Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 8519

Pairwise semi-hydrogenation of alkyne to *cis*-alkene on platinum-tin intermetallic compounds†

Yuchen Pei, ^{‡a} Minda Chen, ^{‡a} Xiaoliang Zhong, ^b Tommy Yunpu Zhao,^c Maria-Jose Ferrer,^c Raghu V. Maligal-Ganesh, ^a Tao Ma, ^d Biying Zhang,^a Zhiyuan Qi,^a Lin Zhou, ^d Clifford R. Bowers,^{*c} Cong Liu ^{*e} and Wenyu Huang ^{*a,d}

The molecular basis for the high *cis*-alkene selectivity over intermetallic PtSn for alkyne semi-hydrogenation is demonstrated. Unlike the universal assumption that the bimetallic surface is saturated with atomic hydrogen, molecular hydrogen has a higher barrier for dissociative adsorption on intermetallic PtSn due to the deficiency of Pt three-fold sites. The resulting molecular behavior of adsorbed hydrogen on intermetallic PtSn nanoparticles leads to pairwise-hydrogenation of three alkynes to the corresponding *cis*-alkenes, satisfying both high stereoselectivity and high chemoselectivity.

Received 3rd February 2020,
Accepted 24th March 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0nr00920b

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

The semi-hydrogenation of alkynes to alkenes using rationally designed catalysts continues to benefit industries and academia. An important example is the selective hydrogenation of acetylene to ethylene in order to remove trace acetylene impurity from ethylene feed, which is essential for the long lifetime of polymerization catalysts and the production of high-quality polyethylene.^{1–5} Also, in pharmaceutical and fine chemical industries, designing new catalysts to achieve high chemoselectivity and stereoselectivity of *cis*/*trans*-alkene products is indispensable to synthetic methodology development.⁶ *cis*-Alkenes are kinetically controlled products in this reaction, and thus are more difficult to be stabilized and isolated than the thermodynamically favored *trans*-alkenes. Lindlar catalyst is a commonly used heterogeneous catalyst for selective hydrogenation of alkyne to *cis*-alkene. In this reaction, alkyne molecules adopt the *syn* geometry on the Lindlar catalyst surface, where the adsorbed hydrogen atoms approach from the same

side of the carbon–carbon triple bond to form the *cis*-alkene products.^{3,7} However, over-hydrogenation to alkane by-products can prevail in the *syn* addition, and minimizing such reaction requires deliberate deactivation of Lindlar catalyst.

Stabilizing *cis*-alkenes while inhibiting *trans*-isomerization and over-hydrogenation is challenging for heterogeneous catalysts due to the heterogeneity of the active sites and the lack of understanding of the structure–property relationship. Previous studies have attempted to address this challenge from the perspectives of either stereoselectivity or chemoselectivity. Lee and Zaera *et al.* have demonstrated that Pt(111) can be a specific facet to stabilize *cis*-alkenes compared to *trans*-isomers.⁸ Furukawa and Komatsu *et al.* have reported that chemoselective hydrogenation can be performed over Pd-based bimetallic alloys, and hydrogen accessibility to the adsorbed alkyne is critical to the chemo- and stereo-selectivity for alkene production.^{9,10} Hu and Li *et al.* have also revealed the strong structure–property relationships in hollow Ni₃Ga multishell catalysts and single-atom Pd supported catalysts with high ethylene selectivity in acetylene semi-hydrogenation.^{11–13} These studies indicate that both the catalyst structure and the hydrogenation pathway are crucial for controlling the selectivity in alkyne semi-hydrogenation.

Here, we envision the pairwise-addition as a special hydrogenation pathway that could ensure both high stereoselectivity and high chemoselectivity in alkyne semi-hydrogenation to *cis*-alkene. Pairwise-addition refers to the transfer of both hydrogen atoms in a H₂ molecule to one carbon–carbon triple bond in an alkyne molecule. During this reaction, adsorbed H₂ molecules do not dissociate into hydrogen atoms on the cata-

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA.

E-mail: whuang@iastate.edu

^bHuazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA.

E-mail: bowers@chem.ufl.edu

^dAmes Laboratory, the U.S. Department of Energy, Ames, IA 50011, USA

^eChemical Sciences and Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S Cass Ave., Lemont, IL 60439, USA. E-mail: congliu@anl.gov

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0nr00920b

‡These authors contributed equally to this work.

lyst surface. The weak H₂ adsorption¹⁴ and catalytic addition of H₂ to propene with high pairwise selectivity over the PtSn intermetallic nanoparticles (iNPs)¹⁵ have been studied in our group. In this work, we demonstrate that the pairwise-hydrogenation of alkynes over PtSn leads to high chemo- and stereo-selectivity to *cis*-alkenes.

