Inert macrocyclic Eu\textsuperscript{3+} complex with affirmative paraCEST features\textsuperscript{†}
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We report on a macrocyclic platform based on an 18-membered macrocycle that forms kinetically highly inert paramagnetic complexes and possesses an excellent outlook for the development of bioresponsive paraCEST (paramagnetic chemical exchange saturation transfer) contrast agents. The investigated europium(III) chelate is non-hydrated and contains four amide groups, each possessing two paramagnetically shifted proton resonances distant from bulk water. The X-ray crystal structure and solution studies indicate that the metal ion is ten-coordinated, being directly bound to the six N atoms of the macrocycle and the four amide O atoms of the pendant arms. The complex presents an excellent inertness with respect to dissociation, being stable under a variety of harsh conditions, including highly acidic and basic media or elevated temperatures. The amide protons are in slow-to-intermediate exchange with respect to dissociation, being stable under a variety of harsh conditions, including highly acidic and basic media or elevated temperatures. The amide protons are in slow-to-intermediate exchange with bulk water, which gives rise to the generation of a strong CEST effect at low probe concentration and saturation powers (~25\% at 5 mM, \(B_1 = 5 \mu\text{T}, 37 °C\)). We demonstrate the potential of this platform for mapping pH in its microenvironment and foresee potential for the development of diverse paraCEST probes and sensors.

Introduction

Efficient detection and monitoring of pathological processes is a key step in ensuring the timely diagnosis of numerous diseases. Various molecular imaging techniques aim for developing reliable protocols to visualize such biological events, with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) being at the forefront of this progress. In addition to the ability to cover larger volumes or enable investigations of tissues at any depth, MRI possesses outstanding potential and versatility to study functional processes with an unprecedented specificity and spatial resolution.1,2 This was initially recognized slightly over two decades ago with the first reports on the ‘smart’ contrast agents,\textsuperscript{3,4} meanwhile different nuclei, MRI protocols or bioresponsive probes have been employed in attempts to assess the functional state of tissues,\textsuperscript{5,6} especially the environmental pH as one of the best physiological markers of different diseases, including inflammation,\textsuperscript{7} infection,\textsuperscript{8} ischemia\textsuperscript{9} and cancer.\textsuperscript{10}

Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) is an emerging MRI methodology appreciated due to a few advantageous features that circumvent the current shortcomings of other existing methods.\textsuperscript{11} CEST takes advantage of the magnetization transfer between the exchanging species operating at two different frequencies (most frequently a pool of protons from the CEST probe and bulk water), thus reducing the magnetization of the latter once the former species is irradiated with a radiofrequency (RF) pulse of defined energy. The great advantage of this strategy is the ability of providing signal response at will: the CEST effect or image will be generated only with the application of desired saturation pulses. Moreover, the existence of at least two separate exchange processes (e.g. two separate pools of protons in exchange with protons from bulk water) paves the way for highly specific, multifrequency and consequently multicolour CEST measurements. It also favours ratiometric approaches, \textit{i.e.} exploitation of these separate exchange processes for providing information on the influence of an ion or a molecule in the probe microenvironment, but independent on probe concentration.\textsuperscript{12} Finally, since the exchange rates are intrinsically tied to the resulting intensity
of CEST, any alteration on the exchange rates will ultimately modulate the recorded CEST effect. For instance, pH changes in the microenvironment of the CEST pair(s) affect the saturation transfer process and thus the CEST MR image.

Several useful approaches have been reported in attempts to develop CEST probes and methods enabling pH mapping in tissue,\textsuperscript{13–21} or for development of bioreponsive agents that can help observing various biological processes.\textsuperscript{22–25} For instance, a recent report demonstrated the use of ratiometric CEST MRI to map renal pH using a diaCEST agent \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{26}

Irrespective if they are comprised of diamagnetic molecules or those with paramagnetically shifted protons (dia- or paraCEST probes, respectively), their common feature is the presence of the CEST-active pool sufficiently distant from bulk water frequency. This ensures effective magnetization transfer (MT) and adjustment of the exchange rates in the slow exchange regime, thus allowing low energy saturation pulses to produce detectable CEST effects. In fact, this is a critical aspect for the use of any CEST-based probe \textit{in vivo}: due to the specific absorption rate (SAR) limitations, \textit{i.e.} the energy deposition in tissue, it is essential to use low energy saturation pulses (low $B_1$ fields).\textsuperscript{27,28} Despite an advantageous feature of the currently available paraCEST agents to shift the CEST frequency sufficiently away from the semi-solid MT (tissue background CEST), most of them still lack the optimal exchange regimes to provide the most efficient labelling efficiency ($\alpha$) and hence to be used at reduced probe concentrations at low $B_1$ fields. These limitations of the currently available probes are certainly the main obstacle for the translation of CEST agents to clinical practice, in spite of the intense research efforts in this field during the last two decades.\textsuperscript{29–31}

Taking these demands into consideration, we developed a paramagnetic platform that encompasses the majority of the parameters necessary for a prospective paraCEST probe. We built upon the previous work on the 18-membered macrocyclic molecule that provides exceptionally inert Pr\textsuperscript{3+}, Eu\textsuperscript{3+} or Yb\textsuperscript{3+} complexes and exhibits the paraCEST effect.\textsuperscript{32} We improved the previous system by incorporating amide instead of hydroxyl groups (Fig. 1), thus obtaining 8 instead of 4 exchanging protons. Furthermore, being geometrically oriented in a different manner, we anticipated their grouping into two pairs of protons. This opens the possibility of getting two CEST effects at two different frequencies. An additional favourable property of this 18-membered macrocycle platform is the formation of Ln\textsuperscript{3+} complexes that lack coordinated water molecules.\textsuperscript{33–35} The presence of coordinated water molecules in exchange with bulk water shortens the longitudinal relaxation times of the bulk water signal, which is detrimental to the CEST effect.\textsuperscript{36} Concurrently, this feature increases the number of coordination bonds between the multitdentate chelator and the metal ion, thus significantly improving inertness of the obtained chelate.

