

## PAPER

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2020, 2, 792

# Electro-synthesized $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@ \text{CoSe}$ with Co–OH active sites for overall water splitting electrocatalysis†

Yin Wang,<sup>‡a</sup> Yutong Yang,<sup>‡a</sup> Xia Wang,<sup>a</sup> Peihe Li,<sup>a</sup> Hongyang Shao,<sup>a</sup> Tianen Li,<sup>a</sup> Haiyang Liu,<sup>a</sup> Qingfu Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Jing Hu,<sup>a</sup> Limei Duan,<sup>\*a</sup> Changwen Hu<sup>†b</sup> and Jinghai Liu<sup>†a</sup>

Constructing noble metal-free electrocatalytically active sites for the simultaneous hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in alkaline solution is key to realizing electricity-driven water splitting in practical applications. Here, we rationally designed  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@ \text{CoSe}$  nanorods (NRs) as an excellent bifunctional electrocatalyst by an *in situ* electrochemical transformation strategy, where the Co-based nanorod template was converted into  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@ \text{CoSe}$  at the cathode. The obtained electrode exhibits superior electrocatalytic activity for both the HER (overpotential of 208 mV at 20 mA  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ) and the OER (268 mV at 20 mA  $\text{cm}^{-2}$ ) at high current density in a 1 M KOH solution. The theoretical calculations and experimental evidence indicate that the chemical coupling Co–OH active site between  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  and CoSe regulates the hydrogen adsorption and desorption energy and fast electron transfer capability, which is responsible for the improved HER. Moreover, the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@ \text{CoSe}$  NRs can be further converted into CoOOH nanosheets which serve as OER active sites. Toward practical electrolytic cell applications, the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@ \text{CoSe}$  nanorods as both the cathode and anode achieved a current density of 100 mA  $\text{cm}^{-2}$  at 1.94 V for overall water splitting, better than that of noble metal-based electrocatalysts.

Received 18th November 2019  
Accepted 5th January 2020

DOI: 10.1039/c9na00725c

[rsc.li/nanoscale-advances](http://rsc.li/nanoscale-advances)

## Introduction

Electricity-driven water splitting is one of the fastest developing energy conversion technologies for renewable energy.<sup>1,2</sup> The overall water splitting reaction consists of simultaneous electrolysis processes of both the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) at the cathode and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at the anode. Due to the huge energy barriers in the  $\text{H}^+/\text{O}^*$  adsorption/desorption process and mass transfer resistance under high current during both the HER and OER, efficient electrocatalysts are needed to reduce the overpotential and accelerate the kinetics of the reaction.<sup>3–5</sup> At present, noble metal-based materials are considered state-of-the-art electrocatalysts for water splitting, *e.g.* Pt-based alloys for the HER<sup>6</sup> and

Ir or Ru-based oxides for the OER.<sup>7</sup> However, the high cost and scarcity severely hinder their large-scale applications. Recently, some efficient and stable nonprecious metal-based electrocatalysts for the HER in acidic media and the OER in alkaline media have been investigated.<sup>8–12</sup> However, the problem with these traditional electrocatalysts is that they lack HER activity in strongly basic electrolytes, and good OER catalysts are unstable in strongly acidic solutions.<sup>13–15</sup> Therefore, it is still a great challenge to develop efficient bifunctional transition-metal active sites to simultaneously realize overall water splitting to  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  in the same electrolyte.

Recently, much effort has been focused on transition metal oxides/hydroxides,<sup>16,17</sup> sulfides,<sup>18,19</sup> selenide,<sup>20,21</sup> nitrides,<sup>22</sup> and phosphides<sup>23–30</sup> as potential bifunctional electrocatalysts for overall water splitting. In particular, cobalt selenide (CoSe),<sup>31–38</sup> a typical transition metal chalcogenide, has attracted much attention owing to its excellent HER performance and facile conversion to CoOOH in alkaline electrolytes. But low active surface area and poor conductivity limit the activity of pure CoSe at high current. It is difficult to obtain uniform CoSe nanostructures with a large accessible active surface using conventional methods such as hydro/solvothermal<sup>13,36,39–41</sup> methods and selenization at high temperature.<sup>15,31,33,35,37</sup> On the other hand, cobalt hydroxide ( $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ ) is widely accepted as one of the best-performing OER catalysts.<sup>42–44</sup> In addition, the