Results and discussion

PtSn iNPs have atomic-level homogeneity and high surface stability, differentiating them from random alloys prepared by the impregnation method. These PtSn iNPs are specially designed to be encapsulated in a mesoporous silica shell (Fig. 1a) that endows PtSn iNPs with accurate structures after synthesis and allows reactants to access the PtSn intermetallic surface during catalysis.^{14,16–19} We have also used selective hydrogenations to probe and illustrate the structure of these PtSn iNPs and its correlation to catalytic behaviors.^{14,16,17} Additional details of the structural characterizations of PtSn iNPs and impregnated catalysts are summarized in the ESI (Fig. S1, S2 and Tables S1, S2†). The surface uniformity and stability of PtSn iNPs affords structural clarification that renders this material an ideal platform for studying fundamental structure–property correlations. As an example, Fig. 1b and c show the atomically ordered PtSn structure as confirmed by aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM). The bulk and surface structure of the PtSn iNPs are also stable under a reducing environment up to 500 °C (ESI, section 2†).^{14,17}

We evaluated the stereoselectivity and chemoselectivity of PtSn iNPs in the semi-hydrogenation of three alkyne substrates: diphenylacetylene, 1-phenyl-1-butyne, and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (Fig. 2 and Tables S3–S5†). The three substrates have different degrees of bulky substituent groups in the vicinity of the alkyne group. PtSn iNPs exhibit high chemoselectivity and stereoselectivity to *cis*-alkene products

Fig. 1 (a) TEM image of PtSn iNPs encapsulated in mesoporous silica at lower magnification showing clear core-shell structure, with its model illustration. Inset: TEM image of a PtSn iNP at higher magnification and a model structure of PtSn iNP encapsulated in a mesoporous silica shell. (b) Atomic resolution aberration-corrected STEM image of a PtSn iNP displaying the PtSn(110) facet. (c) Aberration-corrected STEM image of PtSn(110) facet placed aside the theoretical model of PtSn(110) with an adsorbed alkyne. c views part of the same particle, as shown in b. Pearl (yellow) dots represent Pt (Sn) atoms. Blue (orange) dots represent carbon(hydrogen) atoms in alkyne, and red dots represent H₂ undergoing pairwise-hydrogenation.

Fig. 2 The product distribution of alkynes hydrogenation with Pt and PtSn catalysts.

for all substrates. Chemoselectivity of 99%, 98% and 88% were obtained with respect to diphenylacetylene, 1-phenyl-1-butyne, and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate. For comparison, the Pt NPs yielded >60% over-hydrogenated product for the same three substrates. The PtSn iNPs also yielded remarkably high *cis*-alkene selectivities of 91%, 86%, and >99% for diphenylacetylene, 1-phenyl-1-butyne, and dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate, respectively. In particular, PtSn iNPs maintained high *cis*-alkene selectivity at the high conversion of 79.8% at 110 °C for dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (Table S3†). In contrast, *trans*-alkenes dominated on the Pt NPs (*trans/cis* = 2/1 for dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate), at only 50 °C. Hence, the hydrogenation pathway leading to *cis*-stereoselectivity is exclusively preferred for PtSn iNPs. Due to the dramatic difference in activity between Pt and PtSn, it is a challenge to compare their catalytic behavior at the same conversion and reaction conditions. Nevertheless, PtSn iNPs show much higher *cis*-selectivity compared to Pt NPs, likely due to the inhibition of both *trans*-isomerization and over-hydrogenation pathways. A further recycle study of PtSn iNPs shows that the catalyst can be recycled 5 times without a significant loss of activity and selectivity (Fig. S5a†). X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) characterization of the used catalyst shows the stability of the intermetallic phase and mesoporous shell (Fig. S5b and c†).