### Experimental section

**General remarks**

\textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectra of the ligand and complexes were recorded on a Bruker ARX400 spectrometer, while the CEST experiments were performed on a Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometer (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany). Chemical shifts were referenced by using the residual solvent proton signals.\textsuperscript{37} Elemental analysis was performed in a Carlo-Erba EA 1108 microanalyser. Attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) spectra were recorded as KBr discs on a Bruker VECTOR 22 spectrometer. ESI experiments were performed on an microTOF (focus) mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) or an ion trap SL 1100 system from Agilent (Germany). For the former, the ions were generated using an ApolloII (ESI) source and ionization was achieved by electrospray.

UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Jasco V-650 spectrometer using 1 cm quartz cells. The excitation and emission spectra in the UV-Vis region were obtained with a Horiba FluoroMax Plus-P spectrofluorometer equipped with a 150 W ozone-free xenon arc lamp and a R928P photon counting emission detector. All spectra were corrected for the instrumental response provided by the manufacturer. An integration time of 0.1 s was used in all steady state measurements. Luminescence decays were measured on the same instrument working in the phosphorescence mode using a xenon flash lamp. Emission lifetimes were obtained by least-squares fits of the decay data using monoeponential decay functions. The emission quantum yield of EuL was obtained with optically diluted solutions using the trisdipicolinate complex Cs\textsubscript{3}[Eu(pic)]\textsubscript{3} ($\Phi = 13.5\%$ in TRIS buffer, pH 7.4) as reference.\textsuperscript{38,19}

**Synthesis**

$2,2',2''',(3,6,10,13-Tetraaza-1,8(2,6)-dipyridinacyclotetrade-caphane-3,6,10,13-tetrayl)tetraacetamide \textsuperscript{(1)}$. The macrocyclic precursor \textsuperscript{3} 3,6,10,13-tetraaza-1,8(2,6)-dipyridinacyclotetrade-caphane\textsuperscript{10} (1.50 g, 4.60 mmol) and Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} (2.968 g, 28 mmol) were refluxed in acetonitrile (50 mL). A solution of 2-bromoacetamide (3.379 g, 24 mmol) in acetonitrile (30 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, which was refluxed for 24 hours. The mixture was allowed to cool and the solid obtained was isolated by filtration, washed with water and recrystallized from methanol to give a white crystalline solid, which was collected by filtration and dried providing 1.240 g of

![Fig. 1 Chemical structure of the complex studied in this work. NMR-equivalent protons on amides were deliberately marked with same colour.](image-url)
L-H₂O (yield 51%). C₂₅H₄₀N₁₀O₅ (572.32): caled C 54.5, H 7.0, N 24.5; found C 54.2, H 7.3, N 24.7. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 1594 (s), 1456 (s) [ν(C=C)] and ν(C≡N)ₚpos, 1676 (s) [ν(C=O)], 3165 (m) [ν(NH)]. MS (ESI-MS, m/z, found [calculated]): 555.3 (555.3) [L + H]⁺. ¹H NMR (CD3OD, δ, ppm): H1 7.70 (t, 2H), H2 7.25 (d, 4H), 3H 3.47 (b, 8H), H5 2.75 (b, 8H), H6 3.14 (b, 8H).

**General procedure for the preparation of the complexes**

A solution of Ln(NO₃)₃·xH₂O (0.04 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) was added to a stirred solution of L-H₂O (0.023 g, 0.04 mmol) in the same solvent (10 mL) and the mixture was slightly heated. Slow concentration of the methanolic solutions gave crystalline products that were isolated by filtration and dried.

**[EuL(NO₃)]₂·3H₂O.** The complex was prepared using L-H₂O (0.023 g, 0.04 mmol) and Eu(NO₃)₃·5H₂O (0.017 g, 0.04 mmol). Yield: 0.022 g, 60%. Anal. Caled for C₂₅H₄₄N₁₃O₁₆Eu: C, 33.0; H, 4.7; N, 19.2%. Found: C, 33.1; H, 4.9; N, 19.1%. ESI-MS (m/z, found [calculated]): 704.2 (704.2) [Y(L)NO₃]⁺. IR (ATR-FTIR): 1604 (s), 1454 (s) [ν(C≡C)] and ν(C≡N)ₚpos, 1651 (s) [ν(C=O)], 3262 (m), 3162 (m) [ν(NH₂)], 1314 (s), 829 (m) [ν(NO₃)] cm⁻¹. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of the complex.

