Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2020, 10, 7812

A Gd-doped HfO₂ single film for a charge trapping memory device with a large memory window under a low voltage

Yuxin Shen,^{†acd} Zhaohao Zhang,^{†be} Qingzhu Zhang,^{abd} Feng Wei,^{*a}
Huaxiang Yin,^{be} Qianhui Wei^{ac} and Kuo Men^{acd}

In this study, a performance-enhanced charge trapping memory device with a Pt/Gd-doped HfO₂/SiO₂/Si structure has been investigated, where Gd-doped HfO₂ acts as a charge trapping and blocking layer. The device demonstrates a large memory window of 5.4 V under a ± 5 V sweeping voltage (360% of the device with pure HfO₂), which is extremely attractive in low-power applications. In addition, the device also exhibits good retention characteristics with a 24.5% charge loss after the retention time of 1×10^5 seconds and robust endurance performance with a 1% degradation after 1×10^4 program/erase cycles. It is considered that the high density of defect states and the reduction in the defect energy levels induced by Gd-doping contribute to the performance improvement.

Received 2nd January 2020

Accepted 4th February 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ra00034e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Recently, charge-trapping memory (CTM) devices, such as a silicon-oxide-nitride-oxide-silicon (SONOS) structure flash memory, have attracted numerous interests for its potential for the further scale down below 15 nm node.¹ Compared with the conventional floating-gate (FG) devices, CTM devices hold numerous advantages of higher reliability, lower operating voltage and simpler manufacturing process.^{1,2} However, there are still many challenges from the data retention due to the scaling of the thickness of tunnelling oxide and charge trapping oxide.³ To overcome these intrinsic shortcomings, high-k materials, such as HfO₂, Al₂O₃, TiO_x, ZnO and ZrO₂, have been introduced into CTM devices to achieve better charge trapping efficiency and retention capability.^{4–10} Moreover, an ideal coexistence of a large memory window and low operating voltage is still a great challenge. Most present CTM devices have negligible memory window while operating at lower than 6 V. For high-k materials, doping is proved to be a potential approach to achieve low-power charging-trapping memories, such as Zr-doped BaTiO₃ and fluorinated ZrO₂.^{11,12} Gd-doped HfO₂ (GHO), as one of promising high-k materials, has been proposed to have a relatively high trap density, large conduction

band offset and high dielectric constant.¹³ Moreover, a GHO thin film also has excellent compatibility with CMOS processing. Therefore, it is expected that GHO should have good charge trapping characteristics and will be a promising candidate for the next generation flash memory. In recent years, the potential of GHO films for CTM applications has been proved,¹⁴ but the charge trapping mechanisms are still not well understood.

In this study, Pt/GHO/SiO₂/Si structure (MGOS) cells were fabricated and investigated for CTM applications, where the simple thin GHO film acts as the charge trapping and blocking layer. The experimental results demonstrate that the MGOS devices hold a remarkable charge-trapping efficiency. A large memory window of 5.4 V is observed under a low operating voltage of 5 V, while the P/E speed and retention characteristic are not sacrificed. Furthermore, the charge trapping mechanism of the MGOS is discussed.

Experiment

A 2-inch p-type (100) silicon wafer with a resistivity of 1–10 Ω cm was cleaned by the standard RCA process, and then the native oxide on the Si wafer was removed using diluted HF (DHF) solution for 3 min. Before the high-k deposition, a tunnelling oxide layer of 2 nm was thermally grown in the O₃ atmosphere at 300 °C. Then, the GHO film was deposited *via* plasma-enhanced atomic layer deposition (PE-ALD) at 300 °C. During this process, Gd(TMHD)₃, TDMAH, and O₃ were used as the precursors for Gd, Hf, and O, respectively. In the following step, Pt top electrodes with an area of 3.8×10^{-4} cm² were sputtered on the surface of the samples using a shadow mask at room temperature. Then, 300 nm Al was sputtered on the backside of the wafer as the bottom electrode. Finally, the samples were

