


NJC

New Journal of Chemistry
rsc.li/njc

A journal for new directions in chemistry



ISSN 1144-0546

LETTER

Norihiro Suzuki *et al.*
Formation of ammonium ions by electrochemical oxidation
of urea with a boron-doped diamond electrode


 Cite this: *New J. Chem.*, 2020, 44, 17637

 Received 3rd July 2020,
Accepted 9th September 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0nj03347b

rsc.li/njc

Formation of ammonium ions by electrochemical oxidation of urea with a boron-doped diamond electrode†

 Norihiro Suzuki,^{‡*} Akihiro Okazaki,^{‡b} Kai Takagi,^b Izumi Serizawa,^b Genji Okada,^c Chiaki Terashima,^a Ken-ichi Katsumata,^{ad} Takeshi Kondo,^c Makoto Yuasa^c and Akira Fujishima^a

Ammonium ions were formed by electrochemical oxidation of urea with a boron-doped diamond (BDD) electrode. Almost complete decomposition of urea was achieved. When the BDD electrode was used together with a mesoporous titanium dioxide photocatalyst, the amount of ammonium ions produced increased.

Nitrogen (N) is one of the three major nutrients, along with phosphorus and potassium, that are indispensable for plant growth. However, because molecular nitrogen (N₂) is chemically inert, plants cannot directly uptake N₂ from air. Therefore, N-containing ions such as ammonium (NH₄⁺) and nitrate ions (NO₃⁻) are needed in fertiliser. In addition, numerous N-containing inorganic and organic compounds have been industrially produced that support our society. Reactive N sources are needed for life on Earth and ammonia (NH₃) is the most important N source.

NH₃ has been attracting great interest as a hydrogen carrier. NH₃ easily liquefies under moderate conditions such as 0.857 MPa at 20 °C or ambient pressure at -33 °C. In addition, the volumetric hydrogen storage density of NH₃ is very high—three times that of molecular hydrogen (H₂) at 70 MPa.¹ Furthermore, the risk of ignition and explosion of NH₃ is lower than that of H₂. These features mean that NH₃ is a promising carrier for the realisation of a hydrogen society.

NH₃ is commonly fabricated by the Haber–Bosch method, in which H₂ and N₂ are directly reacted with a metal catalyst. Although this process is well established, because the reaction is conducted at high temperature (400–600 °C) and high pressure (20–40 MPa), it requires a huge amount of energy. Therefore, development of an alternative method to produce NH₃ is important.

As an alternative process to fabricate NH₃, we focused on oxidation of urea in urine. This process is of interest from the perspective of making a useful product (*i.e.*, NH₃) from a waste product (*i.e.*, urine). To realise cost-effective and environmentally benign process, using common equipment at atmospheric pressure and room temperature is desired. However, photolysis and photocatalytic reaction is not suitable because urea is hard to decompose by them.

In this study, we adopted electrochemical treatment and use boron-doped diamond (BDD) as an electrode to oxidise urea. BDD has several unique properties, such as a wide potential window, low background current and high physical/chemical stability. The wide potential window of BDD enables water oxidation at high voltage, leading to the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS).² Previous studies have revealed that BDD is a suitable electrode for electrochemical oxidation of urea. Hernández *et al.*³ compared the performance of Pt, Ti–Ru oxide, BDD and antimony-doped tin oxide electrodes in urea oxidation and found that only BDD could decompose urea completely. Li and co-workers used BDD and Ti/IrO₂ electrodes to oxidise urea.⁴ They reported that toxic N₂H₄ formed when urea was oxidised with Ti/IrO₂, whereas this by-product did not form during electrochemical oxidation with BDD.

Here, we report synthesis of NH₄⁺ from simplified artificial urine by electrochemical oxidation of urea with a BDD electrode. By positioning the BDD electrode and counter electrode in different cells, oxidation and (undesired) reduction are completely separated. In addition, the effect of introducing a mesoporous

^a Photocatalysis International Research Center, Research Institute for Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan. E-mail: suzuki.norihiro@rs.tus.ac.jp

^b ORC Manufacturing Co., Ltd, 4896 Tamagawa, Chino, Nagano 391-0011, Japan

^c Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

^d Faculty of Industrial Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 6-3-1 Niijuku, Katsushika, Tokyo 125-8585, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, the time dependence of urea concentration with and without photocatalytic reaction (Fig. S1), and assumed urea oxidation mechanism (Scheme S1). See DOI: 10.1039/d0nj03347b

‡ Both authors contributed equally.






Fig. 1 Schematic of the experimental setup. When only electrochemical treatment was conducted, the excimer lamp and TiO_2/BDD photocatalyst were removed beforehand.

TiO_2 photocatalyst during the oxidation process by BDD is explored.