**[YL(NO₃)]₂·3H₂O.** The complex was prepared using L-H₂O (0.023 g, 0.04 mmol) and Y(NO₃)₃·6H₂O (0.015 g, 0.04 mmol). Yield: 0.028 g, 79%. Anal. Caled for C₂₅H₄₄N₁₃O₁₆Y: C, 35.3; H, 5.0; N, 20.6%. Found: C, 35.7; H, 5.5; N, 20.3%. ESI-MS (m/z, found [calculated]): 704.2 (704.2) [Y(L)NO₃]⁺. IR (ATR-FTIR): 1604 (s), 1454 (s) [ν(C≡C)] and ν(C≡N)ₚpos, 1651 (s) [ν(C=O)], 3262 (m), 3162 (m) [ν(NH₂)], 1314 (s), 829 (m) [ν(NO₃)] cm⁻¹. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution of the complex.

**Crystal structure determinations**

Crystallographic data of [EuL(NO₃)]₂·3H₂O were collected at 293(2) K on a BRUKER Smart-CCD-1000 diffractometer using Graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. All data were corrected by Lorentz and polarization effects. For [YL(NO₃)]₂·3H₂O, crystallographic data were measured at room temperature using a Bruker Smart 6000 CCD detector and Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.54178 Å) generated by an Incoatec microfocus source equipped with Incoatec Quazar MX optics. The software APEX2 was used for collecting frames of data, indexing reflections, and the determination of lattice parameters, while SAINT was used for integration of the intensity of reflections. The software SADABS was used in all cases for scaling and empirical absorption correction. All structures were solved by using the SHELXT program and refined by a full-matrix least-squares based on F². The Squeeze program was used to correct the reflection data for the diffuse scattering due to the disordered nitrate ions and water molecules present in the unit cell of [EuL(NO₃)]₂·3H₂O. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were included in idealized positions and refined with isotropic displacement parameters. CCDC 1886847 and 1886848 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. Molecular graphics were generated using ORTEP-3.

**CEST experiments and the optimal kₑₓ**

**CEST experiments.** The saturation transfer experiments were carried out at 25 or 37 °C by irradiating the EuL sample at increments of 0.5 ppm in the frequency range ±20 ppm, then at increments of 1 ppm in the frequency range −50 to −20 and +20 to +50 ppm followed by an FID readout; for iopamidol, we irradiated the sample at increments of 0.25 ppm in the frequency range ±10 ppm, then at increments of 5 ppm in the frequency range −50 to −10 and +10 to +50 ppm. Spectra were measured by recording the bulk water signal intensity as a function of the presaturation frequency. Saturation offsets are reported relative to the resonance frequency of bulk water.

For each temperature, data were collected by varying the saturation power whilst the saturation time remained constant (5 s for experiments reported in Fig. 4, or 15 s for the experiments reported in Fig. 5, ESI Fig. S8–11† and Table 1). The saturation field strengths used were: 2.5, 3, 5, 7.5, 10 and 15 μT for experiments reported in the Fig. 4, or 1, 2.5, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5 and 15 μT for the experiments reported in Fig. 5, S8–11 and Table 1. Longitudinal relaxation times were obtained in an independent experiment using the standard inversion-recovery method with 1% gradient to eliminate the radiation damping effect. The CEST experiments at variable pH (experiments reported in Fig. 5, ESI Fig. S8–11† and Table 1) were performed using a 5 mm NMR tube with EuL dissolved in a 9:1 mixture H₂O:D₂O (v/v). The CEST experiments with shorter saturation (5 s) were performed using a 5 mm NMR tube filled with an aqueous solution of EuL or iopamidol and a smaller 2 mm NMR tube (1.6 mm inner diameter) filled with D₂O. For data evaluation, Z-spectra were normalized by an unsaturated fully relaxed water signal Me. The CEST effect was calculated employing the inverse asymmetry analysis of the normalized Z-magnetization. The inverse difference of the magnetization transfer, MTRind, was calculated according to eqn (1), where M₀ is the unsaturated water magnetization, while Mₑ and Mₑ⁻ are magnetizations of the on-resonance at the frequency +Δω and of the off-resonance at the frequency −Δω relative to bulk water, respectively.†

\[
\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}} = \frac{M_0}{M_{\text{e}^{-}}} - \frac{M_{\text{e}^{+}}}{M_0}
\]

Simultaneous multi-B₁ Z-spectra fitting was performed as described previously,† using the tool published online.†

**Table 1.** Exchange rates (in Hz) determined with the qCEST method for EuL (5 mM) at variable pH (PBS, 37 °C).†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Peak at 8 ppm</th>
<th>Peak at 14 ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>138 ± 19</td>
<td>66 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>321 ± 30</td>
<td>162 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>941 ± 75</td>
<td>487 ± 69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2631 ± 130</td>
<td>1364 ± 101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5631 ± 225</td>
<td>2977 ± 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>15 481 ± 1792</td>
<td>7368 ± 1002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Optimal $k_{\text{sec}}$. For a radiofrequency (RF) saturation pulse, the SAR grows quadratically with its amplitude; thus, if approaching to a steady state is desired, $B_1$ fields of max. 15 $\mu$T ($\omega_1 = 2\pi \times 600$ Hz) could be applied without making a lethal harm to an animal.\textsuperscript{20,21,31} However, even lower amplitudes are suggested to reduce the stress for the animal and tissue temperature.\textsuperscript{77,28} Naturally, if talking about human experiments, even lower SAR values are allowed or are possible, on the level of up to 6 $\mu$T.