^aState Key Laboratory of Advanced Materials for Smart Sensing, GRINM Group Co., Ltd., Beijing 100088, China. E-mail: weifeng@grinm.com

^bKey Laboratory of Microelectronics Devices and Integrated Technology, Institute of Microelectronics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China

^cGRIMAT Engineering Institute Co., Ltd., Beijing 101402, China

^dGeneral Research Institute for Nonferrous Metals, Beijing 100088, China

^eUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

[†] These authors contributed equally to the work.

annealed at 300 °C for 5 min in argon atmosphere using a rapid thermal annealing (RTA) system. The electrical properties of the devices were characterized with a Keithley 4200 SCS analyzer. The cross-sectional morphology of the device was observed *via* high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The defect states in the GHO films were investigated *via* photoluminescence (PL).

Results and discussion

A schematic of the fabricated MGOS memory cells and the corresponding cross-sectional HRTEM image are shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), respectively. As shown in Fig. 1(a), the thickness of the GHO layer is about 30 nm. Also, the SiO₂ layer with bright contrast is about 2 nm in thickness, which indicates a uniform interface with Si to prevent charge tunnelling back to the Si substrate. The sharp interface between GHO and SiO₂ is also observed, which means a less interdiffusion interface that can bring about a good device performance. In our experiments, the Gd concentration in the GHO thin film is 0.5% (determined *via* X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy), where the fabricated CTM devices present the optimum memory window.

To study the memory properties of the MGOS devices, such as memory window, retention and endurance performance, the high frequency (1 MHz) capacitance–voltage (*C*–*V*) measurements were performed at room temperature. Fig. 2(a) demonstrates the *C*–*V* curves of the as-prepared devices under various sweeping gate voltages. The counterclockwise direction of the

Fig. 2 (a) High frequency (1 MHz) *C*–*V* characteristics of the MGOS device with GHO film; (b) memory window *versus* gate voltage characteristics of the MGOS and MHOS device.

Fig. 1 (a) A schematic of the MGOS device structure and (b) the cross-sectional HRTEM image for a single Gd-doped HfO₂ film.

C–*V* hysteresis during a positive-negative-positive voltage sweep demonstrates the typical hysteresis loop direction of charge trapping. The memory window is defined as the difference in the flat-band voltages (V_{FB}) between the program and erase states, which increases with the increase of the sweeping voltages. A large memory window of 5.4 V under a ± 5 V sweeping voltage occurred, indicating an excellent charge trapping effect under a low operating voltage. When the sweeping voltage is further increased above 6 V, the memory window shows a saturation tendency and reaches the maximum value of 7.7 V under 10 V. The density of trapped charges per unit area (N_t) can be approximately estimated by the following equation:¹⁵

$$N_t = \frac{C_{ox} V_{FB}}{qA}, \quad (1)$$

where C_{ox} represents the capacitance in the accumulation region; in this case, $C_{ox} = 425$ pF. A is the effective area of the Pt electrode; in this case, $A = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$ cm². q is the charge of an electron. According to this equation, the trapped charge density in the MGOS device is about 5.4×10^{13} cm⁻², 700% of it in the MHOS device,¹⁹ which shows that the GHO film deposited by PE-ALD can offer a high density of charge traps. To further understand the CTM behavior of the GHO film, a control sample with a Pt/HfO₂/SiO₂/Si (MHOS) structure, where pure

Fig. 3 (a) Photoluminescence spectra of GHG films; (b) a schematic of the energy levels of the defect states and the programming process of the MGOS device; and (c) a schematic of the erasing process of the MGOS device.

HfO₂ acts as a charge trapping layer is also investigated. Fig. 2(b) shows the memory window *versus* gate voltage characteristics of the MGOS and MHOS devices. Clearly, the memory window of the MGOS sample is 260% larger than that of the MHOS sample under an operating voltage of 5 V. The results show that Gd doping can induce a significant performance improvement under a low operating voltage. Alternatively, the charge tunnelling barrier is reduced. Even if the gate voltage is below 2 V, an effective charge trapping process still exists.

