The synergistic effect of the PEO–PVA–PESf composite polymer electrolyte for all-solid-state lithium-ion batteries†
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Blending with poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and poly(oxyphenylene sulfone) (PESf) has been investigated to improve the properties of a polymer electrolyte based on a poly(ethylene oxide) (PEO) matrix. The composite electrolyte shows a high ionic conductivity of 0.83 × 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ at 60 °C due to the significant inhibition of crystallization caused by the synergistic effects of PVA and PESf. The symmetrical cell Li/CPE/Li is continuously operated for at least 200 hours at a current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻² without the enhancement in the polarization potential. In addition, the all-solid-state LiFePO₄/CPE/Li cells exhibit small hysteresis potential (about 0.10 V), good cycle stability and excellent reversible capacity (126 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles).

1. Introduction

All-solid-state lithium-ion batteries have aroused researchers’ attention in recent years due to the impending demands of high safety and energy density. One of the arduous challenges is developing an adequate solid electrolyte with high Li⁺ conductivity, wide electrochemical windows and low interfacial resistance to afford outstanding electrochemical performances. The composite polymer electrolyte (CPE) based on PEO is a promising candidate owing to the low glass transition temperature (−60 °C), high Li⁺ solvation ability and admirable interfacial stability with lithium. However, the low room temperature conductivity (10⁻⁷ to 10⁻⁶ S cm⁻¹), narrow electrochemical windows and inferior mechanical properties of PEO are the main obstacles for further development.⁷⁻⁹

Blending is one of the valid approaches to ameliorate the properties of PEO, such as with PVP,⁸ PVDF,¹⁰ and PMMA;¹¹ this can decrease crystallization and enhance the fractional movement of polymer chains and the migration amount of Li⁺.¹² Similar to PEO, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is another semi-crystalline polymer with the fascinating properties of non-toxic, water solubility and biocompatibility; it has been under the spotlight and applied as an electrolyte in supercapacitors on a large scale.¹³⁻¹⁶ More recently, PVA-based CPE has been reported and has shown a fairly high room-temperature ionic conductivity of 4.31 × 10⁻⁴ S cm⁻¹.¹⁴ However, the PEO blend with PVA cannot be used individually as a polymer electrolyte because of unsatisfactory mechanical strength. It is of great importance to introduce a filler into the PEO host. The conventional inorganic fillers, such as TiO₂,¹⁷ ZrO₂,¹⁸ Al₂O₃,¹⁹ LiAlO₂, Li₅GeP₃S₁₂,²⁰ have been reported for improving the interfacial stability and Li⁺ transportation in the polymer matrix. However, till date, organic fillers with better phase compatibility and more surface groups than that for inorganic fillers have rarely been used in CPEs based on PEO.

Poly(oxyphenylene sulfone) (PESf) is a promising organic filler with good chemical resistance to organic solvents. As the molecular structure of PESf contains sulfonic acid and benzene ring, there are abundant conjugate electrons, which can bridge with the polymer chains. In this work, the PEO electrolyte blended with PVA and PESf has been successfully prepared with excellent electrochemical properties. PVA and PESf have synergistic effects, thus enhancing the amorphous phase and dissociations of the Li salt in the PEO host. The CPE membranes present an ionic conductivity of 0.83 × 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ at 60 °C without polarization degradation for at least 200 hours at a current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻². The LiFePO₄/CPE/Li cell can run for 100 cycles with 126 mA h g⁻¹ capacity retention.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Characterization of CPE membranes

Fig. 1a reveals the XRD (D8 Bruker) patterns of the pure PEO, PVA, PESf and CPE membranes with gradient concentrations. Two sharp diffraction peaks at around 19° and 23° are revealed for the PEO membrane, corresponding to its partially characteristic crystallization.¹³ There are no diffraction peaks of Li[TFSI] in all the XRD patterns, representing the dissolution of the lithium salt in the polymer matrix by the way of Li⁺ and TFSI⁻.
There are broad diffraction peaks at around 18° and 19° for PVA and PESf, respectively, due to the semicrystalline character. From the XRD patterns in the middle of Fig. 1a, the peak intensity does not notably decrease with the addition of 30 wt% PVA or 20 wt% PESf, indicating that individual PVA or PESf is not a promising plasticizer. In contrast, the crystallinity of CPE presents a remarkable decrease with the simultaneous addition of the PVA and PESf components. Naturally, PVA is a good solvent of LiTFSI because of the similar monomer relative to PEO, and PESf is a promising organic filler containing sulfonic acid and benzene ring. Thus, the PEO–PVA–PESf system not only enhances the concentration of Li+ in the polymer matrix, but also forces the PEO chain's segmental motion. The synergetic effect can sustain the high ionic conductivity of the CPE membrane. As displayed in Fig. 1b, the crystallinity of the membrane with 30 wt% PVA or 20 wt% PESf is over 32%, while the PEO–PVA–PESf system exhibits lower crystallinity, the value for which is below 28%. The lowest crystallinity is about 24.5%, which is calculated from the XRD pattern for the CPE with 30 wt% PVA and 20 wt% PESf membranes (PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA–20% PESf). The surface and cross-sectional images of the PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA–20% PESf membrane are shown in Fig. 1c and d, respectively. From the top view of the CPE electrolyte, the membrane surface is smooth and no aggregation can be observed, which is propitious to form a stable interface between the electrode and CPE; this is good for the transport of lithium ions during cycling. From the cross view of the CPE electrolyte, the membrane is relatively dense with the thickness of 287 μm.