Generally, alkynes approach the surface of a heterogeneous catalyst (*e.g.*, Pd and Pt) in *syn* geometry. However, *syn* addition cannot solely explain both the high stereoselectivity and chemoselectivity over PtSn. Our previous studies of PtSn-promoted pairwise-hydrogenation can shed light on the molecular understanding of the hydrogenation mechanism on PtSn iNPs: molecular H₂ adds to alkene (propene) in a pairwise fashion rather than undergoing dissociation into H atoms, which was experimentally confirmed *via operando* Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) studies.¹⁵ We envision that the pairwise-

Fig. 3 The suggested hydrogenation pathways for (a) PtSn and (b) Pt.

hydrogenation could be a key mechanism to ensure *cis*-alkene stereoselectivity over PtSn iNPs for alkyne semi-hydrogenation.

As we propose in Fig. 3a, alkyne first adopts the *syn* geometry upon adsorption onto the PtSn surface. Due to the high barrier to dissociate H_2 molecules on PtSn, the H atoms in molecular H_2 approach the carbon-carbon triple bond from the same side, thereby favoring a concerted, pairwise-hydrogenation leading to *cis*-alkene. The dilution effect of PtSn iNPs explains the molecular behavior of adsorbed H_2 , where the Pt ensemble sites are eliminated on the PtSn surface, thus inhibiting H_2 dissociation (generally achieved by Pt ensembles).^{14,20–22} The weak adsorption of alkene on the PtSn surface prevents its over-hydrogenation to alkane. In contrast, the Pt surface is saturated with atomic H due to its barrierless H_2 dissociation over Pt ensemble sites (Fig. 3b).¹⁴ The alkyne would still prefer the *syn* geometry on Pt; however, the abundant surface H atoms could attack the triple bond with equal probability from the same or opposite side of the bond regardless of whether the H addition is sequential or simultaneous. Therefore, the Pt surface cannot ensure exclusive *cis*-alkene stereoselectivity. Moreover, atomic hydrogen could add to one end of the as-formed double bonds allowing the rotation of this bond, resulting in the production of both *cis*- and *trans*-alkene.^{8,23} The abundant excess atomic hydrogen on Pt surface also explains the over-hydrogenation of alkynes to alkanes. It has not been commonly realized that pairwise-hydrogenation can lead to the kinetically controlled *cis*-alkene rather than the thermodynamically favored *trans*-alkene, except in the case of very small (<1 nm) Pt nanoparticles in alkyne hydrogenation at low H_2 partial pressure.²³ However, the assumption of the atomic H saturated bimetallic surface does not apply to well-defined intermetallic PtSn, a model catalyst presenting high energy barrier for H_2 dissociation.^{14,17,24}

This hypothesis of pairwise-hydrogenation on PtSn iNPs was strongly evident in the PASADENA (Parahydrogen And Synthesis Allow Dramatically Enhanced Nuclear Alignment) NMR experiments, where intense antiphase NMR signals occur exclusively through the pairwise-addition of parahydrogen. The stereoselectivity of pairwise H_2 addition to 1-phenyl-1-butyne is evidenced by the PASADENA NMR spectra presented in Fig. 4. The hydrogenation reaction was carried out at

Fig. 4 (a) Products of the hydrogenation of 1-phenyl-1-butyne and the labeling scheme for the protons. (b) 300 MHz NMR spectra collected at 100 °C in d_6 -acetone. The bottom spectrum is that of the pure substrate before any H_2 bubbling. The PASADENA and thermally polarized spectra (top and middle, respectively), were each obtained after three cycles of 20 s of bubbling of *p*- H_2 or normal H_2 (*n*- H_2), respectively, through the substrate/catalyst suspension. All the spectra were acquired in a single scan and are plotted on the same vertical scale. (c) Thermally polarized spectrum after bubbling *p*- H_2 for 140 s. Catalysts are removed prior to acquisition. Spectrum is acquired at 14.1 T and room temperature.

100 °C by bubbling 50% enriched parahydrogen (*p*- H_2) through the solution in a 5 mm NMR tube at 7 T. Fig. 4a shows the three different products obtained in 1-phenyl-1-butyne semi-hydrogenation. As shown in Fig. 4b, the PASADENA spectrum (top trace) exhibits intense antiphase multiplets centered at 6.41 ppm and 5.67 ppm, corresponding to the chemical shifts of the CH groups in *cis*-1-phenyl-1-butene. The formation of a small fraction of *trans*-1-phenyl-1-butene was evidenced by the CH peaks at 6.43 and 6.35 ppm observed in thermally polarized spectrum acquired at 600 MHz spectrometer (Fig. 4c), demonstrating the high stereoselectivity of alkyne hydrogenation over PtSn iNPs. A small peak due to the fully hydrogenated butylbenzene is noticeable in the PASADENA and thermally polarized spectrum (Fig. 4b, c, and S7†). A maximum signal enhancement factor of 126 was observed (after correction for destructive interference in the antiphase multiplets, Fig. S6†) on the third cycle of *p*- H_2 bubbling for 20 s. In a complementary experiment, the batch reaction of 1-phenyl-1-butyne semi-hydrogenation also shows 97.6% chemoselectivity to alkenes and 85.8% stereoselectivity to the *cis*-alkene (Table S5†).