<sup>a</sup>Inner Mongolia Key Laboratory of Carbon Nanomaterials, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nano Innovation Institute, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao 028000, China. E-mail: [jhliu2008@sinano.ac.cn](mailto:jhliu2008@sinano.ac.cn); [duanlmxie@126.com](mailto:duanlmxie@126.com)

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Cluster Science, Ministry of Education of China, Beijing Key Laboratory of Photoelectronic/Electrophotonic Conversion Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China. E-mail: [cwlu@bit.edu.cn](mailto:cwlu@bit.edu.cn)

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9na00725c

‡ These two authors contributed equally.



HER activity could be promoted by  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  accelerating the water dissociation process under alkaline conditions.<sup>45,46</sup> Motivated by these considerations, we assume that the coupling of CoSe with  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  could generate bifunctional active sites to accomplish overall water splitting in an alkaline electrolyte.

Electrosynthesis is a facile and energy-saving synthetic and preparative technology to obtain electrochemically active materials directly anchored on conducting substrates without extra binders.<sup>47–51</sup> Sun and co-workers<sup>38</sup> reported on an electrochemical deposition method to fabricate a CoSe/Ti electrode as a bifunctional electrocatalyst for water splitting. Switzer and co-workers<sup>44</sup> reported that  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  and  $\text{OH}^-$  could be electrodeposited onto the cathode to form  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ . In addition, we have developed a modified electrosynthesis method to realize controllable preparation in terms of both the composition and morphology, where a Co-based nanowire precursor was converted into CoO without morphology destruction.<sup>50,51</sup> These considerations inspire us to exploit an *in situ* electrosynthesis strategy to fabricate one-dimensional (1D)  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe nanostructures.

Here, we report a novel *in situ* electrochemical transformation strategy to fabricate  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe nanorods (NRs) vertically oriented on conductive carbon cloth (CC). The controllable electrochemical selenization process works at a continuous negative voltage of  $-0.7$  V (vs. the saturated calomel electrode, SCE) in a  $\text{SeO}_2$  solution, where  $\text{Se}^{2-}$  derived from  $\text{Se}^{4+}$  and  $\text{OH}^-$  from  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  combined with  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  ions from the Co-based nanorod precursor at the cathode. Then, the vertically oriented Co-based nanorods are *in situ* converted into  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe NRs. The obtained  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe NRs with a large accessible surface area and good charge transport capability exhibit good electrocatalytic activity with small overpotentials of 208 mV for the HER and 268 mV for the OER at a current density of  $20 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  in alkaline solution. Theoretical calculations reveal that chemical coupling Co–OH active site between  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  and CoSe regulates the H adsorption and desorption energy to promote the HER kinetics, and the CoOOH converted from  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe during the OER acts as the real active site for the OER. For an overall water splitting electrolytic cell, the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe NRs need a low voltage of 1.94 V at  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  with catalytic activity and stability much better than those of the  $(-)\text{Pt}/\text{C}/\text{RuO}_2(+)$  cell.

## Results and discussion

*In situ* electrochemical transformation was used to prepare  $\text{CC@Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe nanorods (NRs). As shown in Fig. 1, Co-based NRs were first grown on carbon cloth (CC) as the template through a solvothermal process. The transformation process proceeded at  $-0.7$  V (vs.  $\text{Hg}/\text{Hg}_2\text{Cl}_2$ , SCE) at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  for 40 min in a  $\text{SeO}_2/\text{KCl}$  solution. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images show that the well-defined Co-based nanowires (NWs) and nanorods (NRs) were vertically grown on the surface of carbon cloth after hydrothermal and solvothermal treatment, respectively (Fig. S1 and S2†). Subsequent electrochemical transformation conserves the nanorod morphology with a very rough surface (Fig. S3,† 2a and b), while the nanowires were totally destroyed under the same conditions (Fig. S3b†). The




Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the Se redox active *in situ* transformation of  $\text{CC@Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe by the cathode electro-synthesis process and  $\text{CC@CoOOH}$  by the anode water oxidation process.

size and crystalline structure of individual NRs were investigated by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The TEM images in Fig. S4† and 2c reveal that the diameter and length are about 300 nm and  $4 \mu\text{m}$  with a mass loading of  $1.3 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$  on the carbon cloth.