Experiments were conducted with an H-type cell consisting of two glass cells, as described in our previous study.⁵ One half cell contained the BDD working electrode and the other contained a Pt counter electrode. When the TiO_2 photocatalyst was used together with the BDD electrode, a Kr-Br excimer lamp producing 207 nm UV light (ORC Manufacturing Co., Ltd (Machida, Tokyo, Japan)) and mesoporous TiO_2/BDD photocatalyst were included in the system. The fabrication process of the photocatalyst was described in our previous paper.⁶ The half cells were connected with a Nafion[®] NRE-212 membrane (Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, US)). A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1.

Simplified artificial urine consists of urea and NaCl (main sub component) in which the concentration of both matches with actual urine (*i.e.*, Urea solution (2 wt%) with 1 wt% NaCl aqueous solution) was prepared and used as the test material. The simplified artificial urine (50 mL) was poured into the glass half cell with the BDD electrode, which is hereafter denoted it as the reaction cell. The other half cell (*i.e.*, the Pt electrode side), which is hereafter denoted as the counter cell, was filled with 1 wt% NaCl aqueous solution (50 mL). The BDD electrode was positioned horizontally. A constant current of 75 mA was applied to the BDD electrode to produce ROS by water electrolysis. When the mesoporous TiO_2/BDD photocatalyst was used, 2.0 mW cm^{-2} of 207 nm UV light from an excimer lamp was irradiated onto the TiO_2/BDD photocatalyst through a quartz sample tube. The glass cell was capped with a silicone rubber cap and Teflon tape to prevent evaporation during the test. Aliquots of the urea solution (1 mL) for further chemical analysis were collected several times during electrochemical treatment by opening the cap. The experiment was conducted at room temperature. During the test, the urea solution was constantly stirred. The concentrations of urea and formed ions were estimated from high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) and ion chromatography measurements, respectively.




Fig. 2 Time dependence of urea concentration during the electrochemical treatment estimated from HPLC measurements. C and C_0 are the remaining and initial urea concentrations, respectively. Sum of concentration of nitrogen containing ions measured with ion chromatography is also included.

First, electrochemical decomposition of urea using only the BDD electrode was examined. Fig. 2 shows the time dependence of urea concentration during the electrochemical treatment. The urea concentration decreased monotonically with lengthening treatment time and almost all the urea in the solution was decomposed after 18 h. This result is consistent with a previous study that showed complete removal of urea was achieved by electrochemical oxidation using a BDD electrode.³ Nitrogen containing ions (*i.e.*, NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^-) derived from the decomposition of urea increased with processing time until 12 h and then saturated. The detailed explanation on each ions is described below.

Fig. 3 depicts the time dependence of ion concentrations during the electrochemical treatment. It was found that NH_4^+ , nitrite ions (NO_2^-) and NO_3^- formed in the reaction cell (Fig. 3(a)), confirming that urea was mineralised during electrochemical treatment. Previous studies reported that the oxidation of urea was associated with hydroxyl radicals (OH^\bullet) electro-generated at the BDD surface during the electrolysis at high potentials in the oxygen evolution region³ and OH^\bullet were involved in the generation of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^- by oxidation of intermediate species.⁷




Fig. 3 Time dependence of ion concentration in (a) the reaction cell and (b) the counter cell during the electrochemical treatment estimated from ion chromatography measurements. Note that nitrite and nitrate ions were not detected in the counter cell.






Fig. 4 Time dependence of ion concentration in (a) the reaction cell and (b) the counter cell during the electrochemical and photocatalytic treatment estimated from ion chromatography measurements. The dotted line in (b) indicates the ion concentration when only the electrochemical treatment was conducted (i.e., Fig. 3(b)). Nitrite and nitrate ions were not detected in the counter cell.

Park *et al.*⁸ proposed a mechanism for the reaction between urea and OH^\bullet (Scheme S1, ESI[†]). First, OH^\bullet attacks an amino group ($-\text{NH}_2$) to form a nitroso group ($-\text{N}=\text{O}$). Then, OH^\bullet attacks the $-\text{N}=\text{O}$ group to form NH_2CONO_2 . Hydrolysis of NH_2CONO_2 releases NO_2^- and its further oxidation by OH^\bullet forms NO_3^- .⁹ The resulting carbamic acid, which mainly exists as a zwitterion,¹⁰ can be oxidised in two different ways: one leads to the formation of NH_4^+ and the other produces NO_3^- via NO_2^- oxidation.