Theoretically, the CEST effect that can be acquired with a $B_1$ of 10 $\mu$T (2x-400 Hz $\cong$ 2700 Hz) directly depends on the exchange rate by the labeling efficiency relation (eqn (2)):

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma^2 B_1^2}{\gamma^2 B_1^2 + k^2}$$

It is also well known and easy to calculate that this function has a maximum of 0.5 for $k = \gamma \times B_1$. Thus for the limit of $B_1 = 10$ $\mu$T, the optimal CEST effect can be achieved with a CEST exchange rate of $k = \gamma \times B_1 = 2700$ Hz.

CEST simulations. Simulated Z-spectra and $\text{MTR}_{\text{asym}}$ effects were obtained at $B_0 = 7$ T using the computational method based on the Bloch-McConnell equations,\textsuperscript{37} and recently used for multi-pool CEST simulations including the in vivo-like semisolid MT pool.\textsuperscript{49} Two separate sets of calculations were performed, assuming conditions suitable for clinical ($B_1 = 5$ $\mu$T, saturation time = 0.5 s) or preclinical ($B_1 = 10$ $\mu$T, saturation time = 5 s) settings. For the results reported in Fig. 6, the fractional concentration, $X$, was calculated for 10 mT concentration of exchanging protons using the following equation $X = 10 \times 10^{-3} M/111 M = 0.00009$; however, for results reported in Fig. 7, the fractional concentration for 5 mM complexes ($X = 0.000045$) was taken as the basis, and then multiplied by the number of exchangeable protons available in each complex (see ESI Table S4).\textsuperscript{1}

For mimicking a grey brain matter environment of the paraCEST agents, the water and semisolid MT pool were added to the CEST simulation using a water longitudinal relaxation time $T_{1w} = 1.67$ s, and a transverse relaxation time $T_{2w} = 0.043$ s. The semisolid MT pool (Super-Lorentzian lineshape) was defined by its fractional concentration $X_{\text{MT}} = 0.05$, transverse relaxation time $T_{2\text{MT}} = 9.1$ $\mu$s, exchange rate $k_{\text{ex(MT)}} = 40$ Hz; the chemical shift was set to $\delta_{\text{MT}} = 0$ ppm for simplicity.\textsuperscript{49} The reported CEST effects were obtained by subtracting the simulated Z-spectrum that assumed the water, MT and one or two paraCEST pools with the simulated Z-spectrum that assumed the water and MT pools only.

MRI experiments

MRI measurements on tube phantoms were performed on a Bruker BioSpec 70/30 USR magnet (software version Paravision 5.1) using a Bruker volume coil (RF RES 300 1H 075/040 QSN TR). The MRI phantom consisted of 6 vials filled with a EuL solution in PBS (3 mM) and the pH ranging from 6.0 to 8.0.

Z-spectra were acquired at room temperature (~22 °C) with 139 irradiation offsets ranging from 20 to ~20 ppm, saturation pulse duration of 5 s, and five different radiofrequency field strengths, $B_1 = 3, 4, 5, 6$ and 7.5 $\mu$T.

Results and discussion

Preparation

The synthesis of L started by the preparation of the 3,6,10,13-tetraaza-1,8(2,6)-dipyridinecyclotetradecaphane precursor by condensation of diformylpyridine and ethylenediamine using $\text{Ba}^{2+}$ as a template, and subsequent reduction of the Schiff-base intermediate with $\text{NaBH}_4$ (59% yield).\textsuperscript{40,50} Alkylation of the macrocycle with bromoacetamide in acetonitrile using $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ as a base provided L in 51% yield. The paramagnetic Eu$^{3+}$ complex was obtained in good yield (60%) by direct reaction of the ligand with the appropriate hydrated lanthanide nitrate in methanol. The mass spectrum (ESI+) shows intense peaks due to the [EuL(2-H)]$^+$ entity that confirm the formation of the complex (ESI Fig. S1).\textsuperscript{1}

X-ray crystallography

Crystals of formula [EuL(NO$_3$)$_3$·3H$_2$O were obtained by slow evaporation of aqueous solutions of the complex. They contain the [EuL]$^{3+}$ cations, highly disordered nitrate anions and water molecules. While the quality of the crystallographic data of the Eu$^{3+}$ complex is not very high, the overall structure of the [EuL]$^{3+}$ complex and the bond distances and angles of the metal coordination environment are reasonably accurate (Fig. 2 and ESI Tables S1 and 2). Furthermore, we obtained single crystals of the Y$^{3+}$ analogue (ESI Fig. S2 and ESI Tables S1 and 2), which assumes a very similar structure.

The [EuL]$^{3+}$ cations present ten-coordinate metal ions that are directly bonded to the six donor atoms of the macrocyclic skeleton and the four oxygen atoms of the acetamide pendant (Fig. 2). While ten coordination is relatively uncommon for lanthanide complexes in aqueous solution, similar ten-coordinated structures were observed previously for complexes...
Based on the same macrocyclic platform functionalyzed with acetate, methylenepyridine or hydroxyethyl pendant arms. The ligand L adopts a twist-wrap conformation in which the angles involving the two pyridyl nitrogen atoms and the metal ion are nearly linear (~179°). The two pyridyl units are twisted with respect to each other, so that the least-squares planes intersect at angles of ca. 19–20°. The coordination polyhedron can be best described as a sphenocorona, as confirmed by performing shape measures with the SHAPE program. A sphenocorona is a 1:5:4 polyhedron (Fig. 2) relatively common in the chemistry of the lanthanide ions. In the present case the least-squares containing 5 and 4 donor atoms present rather large mean deviations from planarity (0.27 and 0.21 Å, respectively, Fig. 2).