We also observed that on PtSn iNPs, the high *cis*-stilbene selectivity in diphenylacetylene hydrogenation is not significantly dependent on solvent, temperature, and reaction time (Table S4†), strongly suggesting the pairwise-hydrogenation pathway. We also confirmed that the isomerization of *cis*-stilbene to *trans*-stilbene could not proceed on PtSn iNPs in the semi-hydrogenation of diphenylacetylene (Table S6†). To further demonstrate the structure–property relationship of PtSn, we prepared Pt-rich PtSn-1.1 (Pt/Sn = 1.1). We had con-

firmed in our previous work that Pt-rich Pt–Sn INPs have Pt surface domains.¹⁷ On PtSn-1.1, we observed more *cis*- to *trans*-stilbene isomerization after full conversion, in sharp contrast to the high *cis*-stilbene selectivity on the 1:1 PtSn INPs (Table S7†).

The three alkyne semi-hydrogenations suggest that pairwise-hydrogenation on PtSn could be induced by its surface structure, where the adsorbed atomic H atoms are rare. In our previous work, we ascribed the absence of H ad-atoms on intermetallic PtSn to its intrinsic structure where Pt three-fold sites are eliminated.¹⁵ The three-fold Pt or Pd sites (denoted M₃) are known to be highly active for H₂ dissociation.^{25,26,32} Although the surface reconstruction cannot be ruled out in the reactions, we endeavored to examine if the scope of this structure–property relationship can be extended to other intermetallic catalysts, including PdCu (w/Pd₃) and Pd₃Sn₂ (w/o Pd₃) bimetallic NPs. Their structural and compositional analysis are shown in Table S2 and Fig. S3–S4.† As shown in Fig. 5, only Pd₃Sn₂ shows both *cis*-stereoselectivity and chemoselectivity coinciding with the absence of Pd₃ site (Table S8†). PtSn INPs also appear as highly selective catalysts among the literature under similar reaction conditions (Table S9†).

The pairwise-hydrogenation on M₃-deficient intermetallic compounds was further supported by the results of a kinetic H/D isotope study. First, a gas-phase H/D exchange experiment using a co-feed of 1:1 H₂/D₂ over PtSn shows that the onset of exchange occurs at a temperature (150 °C) that is higher than the alkyne hydrogenation reaction temperature (80–110 °C) as reported in our previous work.¹⁴ Second, we also observed a strong D₂ inhibition effect on PtSn, where the reaction hardly proceeds at all when D₂ was supplied for diphenylacetylene hydrogenation. When supplied with 1:1 mixture of H₂/D₂, H-substituted *trans*-alkene is still dominant with a negligible D-substituted product. The strong D₂ inhibition is consistent with pairwise-hydrogenation that likely proceeds by a tunneling mechanism²⁷ involving the molecular-like H₂ on PtSn

because the PtSn surface lacks Pt ensemble sites where H₂ molecule cannot undergo dissociation to H atoms. The kinetic isotope effect is reported to be particularly high when involving tunneling mechanism.^{28–30} Thus, we propose that the high energy barrier for H₂ dissociation leads to pairwise-hydrogenation over PtSn.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to provide molecular insights into the stereoselectivity on Pt (111) vs. PtSn(110). In general, calculations show that diphenylacetylene (Ph₂C₂) binds with these metal surfaces more strongly (–3.2 eV on Pt; –1.3 eV on PtSn) than H₂ (–0.022 eV on Pt; –0.052 eV on PtSn), as shown in Tables S10, S11 and Fig. S8–S11.† This binding energy difference indicates that H₂ could only be adsorbed within the interspace between Ph₂C₂ molecules on the almost fully Ph₂C₂-covered metal surface. The weak binding interactions between the surfaces and H₂ could be one of the factors explaining the higher selectivity of the *cis*-alkene products observed experimentally. Hydrogenation to *cis*-products could occur right after the adsorption and pairwise-addition of H₂ on either side of the carbon–carbon triple bond. The *trans*-alkene is suppressed during hydrogenation because H ad-atoms are not present on both sides of the carbon triple bond. In addition, the catalyst surfaces also show interesting features in the apparent conversion rates and chemoselectivity. At similar catalyst amounts, Pt (w/M₃) exhibits high conversion rates and a strong tendency to yield fully hydrogenated alkanes. On the other hand, PtSn (w/o M₃) showed low conversion rates with high chemoselectivity (Tables S3–S5†). Our calculations suggest that the conversion rate could be correlated with the barrier for H–H bond dissociation. As shown in Table S11,† Pt easily dissociates H₂ with no barrier, yielding an abundant atomic H supply that is responsible for a fast reaction rate and over-hydrogenation. On the contrary, PtSn has larger H₂ dissociation barriers (0.3 eV) than Pt (~0 eV), leading to slower hydrogenation kinetics with lower conversion rates.