The information of the intermolecular interaction between PEO, LiTFSI, PVA and PESf was investigated by FTIR (Nicolet 6700, America) spectroscopy, as shown in Fig. 2. After the introduction of the lithium salt, the intermolecular hydrogen-bonded O–H stretching (3433 cm⁻¹) becomes more evident owing to the interplay with TFSI⁻, which indicates that more Li⁺ ions could be freed from the lithium salt.¹³ The absorption peak of the symmetric CH₂ oscillation (1359 cm⁻¹) becomes more acute and intensive, demonstrating the enhancement in the PEO chain's segmental motion by adding the lithium salt.¹³ Interestingly, with the complex of PVA and PESf, the peak at 3433 cm⁻¹ becomes broader, and the peaks at 787 and 739 cm⁻¹ become more intensive; this demonstrates highly intense interactions between the intermolecular O–H and TFSI⁻ anions, indicating the further dissociation of LiTFSI and more active sites for Li⁺.²¹–²⁴ Also, the bands at 1281 and 842 cm⁻¹ become more intensive, leading to the stretching of asymmetric C–O–C and the less coordinated structure of the PEO chains and much more dynamic wiggle of the polymer chains.¹¹,²⁵
phenomenon indicates that PVA and PESf can disorder the coordination of PEO and promote the dissociation of the lithium salt and the movement of Li$^+$. 

2.2 Electrochemical performance analysis

The Nyquist plots of the PEO–LiTFSI, PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA and PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA–x% PESf polymer membranes measured at 30 °C are presented in Fig. 3a. The CPE membranes had lower impedance, which illustrated that the modified membranes had higher ionic conductivity compared with the PEO–LiTFSI system. The ionic conductivities of the CPE membranes were calculated based on the equation $\sigma = L/(RS)$, in which $R$ (Ω), $S$ (cm$^2$) and $L$ (cm) represent the resistance value of the bulk electrolyte, the area of the electrode and the distance of the two electrodes, respectively. The ionic conductivities of the CPE membranes were measured at different temperatures (25–120 °C), as revealed in Fig. 3b. The ionic conductivity of the PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA–x% PESf polymer membranes increased sharply with the temperature changing from 25 to 60 °C; the PEO–LiTFSI–30% PVA–20% PESf membrane presented a fairly higher ionic conductivity of about $0.83 \times 10^{-3}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 60 °C. Fig. 3c presents the LSV profiles of the CPE membranes to
quantify the electrochemical stability window. The PEO–LiTFSI membrane was barely stable under 4.8 V. Furthermore, the CPE with 30 wt% PVA and 20 wt% PESf showed the widest electrochemical window of about 5.38 V, which could meet the need of high-potential cathodic materials. The Li/PEO–LiTFSI/Li cell presented a high polarization potential over 1.5 V and could be merely operated stably for 100 hours at a current density of 0.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) before short circuit; in contrast, the Li/CPE/Li cell with the polarization potential less than 0.1 V could be stably operated for 200 hours without short circuit and deterioration of polarization at 60 °C. The polarization potential for the Li/CPE/Li cell was about 0.48 V, and it could be cycled stably for about 200 hours at room temperature (25 °C) with the current density of 0.1 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 3d), demonstrating that the compatibility between the CPE membranes and the Li-metal electrode was fantastic. As can be seen from Fig. S1,† pristine CPE cannot oscillate well with Li before cycling, while CPE and Li metal coalesce to form a stable and indiscernible interface after 200 hours of cycling, in which lithium dendrites cannot be observed; this indicates that CPE can effectively suppress dendrite formation and growth during lithium plating–stripping.

