RSC Advances



REVIEW

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2020, 10, 13669

An overview of the chemical constituents from the genus *Delphinium* reported in the last four decades†

Tianpeng Yin, obab Le Cai*b and Zhongtao Ding b*b

Species of the genus *Delphinium* have been extensively used for different purposes by various civilizations worldwide since antiquity. Phytochemical investigations on *Delphinium* plants in the last four decades (1980–2019) have afforded a total of 453 new compounds, most of which are diterpenoid alkaloids. These constituents are of great research significance due to their novel structures and broad bioactivities. This review addresses, for the first time, the chemical constituents of *Delphinium* plants and the biological properties of these compounds to facilitate future research.

Received 27th January 2020 Accepted 24th March 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra00813c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The genus *Delphinium* (Larkspur), an important member of the family Ranunculaceae, comprises approximately 365 species, which are distributed mainly in northern temperate regions, including in Asia, Europe, and North America.¹ There are also a few species growing in North Africa, such as *D. cossonianum* and *D. staphisagria* in Morocco,²,³ *D. macrocentrum* in Kenya,⁴ and *D. leroyi* in Ethiopia.⁵ Notably, among the 365 *Delphinium* species, 232 (200 endemic) have been found in China.⁶ *Delphinium* plants prefer cool and moist conditions and mainly grow in alpine-cold regions, such as the Hengduan Mountains region in Southwest China, which is the most important centre of diversity and speciation of this genus, as at least 167 *Delphinium* species have been found in this region.

Delphinium plants have been extensively used for different purposes by various civilizations worldwide since antiquity. Delphinium plants feature various coloured flowers ranging from white, yellow, and red to blue, and they have been cultivated as horticultural plants in Europe since the 17th century. Currently, Delphinium plants are one of the most famous and popular horticultural plants around the world, and thousands of ornamental varieties of Delphinium have been cultivated and applied widely in bonsai, gardens, and greenbelts. Delphinium flowers are also an important source of natural dyes; for

Since the end of the 18th century, the chemical constituents in *Delphinium* plants have been investigated. Several earlier studies have attempted to isolate anthocyanin pigments from *Delphinium* flowers, and the first anthocyanin (delphinin) was identified from *D. consolida* in 1915 by Willstätter *et al.*¹⁰ At almost the same time, research on *Delphinium* alkaloids, mainly the diterpenoid alkaloid (DA) components, was also conducted.¹¹ The DAs in *Delphinium* plants have attracted the attention of scientists for a long time, and most studies on these plants have been devoted to the DA components. In addition, the non-alkaloidal constituents of *Delphinium* plants have also been

example, yellow dye for silk has been extracted from D. zalil flowers for a long period of time in Iran and India.7 In addition, Delphinium plants are traditionally used as herbal pesticides against lice and scorpions since the time of Dioscorides (in the 1st century A.D.), approximately two thousand years ago.8 During the battle at Waterloo, the British army also used the powders of D. staphisagra and D. peregrinum to prevent and kill lice.9 In China, there are five Delphinium species, namely, D. grandiflorum, D. albocoeruleum var. przewalskii, D. chefoense, D. korshinskyanum, and D. likiangense, that have been used to kill the larvae of mosquito, lice, and flies.6 Most importantly, for centuries, plants of this genus, mainly their tubers and roots, have been extensively used as herbal medicines-in Turkey to treat epilepsy, tetanus, rabies, and emesis; in Iran to treat disorders of the spleen, jaundice, and dropsy; and in Nepal to treat fever and wounds.^{7,8} In China, Delphinium plants have a long history as folk medicines for the treatment of many kinds of diseases, such as traumatic injury, rheumatism, enteritis, influenza, oedema, asthma, ringworm, scabies and other skin diseases, as well as stomach ache, migraine, tooth ache, neuralgia, and other kinds of pain. At least 18 species of Delphinium have been used medically in Chinese traditional medicine (TCM) because of their unique and proven therapeutic effects.6

[&]quot;Zhuhai Key Laboratory of Fundamental and Applied Research in Traditional Chinese Medicine, Department of Bioengineering, Zhuhai Campus of Zunyi Medical University, Zhuhai 519041. China

^bFunctional Molecules Analysis and Biotransformation Key Laboratory of Universities in Yunnan Province, Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resource, Ministry of Education, School of Chemical Science and Technology, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, Kunming 650091, China. E-mail: ztding@ynu.edu.cn; caile@ynu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ra00813c

RSC Advances Review

studied. To date, thousands of components with diverse chemical structures, including alkaloids, flavonoids and other phenolic compounds, fatty acids, terpenoids and steroids, have been isolated from *Delphinium* plants. These constituents offer novel structures and broad and impressive biological activities, including antioxidant, antiparasitic, antiphlogistic, antineoplastic, and immunoregulatory effects.

Several review articles and monographs regarding the distribution and physiological and NMR spectroscopic data of naturally occurring DAs, which have mainly been isolated from *Delphinium* and its sibling genera *Aconitum* and *Consolida*, have been published.¹²⁻¹⁵ However, to date, there has been no individual and comprehensive review of the chemical constituents of the *Delphinium* genus. Therefore, this review was prepared to summarize the structural features and biological activities of the chemical constituents from *Delphinium* species for the first time. The aim of this review is to provide a complete overview of the chemical constituents of the *Delphinium* genus reported in the last four decades (from 1980 to 2019), which will facilitate further research and exploitation of this genus.

2. Alkaloids

In addition to the genera *Aconitum* and *Consolida*, *Delphinium* is a genus in the Ranunculaceae family that is well known for its characteristic DA components. 16,17 DAs are clearly the major constituents of *Delphinium* plants, and most of the published articles are devoted to DA components. In the past forty years, a large number of biologically active and structurally complex DAs have been isolated from various species of *Delphinium*. Table $1S^{\dagger}$ lists the names, plant sources, types, and the references of the new DAs isolated from *Delphinium* plants in the last four decades. Structurally, DAs are usually classified as C_{18}^{-} , C_{19}^{-} , or C_{20}^{-} DAs, which can be further divided into several to dozens of subtypes. Fig. 1 shows the fourteen subtypes of DAs that have been found in *Delphinium* plants in the last four decades. Herein, the new DAs as well as other alkaloids isolated from *Delphinium* plants are summarized by category.

2.1 C₁₈-Diterpenoid alkaloids

The C_{18} -DAs, also called "bisnorditerpenoid alkaloids", are a small sub-group of DAs. ¹⁸ Compared with C_{19} -DAs, C_{18} -DAs are distinguished by the absence of C-18, and their C-4 moiety is a methine or an oxygenated quaternary carbon. C_{18} -DAs can be classified into two subtypes based on whether an oxygencontaining functionality is attached at C-7, namely, lappaconitine-type compounds (A-2), which do not possess an oxygen-containing functionality at C-7, and ranaconitine-type compounds (A-1), which do have an oxygen-containing functionality at this position.

To the best of our knowledge, only 23 new C₁₈-DAs from *Delphinium* plant have been reported in the last four decades, and they were obtained from 7 different species (Fig. 2). Most of these compounds are ranaconitine-type C₁₈-DAs, with the exception of giraldine I (21) from *D. giraldii*, delphicrispuline (22) from *D. crispulum*, and naviconine (23) from *D. naviculare*

Fig. 1 Subtypes of DAs covered in this review.

var. lasiocarpum,21 which are lappaconitine-type compounds. Sixteen ranaconitine-type C₁₈-DAs possessing a 7,8-methylenedioxy group were reported, and these compounds are anthriscifolcones A and B (1 and 2) and anthriscifoltines A-G (3-9) from D. anthriscifolium var. majus, 22-24 and anthriscifolcines A-G (10-16) from D. anthriscifolium var. savatieri. 25,26 Most of them contain a 10-OH substituent, and the exceptions are alkaloids 10-11 and 16. Three of the ranaconitine-type C₁₈-DAs, namely, delboxine (18) from D. bonvalotii,27 14-demethyltuguaconitine (19) from D. stapeliosum,28 and tiantaishansine (20) from D. tiantaishanense,29 contain a 3,4-epoxide unit. Giraldine I (21) was characterized by the lack of an oxygenated substituent at C-16.19 Alkaloids delphicrispuline (22) and naviconine (23) possess an anthranoyl group at C-18,20 and 23 features an N-CHO formamide group instead of an N-ethyl group.21

2.2 C₁₉-Diterpenoid alkaloids

The majority of naturally occurring DAs are C_{19} -DAs, and they are usually regarded as the representative type of DAs. In-depth investigations of C_{19} -DAs in chemical and pharmacological fields have been carried, and more information is available on

Review

OMe R_2 .OMe OMe OMe OH

Fig. 2 C₁₈-DAs from *Delphinium* plants

these compounds than on C18- or C20-DAs. According to their molecular skeletons, C19-DAs can be divided into six types, namely, lycaconitines (B-1), aconitines (B-2), lactones (B-3), 7,17-seco derivatives (B-4), rearranged compounds (B-5), and pyro derivatives. In the last four decades, a total of 299 new C₁₉-DAs belonging to these five types have been isolated from Delphinium plants.

2.2.1 Lycaconitines. In *Delphinium* plants, lycaconitines are the most common type of C₁₉-DAs. A total of 232 new lycaconitine-type C₁₉-DAs were isolated from *Delphinium* plants in the last four decades. The lycaconitine-type C₁₉-DAs are characterized by the presence of an oxygenated group at C-7, and it is usually a 7-OH or a 7,8-methylenedioxy group. Based on the state of the N-atom, lycaconitine-type C₁₉-DAs can be further divided into four subtypes, namely, the N,O-mixed acetal sub-type, the amide sub-type, the imine and quaternary ammonium sub-type, and the amine sub-type.14

Fig. 3 Lycaconitines with mixed acetal unit from Delphinium plants.

Fig. 4 Amide lycaconitines from Delphinium plants.




Fig. 5 Imine lycaconitines from *Delphinium* plants.

Fig. 6 Amine lycaconitines with 7,8-methylenedioxy group from *Delphinium* plants.

In the last four decades, only seven lycaconitine-type DAs (24–30) with an $N-C_{(19)}-O-C_{(1)}$ mixed acetal unit were found (Fig. 3). Among them, graciline (28) and 8-*O*-cinnamoylgraciline




Fig. 6 (contd.)

