range of methods and examined population structure, isolation year, gene content, and polymorphism information as predictors. In the end, decision trees with gradient boosted provides better results compared to alternative models with an average accuracy of 0.91 on held-out data (range 0.81–0.97). While the best models most frequently employed gene content, an average accuracy score of 0.79 could be obtained using population structure information alone. Although, single nucleotide variation data were less useful, and significantly improved prediction only for two antibiotics, including ciprofloxacin. The result from the study demonstrate that whole-genome sequences could be used to predict antibiotic resistance in E. coli without a priori knowledge of the mechanisms and that both genomic and epidemiological data could be informative. This paves the way for integrating machine learning approaches into diagnostic tools in the clinic.

8. Conclusion

Since the discovery of penicillin by Alexander Fleming in 1924, a great deal of research is available from in silico (in vitro) to in vivo attempting to improve on the therapeutic outcome and perhaps reduce the incidences of bacterial resistance to antibiotics. Most bacteria were initially assumed as commensal organisms and were consider not offending to the human system. This is contrary to the current scientific evidence where disease-causing bacteria are identified alongside the use of drugs in treating disease conditions. Despite the challenges emanating from the clinical use of antibiotics, there is a growing use of these molecules in bacterial infection treatment. However, several resistance strains have evolved and threatened the effectiveness of most antibiotics in the clinical management of disease conditions.

Molecular understanding of bacterial existence and its activities is key in preventing bacterial resistance. In recent times, bioinformatics has played a vital role in drug discovery, gene sequencing, gene alignment, and genera proteomics study. Adequate knowledge on the process of bacterial resistance can precipitate the bioinformatics approach needed to unravel such resistance cases. Investigation of which is in continuum to explore different bioinformatics tools leveraging on the available fundamental understanding of the bacterial system at the molecular level. Hopefully, this method would enable to curb bacteria resistance and potentially lead to an improved therapeutic outcome in treating bacterial infections.

Abbreviations and meanings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAC</td>
<td>Acetyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABR</td>
<td>Antibiotics resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMLs</td>
<td>Acyl l-homoserine lactones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMEs</td>
<td>Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>Adenyltransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APH</td>
<td>Phosphotransferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARO</td>
<td>Antibiotic resistance ontology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>Area under curve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD</td>
<td>Comprehensive antibiotic resistance database</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATs</td>
<td>Chloramphenicol acetyltransferases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Cystic fibrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cfr gene</td>
<td>Plasmid-borne determinant gene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANMAP</td>
<td>Danish monitoring system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHFR</td>
<td>Dihydrofolate reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHPS</td>
<td>Dihydropyrimidinase synthase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA</td>
<td>Deoxyribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Escherichia coli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF-G</td>
<td>Elongation factor G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF-Tu</td>
<td>Elongation factor Tu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FusB</td>
<td>Fusidic acid B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FusC</td>
<td>Fusidic acid C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTPases</td>
<td>Guanosine triphosphatase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTS</td>
<td>High throughput sequencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>Intensive care unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>Methicillin-resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDR</td>
<td>Multidrug-resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meca</td>
<td>Staphylococcal cassette chromosome mef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mef</td>
<td>Macrolide efflux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEGA</td>
<td>Macrolide efflux genetic assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGEs</td>
<td>Mobile genetic elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>Minimum inhibitory concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC</td>
<td>Minimum inhibitory concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLSb</td>
<td>Macrolides, lincosamides, streptogramines B-resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLST</td>
<td>Multilocus sequence typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPC</td>
<td>Mutant prevention concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRSA</td>
<td>Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCBI’s</td>
<td>National centre for biotechnology information’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMR</td>
<td>Nuclear magnetic resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-gp</td>
<td>Permeability glycol-protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAE</td>
<td>Post antibiotic effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pATLAS</td>
<td>Plasmid atlas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBP</td>
<td>Penicillin-binding proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Pharmacodynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Potentiality of hydrogen ion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PK</td>
<td>Pharmacokinetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qnr</td>
<td>A plasmid-encoded and chromosomally determined protein that protects DNA gyrase and topoisomerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QS</td>
<td>Quorum-sensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QSI</td>
<td>Quorum-sensing inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RefSeq</td>
<td>Reference sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REST API</td>
<td>Representational state transfer application programming interface</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIF</td>
<td>Rifampin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIFMO</td>
<td>Rifampicin monoxygenase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAPs</td>
<td>Ribonucleic acid proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RpoB</td>
<td>β subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRNA</td>
<td>Ribosomal ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Poona</td>
<td>Salmonella Poona</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Virchow</td>
<td>Salmonella Virchow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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