Molecular Omics

REVIEW

Check for updates

Cite this: *Mol. Omics*, 2020, **16**, 287

Received 16th March 2020, Accepted 20th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0mo00032a

rsc.li/molomics

Introduction

Identifying the targets and functions of *N*-linked protein glycosylation in *Campylobacter jejuni*

Joel A. Cain,^{ab} Ashleigh L. Dale, ^{bab} Zeynep Sumer-Bayraktar,^{ab} Nestor Solis^{†a} and Stuart J. Cordwell ^b*^{abcd}

Campylobacter jejuni is a major cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in humans that is primarily associated with the consumption of inadequately prepared poultry products, since the organism is generally thought to be asymptomatic in avian species. Unlike many other microorganisms, C. jejuni is capable of performing extensive post-translational modification (PTM) of proteins by N- and O-linked glycosylation, both of which are required for optimal chicken colonization and human virulence. The biosynthesis and attachment of N-glycans to C. jejuni proteins is encoded by the pgl (protein glycosylation) locus, with the PglB oligosaccharyltransferase (OST) enabling en bloc transfer of a heptasaccharide N-glycan from a lipid carrier in the inner membrane to proteins exposed within the periplasm. Seventy-eight C. jejuni glycoproteins (represented by 134 sites of experimentally verified N-glycosylation) have now been identified, and include inner and outer membrane proteins, periplasmic proteins and lipoproteins, which are generally of poorly defined or unknown function. Despite our extensive knowledge of the targets of this apparently widespread process, we still do not fully understand the role N-glycosylation plays biologically, although several phenotypes, including wild-type stress resistance, biofilm formation, motility and chemotaxis have been related to a functional pgl system. Recent work has described enzymatic processes (nitrate reductase NapAB) and antibiotic efflux (CmeABC) as major targets requiring N-glycan attachment for optimal function, and experimental evidence also points to roles in cell binding via glycan-glycan interactions, protein complex formation and protein stability by conferring protection against host and bacterial proteolytic activity. Here we examine the biochemistry of the N-linked glycosylation system, define its currently known protein targets and discuss evidence for the structural and functional roles of this PTM in individual proteins and globally in C. jejuni pathogenesis.

Campylobacter jejuni is a Gram negative enteric pathogen with helical cell morphology. *C. jejuni* is also microaerophilic and typically requires oxygen levels to be no greater than 10% for growth.¹ Gastrointestinal infection caused by this organism was first characterized in the late 1970s² and *C. jejuni* is now considered the most common causative agent of gastroenteritis in the developed world, with an estimated 400 million people infected worldwide annually.³ Infection in humans is acquired

though consumption of contaminated water or food, particularly under-cooked or inappropriately handled poultry products (with estimates suggesting between 75–90% of supermarket chicken is contaminated with the organism⁴), since *C. jejuni* is generally considered an asymptomatic commensal in avian species.⁵ While the differences in human and avian response to *C. jejuni* infection are largely unknown, there is evolving evidence that differences in host mucin *O*-glycan composition, particularly sulfated *O*-glycans, may play a role in colonization.⁶ Furthermore, chicken mucins from different regions of the gastrointestinal tract can inhibit human epithelial cell virulence,⁷ providing further evidence for glycan recognition in the establishment of host-specific niches.⁸

Human disease is generally self-limiting and symptoms present as fever and abdominal cramping that progress from mild to, in some cases, severe diarrhoea.^{2,3} Relapse is possible in the absence of medical intervention, and is likely due to gut persistence for up to 3 weeks.⁹ *C. jejuni* infection is also an established antecedent for an increasing number of debilitating conditions including Guillain–Barré Syndrome (GBS),



View Article Online

^a School of Life and Environmental Sciences, The University of Sydney, 2006, Australia

^b Charles Perkins Centre, The University of Sydney, Level 4 East, The Hub Building (D17), 2006, Australia. E-mail: stuart.cordwell@sydney.edu.au; Tel: +612-9351-6050

^c Discipline of Pathology, School of Medical Sciences, The University of Sydney, 2006, Australia

^d Sydney Mass Spectrometry, The University of Sydney, 2006, Australia

 $[\]dagger\,$ Current address: Centre for Blood Research, Department of Oral Biological and

Medical Sciences, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada.