The chemical composition and bonding states were further investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The survey XPS spectrum in Fig. S5† shows that the  $\text{CC@Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe is composed of Co, O, C and Se. The high resolution Co 2p spectrum shows four peaks of Co  $2p_{3/2}$  identified as Co–Se at 779 eV, Co–OH at 781 and 783.7 eV, and a  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  shake up peak at 786.6 eV (Fig. 2d). Another four peaks of Co  $2p_{1/2}$  are identified as Co–Se at 794.4 eV, Co–OH at 796.9 and 799.3 eV, and a  $\text{Co}^{2+}$  shake up peak at 803.7 eV<sup>33,52</sup> with energy separation between Co  $2p_{3/2}$  and Co  $2p_{1/2}$  of about 15.5 eV. The O 1s spectrum in Fig. 2e shows two peaks at 531.5 and 533.4 eV corresponding to  $\text{Co}^{2+}$ –O and O–H in  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ . For the Se 3d spectrum, the four peaks of Se  $3d_{5/2}$  and  $3d_{3/2}$  correspond Co–






Fig. 2 Structural, morphological and composition characterization for  $\text{CC@Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe nanorods. (a) Low-magnification and (b) high-magnification SEM images of  $\text{CC@Co}(\text{OH})_2$ @CoSe nanorods. (c) TEM image of an individual  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ CoSe nanorod. (d) High resolution Co 2p, (e) O 1s and (f) Se 3d XPS spectra. The binding energy obtained with reference to C 1s at 284.8 eV. (g) Raman spectra. (h) HRTEM image and (i) SAED pattern.

Se<sup>53</sup> and residual Se–Se with an energy separation of about 0.86 eV (Fig. 2f). The XRD patterns in Fig. S6b† show typical Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> diffraction peaks (PDF card 03-0443) without CoSe diffraction signals, which may be due to the low crystallinity of CoSe obtained by the electrochemical transformation. But the Raman spectra provide evidence to support the formation of CoSe, where the four peaks at 169, 465, 508 and 669 cm<sup>−1</sup> are assigned to freibolite CoSe,<sup>32</sup> and the peak at 185 cm<sup>−1</sup> is associated with the residual amorphous selenium powder (Fig. 2g). Furthermore, the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image also shows the Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe with interplanar spacings of 0.24 nm for the lattice plane of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> (011) and 0.31 nm for CoSe (110) (Fig. 2h). The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern further confirms that the NRs are composed of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> and CoSe (Fig. 2i). The above results demonstrate that the Co-based NRs are totally transformed to Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe during the *in situ* electrochemical process. The chemical reactions during the transformation to produce Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe are shown in eqn (1)–(3).



To probe the key factors determining the Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NR formation, we also prepared CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe-25 and CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe-95 at temperatures of 25 °C and 95 °C. It was harder to form CoSe and maintain the Co(OH)F phase at lower synthesis temperatures (Fig. S6e and S7c†), but at higher synthesis temperatures it was easy to break the nanorod structure and form the Se powder phase (Fig. S6f and S7d†). CC@CoSe-ED was then fabricated using an electrodeposition method and CC@CoSe-CS was obtained by a direct calcination selenization method for comparison. For the CC@CoSe-ED, the carbon cloth was covered by an amorphous CoSe film (Fig. S6c and S7a†). For the CC@CoSe-CS, the vertical nanorod arrays were destroyed after the calcination treatment and were replaced by the CoSe<sub>2</sub> particles (Fig. S6d and S7b†).

The electrocatalytic HER and OER activities were evaluated using the polarization linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) recorded in a 1 M KOH aqueous solution, where all currents were corrected with 95% *i*R compensation. The CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs exhibit excellent activity for the HER with an overpotential of 208 mV to reach a current density of 20 mA cm<sup>−2</sup>. In comparison, the overpotential is only 53 mV for CC@Pt/C, 355 mV for CC@CoSe-ED and 300 mV for CC@CoSe-CS (Fig. 3a and S10a†). Moreover, a Tafel plot was constructed to present the HER catalytic kinetics (Fig. 3b), where a Tafel slope of 152 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> was obtained for CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs, smaller than the 169 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@CoSe-ED and the 160 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@CoSe-CS. Although the Tafel slope is higher than the 72 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@Pt/C, the CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs show a remarkably high activity at large current density and an overpotential of only 314 mV at 100 mA cm<sup>−2</sup>, smaller than those of CC@Pt/C.