In the conventional tri-layer CTM devices, the quantum well is proposed to be responsible for the charge trapping effects, which usually formed by the different band offsets of the blocking layer, charge trapping layer and tunnelling layer.^{16,17} However, such a quantum well is not in this MGOS device as there is no blocking layer. Thus, the quantum well is not the origin of the excellent charge trapping effect. It has been reported that some intrinsic defects exist in the HfO₂ films, such as oxygen vacancies and interstitial oxygen atoms, which induce defect energy levels.¹⁸ The high charge trapping efficiency is attributed to these defect energy levels.¹⁹ In this study, the charge trapping capability has a remarkable improvement as a result of the doping of Gd.

In order to prove the above viewpoint, the position of majority charge traps in this MGOS device was estimated with the calculation of charge trap centroid. The charge trap centroid

(X_{cent}) can be calculated by the so-called constant current stress (CCS) method²⁰ with the following equation:

$$X_{\text{cent}} = \frac{t_{\text{stack}}}{\left[1 - \frac{\Delta V_{\text{g}}^-}{\Delta V_{\text{g}}^+}\right]}, \quad (2)$$

where X_{cent} is the distance measured from the Pt/GHG layer interface, t_{stack} is the thickness of GHG/SiO₂, ΔV_{g}^- and ΔV_{g}^+ are the maximum negative and positive gate voltage shift suffer constant current stress, respectively. The calculated X_{cent} is 15.8 nm, which illustrates that the charge traps are mainly located in the GHG layer instead of the interface between GHG and SiO₂.

To further investigate the defect states of the GHG film, photoluminescence (PL) characterization was carried out. As shown in Fig. 3(a), the photoluminescence spectra under a 325 nm excitation wavelength shows clear PL peaks at 2.35–2.52 eV and 2.97–3.14 eV for the GHG film. Considering that the band gap of the GHG film is around 5.82 eV,¹³ we believe that the PL peaks at 2.35–2.52 eV and 2.97–3.14 eV cannot origin from the band-to-band recombination. Instead, it is most probably due to the recombination from defect states induced by V_{O} to valence band (E_{V}) or donor defect states to acceptor states, which indicates the existence of the high concentration defects in the GHG films.

According to the theoretical simulations on defect energy levels, the defect states mainly occupy the donor levels rather than the acceptor levels in the GHG film.¹⁸ Therefore, the strongest PL peak at 2.43 eV shown in Fig. 3(a) is assigned to the recombination processes from the donor states at 2.43 eV above E_{V} to the E_{V} of the GHG film. It can be assumed that the electrons are first excited to the defect states of 2.43 eV above E_{V} , and then recombine with the holes in the valence band with luminance. In conclusion, we put forward that the dominant defects are situated at 2.43 eV above the valence band. The energy level positions of the defect states are schematically shown in Fig. 3(b), where the band gaps of SiO₂ and GHG are 9 eV and 5.82 eV, respectively. The charge trapping and escaping processes are schematically plotted in Fig. 3(b) and (c). Therefore, the efficient charge trapping processes in the MGOS device can be explained by the charge transfer between the defect states and the silicon substrate. It is ascertained that the dominant defect energy levels are located at 3.0 eV above the E_{V} in pure HfO₂ films.¹⁹ By contrast, the dominant defect energy levels in GHG films are located at 2.43 eV above E_{V} . Therefore, we believe that the performance improvement under a low operating voltage can be ascribed to the energy level shift caused by Gd-doping.