(29) are characterized by the absence of an oxygenated group at C-16,³⁰ and alkaloid 29 features a cinnamoyl unit at C-8.² In addition, laxicymine (24) from *D. laxicymosum* var. *pilostachyum* has a rare 5-OH group,³¹ and grandifloricine (30) from *D. grandiflorum* contains a ketone carbonyl at C-14 along with an *N*-(succinimido)anthranoyl group at C-18.³²

Twenty new amide lycaconitines were reported in the studied period (Fig. 4). Alkaloids **31–38** contain an N– $C_{(19)}$ =O lactam group, which might be formed by the carbonylation of 19-OH. ^{33–37} Budelphine (**36**) from *D. buschianum* possesses a rare 1,2-epoxy group. ³⁶ There are 12 alkaloids (**39–50**) with an N–CHO formamide group formed from a C-21 aldehyde. ^{4,38–45} Among these compounds, alkaloids **41–45** are DAs that have no oxygen-containing group at C-16. ^{4,39–41} N-Formyl-4,19-secopacinine (**51**) ⁴⁵ and N-formyl-4,19-secoyunnadelphinine (**52**) ³⁸ from D. *elatum* contain another kind of formamide, which is formed from C-19 aldehydes.

Sixteen lycaconitine-type DAs with an imine group at C-19 were isolated from Delphinium plants (Fig. 5). Nine of these DAs (53-61) contain a 7,8-methylenedioxy group, 29,45-51 and of these, caerunine (60) from D. caeruleum possesses a 9-OH group. 50 Another five imine DAs (64-68) contain a 7,8-diol group along with an anthranoyl group at C-18.7,37,52-54 In addition, orthocentrine (63) from D. orthocentrum possesses an 8-OMe moiety along with a 10-OH group.55 Eight quaternary ammonium bases, including pseudorenines A and B (69 and 70), and pseudophnines A-D (71, 73-74, and 76) from D. pseudoaemulans, 48 and sharwuphinine B (72) from D. shawurense, 56 naviculine (75) from D. naviculare var. lasiocarpum21 were reported, although these might be artefacts of the extraction and isolation procedure. Sharwuphinine A (76) from D. shawurense⁵⁷ and chrysotrichumine A (77) from D. chrysotrichum58 are both alkaloids with a nitrone group between C-17 and C-19.

A total of 177 new amine lycaconitine-type C₁₉-DAs have been reported, and they can be subdivided into three groups

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 03 April 2020. Downloaded on 12/21/2025 10:36:20 PM

Review

RSC Advances

according to their oxygenated substituents at C-7 and C-18, namely, the 7,8-methylenedioxy group, the 7-OH group, and the 7-OH/18-anthranoyl group.

Seventy-six new lycaconitine-type alkaloids with a 7,8methylenedioxy unit have been reported in the last four decades (Fig. 6). Among these alkaloids, eight (79-86) contain a $\Delta^{2,3}$ group, including siwanines A-D (79-82) from

Fig. 7 Amine lycaconitines with 7-OH group from *Delphinium* plants.

OMe

OMe

OMe

OH

OH

D. siwanense var. leptogen, 59 siwanine E and F (82 and 83) from D. siwanense, 60 deacetylswinanine A (85) from D. orthocentrum, 55 and tatsiensine (86) from D. tatsienense. 61 Notably, iliensine A (90) from D. iliense features a 4-O-β-D-glucosecinnamate ester, making it the first example of a natural DA containing a glucose moiety. 62 Pseudouridine B (87) from D. pseudoaemulans possesses a rare acetonyl group at C-19.48 The remaining alkaloids contain only common oxygenated groups, such as OH, =O, OMe, and OAc groups, while OMeBu (2-methylbutyryl) and OiBu (isobutyryl) groups are less common. In most cases, these oxygenated groups are located at C-1, C-6, C-14, and C-18. There are also a small number of alkaloids with oxygenated groups at C-5, C-9, and C-10. Most of them possess a 16-OMe group, and some have a 16-OH substituent; delretine (146) from D. retropilosum has a rare 16-OAc.63

Fifty new amine lycaconitines possessing a 7-OH group were reported in the past forty years (Fig. 7). Eleven alkaloids (154-**164**) containing a $\Delta^{2,3}$ group were reported, ^{26,64-68} and among these, majusine D (164) from D. majus possesses a novel 3-(cyclononyloxy)propanoate ester group at C-14.69 Alkaloids 192 and 193, a pair of isomers from D. cardiopetalum, possess cisand trans-cinnamoyl groups at C-14, respectively.70 Gracinine (168) from D. gracile has a hydroxyl group at C-10, which is an infrequently substituted position.71 Pergilone (166) and delphiperegrine (165) from D. peregrinum uniquely feature a methoxy group at C-7.72

Fifty-two new DAs belonging to the 7-OH/18-anthranoyl group were reported (Fig. 8). These alkaloids are substituted with anthranilic acid derivatives at C-18. Amidogens are usually substituted by succinyl or methyl-succinyl groups or other amide side chains, which might be formed by the breakage of succinyl or methyl-succinyl groups. Ajanine (208) from D. ajacis possesses a 2-hydroxyl-2-methylbutyroyle ester chain at C-14,73 and alpinine (219) from D. alpinum possesses a propionyl group at C-14.74

2.2.2 Aconitines. Although aconitine-type C₁₉-DAs represent the most common naturally occurring DAs, the number of these DAs reported from *Delphinium* plants is much lower than the number of lycaconitine-type compounds. In the last four decades, only 62 new aconitine-type C₁₉-DAs from Delphinium plants were reported (Fig. 9). Several alkaloids possessed at least one uncommon substituent. For example, alkaloids 262, 263 and 264 possess $\Delta^{1,2}$, $\Delta^{2,3}$ and $\Delta^{5,6}$ groups, 75-77 respectively, and alkaloids 256-260 possess an $N-C_{(19)}-O-C_{(1)}$ mixed acetal unit.78-82 Staphisadrine (267) from D. staphisagria features an aldehyde at C-18,83 and peregrinine (261) from D. peregrinum var. elongatum has an $N=C_{(19)}$ imine. 82 Alkaloids 261 and 262 contain a β-oriented OAc group at C-6.75,82 The other alkaloids mainly vary in the quantity, position and orientation of common oxygenated substituents, including OH, OMe and OAc. Most of the oxygenated substituents are located at C-1, C-6, C-8, C-16, and C-19. Alkaloids 269-270 and 266 have a hydroxyl group at C-10,75,84,85 which is a rare substitution pattern. Generally, aconitine-type DAs have a 16-OMe moiety, but cardiopetaline (290) from D. cardiopetalum and souline (297) from D. souliei are exceptions to this statement, as they lack this

OMe

αΟΜε

202

203 OH

OMe

	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R ₅	R ₆	R ₈	R ₉	R ₇
204	OMe	OMe	OH	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH₂CH(Me)COOMe
205	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	н	н	NHCOCH(Me)Et
206	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me) ₂
207	OMe	OMe	ОН	OAc	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)Et
208	OMe	OMe	ОН	OCOC(Me)(OH)Et	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOMe
209	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH₂COOH
210	OMe	OMe	ОН	ОН	OMe	Et	Н	ОН	NH ₂
211	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	ОН	NH ₂
212	OMe	OMe	ОН	0	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
213	ОН	OMe	ОН	ОН	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
214	ОН	OMe	ОН	OMe	ОН	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
215	ОН	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
216	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ CONH ₂
217	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)CONH ₂
218	ОН	OMe	ОН	ОН	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH₃
219	OMe	OMe	OEt	OCOEt	OMe	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
220	OMe	OMe	ОН	OiBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	Suc
221	OMe	OMe	ОН	OiBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH₃
222	OMe	OMe	ОН	OAc	OMe	Et	Н	Н	Suc
223	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	Н	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
224	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMeBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
225	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Н	Н	Н	NH ₂
226	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
227	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)CONH ₂
228	OMe	OMe	ОН	OiBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ CONH ₂
229	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMeBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ CONH ₂
230	OMe	OMe	ОН	OiBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
231	OMe	OMe	ОН	ОН	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)CONH ₂
232	OMe	OMe	ОН	OiBu	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)CONH ₂
233	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OAc	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
234	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	ОН	Н	MeSuc
235	ОН	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
236	OMe	OMe	ОН	ОН	OAc	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
237	OMe	OMe	OH	OAc	OAc	Et	H	H	MeSuc
238	OMe	OMe	OH	OMe	ОН	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
239	OMe	OMe	OH	OMe	Н	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ COOMe
240	OMe	OMe	OH	OMe	Н	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH₂CH(Me)COOMe
241	OMe	OMe	OH	OAc	OAc	Et	H	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH(Me)CONH ₂
242	OMe	OMe	OH	OAc	OAc	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ CONH ₂
243	OMe	OMe	OH	OAc	OAc	Et Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH₂CH(Me)COOH
244 245	OMe OMe	OMe OMe	OH OH	OH OMe	OMe OMe	Εt	H H	H H	NHCOMe
246	OMe	OH	OAc	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ COO(CH ₂) ₃ Me Suc
247	OH	OMe	OMe	O	OMe	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
248	ОМе	OMe	OH	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NHCOCH ₂ CH ₂ COOMe
249	OMe	OMe	ОН	O	OMe	Et	Н	Н	MeSuc
250	OH	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	Et	н	н	NH ₂
251	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	OMe	Et	Н	Н	NH ₂
252	OMe	OMe	OH	OAc	OMe	Et	н	н	NHCOCH ₂ (Me)COOMe
253	OMe	OMe	ОН	OH	OMe	Et	н	н	MeSuc
254	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	н	н	NHCOCH(Me)CH ₂ COOMe
255	OMe	OMe	ОН	OMe	OMe	Н	Н	Н	NH ₂

Fig. 8 Lycaconitines with 7-OH/18-anthranoyl group from Delphinium plants.

group at C-16. 81,86 Moreover, delstaphisine (309) from *D. staphisagria* has a 16-OH group, 87 and staphisadrinine (291) from *D. staphisagria* features a ketone carbonyl at C-16. 83

2.2.3 Lactone-, rearranged- and 7,17-seco-type compounds. The other types of C_{19} -DAs are rare (Fig. 10). Two new lactone-type C_{19} -DAs, namely, 8-acetylheterophyllisine (319) from D.