Fig. 3 Electrocatalytic HER and OER performances in 1 M KOH (pH = 14). (a) HER polarization curves and (b) Tafel plots for CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe, CC@CoSe-ED, CC@CoSe-CS and CC@Pt/C. (c) Se 3d XPS spectrum of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe after 50 h of the HER. (d) OER polarization curves and (e) Tafel plots for CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe, CC@CoSe-ED, CC@CoSe-CS and CC@RuO<sub>2</sub>. (f) Co 2p XPS spectra of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe after 50 h of the HER and OER. (g) Plots of the current density at OCP vs. the scan rate and (h) EIS spectra of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe, CC@CoSe-ED, and CC@CoSe-CS (inset, EIS spectrum of carbon cloth). (i) Chronopotentiometric measurements for long-term stability of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe.

The CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs also exhibit excellent electrocatalytic activity for the OER. Overpotentials of 297 mV and 303 mV are needed to achieve current densities of 100 mA cm<sup>−2</sup> and 200 mA cm<sup>−2</sup> (Fig. 3d and S10b†), in contrast to the 380 mV at 100 mA cm<sup>−2</sup> for RuO<sub>2</sub>. Even at a current density of 20 mA cm<sup>−2</sup>, the overpotential is only 268 mV for CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs, while it is 312 mV for CC@CoSe-ED, 316 mV for CC@CoSe-CS, 421 mV for CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> and 285 mV for CC@RuO<sub>2</sub>. Correspondingly, the Tafel slope of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs is 65 mV dec<sup>−1</sup>, smaller than the 72 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@CoSe-ED, 96 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@CoSe-CS and 90 mV dec<sup>−1</sup> for CC@RuO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3e). The effects of the electro-transformation reaction temperature and morphology on electrocatalytic activity were then investigated (Fig. S8†). The CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs show superior performances for both the HER and OER compared to CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe-25 and CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe-95. The Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe with broken NW morphology exhibits poor activities for both the HER and OER (Fig. S9†).

To investigate the surface active sites of CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs during the electrocatalytic water splitting process, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was conducted. Fig. 3c shows that only Co–Se bonds exist in the Se 3d spectrum after 50 h of the HER, which indicates that the residual Se powder dissolved in the alkaline medium during the HER process. But there is no difference in the Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Co 2p<sub>1/2</sub> spectra for the as-prepared CC@Co(OH)<sub>2</sub>@CoSe NRs and the NRs after 50 h of the HER (Fig. 3f). After the OER process, the Co–Se bond disappeared and the Co 2p spectrum of Co(OH)<sub>2</sub> shifts to higher





binding energy related to CoOOH, indicating that the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs undergo a second *in situ* phase transformation during the OER. The O 1s spectrum in Fig. S11† shifts to a lower binding energy further supporting the conversion into CoOOH. Generally, this oxidation transformation is very common in CoSe-based OER catalysts<sup>54,55</sup> and CoOOH is considered the true active site for water oxidation. The SEM images show that the NR morphology still remains after 50 h of the HER process (Fig. S13a†), but it is replaced by hexagonal nanosheets after 50 h of the OER process (Fig. S13b†). The XRD pattern of  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  after 50 h of the OER also exhibits a typical hexagonal CoOOH phase (Fig. S14†).