The retention performance of the MGOS device was measured. As depicted in Fig. 4(a), the high and low capacitances are observed at a fixed read voltage of –1 V after ± 5 V/1 s programming or erasing pulse voltage. After a 1×10^5 s retention time, the capacitance of the device exhibits negligible degradation with only 1% charge loss, which is mainly caused by the direct tunnelling from the trap sites to the Si substrate at room temperature. The excellent retention capability can be attributed to the high potential barriers provided by defect

Fig. 4 (a) The high and low capacitances of the memory device based on the single GH0 layer and (b) the long-term retention characteristics of the V_{FB} shift; (c) the program/erase characteristics of the MGOS device and (d) the endurance characteristic of the MGOS device at room temperature.

states in the GH0 film, which restrains the trapped charges from tunnelling back to the silicon substrate. To further investigate the retention properties, the flat band voltage (V_{FB}) retention characteristics are also investigated, which are shown in Fig. 4(b). The V_{FB} values retain the large value after 1×10^5 s. The charge retention property of memory can be expressed as the percentage of trapped charge loss²¹ as below:

$$\text{Charge loss (\%)} = \left(\frac{V_p - V_{100\text{ K}}}{V_p - V_0} \right) \times 100\%, \quad (3)$$

where V_0 is the flat-band voltage of the fresh sample, V_p is the flat-band voltage after immediately releasing the applied voltage, and $V_{100\text{ K}}$ is the flat-band voltage after releasing the applied voltage for the retention time of 1×10^5 seconds. $V_{100\text{ K}}$

Table 1 Comparison of the memory windows under low operating voltages for memory devices with various structures

Tunnelling layer	Charge trapping layer	Blocking layer	Operating voltage (V)	Memory window (V)	Total thickness (nm)	References
SiO ₂	Gd:HfO ₂	Gd:HfO ₂	±5	5.4	32	This work
Al ₂ O ₃	HfO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	±6	0.8	28	2
SiO ₂	Zr _{0.5} Hf _{0.5} O ₂	Zr _{0.5} Hf _{0.5} O ₂	±5	2.0	52.5	6
SiO ₂	Ba _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} TiO ₃	Ba _{0.6} Sr _{0.4} TiO ₃	±7	1.1	27	10
SiO ₂	NiO	SiO ₂	±5	2.1	33	17
SiO ₂	HfO ₂	HfO ₂	±6	2.4	59	19
Al ₂ O ₃	HfAlO	Al ₂ O ₃	±6	4.1	23	22
Al ₂ O ₃	ZnO/graphene/ZnO	Al ₂ O ₃	±6	4.0	22.6	23

is extracted from the measured C - V curve in a small range of applied voltage from 2 V to -2 V at a time of 1×10^5 seconds. The calculated charge loss is only 24.5%, implying a good retention performance.

To study the P/E characteristics of the MGOS device, voltage pulses of ± 5 V with various duration are applied to the samples. As shown in Fig. 4(c), the speed of the P/E process is quite fast. With the increase in the pulse width, V_{FB} increases rapidly in both program or erase operations. To reveal the endurance property, a P/E pulse voltage (± 2 V with a pulse width of 10 ms) up to 10^4 cycles was applied to the MGOS device. As described in Fig. 4(d), both V_{FB} curves show negligible degradation. After 10^4 cycles of the P/E operation, the V_{FB} shifts are only 1% lower than their initial values. Therefore, this MGOS device demonstrates an admirable endurance performance, which is a necessary feature for future non-volatile memory devices.

Conclusion

In summary, we have investigated the memory characteristics and mechanism of the CTM device with the MGOS structure. A comparison of the memory windows at low operating voltages is summarized in Table 1 that includes the CTM devices with various structures. It is demonstrated that the device with a Pt/GHO/SiO₂/Si structure holds great advantages in low-power consumption applications. A large memory window of 5.4 V is obtained under 5 V. Furthermore, the device also provides good retention characteristics, robust endurance performance, and fast program/erase speed. The efficient charge trapping effects under a low voltage can be attributed to the defect energy levels induced by the oxygen vacancies in the GHO films. Overall, the proposed MGOS structure is a promising candidate for future CTM applications.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest to this work.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China under grants (61904194), in part by the youth foundation of General Research Institute for Nonferrous Metals under grant (66271903), in part by Youth Innovation Promotion Association, Chinese Academy of Sciences under grant (Y9YQ01R004).