Miller-Fisher Syndrome (MFS), immunoproliferative small intestine disease, reactive arthritis and Sweet's syndrome.^{10,11} The basis for these post-acute immune-mediated disorders is thought to be largely based on cross-reactivity between antibodies directed against C. jejuni surface lipooligosaccharide (LOS) and human cell surface gangliosides, and this relationship has been reviewed extensively.¹²⁻¹⁴

Several C. jejuni genomes have been sequenced from laboratory-adapted and clinical strains and several features remain consistent; the organism encodes $\sim 1620-1650$ genes, a large proportion of which encode membrane-associated proteins that are poorly functionally annotated.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Human infection is not completely understood but involves bacterial adherence to gut epithelial cells, followed by invasion and subsequent toxin production. Several factors are critical in C. jejuni host colonization, including flagellar-based motility, cell shape, chemosensing and chemotaxis mediated by transducer-like

proteins (Tlps), as well as a number of adhesins including the fibronectin-binding proteins Campylobacter adherence factor CadF and fibronectin-like protein FlpA, the surfaceexposed lipoprotein JlpA and the PEB antigens [reviewed in¹⁸⁻²⁰]. The ability to survive in the hostile environment encountered during gut infection, consisting of for example low pH, presence of bile salts and competitive factors from established microflora, is paramount to establishing disease and C. jejuni is adapted to utilize nutrients, such as amino and organic acids as primary carbon sources, that are in rich supply in the gut microenvironment (e.g. serine and proline from mucins, organic acids produced as a by-product of metabolism by resident microorganisms).^{21,22} C. jejuni lacks typical virulence-associated type III/IV secretion systems (T3SS/T4SS) employed by many other enteric bacteria to secrete toxins and proteases that directly interact with host cells, although it is now well-established that extracellular virulence factors (e.g. the Campylobacter invasion



Joel A. Cain

enteric pathogen Campylobacter jejuni.

Joel Cain received a Bachelor of Science with Honours in 2012 and is currently completing a PhD degree at The University of Sydney, Australia. Не was awarded an Australian Postgraduate Award for these studies. He has also worked as a Research Assistant in Sydney Mass Spectrometry. His current work focuses on the contributions of post-translational modifications, such as targeted protein degradation and N-glycosylation, towards the pathogenic mechanisms of the



Ashleigh L. Dale

Ashleigh Dale received a Bachelor of Science with Honours at the University of Sydney at the end of 2018 and commenced her PhD candidature in biochemistry the following year. Her current work involves implementing crosslinking mass spectrometry approaches to define the interactome of C. jejuni and characterize protein-protein interactions in the hope of elucidating mechanisms of pathogenesis in this organism.

Nestor Solis obtained his PhD in

microbial proteomics in 2014

from The University of Sydney, Australia, where he explored the

proteins from Staphylococcus

He

of

Christopher Overall in 2014 to

further expand on proteomic

methods to study N- and C-

terminomes in macrophages. He

was awarded two fellowships in

using

of cell-surface

cell-shaving

joined the

Professor

identification

species

proteomics.

laboratory



Zeynep Sumer-Bayraktar

Zeynep Sumer-Bayraktar received a PhD in biochemistry from Macquarie University, Sydney Australia in 2016. Her research focuses on protein postmodifications, translational specifically the glycancomponents of N- and O-linked glycosylation using liquid chromatography and mass spectrometry-based approaches. In her current work, Zeynep investigates the role of protein glycosylation in Campylobacter

jejuni human gut colonization and the glyco/proteomic responses of the human host against C. jejuni infection.



Nestor Solis

2016: a Michael Smith Foundation for Health Research postdoctoral fellowship from British Columbia, Canada, and a CJ Martin Early Career Fellowship from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

Published on 22 April 2020. Downloaded on 6/22/2025 6:37:54 PM

antigens [Cia] and cytolethal distending toxin [CDT]) are secreted *via* the flagellar export apparatus that acts as a pseudo-T3SS.^{20,23} Another mechanism by which *C. jejuni* virulence determinants can interact with host cells is *via* their packaging into outer membrane vesicles (OMVs;^{24–26}). Finally, despite the somewhat small size of the genome, *C. jejuni* devotes considerable resources to post-translational modification (PTM) of proteins by *N*- and *O*-linked glycosylation, both of which are considered established virulence determinants.

Protein glycosylation in C. jejuni

Despite their ability to synthesize large polysaccharides, bacteria were long thought to be enzymatically incapable of modifying proteins with glycans. In the past two decades however, this opinion has largely been overturned with the identification of conserved bacterial N- and O-linked glycosylation systems in many microorganisms.²⁷⁻³⁰ Such systems are almost universally biologically important and associated with pathogenic processes including cell-cell recognition and binding,³¹ however their ultimate purpose and functions remain to be determined. C. jejuni was the first bacterium to be recognized as containing a 'general glycosylation system' that could widely modify proteins.³²⁻³⁴ Since then, our understanding of the biochemistry, targets and putative functions of these PTMs has increased rapidly. While our knowledge remains incomplete, recent advances in glycoproteomics-focused mass spectrometry (MS) have generated large-scale site identifications in C. jejuni³⁵ and other organisms, which have enabled directed functional studies to elucidate the roles of these modifications in bacterial phenotypes associated with pathogenicity.