 $_{\bullet}R_7$

Fig. 9 Aconitines from Delphinium plants

denudatum⁸⁸ and souline B (318) from *D. souliei*,⁸⁹ both featuring a hexanolactone C ring, were reported. In addition, two rearranged C₁₉-DAs, grandiflodine B (320) and yunnanenseine A (321), were isolated from *D. grandiflorum* and *D. yunnanense*, respectively.^{90,91} Yunnanenseine A (321) is a typical acoseptine-type rearranged C₁₉-DA in which its $C_{(7)}$ - $C_{(17)}$ bond was rearranged to a $C_{(8)}$ - $C_{(17)}$ bond, forming an additional ketone at C-7. Grandiflodine B (320) features an unusual lycoctonine-type C₁₉-DA skeleton generated *via* cleavage of the N- $C_{(19)}$ and $C_{(7)}$ - $C_{(17)}$ bonds and the construction of a N- $C_{(7)}$ bond. Leueandine (322) is the only 7,17-seco-type C₁₉-DA from a *Delphinium* plant, and it possesses a franchetine-type skeleton with a cinnamoyl group at C-14.⁹²

2.3 C₂₀-Diterpenoid alkaloids

Although C_{20} -type DAs account for a relatively small proportion of DAs in terms of quantity, they are much more structurally diverse than C_{19} -type DAs. The skeletons of C_{20} -DAs are fairly complex, and more than 20 subtypes have been defined.⁹³ As listed in Table 1S,† approximately 89 new alkaloids belonging to seven of the subtypes of C_{20} -DAs were isolated from *Delphinium* plants in the last four decades.

Hetisine-type C_{20} -DAs (C-1), which are characterized by a heptacyclic system with an N- $C_{(6)}$ bond, constitute the majority of the new C_{20} -DAs from *Delphinium* plants. A total of 56 new hetisines were obtained from the *Delphinium* species (Fig. 11 and 12). These alkaloids vary mainly in the variety, quantity, position and orientation of their oxygenated substituents, including

			_	~······/	/		
		ļ		<i>_}``</i> ''' ,	′R₄		
		Rail -I		\\	•		
		1,6		'√\ _{R₃}			
		2-) <u>.</u>	3			
		F	χ_5^{\prime}				
	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R₄	R ₅	R_6	R ₇
280	OMe	OAc	OMe	OH	Н	Et	OMe
281	ОН	β OH	OMe	OAc	OMe	Et	OMe
282	ОН	OMe	OMe	OH	ОН	Et	OMe
283	ОН	Н	OMe	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
284	OMe	OAc	OMe	OAc	Н	Et	OMe
285	OMe	OAc	OMe	OMe	Н	Et	OMe
286	ОН	Н	Н	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
287	OMe	OMe	ОН	OH	Н	Et	OMe
288	OAc	β OH	OAc	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
289	ОН	Н	OAc	OAc	OMe	Et	OMe
290	ОН	Н	ОН	ОН	Н	Et	Н
291	ОН	OMe	ОН	ОН	OMe	Et	0
292	OMe	OAc	OMe	OBz	Н	Et	OMe
293	OMe	0	OMe	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
294	OMe	ОН	ОН	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
295	ОН	β OH	OMe	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
296	OMe	Н	OMe	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
297	OMe	Н	ОН	ОН	Н	Et	Н
298	ОН	Н	ОН	OAc	Н	Et	OMe
299	OMe	OAc	OMe	OMe	Н	Н	OMe
300	OMe	OAc	OMe	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
301	ОН	β OAc	ОН	ОН	Н	Et	OMe
302	ОН	β OMe	ОН	OAc	ОН	Et	OMe
303	ОН	β OMe	ОН	ОН	ОН	Et	OMe
304	OMe	β OMe	ОН	ОН	ОН	Et	OMe
305	ОН	β OH	ОН	OAc	Н	Et	OMe
306	ОН	Н	ОН	OMe	OMe	Et	OMe
307	ОН	β OH	OAc	OAc	OMe	Et	OMe
308	OAc	β OMe	OAc	OAc	OMe	Et	OMe
309	ОН	OMe	OAc	OAc	OMe	Et	ОН
310	ОН	OMe	OAc	OAc	ОН	Et	OMe
311	ОН	OMe	ОН	OAc	OMe	Et	OMe
312	ОН	OMe	ОН	ОН	ОН	Et	OMe
313	ОН	β OMe	OAc	OAc	OMe	Ме	OMe
314	OMe	OMe	OAc	OBz	Н	Me	OMe
315	ОН	Н	ОН	OAc	Н	Et	OMe

Fig. 9 (contd.)

316

317

вон

hydroxyl, acetyl, benzoyl, isobutyryl, 2-methylbutyryl, ketone and carbonyl groups. Anthriscifolmine J (330) from D. anthriscifolium var. savatieri features a unique 2-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoyloxy group at C-3 along with a formyloxy group at C-13,94 and grandiflodine A (324) from D. grandiflorum has a rare cyano group at C-18.90 14-Hydroxyhetisinone N-oxide (327) from D. gracile is a rare hetisine-type N-oxide, 95 and delatisine (326) from D. elatum possesses an N-C₍₁₉₎-O-C₍₂₎ mixed acetal unit.96 In addition, several structurally novel hetisine-type C20-DAs were reported. Anthriscifolsine A (325) from *D. anthriscifolium* var. *majus* features a seco C ring generated through unprecedented C(11)-C(12) bond cleavage in the hetisine skeleton.97 The N-C(17) bond in grandiflodine A (324) can be cleaved, forming an additional ketone carbonyl at C-17.90 Leptanine (323), which was isolated from D. leptocarpum, is a dimeric alkaloid consisting of a hetisine-type C₂₀-DA part and an indolinonepyrrole fragment. According to X-ray

OH

ОН

OAc

BOAc

OMe

OMe

Et

Fig. 10 Lactone-, 7,17-seco-, and rearranged-type DAs from *Delphinium* plants.

crystal structure analysis, the indolinonepyrrole fragment was bound to the hetisine-type C_{20} -DA part through an α -directed (relative to the indoline core) $C_{(17)}$ - $C_{(3')}$ covalent bond.⁹⁸

Vakognavine-type C_{20} -DAs (C-2) have an N- $C_{(19)}$ seco hetisine skeleton in addition to a formyl group at C-4. During the past forty years, 17 new vakognavines were isolated from 6 *Delphinium* species (Fig. 13). Generally, vakognavine-type C_{20} -DAs seldom have a $C_{(15)}$ = $C_{(16)}$ bond, but anthriscifolmines G (393) and H (392) from *D. anthriscifolium* var. *savatieri* are exceptions to this statement.⁹⁹ In addition, anthriscifolmines E-H (390–393) feature a rare formyloxy group at C-11 along with a unique 2-hydroxy-2-methylpropanoyloxy group or a 2-methylpropanoyloxy group at C-13.⁹⁹

Atisine-type C_{20} -DAs (C-4) have always been regarded as the biosynthetic precursors of the other C_{20} -DAs, possess a relatively simple pentacyclic framework. Twelve atisines from seven *Delphinium* species were reported (Fig. 13). Structurally, isoazitine (**404**) and 13-(2-methylbutyryl)azitine (**406**) each possess an azomethine between *N* and C-19 or C-17.^{3,100} Uncinatine (**414**) from *D. uncinatum* bears an uncommon *N*-CH=CHOH group.¹⁰¹ Delphatisine A (**412**)¹⁰² and delphatisine D (**413**)⁵⁸ possess oxazolidine rings formed by a carbinolamine ether linkage between C-20 and C-17 or C-19, respectively. Delphatisines B (**411**) and C (**410**) feature a γ -lactone-fused oxazolidine ring.¹⁰³ The γ -lactone ring in honatisine (**403**) was open, forming an extra carboxylic acid group at C-22. In addition, a unique 1',3',5'-trimethyl-4'-oxocyclohexyloxy unit was substituted at C-24.¹⁰⁴

Other subtypes of C₂₀-DAs were also reported. Six new hetidine-type C₂₀-DAs (C-3), including anthriscifolmine I (396) from *D. anthriscifolium* var. *savatieri*, ⁹⁴ carduchoron (398) and delcarduchol (399) from *D. carduchorum*, ¹⁰⁵ macrocentrine (401) from *D. macrocentrum*, ⁴ cardionidine (402) from *D. cardiopetalum*, ¹⁰⁶ and 2-dehydrodeacetylheterophylloidine (400) from *D. pentagynum*, ⁵² were acquired. Among these alkaloids, cardionidine (402) features an anhydride function in its B

Fig. 11 Hetisine type C₂₀-DAs from *Delphinium* plants.

ring.¹⁰⁶ Three denudatine-type DAs (C-5), including anthrisci-folmines A (**416**) and B (**415**) from *D. anthriscifolium* var. *savatieri*, which possess a 16,17-epoxy group and a butyryl group at C-13,¹⁰⁷ and cordizine (**417**) from *D. corymbosum*, which possesses a CH₃-17 β angular methyl group, were reported.¹⁰⁸ Moreover, a napelline-type C₂₀-DA (C-6), norsongoramine (**418**), with an *N*-C₍₁₉₎-*O*-C₍₁₎ mixed acetal unit was obtained from *D. tamarae*,¹⁰⁹ and a delnudine-type C₂₀-DA (C-7), trichodelphinine F (**419**), possessing a rare phenylacetyl group at C-2, was isolated from *D. trichophorum*.¹¹⁰

2.4 Other alkaloids

In addition to DAs, other types of alkaloids have also been isolated from *Delphinium* plants (Fig. 14). Several amide alkaloids from *Delphinium* plants have been reported. Five new acyl anilines, delamides A–E (420–424), which possessed an *O*-ester aniline bearing an amide side chain, were isolated from *D. brunonianum* by Zou *et al.*¹¹¹ Diaz *et al.* reported the isolation of three new anthranilic acid derivatives (425–427), also called dianthramides, from tissue cell cultures of *D. staphisagria.*¹¹² In addition, a novel lactam (428) possessing a 2-azabicyclo[2.2.1] heptane unit bearing a trimethoxy naphthalene ring, was isolated from *D. caeruleum.*¹¹³

Tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids are widely distributed in the Ranunculaceae family. While little attention has been paid to the isoquinoline alkaloids in *Delphinium* plants, a new benzyltetrahydrobenzylisoquinoline alkaloid, *O*-methylroefractine *N*-oxide (429), was found in *D. fangshanense*.¹¹⁴