Kinetic inertness

The 1H NMR spectrum of EuL recorded in a H2O solution presents 10 paramagnetically shifted signals in the range ~20 to ~20 ppm, which is in line with the D2 symmetry observed in the solid state. The spectrum recorded in 1 M HCl remains unchanged over a period of at least 25 days (ESI Fig. S3†), with no signals due to the free ligand being observed. This experiment evidences that the complex presents an astonishing inertness under very harsh conditions. For instance, the commercially available contrast agent [Gd(DOTA)]3− (DOTA = 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecan-1,4,7,10-tetraacetate) dissociates with a half-life of ~30 hours under these conditions. The chemical shifts of the paramagnetically shifted signals could be easily assigned by comparison with those of the analogue bearing hydroxyethyl pendant arms instead of acetamides. The similarity of the 1H NMR spectra of these two complexes indicates that they present similar magnetic anisotropies, and thus similar coordination environments in solution (ESI Table S3†).

Additional experiments were carried out to assess the inertness of EuL in phosphate buffer at pH 7.0 upon addition of one equivalent of Zn2+. These conditions were proposed to study the stability of Gd-based contrast agents. The 1H NMR spectra of EuL (5 mM, 25 °C) recorded in phosphate buffer (67 mM) before and after addition of one equivalent of Zn2+ are identical, and confirm the stability of the complex under these conditions. The spectra did not show signals due to diamagnetic species and the solution did not show visible presence of precipitate due to insoluble EuPO4. Moreover, the 1H NMR spectrum remained unchanged upon incubation of the mixture at 40 °C for 72 h. 1H NMR experiments were also recorded at variable temperatures of up to 73 °C (ESI Fig. S4†). Again no dissociation of the complex was observed, nor signs of fluxional behaviour, which shows that the complex presents a very rigid structure in solution. The only noticeable change observed upon increasing temperature is the decrease of the observed paramagnetic shifts, as expected due to the 1/T and 1/T2 dependencies of the contact and pseudocontact contributions, respectively. The 1H NMR spectrum also remains unchanged upon increasing the pH to 10.0 (ESI Fig. S5†). Finally, the EuL complex was challenged with 10 equivalent of the DTPA chelator (DTPA – diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid) in phosphate buffer at pH 7.0. The luminescence steady-state emission spectrum of the solution did not experience any noticeable changes upon addition of DTPA over a period of 72 h (ESI Fig. S6†). Furthermore, the luminescence emission decay curves recorded before and after addition of DTPA are virtually superimposable, demonstrating that the EuL complex remains intact under these conditions (ESI Fig. S7†). These experiments confirm the astonishing kinetic inertness of the complex, which appears to be related to the efficient protection from the environment of the metal ion in the ten-coordinate structure.

UV/Vis and luminescence properties

The UV absorption and luminescence emission experiments on the EuL complex gave further insights on its coordination and photophysical properties in solution (Fig. 3). The absorption spectrum presents a maximum at 268 nm (ε = 11 000 M−1 cm−1) characteristic of the pyridyl group, and this band can be used to sensitized Eu3+ luminescence emission. The absorption and excitation spectra are almost identical, which indicates that Eu3+ sensitization occurs via energy transfer.
from excited states of the ligand to Eu$^{3+}$ excited states. The luminescence emission spectrum consists of the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_J$ bands typical for Eu$^{3+}$. The integrated and corrected relative intensities of the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_J$ transitions represent 0.21, 15.8, 25.7, 5.5, 39.5, 2.5 and 10.8% of the overall emission intensity for $J = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6, respectively. Thus, the overall emission intensity is dominated by the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_4$ transition, while the intensities of the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_1$ and $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_2$ transitions are rather similar.

Furthermore, the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_0$ transition is very weak and the $5D_0 \rightarrow 7F_1$ transition displays only two of the maximum $2J + 1$ components. This emission pattern is characteristic of a rather symmetric crystal field around the metal coordination environment, which is attributed to the $D_2$ symmetry observed both in the solid state and in solution. The emission spectrum exhibits unusually intense $\Delta J = 5$ and $\Delta J = 6$ transitions, a rare feature that is characteristic of this type of coordination. Further investigations indicated that the excited $5D_0$ state presents a monoexponential decay with a lifetime of $0.914$ ms and a modest luminescence quantum yield of $\Phi_{\text{Eu}} = 0.11$, which can be attributed to the presence of amide NH oscillators and possibly water molecules in the second-hydration sphere that provide a rather efficient vibrational deactivation of the $5D_0$ excited state of Eu$^{3+}$. The emission lifetime determined in D$_2$O solution amounts to $1.902$ ms, which results in a hydration number of $q = 0$ by using the method proposed by Beeby including the correction associated to the presence of four amide NH oscillators.

