2.2.2-Cryptand as a bidentate ligand in rare-earth metal chemistry†‡
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The 2.2.2-cryptand ligand (crypt) that is heavily used in reductions of rare-earth metal complexes to encapsulate alkali metals has been found to function as a bidentate ligand to rare-earth metal ions in some cases. The X-ray crystal structures of the reduced dinitrogen metal complex, \([\{R_2N\}Ce(crypt-x^2-O, O\})_2[\mu–\eta^2:\eta^2-N_2]\] (R = SiMe3), and the ytterbium metallocene, \((C_5Me_5)_2Yb(crypt-x^2-O, O)\), are presented to demonstrate this binding mode. The implications of this available binding mode in rare-earth metal cryptand chemistry are discussed.

Introduction

One of the recent advances in rare-earth metal chemistry has been the discovery of crystallographically-characterizable molecular complexes of La(II), Ce(II), Pr(II), Gd(II), Tb(II), Ho(II), Er(II), Lu(II), and Y(II) according to syntheses such as those shown in eqn (1), which facilitated crystallographic characterization of the reaction products. Ln(II) metal complexes are now known with [M(crypt)]\(^{1+}\) counter-cations for \(M = Li, Na, K, Rb, \) and Cs in structures such as \([Li(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Na(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([K(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Rb(crypt)]^{+}\), \([Cs(crypt)]^{+}\), \([LaII(crypt)(CpMe)3]^{2+}\), \([CeII(crypt)(Ad,MeArO)3mes]^{2+}\), \([PrII(crypt)(CpMeMe)2]^{2+}\), \([NdII(crypt)(Ad,MeArO)3mes]^{2+}\), \([SmII(crypt)(2-Ad-4-Me-C6H2O)3(2,4,6-Me3C6H2)]^{2+}\), \([EuII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([GdII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([TbII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([HoII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([ErII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([YbII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([TmII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([YII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([DyII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([ErII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([LuII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), and \([YII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\). The reduction reactions that generated the new Ln(II) complexes have been the discovery of crystallographically-characterizable molecular complexes of La(II), Ce(II), Pr(II), Gd(II), Tb(II), Ho(II), Er(II), Lu(II), and Y(II) according to syntheses such as those shown in eqn (1), which facilitated crystallographic characterization of the reaction products. Ln(II) metal complexes are now known with [M(crypt)]\(^{1+}\) counter-cations for \(M = Li, Na, K, Rb, \) and Cs in structures such as \([Li(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Na(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([K(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Rb(crypt)]^{+}\), \([Cs(crypt)]^{+}\), \([LaII(crypt)(CpMe)3]^{2+}\), \([CeII(crypt)(Ad,MeArO)3mes]^{2+}\), \([PrII(crypt)(CpMeMe)2]^{2+}\), \([NdII(crypt)(Ad,MeArO)3mes]^{2+}\), \([SmII(crypt)(2-Ad-4-Me-C6H2O)3(2,4,6-Me3C6H2)]^{2+}\), \([EuII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([GdII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([TbII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([HoII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([ErII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([YbII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([TmII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([YII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([DyII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\), \([ErII(crypt)(DippForm)]^{2+}\)

Prior to those discoveries, molecular complexes of +2 lanthanide ions were known only for Eu(II), Yb(II), Sm(II), Tm(II), Dy(II), and Nd(II)\(^{5,6,10-13}\). The reduction reactions that generated the new Ln(II) ions utilized the 2.2.2-cryptand ligand (crypt) extensively to encapsulate the alkali metal ion formed in the reaction. The crypt-chelated alkali metal units constituted good counter-cations for the \([Cp^ \prime \cdot Ln(II)]^{+}\) and \([Cp^ \prime \cdot Ln(II)]^{2+}\) anions \((Cp^ \prime = C_8H_8SiMe_3; C_p^ \prime = C_5H_4SiMe_3; C_p^ \prime = C_8H_8(C_5H_4Me)_2; C_p^ \prime = C_8H_8(2,6-Ar_2-C_6H_3O))\), \([K(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Na(crypt)]^{1+}\), \([Li(crypt)]^{1+}\), and \([Rb(crypt)]^{+}\) as well as alkali metal ions. A variety of such metal complexes have been crystallographically-characterized involving both divalent and trivalent ions usually with one or two additional coordinated ligands that could be anions or neutral species. Examples include \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(DMF)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(CpMe)3]^{3+}\), \([SmIII(crypt)(PDCl)3]^{3+}\), \([EuIII(crypt)(Cl)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\) and \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\) among others. \(^{30-42}\)

However, the crypt ligand can also encapsulate rare-earth metals as well as alkali metal ions. A variety of such metal complexes have been crystallographically-characterized involving both divalent and trivalent ions usually with one or two additional coordinated ligands that could be anions or neutral species. Examples include \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(DMF)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(CpMe)3]^{3+}\), \([SmIII(crypt)(PDCl)3]^{3+}\), \([EuIII(crypt)(Cl)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\), \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\), \([YbIII(crypt)(Ph)]^{3+}\) and \([LnIII(crypt)(THF)]^{3+}\) among others. \(^{30-42}\)

We report here a new coordination mode for crypt with rare-earth metal ions that is intermediate between the two modes described above, i.e. it is in between the 8-coordinate mode with the...
rare-earth metal ion inside crypt and the situation in which crypt is not coordinating the rare-earth metal ion at all. We describe here two crystal structures that show that crypt can function as a bidentate ligand to rare-earth ions. This has interesting implications in the reaction chemistry of rare-earth metal complexes involving crypt.