R_2 R_9										
			_	\	N, Ř					
				₹3'''/	X X	∕ R ₅	5			
	_			R ₁₀						
222	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	R ₄	R₅	R ₆	R ₇	R ₈	R ₉	R ₁₀
333 334	<i>β</i> ОН Н	H αOiBu	H H	H H	H αOAc	H H	H	H ~OD=	βОН	Η βΟΜe
335	Н	αΟίΒu αΟίΒu	Н	Н	H	Н	αOAc αOAc	αOBz αOAc	H H	αOH
336	Н	αΟίΒυ	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOAC	αOAc	Н	αОН
337	н	αOiBu	н	н	н	н	αOH	αOH	н	αOH
338	н	αOAc	OAc	н	н	ОН	βΟΗ	βΟΗ	<i>β</i> ΟΗ	Н
339	н	αOiBu	Н	ОН	н	ОН	βОН	Н	βOiBu	αOH
340	Н	αΟΗ	Н	Н	Н	Н	αОН	βΟΑς	Н	Н
341	Н	αOAc	OBz	Н	Н	Н	βОН	0	Н	βОН
342	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOiBu	Н	βOAc	αOAc	Н	<i>β</i> ΟΗ
343	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOiBu	Н	βΟΑς	αОН	Н	βОН
344	Н	Н	0	ОН	Н	Н	Н	αOiBu	Н	Н
345	Н	Н	0	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOiBu	Н	Н
346	Н	Н	0	ОН	Н	Н	Н	α OiBu	Н	Н
347	Н	Н	0	Н	Н	Н	αОН	α OMeBu	Н	Н
348	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOBz	Н	αOAc	Н	αΟΗ	Н
349	Н	β OH	Н	Н	β OH	Н	β OH	Н	Н	Н
350	Н	αОН	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOAc	α OiBu	Н	Н
351	Н	αОН	Н	Н	Н	Н	αОН	αOiBu	Н	Н
352	Н	αОН	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOAc	α OMeBu	Н	Н
353	Н	αOAc	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOH	αOAc	Н	Н
354	Н	0	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOAc	αOiBu	Н	Н
355	αОН	αOBz	Н	Н	Н	Н	αОН	β OH	Н	αОН
356	Н	Н	Н	Н	αOiBu	Н	αОН	Н	β OH	β OH
357	βOAc	αOMeBu	ОН	Н	Н	Н	αOAc	αOBz	Н	Н
358	βOAc	αOiBu	ОН	Н	Н	Н	αOAc	αOBz	Н	Н
359	βOAc	αОН	OiBu	Н	H	H	αOAc	αOBz	Н	H
360	βOAc	αΟΗ	OMeBu	Н	н	Н	αOAc	αOBz	H	Н
361	Н	βOBz	OAc	H	H	Н	αΟΗ	αOAc	Н	H
362 363	H H	0	H H	H H	H H	H H	αOBz	βΟΗ	βΟΗ	H H
364	Н	0	Н	Н	Н	ОАс	αOBz H	βOH	<i>β</i> ΟΑc Η	Н
365	Н	Н	Н	Н	п αОН	H	п α-ОН	αOH αOH	Н	Н
366	Н	Н	ОН	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	βΟΑς	βОН
367	н	0	Н	ОН	н	н	αΟΗ	βΟΗ	Н	Н
368	н	Н	н	ОН	н	н	αΟΗ	Н	н	н
369	н	0	н	Н	н	н	αΟΗ	αΟΗ	βОН	н
370	н	0	н	OH	н	н	αOMeBu	βΟΗ	Н	н
371	н	0	Н	ОН	Н	Н	αОН	βOAc	н	н
372	н	0	βOAc	ОН	н	Н	αOMeBu	βΟΗ	н	н
373	Н	0	Н	Н	Н	OAc	αОН	αOAc	Н	Н
374	н	ОН	Н	ОН	Н	Н	Н	βΟΗ	Н	Н
375	Н	0	Н	ОН	Н	ОН	Н	βΟΑς	Н	Н
376	Н	0	Н	ОН	Н	Н	Н	βΟΗ	Н	Н
377	н	Н	Н	Н	αОН	Н	αOAc	H	β OH	Н
378	β OAc	etaOAc	Н	Н	Н	Н	Н	βΟΑϲ	Н	Н

Fig. 12 The hetisine type C₂₀-DAs from *Delphinium* plants.

3. **Flavonoids**

Delphinium, the flowers of which have petals of various colours, i.e., white, red, violet and blue, are widely cultivated as one of the most famous horticultural plants in the world. The anthocyanidin pigments in the Delphinium flowers have attracted considerable attention for a long time. As early as 1915, Willstitter isolated the first anthocyanidin pigment, delphinin, from the reddish-purple petals of D. consolida. 10,115 During the last four decades, eight new anthocyanidins were reported from different cultivated varieties of D. hybridum (Fig. 14). Two new delphinin glycosides, violdelphin (450)116 and cyanodelphin (443),¹¹⁷ were isolated from the violet petals of D. hybridum cv "Blue Night" and the blue petals of D. hybridum cv "Blue Springs", respectively. Structurally, violdelphin (450) contains two p-hydroxybenzoic acid units and four hexose substituents in addition to the delphinin core, and cyanodelphin (443) contains four p-hydroxybenzoic acid units and seven glucose units in its

 $\textit{Fig. 13} \quad \textit{The vakognavine-type, hetidine-type, at isine-type, denudatine-type C_{20}-DAs from $\textit{Delphinium}$ plants. } \\$

structure. In addition, six new acylated pelargonidin 3,7-glycosides (444–449) were isolated from the red petals of *D. hybridum* cv "Princess Caroline". These pelargonidin glycosides possess various acylated glucoses and rhamnoses at C-3 and C-7. Characteristically, glycosides 447 and 449 are acylated at the 3-glucose residue with malonic acid.

In addition to anthocyanidins, *Delphinium* plants are also rich in flavonol glycosides. In 1973, Arazashvili *et al.* first reported the identification of two known flavonol glycosides from the leaves of *D. flexiosum* and *D. elisabethae.*¹¹⁹ Dozens of flavonols and their glycosides were isolated from *Delphinium* plants during the next forty years, including some common and widespread constituents, such as rutin, quercetin, kaempferol, and luteolin as well as

Fig. 14 The other alkaloids from *Delphinium* plants.

their glycosides.¹²⁰ Eleven new compounds have been reported from four *Delphinium* species, with the aglycones being kaempferol (434-437 and 440) and quercetin derivatives (430-433 and

438–439) (Fig. 15). The novelty of these flavonol glycosides is mainly determined by the type and position of the acyl groups on the carbohydrate chains. Structurally, flavonol glycosides **436–439** from *D. staphisagria* possess a 2-*O*-acetyl glucosyl group at C-7,¹²¹ while flavonol glycoside **440** from *D. formosum* has a 4,6-*O*-diacetyl glucosyl group.¹²² Compound **430**, a benzoylated quercetin glycoside, was isolated from *D. carolinianum*,¹²³ and compounds **431–435** are a series of tetraglycosides acylated by caffeic acid and cumaric acid.¹²⁴

4. Phenolics

A certain number of phenolic compounds, such as benzoic and phenylacetic acid derivatives, have been identified from *Delphinium* plants. However, most of these phenolic compounds are common, structurally simple and widely distributed in the plant kingdom; new structures are rarely discovered.

	R ₂ U	
	R₁~R₅	Plant
430	R_1 = 3-benzoyl- β -D-Glu-(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-Glu; R_3 = α -L-Rha; R_2 = R_4 = R_5 = OH	D. carolinianum 123
431	$R_{1} = [\beta_{-D} - XyI - (1 \rightarrow 3) - 4 - O - E - p - caffeoyI - \alpha_{-L} - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6)][\beta_{-D} - GIu - (1 \rightarrow 2)] - \beta_{-D} - GIu; R_{3} = H; R_{2} = R_{4} = R_{5} = OH$	D. gracile 124
432	$R_{1} = [\beta_{-D} - XyI - (1 \rightarrow 3) - 4 - O - E - p - coumaroyI - \alpha - L - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6)][\beta_{-D} - GIu - (1 \rightarrow 2)] - \beta_{-D} - GIu; \\ R_{3} = H; \\ R_{2} = R_{4} = R_{5} = OH$	
433	$R_{1} = [\beta_{\text{-D}} - XyI - (1 \rightarrow 3) - 4 - O - Z - p - \text{coumaroyI} - \alpha_{\text{-L}} - \text{Rha} - (1 \rightarrow 6)][\beta_{\text{-D}} - \text{Glu} - (1 \rightarrow 2)] - \beta_{\text{-D}} - \text{Glu}; \ R_{3} = H; \ R_{2} = R_{4} = R_{5} = OH$	
434	$R_1 = \beta\text{-}_D\text{-}Glu\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 3)\text{-}4\text{-}O\text{-}E\text{-}p\text{-}coumaroyl\text{-}\alpha\text{-}_L\text{-}Rha\text{-}(1 \rightarrow 6)\text{-}\beta\text{-}_D\text{-}Glu;} \\ R_3 = 4\text{-}O\text{-}acetyl\text{-}\alpha\text{-}_L\text{-}Rha;} \\ R_2 = R_5 = OH; \\ R_4 = H_1 + H_2 + H_3 + H_4 + H_4 + H_4 + H_4 + H_4 + H_5 +$	
435	$R_1 = \beta - D - XyI - (1 \rightarrow 3) - 4 - O - E - p - coumaroyI - \alpha - L - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6) - \beta - D - GIu; R_3 = 4 - O - acetyI - \alpha - L - Rha; R_2 = R_5 = OH; R_4 = HA - C - ACETYI - A$	
436	$R_1 = 2$ -O-acetyl- β -D-Glu; $R_3 = R_4 = H$; $R_2 = R_5 = OH$	D. staphisagria 121
437	R_1 = 2-O-acetyl- β -D-Glu; R_3 = β -D-Glu; R_2 = R_5 = OH; R_4 = H	
438	$R_1 = 2$ -O-acetyl- β -D-Glu; $R_3 = \beta$ -D-Glu; $R_2 = R_4 = R_5 = OH$	
439	R_1 = 2-O-acetyl- β -D-Glu; R_3 = α -L-Rha; R_2 = R_4 = R_5 = OH	
440	R_1 = 4,6-O-diacetyl- β -D-Glu; R_3 = α -L-Rha; R_2 = R_5 = OH; R_4 = H	D. formosum 122
441	$R_1 = [2,3,4-O-triacetyl-\beta-D-Xyl-(1\rightarrow 3)-4-O-(E-p-O-acetyl-coumaroyl)-2-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-Rha-(1\rightarrow 6)$	D. gracile 132
	-3,4-diacetyl- β -D-Glu], R ₃ = 2,3,4-triacetyl- α -L-Rha, R ₂ = R ₄ = R ₅ = OAc	
442	$R_1 = [2,3,4,5-O\text{-tetraacetyl-}\beta\text{-}_D\text{-}Glu\text{-}(1\rightarrow 3)\text{-}4-O\text{-}(E-p\text{-}O\text{-acetyl-}coumaroyl)\text{-}2-O\text{-acetyl-}\alpha\text{-}_L\text{-}Rhamoultipers and the second of the second$	
	$(1\rightarrow 6)$ -3,4-diacetyl- β -p-Glu], R ₃ = 2,3,4-triaceyl- α -L-Rha, R ₂ = R ₄ = R ₅ = OAc	