In order to further understand the excellent activity, the electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) was calculated by measuring the scan-rate dependent cyclic voltammograms (CVs) in a 1 M KOH solution. The electrochemical double-layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) value of  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs is  $4351 \mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$ , higher than the  $295 \mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$  of carbon cloth,  $288 \mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$  of  $\text{CC}@\text{CoSe-ED}$  and  $331 \mu\text{F cm}^{-2}$  of  $\text{CC}@\text{CoSe-CS}$  (Fig. 3g and S12†). The roughness factor of  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs was calculated to be 14.7, proving that the vertically oriented NR morphology can provide a more exposed active surface than the electrodeposition and calcination selenization methods. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) analysis was performed to gain an insight into the electron and mass transfer during the electrocatalytic process, where the series resistance ( $R_s$ ) in the Nyquist plot reflects the resistance of the electrolyte solution and the charge-transfer resistance ( $R_{ct}$ ) indicates the resistance of charge transfer in the catalyst. The  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  has the smallest  $R_s$  and  $R_{ct}$ , which indicates good electrical contact and fast charge transfer properties (Fig. 3h). Moreover, the stability results present an increasing overpotential for the HER changing from 208 mV to 270 mV and for the OER from 268 mV to 300 mV over 50 h (Fig. 3i).

To deeply understand the catalytically active sites of the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs, the key reaction coordinate in the alkaline HER and OER on the  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  was investigated by DFT calculations. Three structural models of pure CoSe, pure  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ , and  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  were constructed for the HER (Fig. 4a–c). Generally, three states can be observed during the HER process: an initial  $\text{H}^+$  state, the adsorption of an intermediate  $\text{H}^*$ , and the final product of  $1/2 \text{H}_2$ . The Gibbs free energy of intermediate  $\text{H}^*$  adsorption,  $\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}$ , is regarded as a major descriptor of HER activity for catalysts, and the Gibbs energy change of  $|\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}|$  is as small as zero represents a highly efficient HER catalyst. A large positive  $\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}$  of 1.00 eV for pure CoSe means that it has very weak  $\text{H}^*$  adsorption, while a large negative  $\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}$  of  $-0.49$  eV for pure  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  indicates that  $\text{H}^*$  adsorption is too strong to desorb the final  $\text{H}_2$  product (Fig. 4e). For  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$ , Co-1 (Co–OH site at  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ ), Co-2 (Co–Co at CoSe), and Co-3 (octahedral coordinated Co–Se at CoSe) represent three different Co sites (Fig. S15†), and the  $|\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}|$  values for these sites are 0.29, 0.34 and 1.05, respectively (Fig. 4f). Thus, the Co–OH site is the most favourable site for  $\text{H}^*$  adsorption and desorption for the HER. The  $|\Delta G_{\text{H}^*}|$  value of the Co–OH active site is much smaller than that of pure-CoSe and pure- $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ , facilitating  $\text{H}_2$  desorption. Additionally, the




Fig. 4 Co–OH active sites of  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs during the HER and OER. DFT calculated structure models (upper: top view, bottom: side view) of (a) pure CoSe, (b) pure  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$ , (c)  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  and (d) CoOOH. (e) H adsorption free energy profiles of pure CoSe, pure  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$ . (f) H adsorption free energy profiles of  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  at different adsorption sites. (g) Density of states (DOS) of pure CoSe, pure  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2$  and  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$ . (h) Energy diagram for the OER pathway of CoOOH (012). White, red, blue and green spheres represent H, O, Co and Se atoms, respectively.  $\Delta$  represents adsorption sites in the HER pathway.

density of states (DOS) results show that  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  has higher DOS close to the Fermi level (Fig. 4g), which implies a higher electron transfer capability for an enhanced chemical activity. Therefore, chemical coupling of  $\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  can regulate the adsorption and desorption energy of Co–OH active sites to efficiently facilitate the HER kinetics.

Then, we investigated the tailoring of CoOOH (012) on the Gibbs free energy along the OER pathway for  $^*\text{OH}$ ,  $^*\text{O}$ , and  $^*\text{OOH}$  (Fig. 4d). The most favourable site of CoOOH is the unsaturated coordinated Co–OH site that can adsorb the above three intermediates, with  $\Delta G$  values of 0.59 eV, 1.78 eV, and 3.17 eV (Fig. 4h). The step from  $^*\text{O}$  to  $^*\text{OOH}$  is the rate-determining step (RDS) due to its largest energy barrier of 1.39 eV. When increasing the potential ( $U$ ) to 1.23 V, its  $\Delta G$  values for  $^*\text{OH}$ ,  $^*\text{O}$ , and  $^*\text{OOH}$  are  $-0.64$  eV,  $-0.67$  eV, and  $-0.51$  eV. The RDS is almost equal to zero until  $U$  increases to 1.39 eV. The *in situ* converted CoOOH shows a desired OER activity with the Co–OH site serving as the reactive active site for tailoring the RDS energy of these oxygenated intermediates in the alkaline environment, which facilitates the overall OER kinetics.