**CEST experiments**

**paraCEST properties.** The favourable kinetic inertness and the coordination properties of this non-hydrated paramagnetic complex indicated its prospected outlook for potential CEST applications. Especially the lack of coordinated water molecules is an interesting property of this complex from the perspective of CEST applications. Indeed, the net magnetization of water protons ($M_z/M_0$) at steady-state conditions and complete saturation of the pool of exchangeable protons is given by eqn (4), where $c$ is the concentration of the probe, $q$ is the number of exchangeable protons, $k_{\text{ex}}$ is the exchange rate of exchangeable protons and $T_1$ is the longitudinal relaxation time of the bulk water signal. This simplified expression shows that $M_z/M_0$ is reduced, and thus the CEST effect is enhanced, if $T_1$ is long. Given the ability of paramagnetic Ln$^{3+}$ ions to shorten the relaxation times of bulk water through chemical exchange, the properties of EuL as a CEST agent are expected to be improved in the absence of water molecules coordinated to the metal centre.

$$
\frac{M_z}{M_0} = \frac{1}{1 + c q k_{\text{ex}} T_1} \approx \frac{1}{53.55}
$$

The $Z$-spectra of EuL were recorded at 25 and 37 °C and a magnetic field of 7 T (Fig. 4). Already at the chelate concentration of 5 mM and low saturation pulse power (5 µT), the advantageous CEST properties of this complex became obvious. Namely, two paramagnetically shifted and well resolved resonances at 15 and 9.5 ppm from bulk water exhibited CEST effect of ~25% at 25 °C, which corresponds to a proton transfer enhancement (PTE) of 5550. Upon heating to 37 °C, the intensity of CEST effect remained similar, while the shift of the resonances decreased by ~1 ppm along with a broadening of the peak closer to bulk water, suggesting an increase in the exchange rates due to higher temperature. For comparison, we recorded the $Z$-spectra of iopamidol (Isovue™ or Solustrast™) under the same conditions (5 mM, pH 7.4, 25 and 37 °C).

In addition to the existence of diamagnetic CEST peaks closer to the resonance of bulk water, their intensity was weaker than in EuL, reaching a maximum CEST effect of 20% (Fig. 4). We have applied quantitative CEST (qCEST) analysis to determine exchange rate values ($k_{\text{ex}}$) using the Bloch–McConnell (BM) equations and assuming a 3-pool model (bulk...
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water and two paramagnetically-shifted exchanging pools). The qCEST procedure employing data of 7 different saturation powers resulted in $k_{ex}$ values of 1029 ± 63 Hz and 1914 ± 78 Hz for 25 °C and 2652 ± 132 Hz and 4699 ± 229 Hz at 37 °C, with the strongly shifted peak (14–15 ppm) having an exchange rate which was roughly twice as slow as that of the peak with smaller paramagnetic shift. These $k_{ex}$ values are just slightly higher than those reported for iopamidol also at the physiological pH and fall into the optimal region for reaching the maximal $\alpha$ value at the low $B_1$ fields applied for preclinical and clinical use (see below and description of CEST experiments in Experimental section); hence, we proceeded with the characterization of the EuL complex as a potential pH-sensitive paraCEST agent. Namely, the exchange rates are expected to change significantly with variations in pH, which would give rise to different CEST effects. We therefore recorded a series of Z-spectra at variable pH values from 6.0 to 8.0, using pulses with different saturation powers ranging from 1 to 15 μT (ESI Fig. S8†); subsequently, we performed the qCEST as well as the inverse difference of opposite frequencies (asymmetry analysis) to obtain the $k_{ex}$ values and the inverse MT ratio difference ($\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$), respectively.46

The multi-$B_1$ experiments at variable pH values revealed advantageous exchange properties of EuL for pH sensing. The exchange rates remained roughly in 2:1 ratio throughout the studies for the peak with smaller shift (Table 1). CEST effects of at least 5% were recorded already using $B_1 = 2.5$ μT at all pH values above 6.4; we note that this lies within the saturation power limits permitted for use at clinical settings, ensuring low SAR levels. Moreover, the increase of exchange rates along with the increase in pH additionally affected the CEST effects. When $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ were plotted as a function of pH, the CEST signals exhibited pH dependency until pH ~ 7.4 (ESI Fig. S9†). Above this pH the $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ dropped, due to a decreased labelling efficiency at the given $B_1$ level and the faster exchange.

The concentration independent ratio of different $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ was calculated either by comparing the effects at different frequencies and same saturation power, or using the so-called ratio of RF power mismatch method.15 When the obtained ratios were plotted as a function of pH, the pH-dependent effect became even more obvious (Fig. 5 and ESI Fig. S10†). The ratio of $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ at $B_1 = 7.5$ μT and 2.5 μT at either frequencies exhibited significant changes in the region from slightly acidic to physiological pH (6.4–7.4, Fig. 5a), as previously observed in the analysis and behaviour of iobitol.12 Similar results were obtained when the ratio of $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ at $B_1 = 7.5$ μT and 14 ppm versus the signal ratio at $B_1 = 2.5$ μT and 8.5 ppm was calculated, or when just the ratios of the signals of two peaks at $B_1 = 5$ μT was used (Fig. 5b), as previously demonstrated for the behaviour of iopamidol.64 We stress these are very advantageous properties, highly desirable in the application of responsive probes: all results reported here were achieved with EuL at low saturation powers and probe concentrations. Next, the ratiometric analysis yields results that are independent of probe concentration.12 Finally, the existence of CEST effects at two frequencies that – for a fixed power – changes proportionally with pH due to a virtually constant ratio of $k_{ex}$ values (Table 1 and ESI Fig. S11†) allows for a simplified analysis in which the results obtained with one experiment can be cross-checked with those at the other frequency, i.e. one CEST signal can serve as an internal reference to another one.

CEST simulations and comparisons. The above mentioned NMR experiments performed with EuL, indicated its favourable CEST properties, especially in terms of the exchange rates being in the optimal range, also due to the possession of two active CEST pools. We therefore desired to compare its properties with other reported paraCEST probes that gained significant attention. Nevertheless, direct comparison of a specific property such as $k_{ex}$ or the resonance frequency of the paraCEST agent is inappropriate as only the collective effect of several parameters results in the finally observed CEST features.