Fig. 4 shows the performances of the CPE electrolyte in the LiFeO\(_4\)/CPE/Li half-cell at different operating temperatures. At a temperature of 60 °C, the capacity is 167 mA h g\(^{-1}\) at 0.1C during the initial discharge process (Fig. 4a), which approaches the theoretical value of LiFePO\(_4\); this indicates that the interface compatibility between the cathode and CPE is fantastic, and the charge/discharge plateau is only 0.10 V, demonstrating that the interfacial resistance of the CPE/electrode is small enough. The symmetric pair of reduction peaks for Fe\(^{3+}/^{2+}\) can be clearly seen from the dQ/dV–V plot in the inset, indicating that the Li\(^+\) intercalation/deintercalation process of LiFePO\(_4\) occurs with small transfer resistance. At room temperature, the ionic conductivity of CPE is 3.64 × 10\(^{-5}\) S cm\(^{-1}\), as determined from Fig. 3b, and it is much lower than the value at 60 °C. The LiFePO\(_4\)/CPE/Li cell can still cycle at 25 °C, at which the capacity is about 100 mA h g\(^{-1}\). The effective Li\(^+\) transportation is presented in the charge/discharge profiles in Fig. 4b. The potential hysteresis is about 0.69 V, which is ascribed to the low ionic conductivity and poor interface of CPE and the electrodes. Disappointingly, the LiFePO\(_4\)/PEO–LiTFSI/Li cell can only run for 10 cycles, while the LiFePO\(_4\)/CPE/Li cell runs for over 100 cycles continually, with a reversible capacity of 126 mA h g\(^{-1}\) and a coulombic efficiency close to 98% (Fig. 4c) because of the good compatibility between the CPE and the Li-metal electrode and no side reactions. The rate capability differentiation of the LiFeO\(_4\)/CPE/Li cell is provided in Fig. 4d. The discharge capacity is about 166.0 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with the rate of 0.1C (0.034 mA). When the rate increases, the discharge capacity becomes 160.7, 158.4, 139.2 and 51.2 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with the corresponding rates of 0.2C (0.068 mA), 0.5C (0.17 mA), 1.0C (0.34 mA) and 2.0C (0.68 mA). It is worth noting that a reversible high capacity of 161.0 mA h g\(^{-1}\) is obtained when the cell is charged and discharged at 0.1C.
again with the coulombic efficiency close to 99%, which may be ascribed to the favourable interfacial stability as well as the mechanical strength of the CPE membranes.

3. Conclusion

In summary, the CPE of the PEO-PVA-PESf system has been prepared via the casting method, and it exhibits enhanced properties, such as a wider electrochemical window (about 5.38 V), higher ionic conductivity (0.83 × 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ at 60 °C) and better cyclic performance. PVA and PESf have synergistic effects for CPE, resulting in the boosting of the amorphization and dissociation of the lithium salt. Furthermore, the cell with CPE can operate for at least 200 hours at a current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻² without short circuit and polarization degradation. In particular, the LiFePO₄/CPE/Li cell can run for 100 cycles with 126 mA h g⁻¹ capacity retention.

4. Experimental

4.1 Preparation of CPE membranes and composite cathode

Lithium bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonamide (LiTFSI), PEO, PVA, and PESf with the average molecular weights of 287.09, 6.0 × 10⁶, 7.8 × 10⁴ and 6.3 × 10⁴ g mol⁻¹, respectively, were purchased from Aladdin. PESf was ground for 10 hours by a vacuum oven to eliminate the remaining solvent. The precursor solution was poured into the mould and solidified in the glove-box. A metallic lithium metal was introduced in a previous publication.³ PEOr-LiTFSI, PVA and PESf were orderedly dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN) with series of wt%. Then, the precursor solution was poured into the mould and solidified in the glove box filled with argon gas. Eventually, the CPE membrane was transferred to a vacuum oven to eliminate the remaining solvent.

The working electrodes were prepared by mixing 70 wt% of the active materials, 10 wt% acetylene black, 20 wt% composite polymer electrolyte (CPE) dissolved in anhydrous acetonitrile (ACN) to form a slurry. The slurry was then pasted onto an aluminum foil and dried at 60 °C for 10 hours in vacuum; then, the composite cathode was obtained for use, and the active mass loading was about 2 mg cm⁻². The electrochemical performance of CPE was studied by using CR2032-type coin cells assembled in an argon-filled glove-box. A metallic lithium foil was used as the counter electrode.

4.2 Electrochemical measurement of CPE membranes

The ionic conductivity of the CPE was determined by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) on an AutoLab workstation with the frequency range from 10 MHz to 0.01 Hz and the amplitude of 10 mV, in which the cell was assembled by a sandwich structure with a pair of stainless steel as the block electrodes. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) of the SS/CPE/Li cell was performed on CHI 660E at a sweep rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹ within the range from 2.0 to 6.0 V. The interfacial stabilities between the CPE and the Li-metal electrode were performed by galvanostatic cycling of the Li/CPE/Li symmetric cells at the current density of 0.1 mA cm⁻². The galvanostatic cycling was performed on LAND CT2001A between 2.0 and 4.0 V with the LiFePO₄/CPE/Li cell.
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