	R ₁ ~R ₄	Plant
443	$R_1 = \alpha_{-L} - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6) - \beta_{-D} - Glu; R_2 = [6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - p - hydroxybenzoyl][6 - O - (6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu) - hydroxybenzoyl - hydroxybenzoy$	D. hybridum 116-118
	$\label{eq:hydroxybenzoyl-b-def} \mbox{hydroxybenzoyl-ρ-D-Glu-(1\rightarrow3)-ρ-D-Glu-(1\rightarrow3)]-ρ-D-Glu;} \ \mbox{R}_3 = \mbox{R}_4 = \mbox{OH} \ $	
444	$R_1 = \alpha_{-L}$ -Rha- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ - β -D-Glu; $R_2 = \beta$ -D-Glu; $R_3 = R_4 = H$	
445	$R_1 = \alpha_{-L} - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6) - \beta_{-D} - Glu; \ R_2 = 6 - O - p - hydroxybenzoyl - \beta_{-D} - Glu; \ R_3 = R_4 = H$	
446	$R_1 = \alpha_{-L} - Rha - (1 \rightarrow 6) - \beta_{-D} - Glu; \ R_2 = 6 - O - (4 - O - \beta_{-D} - Glu - p - hydroxybenzoyl) - \beta_{-D} - Glu; \ R_3 = R_4 = H$	
447	$R_1 = 6$ -O-malonyl- β -D-Glu; $R_2 = \beta$ -D-Glu; $R_3 = R_4 = H$	
448	$R_1 = \beta_{-D}$ -Glu; $R_2 = 6$ -O-(4-O- β_{-D} -Glu- p -hydroxybenzoyl)- β_{-D} -Glu; $R_3 = R_4 = H$	
449	R_1 = 6-O-malonyl- β -D-Glu; R_2 = 6-O-(4-O- β -D-Glu- p -hydroxybenzoyl)- β -D-Glu; R_3 = R_4 = H	
450	$R_1 = \alpha_{-L}$ -Glu- $(1\rightarrow 6)$ - β -D-Glu; $R_2 = [6-O-(4-O-p-hydroxybenzoyl-\beta-D-Glu)-p-hydroxybenzoyl]-\beta-D-Glu; R_3 = R_4 = OH$	

Fig. 15 Flavonoid glycosides from Delphinium plants.

Fig. 16 Phenolics and diterpenoid from Delphinium plants.

Only two new phenolic compounds, namely, 2,5,6-trihydroxypiperonylic acid methyl ester (451) from D. venulosum128 and oxformasine (452) from D. formosum, 129 were reported during the studied period (Fig. 16). Oxformasine (452) represents the first benzoxepine derivative from Delphinium species.

Terpenoids

In contrast to the wide variety of DAs present in *Delphinium* plants, terpenoids are rare. To date, only one new non-alkaloidal diterpenoid, campylopin (453) from D. campylocentrum, has been reported¹³⁰ (Fig. 15). Campylopin (453) is the first naturally occurring hetidane-type diterpenoid, and it has great significance for the biosynthesis of diterpenoid alkaloids, as it implies a new biosynthetic pathway from atisane or hetidane-type C20-diterpenes to hetidine-type C20-diterpenoid alkaloids.131

Bioactivities 6.

In the past forty years, compounds isolated from Delphinium plants, mainly DAs and flavonols, have been screened for their multiple biological activities, including antineoplastic, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and insecticidal and antifeedant activities, as well as cholinesterase inhibition effects. Some of the tested compounds showed considerable activities. Herein,

Table 1 Cytotoxic activity of Delphinium alkaloids

			IC ₅₀ (μM)		
Plants	Alkaloids	Туре	MCF-7	A549	
D. chrysotrichum	Delphatisine C (410)	C-4	>50	2.36	
•	Delpheline	B-1	17.32	>50	
	Delbrunine	B-1	16.50	10.63	
	Etoposide	_	7.56	1.8	
D. honanense	Honatisine (403)	C-4	3.16	>50	
	Siwanine E	B-1	35.32	>50	
	Delcorinine	B-1	18.60	31.63	
	Uraphine	B-1	33.21	9.86	
	Nordhagenine A	B-1	17.38	9.62	
	Etoposide	_	7.53	1.82	
D. trichophorum	Trichodelphinine A (350)	C-1	_	27.62	
	Trichodelphinine B (351)	C-1	_	18.64	
	Trichodelphinine C (352)	C-1	_	48.08	
	Trichodelphinine D (353)	C-1	_	52.79	
	Trichodelphinine E (354)	C-1	_	12.03	
	Trichodelphinine F (419)	C-1	_	16.55	
	Doxorubicin	_	_	0.60	

the bioactivities of the compounds from the Delphinium plants are summarized.

Anticancer activity

A certain number of natural DAs have been reported to possess antiproliferative activities against various human cancer cell lines, indicating their great potential as new drugs for treating the corresponding cancers.133 New DAs along with known DAs from Delphinium plants have also been reported to have in vitro anticancer activities (Table 1). The atisine-type DA delphatisine C (410) from D. chrysotrichum showed significant cytotoxic activity against A549 cells (IC50, 2.36 µM),103 and its analogue honatisine (403) from D. honanense also displayed impressive cytotoxic activity against MCF-7 cells with an IC₅₀ value of 3.16 μM, making it more effective than the positive control etoposide (IC₅₀, 7.53 μM).¹⁰⁴ The cytotoxic activities of five hetisine-type C₂₀-DAs, trichodelphinines A-E (350-354), and one delnudinetype C20-DA, trichodelphinine F (419), against A549 cells were tested. 110 The most active compounds (351, 354 and 419) had low IC₅₀ values (18.64, 12.03 and 16.55 μ M, respectively), and the other compounds showed moderate cytotoxicities against A549 cells. In addition, known lycaconitine-type C19-DAs, including delpheline, delbrunine, siwanine E, delcorinine, uraphine, nordhagenine A, and delbrunine from D. chrysotrichum and D. honanense, also showed certain anticancer activities against A549 and MCF-7 cells with IC50 values ranging from 9.62 to 35.32 μM.¹⁰⁴

Although no detailed structure-activity relationship (SAR) study has yet been reported, it seems that C20-DAs have shown more potential to be developed as antitumor drugs on account of their higher efficiency and lower toxicity.13 Especially, the hetisine-type C20-DAs, which have exhibited selective antiproliferative activity on human lung cancer cell A549, deserve further studies to identify more potent antitumor DAs. On the other hand, Delphinium plants have rarely been utilized for the treatment of cancer in TCM. The research presented above suggests that Delphinium plants with abundant DAs have great potential as herbal drugs for treating cancer, but more research is required to confirm this.

ChE inhibition effects

The discovery of natural ChE inhibitors is an active research area in natural medicinal chemistry due to the involvement of cholinesterases in Alzheimer's disease and related dementias. 134 In the early 1990s, methyllycaconitine, one of the principal active constituents of Delphinium species, was found to be an effective ligand for neuronal nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) subtypes, which attracted the attention of scientists to the screening of natural cholinesterase inhibitors from Delphinium species. Several Delphinium alkaloids have been reported to exhibit considerable ChE inhibitory effects (Table 2). The aconitine-type C_{19} -DAs 1 β -hydroxy, 14 β -acetylcondelphine (317), jadwarine-A (270), jadwarine-B (262), and dihydropentagynine (203) from D. denudatum have been found to possess inhibitory effects of AChE and BChE with EC50 values ranging from 9.2 to 34.7 μM.75 Ahmad et al. reported that an

Table 2 ChE inhibition effects of Delphinium alkaloids

			EC_{50} (μ M)		
Plants	Compounds	Туре	AChE	BChE	
D. denudatum	1β-hydroxy, 14β-acetyl condelphine (317)	B-2	19.8	31.5	
	Jadwarine-A (270)	B-2	9.2	19.6	
	Jadwarine-B (262)	B-2	16.8	34.7	
	Isotalatizidine hydrate	B-2	12.1	21.4	
	Dihydropentagynine (203)	B-1	11.2	22.2	
	Allanzanthane A	_	8.2	18	
	Galanthamine	_	10.1	20.6	
D. brunonianum	Delamide A (420)	Amide	9.7	>50	
	Rivastigmine	_	4.7	>10	

isotalatazidine hydrate crystal isolated from D. denudatum showed competitive inhibition of both AChE and BChE with IC₅₀ values of 12.13 μM and 21.41 μM, respectively. 135 In addition, the amide alkaloid delamide A (420) from D. brunonianum also showed highly selective AChE inhibitory activity (EC₅₀, 9.7 μM) and was shown to be a mixed-type reversible inhibitor of AChE by kinetic analysis.111

6.3 Insecticidal and antiparasitic activities

Delphinium plants have been used as natural insecticides since the time of Dioscorides. Previous studies have indicated that DAs might have evolved in nature to protect Delphinium and Aconitum plants against pests. Hence, searching for valuable natural insecticides from plants that are rich in DAs, which have been shown to be potent and selective ligands of the insect nicotinic receptor, is quite effective. 136,137 A series of DAs from Delphinium plants have been shown to possess insecticidal and antifeedant activities. Ulubelen et al. tested the repellency of 8

new alkaloids along with 12 known alkaloids belonging to three subtypes of DAs from Turkish Delphinium species against Tribolium casteneum (Table 3).138 Most of the tested new alkaloids (280, 285, 299, 331, 368-369, and 378) had repellency class III values (40.1-60%) for a short period, and venuluson (369) gave the highest level of repellency (59.37%), suggesting it is a promising candidate for insecticide development.