Thus, we attempted to combine the ample knowledge and experience collected in the field of CEST MRI in order to foresee the paraCEST agents that comprise the most promising properties and suggest the best direction for their further improvement. We summarized the majority of existing paraCEST agents by grouping them into the four groups (A to D, respectively), according to the similarities either by the

---

**Fig. 5** Ratio of $\text{MTR}_{\text{ind}}$ for EuL (5 mM in PBS, 37 °C) at varying pH values. (a) Ratio of signals at 7.5 μT and 2.5 μT at 8.5 ppm (red) and 14 ppm (blue). (b) Ratio of signal obtained with 7.5 μT at 14 ppm with the signal obtained with 2.5 μT at 8.5 ppm; inset: the ratio of signals at 14 and 8.5 ppm and $B_1 = 5$ μT. The pH region 6.4–7.4 is highlighted with yellow color.
exchange rate or paramagnetic shift (ESI Table S4†). We then performed the initial set of simulations to emphasize the importance of a particular physical parameter in correlation with other standard parameters and values. Finally, we combined the particular set of physical values valid for each one of the groups and made their direct comparison. In all cases, we considered two types of outputs, i.e. parameters that suit clinical or preclinical conditions and measurements.

For paraCEST probes, we used the following grouping principles (definitions of slow, intermediate and fast exchange rates were simplified for the purpose of presenting these results; otherwise they depend on the NMR time scale of the applied magnetic field):

- Group A: Paramagnetic transition-metal complexes (Fe2+, Ni2+, Co2+), having slow exchange rates ($k_{ex} = 200–400$ Hz), high paramagnetic shift ($>50$ ppm) and high number of exchanging amide protons (up to 4);17,18,65–67
- Group B: Paramagnetic EuL complex from this work, characterized by intermediate exchange rates ($k_{ex} = 1.3–2.6$ kHz at pH 7.2), fairly small paramagnetic shift (8–15 ppm) and high number of exchanging amide protons (4);
- Group C: Paramagnetic Tm3+ complex characterized with an intermediate exchange rate ($k_{ex} = 3$ kHz), high paramagnetic shift ($≈50$ ppm) and high number of exchanging amide protons (4).21 Here, we considered also the Yb3+ complex, which is also based on a DOTA chelator and should have similar CEST properties. This complex exhibits smaller paramagnetic shift (similar to that of group B); however, we estimate its performance to belong to this group according to the $k_{ex}$ values reported for 25 °C only.68
- Group D: Paramagnetic Eu3+ and Tb3+ complexes characterized with fast exchange rates ($k_{ex} = 10$ kHz and above), high paramagnetic shift ($≈50$ and $≈550$ ppm) and low number of exchanging water protons (2).20,69,70 The term fast exchange should not be related to the actual exchange regime on the NMR time scale, but rather how the exchange rate compared with those of groups A–C.

For the simulations, we used the computational method that incorporates in vivo-like water and semi-solid MT properties.71 Namely, by defining a set of different parameters for the abstract cases or existing paraCEST probes, we estimated CEST effects,72 which can be expected in the brain tissue (grey matter) at 7 T magnetic field. Also, we assumed the use of a pulse with lower SAR ($B_1 = 5$ µT, saturation time = 0.5 s) to mimic the clinical CEST MRI limits, whereas the pulses with higher power and longer duration and thus higher SAR can be tolerated for the preclinical cases without having significant impact for welfare of the animal subject ($B_1 = 10$ µT, saturation time = 5 s). These two conditions are important restrictions, if performance is compared without restriction for SAR, faster exchanging sites will always win. All these studies assumed cases with same concentration of the exchanging protons (10 mM); otherwise, the obtained results can be scaled by the appropriate factor, if lower or higher concentrations are used. We first performed calculations for the abstract cases, aiming to show variations of the particular physical parameter while varying only one of these parameters (Fig. 6). If fixing the exchange rate to 200, 2000 and 10 000 Hz (slow to fast exchange rates), respectively, the results indicate that probes with the intermediate $k_{ex}$ (up to a few kHz, see below) are the most suitable for the clinical settings, irrespective of the frequency shift of the CEST resonance (Fig. 6a). On the other hand, generally faster exchange rates are preferred for the preclinical settings, while the frequency shift of the paraCEST agent shows the following trend: a shift of at least 50 ppm is preferred, whereas at >100 ppm the negative effect of the semi-solid MT is completely eliminated (Fig. 6b). We note that larger paramagnetic shifts are preferred at scanners with the lower magnetic field (e.g. at 3 T) to reach beyond the semi-solid MT, while this effect is reducing with the increase of the magnetic fields (i.e. semi-solid MT covers smaller frequency region at higher magnetic fields; hence lower paramagnetic shifts of the CEST effect on the paraCEST agent can be tolerated).73

Next, we checked the optimal $B_1$ fields (saturation powers) for the maximal labelling efficiency for these three types of exchange rates (slow, intermediate and fast), at the fixed resonance frequency that corresponds to EuL ($δ_0 = 15$ ppm). At both the clinical and preclinical settings, intermediate exchange rate (groups B–C) has the maximal $α$ in suitable regions of $B_1$ that match the requirements ($B_1 < 5$ or 10 µT, respectively). For groups A and D (low and high $k_{ex}$ respectively) it is obvious that labelling exists; however, it is less efficient (Fig. 6c and d).