Accordingly, encouraged by the excellent OER and HER performances of  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  NRs at a large current density, we assembled an overall water splitting electrolytic cell in a 1 M KOH solution with the NRs as both the anode and the cathode (Fig. 5a). For comparison, a  $\text{CC}@\text{Pt/C}//\text{CC}@\text{RuO}_2$  cell was also examined under the same conditions with  $\text{CC}@\text{RuO}_2$  as the anode and  $\text{CC}@\text{Pt/C}$  as the cathode. The  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  cell needed a potential of 1.71 V to realize overall water splitting at a current density of  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ , slightly higher than that of the  $\text{CC}@\text{Pt/C}//\text{CC}@\text{RuO}_2$  cell ( $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 1.64 V). But, at high current density, the  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  cell showed a better performance, where it presented  $100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 1.94 V in comparison with the  $70 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  at 1.94 V for the  $\text{CC}@\text{Pt/C}//\text{CC}@\text{RuO}_2$  cell. Moreover, the  $\text{CC}@\text{Co}(\text{OH})_2@\text{CoSe}$  cell exhibited an excellent electrolytic stability. The operating voltage for






Fig. 5 Electrolytic cell performance for overall water splitting. (a) Polarization curves and (b) electrolytic stabilities at  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  for around 50 h for  $\text{CC@Co(OH)}_2\text{@CoSe}$  NRs and the  $\text{CC@Pt/C//CC@RuO}_2$  cell in the two-electrode configuration. (c) Experimentally measured amount of  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$ . (d) Faraday efficiencies of the HER and OER versus time for the  $\text{CC@Co(OH)}_2\text{@CoSe}$  NR cell.

the  $\text{CC@Co(OH)}_2\text{@CoSe}$  cell required to sustain a current density of  $10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$  only underwent a 67 mV increase after 50 h (Fig. 5b). However, the  $\text{CC@Pt/C//CC@RuO}_2$  cell exhibited a remarkable potential increase in a very short period, which may be due to the poor stability of  $\text{RuO}_2$  in the alkaline medium. The Faraday efficiency value was calculated from the experimentally measured ratio of  $\text{H}_2$  to  $\text{O}_2$  (2 : 1) (Fig. 5c) and it remains above 94% during 2 h of electrolysis (Fig. 5d).

## Conclusion

In summary, we have developed an *in situ* electrochemical transformation method to fabricate  $\text{Co(OH)}_2\text{@CoSe}$  nanorods as a bifunctional electrocatalyst. The obtained  $\text{Co(OH)}_2\text{@CoSe}$  NRs exhibit an excellent electrocatalytic activity and stability for the simultaneous HER and OER. The chemical coupling Co–OH active site between  $\text{Co(OH)}_2$  and CoSe can optimize the adsorption and desorption energy for hydrogen to efficiently facilitate the HER. The  $\text{CoOOH}$  converted by a second electrotransformation during the OER acts as the real active sites for the OER. These merits make the practical electrolytic cell exhibit high overall water splitting efficiency at a large current density. This work provides a novel electro-synthesis technique to develop noble metal-free chemical coupling active sites to regulate electrocatalysts towards real-world water splitting electrolytic cell applications.

## Author contributions

Y. W. and Y. T. Y. contributed equally. J. H. L., L. M. D., and C. W. H. planned and supervised the research. J. H. L., Y. W., and Y. T. Y. co-wrote the manuscript. Y. W. and Y. T. Y. designed and performed most of the experiments. X. W. conducted the

simulations. P. H. L. and H. Y. S. participated in some of the experiments. T. E. L. and Q. F. Z. conducted XPS and SEM analysis. H. Y. L. and J. H. performed electrochemical measurements. All the authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (21771020, 21671019, 21961024, and 21961025), Inner Mongolia Natural Science Foundation (2018JQ05, 2019BS02007, 2019BS02008, and 2017BS0205), Incentive Funding from Nano Innovation Institute (NII) of Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities (IMUN), Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Funding Project for Science & Technology Achievement Transformation (CGZH2018156), Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region Incentive Funding Guided Project for Science & Technology Innovation (2016), and Doctoral Scientific Research Foundation of Inner Mongolia University for the Nationalities (BS245, BS379, and BS437).