Conclusions

In summary, we have studied the structure–property relationship of intermetallic PtSn, where the elimination of Pt three-fold sites leads to high *cis*-alkene selectivity in alkyne semi-hydrogenations. Higher hydrogen dissociation barrier and alkyne-dominated surface suggest the exclusive pairwise-hydrogenation over PtSn INPs. We anticipate engineering intermetallic structures for satisfying high chemoselectivity and stereoselectivity in broader catalytic reactions. A complete understanding of these correlations in selectivity would also enable the synthesis of heterogeneous catalysts offering improved parahydrogen enhanced polarization for more important applications such as hyperpolarized biomedical magnetic resonance imaging.³¹

Author contributions

Y. P., M. C., C. L., C. R. B., W. H. conceived the idea, designed the project, and wrote the manuscript. Y. P., M. C., R. V. M.,

Fig. 5 The role of the three-fold M₃ sites to pairwise-hydrogenation exemplified by semi-hydrogenation of diphenylacetylene over Pt and intermetallic catalysts.

B. Z., Z. Q., W. H. initiated the projects, conducted material synthesis, and obtained catalytic results. X. Z. and C. L. conducted DFT calculations. T. Y. Z., M.-J. F., and C. R. B. performed PASADENA NMR experiments. T. M. and L. Z. acquired aberration-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy images.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSF grant CHE-1808239 (C. R. B. and W. H.) and the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory's User Collaborative Grant Program, which is supported by the National Science Foundation Cooperative Agreement No. DMR-1644779* and the State of Florida. The computational studies were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357, with U Chicago Argonne, LLC, the operator of Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). The DFT calculations were performed using the computational resources at the Laboratory Computing Resource Center (LCRC) at ANL. The use of APS, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We thank Gordon J. Miller and Matthew Besser for the use of X-ray diffractometer. We thank Tianpin Wu, Chengjun Sun, and Lu Ma for XAFS measurement at station 9-BM-C and 20-BM-B of Advanced Photon Source (APS).