Finally, when the value for CEST resonance frequency is fixed against the variable $k_{ex}$ values, one concludes that an intermediate exchange rate of just a few kHz is optimal for the best labelling; furthermore, the large paramagnetic shift of the CEST resonance(s) is advantageous, although the differences are not significant at the clinical settings (Fig. 6e). However, highly shifted CEST resonances are very advantageous for preclinical conditions (Fig. 6f).

Another set of simulations was performed using the specific set of parameters valid for each of the A–D groups of molecules (ESI Table S4†). The most important matter in these calculations was including the difference in the abundance of exchanging protons that cause CEST effect. Namely, the calculations assumed same concentrations of molecules (5 mM), in which the clear advantage went in direction of those having higher number of exchanging protons per molecule (groups A–C).

Furthermore, we included two examples for each group A and D, aiming to provide better comparison between structurally and behaviourally different systems. In specific, we included a macrocyclic Fe3+ complex,65 an acyclic Co3+ complex,18 macrocyclic Eu3+ and Tb3+ complexes20,69,70 as group A1, A2, D1 and D2 probes, respectively (ESI Table S4†). In this calculation, we did not consider faster $T_1$ relaxation noticed for the group A and C probes; this effect will reduce the maximal CEST effects that is based on their other physical properties presented here ($k_{ex}$ paramagnetic shift, number of exchanging protons).
The obtained results were expressed either in the form of simulated Z-spectra (Fig. 7a and b) or CEST effects (Fig. 7c and d), again for clinical and preclinical settings (Fig. 7a, c and b, d, respectively). They again showed the advantageous properties of the paramagnetic EuL platform. Although the other three groups of probes possess CEST effects that are well paramagnetically shifted, their other features result in similar magnitude of the signal as EuL. Indeed, EuL has excellent properties for the clinical settings: it is not only that its effects are comparable to those of group A and C probes – indeed, only EuL together with group A2 probe exhibit two strong CEST signals (Fig. 7c) suitable for ratiometric investigations. Also, while other compounds show increased CEST effects for preclinical settings, EuL shows almost the same effects in both settings.

The results presented here show some further aspects that should be considered in future design and application of paraCEST probes. Group A2 and C probes also display strong signals at both clinical/preclinical settings, mainly due to possession of high number of exchanging protons, optimal or slightly slower exchange rates and high paramagnetic shift (Fig. 7d). Finally, the group D probes show limitations for further applications under clinical settings. Here, lower number of exchanging protons clearly has a major impact on the final CEST effect, while fairly fast exchange rates make them suitable for possible investigations at preclinical settings (Fig. 7d and ESI Fig. S12†).

**MRI experiments.** Following the theoretical calculations to highlight the MRI potential of EuL, an additional set of measurements was performed on tube phantoms in the MRI scanner to validate the probe experimentally. Six tubes with identical concentrations of EuL (3 mM) were prepared in PBS at different pH (6.0, 6.4, 6.8, 7.2, 7.6 and 8.0). The images were recorded at room temperature and varying saturation powers (3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0 and 7.5 μT). The lower temperature and hence the exchange rates reflected on the lower intensity of CEST effect. Nevertheless, the obtained signals were analysed in the same fashion as the former NMR experiments at 37 °C (ESI Fig. S13†). Consequently, the MTR\textsubscript{ind} ratio of different frequencies and saturation powers could be used to obtain a set of pH maps (Fig. 8 and ESI Fig. S14 and 15†). Due to low k\textsubscript{ex} and therefore low MTR\textsubscript{ind} intensity at only 3 mM EuL, the MRI contrast at pH 6.0 was insufficient to extract the correct pH value (Fig. 8); such signals can in principle even be filtered by a pixelwise threshold for the MT. However, the pH region typically detected in solid tumours (6.5–7.0) or ischemia was well covered and reliably determined by the MRI phantom experiment with EuL.
Conclusions

In summary, we have reported on a paramagnetic platform that forms kinetically highly inert complexes and has an excellent outlook for the development of entirely new class of paraCEST probes. The investigated Eu$^{3+}$ chelate displays very affirmative CEST features due to two paramagnetically shifted protons that are in slow to intermediate exchange with bulk water. The variations in pH reflect on the intensity of the obtained CEST effect, which exhibits pH-dependent behaviour either at a single resonance or from the ratio of applied saturation powers and different resonance peaks. Moreover, the high intensity of the CEST effect allows for applications of small quantities of this paraCEST probe, as well as low saturation powers that match clinically approved protocols. Indeed, the theoretical calculations predict that this platform has one of the best set of cumulative properties for CEST applications, which should become the landmark for development of novel classes of paraCEST probes.

We want to highlight that this promising platform likely requires additional chemical modifications to be used for in vivo applications. For instance, it has been shown that tricationic DOTA-tetraamide complexes are toxic, while negatively charged analogues are well tolerated at much higher doses.$^{77}$ Nevertheless, the chemical nature of this platform envisions an immense number of potential chemical transformations and the development of a great variety of structures that, combined with a number of chelated paramagnetic metals, can further improve on the already excellent features to result in probes sensitive to different types of targets. For instance, functionalization of the acetamide pendants with negatively charged groups at the α-carbon would result in negatively charged complexes that should maintain the $D_2$ symmetry of the complex and two resonances for the amide groups. Ultimately, this macrocyclic platform could pave the way to many exciting advances in the field of responsive paraCEST agents with high potential for clinical applications.
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