## Notes and references

- 1 Z. W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C. F. Dickens, I. Chorkendorff, J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *Science*, 2017, **355**, 4998–5010.
- 2 I. Roger, M. A. Shipman and M. D. Symes, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2017, **1**, 0003.
- 3 H. Jiang, J. Gu, X. Zheng, M. Liu, X. Qiu, L. Wang, W. Li, Z. Chen, X. Ji and J. Li, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 322–333.
- 4 P. W. Menezes, C. Panda, S. Loos, F. Bunschei-Bruns, C. Walter, M. Schwarze, X. Deng, H. Dau and M. Driess, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 1287–1298.
- 5 F. Yu, H. Zhou, Y. Huang, J. Sun, F. Qin, J. Bao, W. A. Goddard 3rd, S. Chen and Z. Ren, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2551–2560.
- 6 W. F. Chen, J. T. Muckerman and E. Fujita, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8896–8909.
- 7 N. T. Suen, S. F. Hung, Q. Quan, N. Zhang, Y. J. Xu and H. M. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 337–365.
- 8 J. Wang, W. Cui, Q. Liu, Z. Xing, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 215–230.
- 9 Y. Shi and B. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 1529–1541.
- 10 L. Han, S. Dong and E. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9266–9291.
- 11 J. Sun, N. Guo, Z. Shao, K. Huang, Y. Li, F. He and Q. Wang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1800980–1800993.
- 12 K.-L. Yan, J.-Q. Chi, Z.-Z. Liu, B. Dong, S.-S. Lu, X. Shang, W.-K. Gao, Y.-M. Chai and C.-G. Liu, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 1783–1790.
- 13 Y. Hou, M. R. Lohe, J. Zhang, S. Liu, X. Zhuang and X. Feng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 478–483.