References

- 1 P. Y. Du, H. T. Lue, Y. H. Shih, and K. Y. Hsieh, in *IEEE International Conference on Solid-State and Integrated Circuit Technology*, 2015, pp. 1–4.

- 2 X. Lan, X. Ou, Y. Cao, S. Tang, C. Gong, B. Xu, Y. Xia, J. Yin, A. Li and F. Yan, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2013, **114**(4), 044104.
- 3 H. W. You and W. J. Cho, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **96**, 093506.
- 4 K. Jiang, X. Ou, Z. Y. Cao, X. J. Liu, W. Lu, B. Xu, A. D. Li, Y. D. Xia and Z. G. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**(26), 263506.
- 5 G. H. Park and W. J. Cho, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **96**, 043503.
- 6 J. H. Zhao, X. B. Yan, Y. C. Li, T. Yang, X. L. Jia, Z. Y. Zhou and Y. Y. Zhang, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2016, **120**(14), 145304.
- 7 P. Ding, Y. B. Yang, Y. R. Wang, C. Liu, J. Yin, Y. D. Xia, A. D. Li and Z. G. Liu, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2019, **114**, 053506.
- 8 Z. G. Xu, C. X. Zhu, Z. L. Huo, S. J. Zhao and M. Liu, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2012, **45**, 185103.
- 9 E. Hourdakis and A. G. Nassiopoulou, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2011, **88**, 1573.
- 10 Y. Y. Zhang, T. Yang, X. B. Yan, Z. C. Zhang, G. Bai, C. Lu, X. L. Jia, B. F. Ding, J. H. Zhao and Z. Y. Zhou, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **110**, 223501.
- 11 X. D. Huang, J. K. O. Sin and P. T. Lai, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2013, **34**(4), 499–501.
- 12 X. D. Huang, R. P. Shi and P. T. Lai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **104**(16), 162905.
- 13 Y. H. Xiong, H. L. Tu, J. Du, M. Ji, X. Q. Zhang and L. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 012901.
- 14 J. C. Wang, P. C. Chou, C. S. Lai and L. C. Liu, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B: Nanotechnol. Microelectron.: Mater., Process., Meas., Phenom.*, 2011, **29**, 011009.
- 15 X. B. Yan, T. Yang, X. L. Jia, J. H. Zhao and Z. Y. Zhou, *Phys. Lett. A*, 2017, **380**(42), 3509.
- 16 W. J. Lu, Y. H. Dai, F. F. Wang, F. Yang, C. Z. Ma, X. Zhang and X. W. Jiang, *AIP Adv.*, 2017, 125001.
- 17 N. Nakagawa, H. Y. Hwang and D. A. Muller, *Nat. Mater.*, 2006, **5**, 204.
- 18 K. Xiong, J. Robertson, M. C. Gibson and S. J. Clark, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**(18), 183505.
- 19 Y. Zhang, Y. Y. Shao, X. B. Lu, M. Zeng, Z. Zhang, X. S. Gao, X. J. Zhang, J. M. Liu and J. Y. Dai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**(17), 172902.
- 20 X. D. Huang, L. Liu, J. P. Xu and P. T. Lai, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **99**(11), 112903.
- 21 J. C. Wang, C. S. Lai, Y. K. Chen, C. T. Lin, C. P. Liu, M. R. S. Huang and Y. C. Fang, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2009, **12**(6), H202.
- 22 X. Lan, C. Gong, X. Ou, Y. Cao, C. Sun, Y. Chen, B. Xu, Y. Xia, A. Li and J. Yin, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2015, **133**, 88.
- 23 N. El-Atab, F. Cimen, S. Alkis, A. K. Okyay, A. Nayfeh and A. Nayfeh, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, **105**(3), 033102.