Several investigations on the antifeedant activities of Delphinium alkaloids have been performed. The crude alkaloids of D. cyphoplectrum have slight antifeedant and insect repellent activities against the larvae of Spodoptera littoralis. 139 González-Coloma et al. tested the insect antifeedant activities of 21 DAs isolated from Delphinium species on Spodoptera littoralis and Leptinotarsa decemlineata. The antifeedant effects of the test compounds were structure- and species-dependent (EC50 values ranging between 0.42-22.5 and 0.1-17.77 µg cm⁻² for L. decemlineata and S. littoralis, respectively). The most active antifeedants to L. decemlineata and S. littoralis were found be to

Table 3 Repellency of Delphinium alkaloids to T. casteneum

Plants	Alkaloids	Type	Repellency (%)	Class
D. venulosum	Venulol (368)	C-1	31.25	II
	Venuluson (369)	C-1	56.25	III
	Venudelphine (378)	C-1	40.62	III
	Hetisine	C-1	59.12	III
	Hetisinone	C-1	37.50	II
D. gueneri	14-Methyl peregrine (285)	B-2	46.87	III
	N-Deethyl-14-O-methylperegrine (299)	B-2	40.62	III
	Peregrine (280)	B-2	53.12	III
	Peregrine alcohol	B-2	37.50	II
	Talatisamine	B-2	34.37	II
	14-Acetyneoline	B-2	53.12	III
D. albiflorum	Lycoctonine	B-1	46.87	III
D. davisii	18-Benzoyldavisinol (331)	C-1	46.87	III
	Karakoline	B-2	37.50	II
D. uncinatum	14-Acetylvirescenine	B-1	43.75	III
	Condelphine	B-2	40.62	III
D. formosum	14-Demethylajacine (244)	B-1	40.62	III
-	Delsemine B	B-1	37.50	II
	Delsoline	B-1	37.50	II
D. crispulum	Browniine	B-1	46.87	III
D. montanum	Gigactonine	B-1	43.75	III

Table 4 Antifeedant effects of Delphinium alkaloids to L. decemlineata and S. littoralis

			EC ₅₀ (μg cm ⁻²)		
Plants	Alkaloids	Туре	L. decemlineata	S. littoralis	
D. cardiopetalum	Hetisinone	C-1	13.1	>50	
•	Cardiopetamine (362)	C-1	22.5	5.5	
	15-Acetyl-cardiopetamine (363)	C-1	12.9	>100	
	Cardiodine (329)	C-1	2.2	4.4	
D. gracile	Atisinium chloride	C-3	3.4	2.4	
D. stenocarpa	Ajaconine	C-3	5.1	8.2	
D. staphisagria	19-Oxodihydroatisine (408)	C-3	>50	0.1	
	Azitine	C-3	>50	1.1	
	Isoazitine (404)	C-3	6.9	4.1	
D. cardiopetalum	Karakoline	B-2	0.44	>50	
	Cardiopetaline (259)	B-2	0.42	≈50	
	Cardiopetalidine (184)	B-1	>50	>50	
	14-Benzoylgadesine	B-1	>50	13.61	
D. montanum	8-O-Ethylaconine	B-2	>50	8.29	
	Neoline	B-2	≈50	≈50	
	Gigactonine	B-1	13.02	9.31	
	Delcosine	B-1	1.11	3.53	
	Methylicaconitine	B-1	2.78	17.77	
D. pentagynum	Gadenine	B-1	11.93	>50	

the cardiopetaline (259, EC $_{50}$, 0.42 µg cm $^{-2}$) and 19-oxodihydroatisine (408, EC $_{50}$, 0.1 µg cm $^{-2}$), respectively. 140,141 Shawurensine (209) from *D. naviculare* var. *lasiocarpum* also showed considerably potent antifeedant activity with EC $_{50}$ values of 0.42 and 0.81 mg cm $^{-2}$ against the larvae of *Spodoptera exigua* in a choice test and no choice test, respectively. 142 Generally, the antifeedant activities of C $_{20}$ -DAs are lower than those of C $_{19}$ -DAs, which might be attributed to the species- and structure related differences in the taste receptor binding to these two classes of DAs, 143 and this result suggest that further investigations on the antifeedant effects of these compounds should be concentrated on C $_{19}$ -DAs.

Among the new flavonol glycosides that have been isolated from Delphinium plants, a series of compounds (431-437, 439 and 441-442) have demonstrated high antiparasitic activities. 132,144,145 In some cases, the antitrypanosomatid activities of these flavonol glycosides against Trypanosoma cruzi were more potent than that of the reference drug benznidazole. For example, compound 436 showed higher trypanocidal activity $(IC_{50} = 6.5 \mu M)$ than benznidazole $(IC_{50} = 15.8 \mu M)$ against the epimastigote form of T. cruzi, and compound 432 exhibited higher trypanocidal activity (IC₅₀, 21.2 µM) than benznidazole (IC₅₀, 23.3 μ M) against the amastigote form of *T. cruzi*. These compounds also showed impressive leishmanicidal activities against both the extra- and intracellular forms of three Leishmania species (Leishmania infantum, L. braziliensis and L. donovani), and among these compounds, 439 presented the highest antileishmanial activity. Notably, all of these tested flavonol glycosides showed low toxicity to the corresponding host cells, resulting in higher selectivity indices than the reference drugs, which highlights their potential in the treatment of leishmaniasis and Chagas disease (Table 4).

6.4 Antifungal and antiviral activity

Delphinium species have been used for the treatment of itches and other skin eruptions in folk medicine, which indicates that the plants may possess constituents with antifungal activities. The new lactone-type C₁₉-DA 8-acetylheterophyllisine (319) from *D. denudatum* showed antifungal activity against a number of human pathogenic fungi, including *Allescheria boydii*,




Fig. 17 The percentage of each type and sub-type of alkaloids from *Delphinium* species.

Aspergillus niger, Epidermophyton floccosum, and Pleurotus ostreatus, with MIC values of 100, 200, 250, and 150 μg mL $^{-1}$, respectively.⁸⁸

Delphinium-derived DAs also showed antiviral activity. The new lycaconitine-type C_{19} -DAs ajacisines C–E (212–214), along with the known alkaloid isodelectine, which were isolated from *D. ajacis*, exhibited moderate to weak *in vitro* antiviral effects against respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) with IC₅₀ values of 75.2, 35.1, 10.1, and 50.2 μM, respectively, while the positive control (ribavirin) showed an IC₅₀ value of 3.1 μM. The rearranged-type C_{19} -DA grandiflodine B (21), isolated from *D. grandiflorum*, also displayed a weak inhibitory effect on the growth of RSV with an IC₅₀ value of 75.3 μM. 90

7. Conclusions

Review

To the best of our knowledge, investigations on the chemical constituents of Delphinium in the last four decades have reported a total of 453 new compounds, including 429 alkaloids, 21 flavonoids, two phenolic compounds, and one diterpenoid. Among the 429 new alkaloids, 419 are DAs, including 23 C₁₈-DAs, 299 C₁₉-DAs, and 97 C₂₀-DAs, which cover fourteen subtypes of DAs (Fig. 17). In view of the chemical diversity described, the lycaconitine sub-type of C₁₉-DAs (B-1), with 230 new members, are the most abundant DAs in the Delphinium plants, as they accounted for the largest proportion of new compounds (55.37%), followed by aconitine-type C₁₉-DAs (B-2) with 64 new members (14.8%) and hetisine-type C₂₀-DAs (C-1) with 56 new members (13.37%). The other subtypes only account for only a small portion of compounds (less than 20%). Obviously, DAs, especially lycaconitine-type C₁₉-DAs, are characteristic components of the genus Delphinium, which is distinguished from the genus Aconitum by the large number of aonitine-type C₁₉-DAs. Among these new compounds, several possess unprecedented structures, and their various biological activities, including anticancer activity, cholinesterase inhibition effects, insecticidal and antiparasitic activities, and antifungal and antiviral activities, have been reported. These findings underscore the large chemical and biological diversity among the chemical constituents of Delphinium plants, which could not only serve as a vast resource for drug discovery but also help elucidate the therapeutic effects of Delphinium-derived herbal drugs.

Although phytochemical and biological studies on the chemical constituents of *Delphinium* species have attracted considerable interest, some deficiencies remain. First, there are approximately 365 *Delphinium* species around the world, but the chemical constituents of only 87 species and 10 varietal have been studied in the last four decades. Among these species, *D. elatum*, *D. staphisagria*, *D. anthriscifolium* var. *savatieri*, *D. nuttallianum*, *D. anthriscifolium* var. *majus*, and *D. cardiopetalum* contributed relatively more new compounds than the other species. The biological constituents of other *Delphinium* species remain untapped. Hence, an extensive investigation of other species, especially species that are used medicinally, remains necessary. Second, all of the biological activities of the isolated compounds were investigated by using *in vitro* tests, namely,

chemical and cellular models, and there is little research confirming the biological activities of *Delphinium* compounds using *in vivo* animal models or on their pharmacological mechanisms. It is necessary to evaluate the biological activities of *Delphinium*-derived constituents using both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models, which will facilitate further research and exploitation of this genus.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by a grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 31860095), a grant from Guizhou Science and Technology Foundation of China (No. QKHJC[2018]1193), a program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (IRT_17R94), and a project of Yunling Scholars.