We found that during electrochemical treatment, the NO_2^- concentration in the reaction cell first increased and then decreased until it reached zero, whereas the NO_3^- concentration kept increasing over time (Fig. 3(a)). These results revealed the formation of NO_2^- as an intermediate and its further oxidation to NO_3^- , which is consistent with the proposed mineralisation mechanism of urea described above. Compared with the concentrations of NO_2^- and NO_3^- , more NH_4^+ existed in the reaction cell (Fig. 3(a)), clarifying that the formation of NH_4^+ from carbamic acid is strongly favoured over the production of NO_3^- . With lengthening electrochemical treatment time, the concentration of NH_4^+ in the reaction cell first rapidly increased and then gradually decreased (Fig. 3(a)), whereas that in the counter cell increased monotonically (Fig. 3(b)). Because Pt electrode was negatively biased, the NH_4^+ formed in the reaction cell was electrically guided to the counter cell, where it accumulated.

Next, a mesoporous TiO_2/BDD photocatalyst and Kr-Br excimer lamp ($\lambda = 207 \text{ nm}$) were introduced into the reaction cell and both photocatalytic and electrochemical treatments were conducted at the same time. The time dependence of urea concentration with and without photocatalysis is shown in Fig. S1 (ESI[†]). The measured urea concentration was almost identical regardless of the presence or absence of photocatalyst, indicating that the initial oxidation of urea is predominantly induced by electrochemical reaction with BDD. However, the time dependence of nitrogen containing ions differed when photocatalyst was also used, implying that photocatalytic reaction had an influence on mineralization process.

The photocatalyst clearly affected the distribution of formed ions (Fig. 4). First, NO_2^- was not detected in the reaction cell when the photocatalyst was used (Fig. 4(a)). This means that the photocatalyst promoted the oxidation of the intermediate NO_2^-

to NO_3^- . In our previous study, we demonstrated that oxidation ability was enhanced by using electrochemical treatment together with photocatalysis because electrochemically formed H_2O_2 was reduced by electrons in the conduction band of TiO_2 to form OH^\bullet .⁵ The observed promotion of NO_2^- oxidation suggests that the photocatalyst also enhanced OH^\bullet production in this study. Although the concentration of NO_3^- formed decreased (Fig. 4(a)), the NH_4^+ accumulated in the counter cell increased upon using the photocatalyst (Fig. 4(b)). Therefore, the photocatalyst promoted the oxidation of carbamic acid to NH_4^+ over that to NO_3^- . Although the exact reason for the enhanced selectivity using the photocatalyst is still unclear, because one electron as well as one OH^\bullet is required for NH_4^+ production (Scheme S1, ESI[†]), the electrons in the conduction band of the photocatalyst may play an important role.

In conclusion, we obtained valuable NH_4^+ from a simplified artificial urine by electrochemical treatment. Because this process can be conducted at ambient pressure and room temperature, it shows potential as an energy-efficient NH_3 fabrication process. It is hoped that this technique can be applied to actual urine, which contains various inorganic/organic components; initial studies are now underway.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was partly supported by the Joint Usage/Research Program of the Photocatalysis International Research Center, Research Institute for Science and Technology. We thank Natasha Lundin, PhD, from Edanz Group (<https://en-author-services.edanzgroup.com/>) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

References

- 1 J. Andersson and S. Grönkvist, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2019, **44**, 11901–11919.
- 2 Y. Einaga, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2010, **40**, 1807–1816; Y. Einaga, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2018, **91**, 1752–1762.
- 3 M. Cataldo Hernández, N. Russo, M. Panizza, P. Spinelli and D. Fino, *Diamond Relat. Mater.*, 2014, **44**, 109–116.
- 4 H. Li, Q. Yu, B. Yang, Z. Li and L. Lei, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2015, **738**, 14–19.
- 5 N. Suzuki, A. Okazaki, H. Kuriyama, I. Serizawa, Y. Hirami, A. Hara, Y. Hirano, Y. Nakabayashi, N. Roy, C. Terashima, K. Nakata, K. Katsumata, T. Kondo, M. Yuasa and A. Fujishima, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 1793–1798.
- 6 N. Suzuki, A. Okazaki, H. Kuriyama, I. Serizawa, A. Hara, Y. Hirano, Y. Nakabayashi, N. Roy, C. Terashima, K. Nakata, K. Katsumata, T. Kondo, M. Yuasa and A. Fujishima, *Molecules*, 2018, **23**, 3095.
- 7 E. Pelizzetti, P. Calza, G. Mariella, V. Maurino, C. Minero and H. Hidaka, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1504–1505; P. Calza,



- E. Pelizzetti and C. Minero, *J. Appl. Electrochem.*, 2005, **35**, 665–673.
- 8 S. Park, J. T. Lee and J. Kim, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2019, **26**, 1044–1053.
- 9 A. Zafra, J. Garcia, A. Milis and X. Domènech, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1991, **70**, 343–349.
- 10 S. L. Johnson and D. L. Morrison, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 1323–1334.