Notes and references

- 1 N. Lopez and C. Vargas-Fuentes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1379–1391.
- 2 A. Molnar, G. V. Smith and M. Bartok, *J. Catal.*, 1986, **101**, 67–72.
- 3 J. Rajaram, A. P. S. Narula, H. P. S. Chawla and S. Dev, *Tetrahedron*, 1983, **39**, 2315–2322.
- 4 C. Panja, N. A. Saliba and B. E. Koel, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2001, **105**, 3786–3796.
- 5 B. Chen, U. Dingerdissen, J. G. E. Krauter, H. G. J. Lansink Rotgerink, K. Mobus, D. J. Ostgard, P. Panster, T. H. Riermeier, S. Seebald, T. Tacke and H. Trauthwein, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2005, **28**, 17–46.
- 6 W. Y. Siau, Y. Zhang and Y. Zhao, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2012, **327**, 1–275.
- 7 H. Lindlar, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1952, **35**, 446–450.
- 8 I. Lee, F. Delbecq, R. Morales, M. A. Albiter and F. Zaera, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 132–138.
- 9 S. Furukawa, K. Ochi, H. Luo, M. Miyazaki and T. Komatsu, *ChemCatChem*, 2015, **7**, 3472–3479.
- 10 S. Furukawa and T. Komatsu, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 2121–2125.
- 11 M. Hu, W. Yang, S. Liu, W. Zhu, Y. Li, B. Hu, Z. Chen, R. Shen, W.-C. Cheong, Y. Wang, K. Zhou, Q. Peng, C. Chen and Y. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 614–619.
- 12 M. Hu, J. Zhang, W. Zhu, Z. Chen, X. Gao, X. Du, J. Wan, K. Zhou, C. Chen and Y. Li, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 905–912.
- 13 M. Hu, S. Zhao, S. Liu, C. Chen, W. Chen, W. Zhu, C. Liang, W.-C. Cheong, Y. Wang, Y. Yu, Q. Peng, K. Zhou, J. Li and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1801878.
- 14 Y. Pei, Z. Qi, T. W. Goh, L. L. Wang, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, H. L. MacMurdo, S. R. Zhang, C. Xiao, X. Li, F. Tao, D. D. Johnson and W. Huang, *J. Catal.*, 2017, **356**, 307–314.
- 15 E. W. Zhao, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, C. Xiao, T. W. Goh, Z. Qi, Y. Pei, H. E. Hagelin-Weaver, W. Huang and C. R. Bowers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3925–3929.
- 16 R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, C. Xiao, T. W. Goh, L. L. Wang, J. Gustafson, Y. Pei, Z. Qi, D. D. Johnson, S. Zhang, F. Tao and W. Huang, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 1754–1763.
- 17 Y. Pei, B. Zhang, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, P. J. Naik, T. W. Goh, H. L. MacMurdo, Z. Qi, M. Chen, R. K. Behera, I. I. Slowing and W. Huang, *J. Catal.*, 2019, **374**, 136–142.
- 18 Y. Pei, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, C. Xiao, T. W. Goh, K. Brashler, J. A. Gustafson and W. Huang, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 16721–16728.
- 19 M. Chen, Y. Han, T. W. Goh, R. Sun, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, Y. Pei, C. K. Tsung, J. W. Evans and W. Huang, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 5336–5345.
- 20 H. S. Wei, X. Y. Liu, A. Q. Wang, L. L. Zhang, B. T. Qiao, X. F. Yang, Y. Q. Huang, S. Miao, J. Y. Liu and T. Zhang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1–8.
- 21 J. Prinz, R. Gaspari, C. A. Pignedoli, J. Vogt, P. Gille, M. Armbrüster, H. Brune, O. Gröning, D. Passerone and R. Widmer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 9339–9343.
- 22 Q. C. Feng, S. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. C. Dong, W. X. Chen, D. S. He, D. S. Wang, J. Yang, Y. M. Zhu, H. L. Zhu, L. Gu, Z. Li, Y. X. Liu, R. Yu, J. Li and Y. D. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 7294–7301.
- 23 R. Zhou, W. Cheng, L. M. Neal, E. W. Zhao, K. Ludden, H. E. Hagelin-Weaver and C. R. Bowers, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 26121–26129.
- 24 E. W. Zhao, R. V. Maligal-Ganesh, Y. Du, T. Y. Zhao, J. Collins, T. Ma, L. Zhou, T. W. Goh, W. Huang and C. R. Bowers, *Chem*, 2018, **4**, 1387–1403.
- 25 Y. L. Tsai, C. Xu and B. E. Koel, *Surf. Sci.*, 1997, **385**, 37–59.
- 26 P. Samson, A. Nesbitt, B. E. Koel and A. Hodgson, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1998, **109**, 3255–3264.
- 27 P. Liu, Y. Zhao, R. Qin, S. Mo, G. Chen, L. Gu, D. M. Chevrier, P. Zhang, Q. Guo, D. Zang, B. Wu, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Science*, 2016, **352**, 797–800.
- 28 E. S. Lewis and L. H. Funderburk, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1978, **89**, 2322–2327.
- 29 S. G. Christov and Ž. L. Georgiev, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1971, **75**, 1748–1756.

- 30 T. Miyazaki, *Atom Tunneling Phenomena in Physics, Chemistry and Biology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 2004.
- 31 J. B. Hovener, A. N. Pravdivtsev, B. Kidd, C. R. Bowers, S. Gloggler, K. V. Kovtunov, M. Plaumann, R. Katz-Brull, K. Buckenmaier, A. Jerschow, F. Reineri, T. Theis, R. V. Shchepin, S. Wagner, P. Bhattacharya, N. M. Zacharias and E. Y. Chekmenev, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11140–11162.
- 32 J. Prinz, C. A. Pignedoli, Q. S. Stöckl, M. Armbrüster, H. Brune, O. Gröning, R. Widmer and D. Passerone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11792–11798.