References

- 1 W. C. Wang, Guihaia, 2019, 39, 1425-1469.
- 2 G. de la Fuente, J. A. Gavin, R. D. Acosta and F. Sanchez-Ferrando, *Phytochemistry*, 1993, **34**, 553–558.
- 3 J. G. Díaz, J. G. Ruiz and G. de la Fuente, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2000, **63**, 1136–1139.
- 4 M. H. Benn, I. Francis and R. M. Manavu, *Phytochemistry*, 1989, 28, 919-922.
- 5 Y. Bai and M. Benn, *Phytochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 3243–3245.
- 6 L. Q. Li and K. Yuichi, Flora of China, 2001, vol. 6, pp. 149– 222.
- 7 S. Fang and M. Benn, Phytochemistry, 1992, 31, 3247-3250.
- 8 U. Kolak, M. Ozturk, F. Ozgokce and A. Ulubelen, *Phytochemistry*, 2006, **67**, 2170–2175.
- 9 L. P. Yan, D. L. Chen and F. P. Wang, Chin. J. Org. Chem., 2007, 27, 976–980.
- 10 R. Willstätter and W. Mieg, Ann. Chem., 1915, 408, 61.
- 11 F. B. Ahrens, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1899, 32, 1581-1584.
- 12 T. P. Yin, Z. H. Luo, L. Cai and Z. T. Ding, *Chin. J. Magn. Reson.*, 2018, **36**, 113–126.
- 13 F. P. Wang, Q. H. Chen and X. Y. Liu, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2009, **27**, 529–570.
- 14 F. P. Wang and Q. H. Chen, *The C*₁₉-diterpenoid alkaloids, 2010, vol. 69, pp. 1–577.
- 15 M. S. Yunusov, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1993, 10, 471-486.
- 16 T. P. Yin, X. F. Hu, R. F. Mei, Y. Shu, D. Gan, L. Cai and Z. T. Ding, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 2018, 25, 152–155.
- 17 T. P. Yin, Y. Shu, H. Zhou, L. Cai and Z. T. Ding, *Fitoterapia*, 2019, 135, 1–4.
- 18 F. P. Wang, Q. H. Chen and X. T. Liang, *The C*₁₈-diterpenoid alkaloids, 2009, vol. 67, pp. 1–78.
- 19 X. L. Zhou, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2004, **52**, 456–458.
- 20 A. Ulubelen, A. H. Meriçli, F. Meriçli, U. S. Kolak, R. Ilarslan and W. Voelter, *Phytochemistry*, 1999, **50**, 513–516.

RSC Advances

- 21 W. J. Xue, B. Zhao, J. Y. Zhao, S. Sh Sagdullaev and H. Akber Aisa, Phytochem. Lett., 2019, 33, 12-16.
- 22 S. Wang, X. L. Zhou, X. M. Gong, X. Y. Fan and M. S. Lan, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2016, 18, 141-146.
- 23 L. Shan, J. Zhang, L. Chen, J. Wang, S. Huang and X. Zhou, Nat. Prod. Commun., 2015, 10, 2067-2068.
- 24 L. H. Shan, J. F. Zhang, F. Gao, S. Huang and X. L. Zhou, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2018, 20, 423-430.
- 25 L. Song, X. X. Liang, D. L. Chen, X. X. Jian and F. P. Wang, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2007, 55, 918-921.
- 26 L. Song, X. Y. Liu, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2009, 57, 158-161.
- 27 Q. P. Jiang and W. L. Sung, *Heterocycles*, 1985, 23, 11–15.
- 28 P. M. Shrestha and A. Katz, J. Nat. Prod., 2000, 63, 2-5.
- 29 J. Li, D. L. Chen, X. X. Jian and F. P. Wang, Molecules, 2007, 12, 353-360.
- 30 A. G. Gonzalez, G. de la Fuente, M. Reina and I. Timon, Heterocycles, 1984, 22, 667-669.
- 31 P. Tang, D. L. Chen, Q. H. Chen, X. X. Jian and F. P. Wang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2007, 18, 700-703.
- 32 C. J. Li and D. H. Chen, Acta Bot. Sin., 1992, 31, 466-469.
- 33 K. Wada, E. Asakawa, Y. Tosho, A. Nakata, Y. Hasegawa, K. Kaneda, M. Goto, H. Yamashita and K. H. Lee, Phytochem. Lett., 2016, 17, 190-193.
- 34 T. M. Gabbasov, E. M. Tsyrlina, L. V. Spirikhin and M. S. Yunusov, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2010, 46, 158-159.
- 35 X. Liang, S. A. Ross, Y. R. Sohni, H. M. Sayed, H. K. Desai, B. S. Joshi and S. W. Pelletier, J. Nat. Prod., 1991, 54, 1283-1287.
- 36 L. Bitis, S. Suezgec, U. Sözer, H. Oezcelik, J. Zapp, A. K. Kiemer and A. H. Mericli, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2007, 90, 2217-2221.
- 37 K. Wada, T. Yamamoto, H. Bando and N. Kawahara, Phytochemistry, 1992, 31, 2135-2138.
- 38 H. Yamashita, M. Katoh, A. Kokubun, A. Uchimura, S. Mikami, A. Takeuchi, K. Kaneda, Y. Suzuki, M. Mizukami, M. Goto, K. H. Lee and K. Wada, Phytochem. Lett., 2018, 24, 6-9.
- 39 A. S. Narzullaev, V. M. Matveev, S. S. Sabirov and M. Y. Yunusov, Chem. Nat. Compd., 1986, 22, 745-746.
- 40 N. Batbayar, S. Enkhzaya, J. Tunsag, D. Batsuren, D. S. Rycroft, S. Sproll and F. Bracher, Phytochemistry, 2003, 62, 543-550.
- 41 S. W. Pelletier, N. V. Mody and R. C. Desai, Heterocycles, 1981, 16, 747-750.
- 42 X. X. Liang, D. L. Chen and F. P. Wang, Chin. Chem. Lett., 2006, 17, 1473-1476.
- 43 H. Pu, Q. Xu, F. Wang and C. T. Che, *Planta Med.*, 1996, **62**, 462-464.
- 44 H. Y. Pu, F. P. Wang and C. T. Che, Phytochemistry, 1996, 43, 287-290.
- 45 K. Wada, R. Chiba, R. Kanazawa, K. Matsuoka, M. Suzuki, M. Ikuta, M. Goto, H. Yamashita and K. H. Lee, Phytochem. Lett., 2015, 12, 79-83.
- 46 B. S. Joshi, E. S. A. El-Kashoury, H. K. Desai, E. M. Holt, J. D. Olsen and S. W. Pelletier, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 2397-2400.

- 47 B. Zhao, S. Usmanove and H. A. Aisa, Phytochem. Lett., 2014, 10, 189-192.
- 48 W. J. Xue, B. Zhao, Z. Ruzi, J. Y. Zhao and H. A. Aisa, Phytochemistry, 2018, 156, 234-240.
- 49 J. F. Zhang, L. H. Shan, F. Gao, S. Huang and X. L. Zhou, Chem. Biodiversity, 2017, 14, e1600297.
- 50 Y. Wang, S. N. Chen, Y. Pan, J. Zhang and Y. Chen, Phytochemistry, 1996, 42, 569-571.
- 51 Y. Wang, Y. J. Pan, S. N. Chen and Y. Z. Chen, Chin. Chem. Lett., 1996, 7, 139-140.
- 52 J. G. Diaz, J. G. Ruiz and W. Herz, Phytochemistry, 2004, 65, 2123-2127.
- 53 J. Z. Jin and M. C. Zhong, Chin. Tradit. Herb. Drugs, 1986, 17, 1-3.
- 54 H. K. Desai, B. T. Cartwright and S. W. Pelletier, I. Nat. Prod., 1994, 57, 677-682.
- 55 L. Ding, J. Wang, S. Peng and N. Chen, Acta Bot. Sin., 2000, 42, 523-525.
- 56 B. Zhao, S. K. Usmanova, A. Yili, A. Kawuli, R. Abdulla and H. A. Aisa, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2015, 51, 519-522.
- 57 C. Li, Y. Hirasawa, H. Arai, H. Akber Aisa and H. Morita, Heterocycles, 2010, 80, 607-612.
- 58 Y. He, D. Zhang and L. M. West, Fitoterapia, 2019, 139, 104407.
- 59 S. Zhang and Q. Ou, *Phytochemistry*, 1998, **48**, 191-196.
- 60 Z. Suoming, Z. Guiling and G. Lin, Phytochemistry, 1997, 45, 1713-1716.
- 61 S. W. Pelleetier, J. A. Glinski, S. S. Joshi and C. Szu-ying, Heterocycles, 1983, 20, 1347-1354.
- 62 J. F. Zhang, R. Y. Dai, L. H. Shan, L. Chen, L. Xu, M. Y. Wu, C. J. Wang, S. Huang and X. L. Zhou, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 2016, 17, 299-303.
- 63 S. A. Saidkhodzhaeva and I. A. Bessonova, Chem. Nat. Compd., 1996, 32, 720-722.
- 64 X. L. Zhou, Q. H. Chen, D. L. Chen and F. P. Wang, Chin. J. Chem., 2003, 21, 871-874.
- 65 X. L. Zhou, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, Heterocycles, 2004, 63, 123-128.
- 66 L. S. Ding and W. X. Chen, Acta Pharmacol. Sin., 1990, 25, 438-440.
- 67 J. Y. Sun and T. C. Li, J. Chem. Res., 2009, 2009, 306-307.
- 68 F. Z. Chen, D. L. Chen, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, J. Nat. Prod., 2009, 72, 18-23.
- 69 Q. Zhao, X. J. Gou, W. Liu, G. He, L. Liang and F. Z. Chen, Nat. Prod. Commun., 2015, 10, 2063-2064.
- 70 M. Reina, A. Madinaveitia and G. De La Fuente, Phytochemistry, 1997, 45, 1707-1711.
- 71 A. G. Gonzalez, R. Diaz Acosta, J. A. Gavin and G. De la Fuente, Heterocycles, 1986, 24, 2753-2756.
- 72 A. Ulubelen, A. H. Mericli, F. Mericli and R. Ilarslan, Phytochemistry, 1992, 31, 1019-1022.
- 73 J. Lu, H. K. Desai, S. A. Ross, H. M. Sayed and S. W. Pelletier, J. Nat. Prod., 1993, 56, 2098-2103.
- 74 E. D. Khairitdinova, E. M. Tsyrlina, L. V. Spirikhin, N. I. Fedorov and M. S. Yunusov, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2005, 41, 575-577.

Review

75 H. Ahmad, S. Ahmad, M. Ali, A. Latif, S. A. A. Shah, H. Naz, N. Ur Rahman, F. Shaheen, A. Wadood, H. U. Khan and M. Ahmad, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2018, 78, 427–435.