- 14 I. H. Kwak, H. S. Im, D. M. Jang, Y. W. Kim, K. Park, Y. R. Lim, E. H. Cha and J. Park, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 5327–5334.
- 15 X. Li, L. Zhang, M. Huang, S. Wang, X. Li and H. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 14789–14795.
- 16 J. Li, Y. Wang, T. Zhou, H. Zhang, X. Sun, J. Tang, L. Zhang, A. M. Al-Enizi, Z. Yang and G. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14305–14312.
- 17 T. Tang, W. J. Jiang, S. Niu, N. Liu, H. Luo, Y. Y. Chen, S. F. Jin, F. Gao, L. J. Wan and J. S. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8320–8328.
- 18 P. Chen, T. Zhou, M. Zhang, Y. Tong, C. Zhong, N. Zhang, L. Zhang, C. Wu and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701584.
- 19 S. Huang, Y. Meng, S. He, A. Goswami, Q. Wu, J. Li, S. Tong, T. Asefa and M. Wu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1606585.
- 20 C. Tang, N. Cheng, Z. Pu, W. Xing and X. Sun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 9351–9355.
- 21 Z. Pu, Y. Luo, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 4718–4723.
- 22 X. Jia, Y. Zhao, G. Chen, L. Shang, R. Shi, X. Kang, G. I. N. Waterhouse, L.-Z. Wu, C.-H. Tung and T. Zhang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1502585.
- 23 M. Ledendecker, S. Krick Calderon, C. Papp, H. P. Steinruck, M. Antonietti and M. Shalom, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 12361–12365.
- 24 W. Li, X. Gao, D. Xiong, F. Xia, J. Liu, W. G. Song, J. Xu, S. M. Thalluri, M. F. Cerqueira, X. Fu and L. Liu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 2952–2958.
- 25 G. Zhang, G. Wang, Y. Liu, H. Liu, J. Qu and J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14686–14693.
- 26 H. Xu, S. Ci, Y. Ding, G. Wang and Z. Wen, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 8006–8029.
- 27 M. Sun, H. Liu, J. Qu and J. Li, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1600087–1600121.
- 28 E. Hu, Y. Feng, J. Nai, D. Zhao, Y. Hu and X. W. Lou, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2018, **11**, 872–880.
- 29 P. He, X.-Y. Yu and X. W. D. Lou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3897–3900.
- 30 Y. Du, M. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, Y. Geng and L. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 8602–8608.
- 31 C. Sun, Q. Dong, J. Yang, Z. Dai, J. Lin, P. Chen, W. Huang and X. Dong, *Nano Res.*, 2016, **9**, 2234–2243.
- 32 X. Xu, P. Du, Z. Chen and M. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 10933–10939.
- 33 L. Fang, W. Li, Y. Guan, Y. Feng, H. Zhang, S. Wang and Y. Wang, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1701008–1701017.
- 34 Y. Hou, M. Qiu, T. Zhang, X. Zhuang, C.-S. Kim, C. Yuan and X. Feng, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701589–1701597.
- 35 T. Meng, J. Qin, S. Wang, D. Zhao, B. Mao and M. Cao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 7001–7014.
- 36 C. Hu, L. Zhang, Z. J. Zhao, A. Li, X. Chang and J. Gong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705538–1705546.
- 37 Y. Zhao, B. Jin, Y. Zheng, H. Jin, Y. Jiao and S.-Z. Qiao, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1801926–1801935.
- 38 T. Liu, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, Y. Luo and X. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 16683–16686.
- 39 Y. F. Xu, M. R. Gao, Y. R. Zheng, J. Jiang and S. H. Yu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8546–8550.
- 40 M. Basu, Z. W. Zhang, C. J. Chen, P. T. Chen, K. C. Yang, C. G. Ma, C. C. Lin, S. F. Hu and R. S. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6211–6216.
- 41 Y. R. Zheng, P. Wu, M. R. Gao, X. L. Zhang, F. Y. Gao, H. X. Ju, R. Wu, Q. Gao, R. You, W. X. Huang, S. J. Liu, S. W. Hu, J. Zhu, Z. Li and S. H. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2533–2542.
- 42 J. Huang, Q. Shang, Y. Huang, F. Tang, Q. Zhang, Q. Liu, S. Jiang, F. Hu, W. Liu, Y. Luo, T. Yao, Y. Jiang, Z. Pan, Z. Sun and S. Wei, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2137–2141.
- 43 J. Huang, J. Chen, T. Yao, J. He, S. Jiang, Z. Sun, Q. Liu, W. Cheng, F. Hu, Y. Jiang, Z. Pan and S. Wei, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8722–8727.
- 44 Y.-C. Liu, J. A. Koza and J. A. Switzer, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **140**, 359–365.
- 45 J. X. Feng, L. X. Ding, S. H. Ye, X. J. He, H. Xu, Y. X. Tong and G. R. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7051–7057.
- 46 L. Cao, Q. Luo, W. Liu, Y. Lin, X. Liu, Y. Cao, W. Zhang, Y. Wu, J. Yang, T. Yao and S. Wei, *Nat. Catal.*, 2018, **2**, 134–141.
- 47 D. Kang, T. W. Kim, S. R. Kubota, A. C. Cardiel, H. G. Cha and K.-S. Choi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 12839–12887.
- 48 X. Lu and C. Zhao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6616–6623.
- 49 W. Liu, H. Liu, L. Dang, H. Zhang, X. Wu, B. Yang, Z. Li, X. Zhang, L. Lei and S. Jin, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1603904–1603914.
- 50 Y. Wang, Y. Ni, B. Liu, S. Shang, S. Yang, M. Cao and C. Hu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2017, **257**, 356–363.
- 51 Y. Wang, Y. Ni, X. Wang, N. Zhang, P. Li, J. Dong, B. Liu, J. Liu, M. Cao and C. Hu, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 5718–5725.
- 52 M. A. Sayeed, T. Herd and A. P. O'Mullane, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 991–999.
- 53 D. Kong, H. Wang, Z. Lu and Y. Cui, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 4897–4900.
- 54 X. Zhao, H. Zhang, Y. Yan, J. Cao, X. Li, S. Zhou, Z. Peng and J. Zeng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 328–332.
- 55 X. Zhao, X. Li, Y. Yan, Y. Xing, S. Lu, L. Zhao, S. Zhou, Z. Peng and J. Zeng, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **236**, 569–575.