Results and discussion

The first example of a bidentate crypt metal complex of a rare-earth metal ion was discovered while examining the reduction of dinitrogen with Ce(NR₂)₃ (R = SiMe₃) and potassium graphite. Numerous LnA₃/M reactions (A = anion; M = alkali metal) of this type have been performed in the past and generated both (N≡N)₂⁻ and (N₂)₃⁻ metal complexes. For example, crystallographically-characterized examples of [(R₂N)₂(THF)Ln]₂(µ-η²:η²-N₂) have been isolated in this way from reactions in THF for the smaller rare-earths, Ln = Nd, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Y, Er, Tm, and Lu, eqn (2). Analogous reactions with the larger lanthanides, La, Ce, and Pr, appeared to proceed similarly, but crystallographic confirmation of the products was not obtained. ¹H and ¹⁵N NMR data on the La product were similar to those on the Y and Lu products. Hence, it was likely that (N≡N)²⁻ products of La, Ce, and Pr formed, but the metal complexes were too sterically unsaturated with these larger metals to crystallize well. Further evidence of reaction in eqn (2) with the large lanthanides was obtained by isolation and crystallographic characterization of the tetrakis(amide) products, [K(THF)₆][Ln(NR₂)₄] for La and Pr and [Na(THF₄)(Et₂O)][Ce(NR₂)₄] for Ce. These tetrakis(amides) can form by complexation to the Ln(NR₂)₃ starting material of the (NR₂)⁻⁻⁻ ligands lost by each metal in a successful dinitrogen reduction.

When the LnA₃/M reaction was conducted in Et₂O using Ce(NR₂)₃ and KC₈ in the presence of crypt, the (N≡N)₂⁻ metal complex, [{(R₂N)₂Ce(crypt-κ²-O,O')₂}(µ-η²:η²-N₂)], 1, was isolated and identified by X-ray diffraction, eqn (3), Fig. 1. This was the first cerium amide (N≡N)²⁻ metal complex that could be crystallized.

Fig. 1 ORTEP representation of [{(R₂N)₂Ce(crypt-κ²-O,O')₂}(µ-η²:η²-N₂)], 1, with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms and an Et₂O in the lattice are excluded for clarity.
The crystal structure of 1, shows that it is a neutral metal complex with a side-on bound \((N=N)\) and two amide ligands bound to each cerium. This is similar to the known series of THF adducts in eqn (2), \(\text{[THF}[(R_{2}N)_{2}Ln]_{2}[\mu-\eta^{2}:\eta^{2}-N_{2}]]\), except instead of one THF molecule per metal, a crypt ligand coordinates to each metal through two oxygen atoms. In this case, crypt is acting as a \(\kappa^{2}-O,O\) “exo-crypt” with binding similar to that of a dimethoxethane (DME) ligand.

Presumably, with the extra steric bulk of crypt versus THF, the Ce \((N=N)\) product is sterically saturated enough to allow isolation and crystallization.

Compound 1 is sparingly soluble in both toluene and benzene. A \(^1\)H NMR spectrum was taken in both toluene-\(d_8\) and \(C_6D_6\) (see ESI†). The crypt resonances align with free cryptand in solution and only one resonance is seen for the methyl group of the silyl amides, which is shifted upfield.

In this case, crypt is acting as a \(\kappa^{2}\)-amido ligand with a long secondary interaction. The 2.545(2) Å Ce–O distance is similar to that of a dimethoxethane (DME) ligand, \((C_5Me_5)\)Yb(crypt-\(\kappa^{2}\)-O,O’), 2, eqn (4), Fig. 2. The compound was identified by X-ray crystallography, but the data were not of high enough quality for a detailed structural discussion. In the metal complex 2, as in 1, the exo-crypt ligand coordinates like DME. X-ray crystal structures of similar formally eight coordinate \((C_5Me_5)\)YbL\(_2\) compounds are common with \(L = \text{pyridine}\) and with \(L_2 = \text{bipyridine and substituted bipyridines.}\)

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distances (Å) and angles (°) for 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce1–N3(amide) 2.409(2) Ce1–N1 2.451(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce1–N2(amide) 2.412(2) Ce1–N1′ 2.468(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce1–O1 2.7957(18) Ce1–O2 2.5453(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–N1′ 1.233(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypt O–C distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1-C14 1.439(3) O1-C15 1.437(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2-C16 1.438(3) O2-C17 1.443(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3-C20 1.422(4) O3-C21 1.418(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O4-C22 1.413(4) O4-C23 1.418(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O5-C26 1.424(4) O5-C27 1.417(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O6-C28 1.413(4) O6-C29 1.399(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypt C–C distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13-C14 1.525(4) C15-C16 1.485(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C17-C18 1.512(4) C19-C20 1.504(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C21-C22 1.489(5) C23-C24 1.507(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C25-C26 1.510(4) C27-C28 1.495(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C29-C30 1.525(5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusion

Although 2,2,2-cryptand can stabilize alkali metal ions to generate favorable lattices for crystallization of rare-earth metal complexes and it can encapsulate rare-earth ions as an octadentate ligand, it can also function as a stabilizing bidentate \(\kappa^{2}\)-chelating ligand for rare-earth metal ions as shown in eqn (4), showing the bidentate binding of crypt.
O-donors are coordinated. Two scandium metal complexes containing [ScCl₄(κ²⁻¹⁻¹₈⁻₆₋₆)] [X] (X = SbCl₅ or FeCl₄) and [Ce (κ²⁻¹⁻¹₈⁻₆₋₆)] [N(SiMe₃)₂PhF]₃ (PhF = pentafluorophenyl) have been reported.

To the extent that crypt binds like dimethoxyethane, it constitutes a sterically bulky variation of a commonly-used ether. The size and 3-dimensional nature of crypt means that it can substantially fill the coordination environment of a sterically unsaturated compound. In the case of the [[(R₂N)₂Ln]₂(µ−η²⁻¹⁻¹⁻¹₋₂₋₂)] [N(SiMe₃)₂PhF]₃, which crystallizes for smaller metals, Nd–Lu, but not for Ce, it is possible that a single THF ligand was not enough to sterically saturate the coordination environment to yield single crystals for X-ray diffraction. Crypt coordination in 1 led to successful crystallization of this cerium (N=−N²−) compound. Attempts to crystallize [[((R₂N)₂Ce)₂(µ−η²⁻¹⁻¹⁻¹₋₂₋₂)] with DME have been unsuccessful.

The efficacy of crypt in 2 is somewhat different since the (C₅Me₅)₂CeBPh₄ unit crystallizes in any of the ethers and with one and two coordinating ligands. Hence, this is not the case of a sterically unsaturated unit that will not crystallize. The κ²-crypt just functions as other bidentate coordinating bases.

These results raise interesting possibilities in reaction chemistry. It is possible that crypt can coordinate to a rare-earth metal ion before alkali metal reduction occurs and before the alkali metal cation is formed and inserts into the crypt. Similarly, in the reactions that form Ln-in-crypt [Ln (crypt)]⁺ cations, a preliminary step in the procedure could involve bidentate coordination of the crypt to the rare-earth metal ion before it inserts into the cavity. The importance of κ²-crypt coordination in any reaction coordinate will depend heavily on the specific system, the solvent, and any other ligands present in the reaction mixture. However, in non-polar solvents free of any other coordinating ligands, κ²-crypt could play an important role as a bidentate ligand that preferentially stabilizes species that are otherwise not isolable.

**Experimental**

All manipulations and syntheses described below were conducted with the rigorous exclusion of air and water using standard Schlenk line and glovebox techniques under an argon or dinitrogen atmosphere. Solvents were sparged with UHP argon and dried by passage through columns containing Q-5 and molecular sieves prior to use. Deuterated NMR solvents were dried over NaK alloy or Na/benzophenone, degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, and vacuum-transferred before use.

¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 600 spectrometer (¹H operating at 600 MHz) at 298 K, unless otherwise stated, and referenced internally to residual proto-solvent resonances. 2.2.2-Cryptand (Sigma-Aldrich) was placed under vacuum (10⁻³ Torr) before use. Ce[NR₃]₃ 6¹ and (C₅Me₅)₂Yb (BPh₄) 6² were synthesized according to literature procedures.

[[(R₂N)₂Ce(crypt−κ²−O,O')₃(µ−η²−η²−N₃)]], 1

A dinitrogen-saturated Et₂O (3 mL) solution of Ce[NR₃]₃ (R = SiMe₃) (60 mg, 0.1 mmol) and 2.2.2-cryptand (36 mg, 0.1 mmol), chilled to −35 °C, was added to a vial containing excess KC₈ (20 mg 0.1 mmol), that had been chilled in a cold well with a liquid nitrogen bath. This generated a yellow solution. Bright yellow crystals were isolated by filtering and placing the Et₂O solution in a −35 °C freezer for several days (20 mg, 24%). These were identified as 1 by X-ray diffraction.

(C₅Me₅)₂Yb(crypt−κ²−O,O'), 2

In an argon atmosphere, the addition of a green toluene-solution of (C₅Me₅)₂Yb(BPh₄) (50 mg, 0.08 mmol) to a toluene (2 mL) solution of 2.2.2-cryptand (30 mg, 0.08 mmol) generated a green mixture with white precipitate. The mixture was filtered to remove insoluble material and the green solution was layered into hexanes and placed in a −35 °C freezer. After 1 d, green X-ray quality crystals of 2 were formed.
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