- 76 Z. S. Boronova and M. N. Sultankhodzhaev, *Chem. Nat. Compd.*, 2000, **36**, 390–392.
- 77 Y. J. Pan, R. Wang, S. N. Chen and Y. Z. Chen, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.*, 1992, 13, 1418–1419.
- 78 S. W. Pelletier and M. M. Badawi, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1987, **50**, 381–385.
- 79 S. A. Ross, H. K. Desai and S. W. Pelletier, *Heterocycles*, 1987, 26, 2895–2904.
- 80 A. G. Gonzalez, G. De la Fuente and R. Diaz, *Phytochemistry*, 1982, 21, 1781–1782.
- 81 A. G. Gonzalez, G. De la Fuente, M. Reina, V. Zabel and W. H. Watson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1980, 21, 1155-1158.
- 82 G. de la Fuente and L. Ruiz-Mesía, *Phytochemistry*, 1995, **39**, 1459–1465.
- 83 X. Liang, H. K. Desai and S. W. Pelletier, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1990, 53, 1307–1311.
- 84 A. Ulubelen, A. H. Mericli and F. Mericli, *Nat. Prod. Lett.*, 1994, 5, 135–140.
- 85 G. de la Fuente, A. H. Meriçli, L. Ruiz-Mesía, A. Ulubelen, F. Meriçli and R. Ilarslan, *Phytochemistry*, 1995, **39**, 1467–1473.
- 86 K. Zhang, L. He, X. Pan and Y. Chen, *Planta Med.*, 1998, **64**, 580–581.
- 87 S. W. Pelletier and M. M. Badawi, *Heterocycles*, 1985, 23, 2873-2883.
- 88 A. Rahman, A. Nasreen, F. Akhtar, M. S. Shekhani, J. Clardy, M. Parvez and M. I. Choudhary, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 1997, **60**, 472–474.
- 89 X. Pan, L. He, B. G. Li and Y. Z. Chen, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 1998, 9, 57–59.
- 90 N. H. Chen, Y. B. Zhang, W. Li, P. Li, L. F. Chen, Y. L. Li, G. Q. Li and G. C. Wang, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 24129–24132.
- 91 F. Z. Chen, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2011, 94, 254-260.
- 92 D. L. Chen, L. Y. Lin, Q. H. Chen, X. X. Jian and F. P. Wang, J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res., 2003, 5, 209–213.
- 93 F. P. Wang, C_{20} -diterpenoid alkaloids, 2002.
- 94 X. Y. Liu, L. Song, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 2010, 5, 1005–1008.
- 95 M. Reina, R. Mancha, A. Gonzalez-Coloma, M. Bailen, M. L. Rodriguez and R. A. Martinez-Diaz, *Nat. Prod. Res.*, 2007, 21, 1048–1055.
- 96 S. A. Ross, B. S. Joshi, H. K. Desai, S. W. Pelletier, M. G. Newton, X. Zhang and J. K. Snyder, *Tetrahedron*, 1991, 47, 9585–9598.
- 97 L. H. Shan, J. F. Zhang, F. Gao, S. Huang and X. L. Zhou, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 6063.
- 98 U. K. Kurbanov, B. Tashkhodzhaev, K. K. Turgunov and N. I. Mukarramov, *Chem. Nat. Compd.*, 2019, 55, 197–199.
- 99 X. Y. Liu, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2009, **92**, 745–752.
- 100 P. M. Shrestha and A. Katz, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2004, **67**, 1574–1576.

- 101 A. Ulubelen, M. Arfan, U. Sönmez, A. H. Meriçli and F. Meriçli, *Phytochemistry*, 1998, 47, 1141–1144.
- 102 Y. Q. He, X. M. Wei, Y. L. Han and L. M. Gao, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **18**, 545–547.
- 103 Y. Q. He, Z. Y. Ma, X. M. Wei, B. Z. Du, Z. X. Jing, B. H. Yao and L. M. Gao, *Fitoterapia*, 2010, 81, 929–931.
- 104 Y. Q. He, Z. Y. Ma, X. M. Wei, D. J. Liu, B. Z. Du, B. H. Yao and L. M. Gao, *Chem. Biodiversity*, 2011, **8**, 2104–2109.
- 105 A. H. Meriçli, F. Meriçli, E. Doğru, H. Özçelik and A. Ulubelen, *Phytochemistry*, 1999, **51**, 337–340.
- 106 M. Reina, A. Madinaveitia, G. de la Fuente, M. L. Rodriguez and I. Brito, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 1661–1662.
- 107 X. Y. Liu, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **20**, 698–701.
- 108 B. T. Salimov, Chem. Nat. Compd., 2004, 40, 579-581.
- 109 L. V. Beshitaishvili, M. N. Sultankhodzhaev, K. S. Mudzhiri and M. S. Yunusov, *Chem. Nat. Compd.*, 1981, 17, 156–157.
- 110 C. Z. Lin, Z. X. Zhao, S. M. Xie, J. H. Mao, C. C. Zhu, X. H. Li, B. Zeren-dawa, K. Suolang-qimei, D. Zhu, T. Q. Xiong and A. Z. Wu, *Phytochemistry*, 2014, 97, 88–95.
- 111 Y. S. Zou, Z. Dawa, C. Z. Lin, Q. Y. Zhang, Y. F. Yao, Y. Yuan, C. C. Zhu and Z. Y. Wang, *Fitoterapia*, 2019, 136, 104186.
- 112 J. G. Diaz, J. L. Marapara, F. Valdes, J. G. Sazatornil and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 2005, **66**, 733–739.
- 113 Y. Pan, C. Sun and Y. Chen, *J. Zhejiang Univ.*, Sci., 2000, 1, 186–187.
- 114 S. Zhang, G. Zhao and G. Lin, *Phytochemistry*, 1999, **51**, 333–336.
- 115 A. G. Perkin and J. A. Pilgrim, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1898, 73, 267–275
- 116 T. Kondo, K. Oki, K. Yoshida and T. Goto, *Chem. Lett.*, 1990, **19**, 137–138.
- 117 T. Kondo, K. Suzuki, K. Yoshida, K. Oki, M. Ueda, M. Isobe and T. Goto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1991, **32**, 6375–6378.
- 118 N. Saito, K. Toki, A. Suga and T. Honda, *Phytochemistry*, 1998, **49**, 881–886.
- 119 A. I. Arazashvili, I. I. Moniava and E. P. Kemertelidze, *Chem. Nat. Compd.*, 1973, 9, 556–557.
- 120 H. Yoshimitsu, M. Nishida, F. Hashimoto, M. Tanaka, Y. Sakata, M. Okawa and T. Nohara, *J. Nat. Med.*, 2007, **61**, 334–338.
- 121 J. G. Díaz, A. J. Carmona, P. P. de Paz and W. Herz, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 2008, **1**, 125–129.
- 122 S. Özden, N. Dürüst, K. Toki, N. Saito and T. Honda, *Phytochemistry*, 1998, **49**, 241–245.
- 123 M. J. Warnock, Y. L. Liu and T. J. Mabry, *Phytochemistry*, 1983, **22**, 1834–1835.
- 124 J. G. Diaz and W. Herz, *Phytochemistry*, 2010, 71, 463–468.
- 125 F. R. Kolar, S. R. Ghatge, V. V. Kedage and G. B. Dixit, *Turk. J. Biochem.*, 2014, **39**, 277–284.
- 126 S. J. Liu, Z. X. Liao, Z. S. Tang, C. L. Cui, H. B. Liu, Y. N. Liang and Y. Zhang, *J. Chin. Med. Mater.*, 2016, **39**, 318–321.
- 127 Z. D. Nan, X. A. Li, Y. X. Chen, H. Z. Ren, J. Xu and L. J. Zhou, J. Chin. Med. Mater., 2017, 40, 2077–2080.
- 128 A. H. Mericli, F. Mericli, A. Ulubelen and R. Ilarslan, *Phytochemistry*, 1991, **30**, 4195–4196.

129 F. Mericli, A. H. Mericli, H. Becker and A. Ulubelen,

RSC Advances

- Phytochemistry, 1996, 42, 1257–1258.
 130 F. P. Wang and L. P. Yan, Tetrahedron, 2007, 63, 1417–1420.
- 131 P. Tang, Q. H. Chen and F. P. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 460–462.
- 132 C. Marín, J. G. Díaz, D. Irure Maiques, I. Ramírez-Macías, M. J. Rosales, R. Guitierrez-Sánchez, R. Cañas and M. Sánchez-Moreno, *Phytochem. Lett.*, 2017, 19, 196–209.
- 133 X. X. Liang, Y. Y. Gao and S. X. Luan, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 23937–23946.
- 134 H. Ahmad, S. Ahmad, S. A. A. Shah, A. Latif, M. Ali, F. A. Khan, M. N. Tahir, F. Shaheen, A. Wadood and M. Ahmad, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2017, 25, 3368–3376.
- 135 H. Ahmad, S. Ahmad, E. Khan, A. Shahzad, M. Ali, M. N. Tahir, F. Shaheen and M. Ahmad, *Pharm. Biol.*, 2017, 55, 680–686.
- 136 L. Chen, L. Shan, J. Zhang, W. Xu, M. Wu, S. Huang and X. L. Zhou, *Nat. Prod. Commun.*, 2015, **10**, 2063–2065.
- 137 J. F. Zhang, L. Chen, S. Huang, L. H. Shan, F. Gao and X. L. Zhou, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2017, **80**, 3136–3142.
- 138 A. Ulubelen, A. H. Meriçli, F. Meriçli, N. Kilinçer, A. G. Ferizli, M. Emekci and S. W. Pelletier, *Phytother. Res.*, 2001, 15, 170–171.

- 139 A. H. Mericli, F. Mericli, G. V. Seyhan, H. Özçelik, N. Kılınçer, A. G. Ferizli and A. Ulubelen, *Heterocycles*, 1999, 8, 1843–1848.
- 140 A. González-Coloma, M. Reina, A. Guadaño, R. Martínez-Díaz, J. G. Díaz, J. García-Rodriguez and M. Grandez, Chem. Biodiversity, 2004, 1, 1327–1335.
- 141 A. González-Coloma, A. Guadano, C. Gutiérrez, R. Cabrera, E. De La Pena, G. De La Fuente and M. Reina, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 1998, 46, 286–290.
- 142 L. Shan, L. Chen, F. Gao and X. Zhou, *Nat. Prod. Res.*, 2018, 33, 3254–3259.
- 143 M. Reina and A. González-Coloma, *Phytochem. Rev.*, 2007, **6**, 81–95.
- 144 C. Marin, I. Ramirez-Macias, A. Lopez-Cespedes, F. Olmo, N. Villegas, J. G. Diaz, M. J. Rosales, R. Gutierrez-Sanchez and M. Sanchez-Moreno, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2011, 74, 744–750.
- 145 I. Ramirez-Macias, C. Marin, J. G. Diaz, M. J. Rosales, R. Gutierrez-Sanchez and M. Sanchez-Moreno, *Sci. World J.*, 2012, 2012, 203646.
- 146 L. Yang, Y. B. Zhang, L. Zhuang, T. Li, N. H. Chen, Z. N. Wu, P. Li, Y. L. Li and G. C. Wang, *Planta Med.*, 2017, 83, 111– 116.