O-Glycosylation in C. jejuni

C. jejuni modifies its flagella by *O*-glycosylation of the flagellin structural protein with derivatives of the bacterial-specific sialic acid-like monosaccharides, pseudaminic acid or the closely



Stuart J. Cordwell

Stuart Cordwell is Professor of Analytical Biochemistry in the School of Life and Environmental Sciences and the Discipline of Pathology, School of Medical Sciences at the University of Sydney. He is also the Director of Sydney Mass Spectrometry. His research focusses on post-translational modifications of proteins and their roles in the virulence mechanisms of pathogenic bacteria. His group is also

increasingly using multi-omics strategies to decipher these mechanisms to better understand the proteome-phenotype nexus.

related legionaminic acid.³⁶⁻⁴¹ At least 19-23 serine/threonine sites are modified on the FlaA flagellin depending on the strain employed,⁴²⁻⁴⁶ and O-glycan attachment is essential for both chicken and human infection phenotypes, including motility, autoagglutination, chicken colonization, and human epithelial cell adherence and invasion.^{47–49} Unlike N-glycosylation (see below), there is considerably more structural heterogeneity with respect to the attached glycan in individual strains, including both chemical and steriometric differences. Synthesis of pseudaminic and legionaminic acid occur independently of one another, beginning with nucleotide-linked precursors; pseudaminic acid as a uridine diphosphate (UDP)-linked and legionaminic acid as a guanosine diphosphate (GDP)-linked precursor. Enzymatic affinities for specific nucleotide precursors are critical for differentiation of the two pathways and this prevents competition for intermediates.³⁸ Synthesis of pseudaminic acid from UDP-N-acetylglucosamine (UDP-GlcNAc) is performed by the actions of PseB, PseC and PseH, which act sequentially to form UDP-2,4-diNAc-6-deoxy-altropyranose.⁵⁰⁻⁵² Following release of UDP by PseG, this sugar serves as the substrate of PseI to form pseudaminic acid.^{50,52-54} Synthesis of legionaminic acid and derivatives mirrors pseudaminic acid in many respects; from a GDP-GlcNAc precursor, formation of UDP-2,4-diNAc-6deoxy-glucose is catalyzed by the sequential activities of LegB, LegC and LegH.³⁸ In principal, the lack of initial LegB epimerase activity creates the structural distinction between pseudaminic and legionaminic acids - instead this activity is performed by the hydrolysing 2-epimerase LegG alongside nucleotide release to form 2,4-diNAc-6-deoxy-mannose, which is then used by the legionaminic acid synthase LegI to form legionaminic acid.^{38,47} Both pseudaminic and legionaminic acid are subsequently conjugated onto cytodine monophosphate (CMP) nucleotides by the CMP-sugar synthetases PseF and LegF, respectively, prior to attachment onto FlaA by an undefined glycosyltransfersase.^{38,50} Unlike other Gram negative bacteria,55-57 in C. jejuni there appears to be no other substrates of O-glycosylation (at least those modified with the flagellar glycan; see below) beyond FlaA. There is conjecture that given the role of the flagellar apparatus as a T3SS-like export apparatus that proteins secreted via this pathway may also be O-glycosylated in a similar manner to the FlaA flagellin. No studies however, have been able to globally identify extracellular proteins from this organism, mostly due to the very specific requirements (presence of confounding serum or host cells) needed to induce secretion in C. jejuni.

It has been suggested that the major outer membrane protein (MOMP), which accounts for ~40–50% of the total membrane protein in *C. jejuni*,¹⁷ can also be *O*-glycosylated⁵⁸ with a glycan unrelated to the flagellin modification described above. MOMP may be modified at Thr-268 with the tetrasaccharide Gal- β 1,3-GalNAc- β 1,4-GalNAc- β 1,4-GalNAc- α 1, although intact glycan-peptide MS validation is yet to be generated. MOMP modification was further indicated by Whitworth and colleagues in *C. jejuni* strain 81–176 by galactose oxidase (GalO)-mediated selective biotinylation and subsequent enrichment of GalNAc containing cell surface glycoconjugates.⁵⁹ Site-directed mutagenesis of Thr-268 indicated that this residue is

important for autoagglutination, biofilm formation and colonization of both human Caco-2 cells and chickens,⁵⁸ a phenotype also consistent with observations on the roles of FlaA glycosylation. It remains to be seen whether additional *O*-glycoproteins are present in *C. jejuni* and whether this PTM occurs as a widespread presence on proteins secreted by the organism during infection.

The *pgl*-encoded *N*-glycosylation system in *C. jejuni*

C. jejuni was the first bacterium demonstrated to possess the ability to N-glycosylate proteins. Proteins are modified by the N-linked addition of a heptasaccharide glycan (GalNAc-α1,4-GalNAc-a1,4-[Glcβ1,3]-GalNAc-a1,4-GalNAc-a1,4-GalNAc-a1,3diNAcBac- β 1; where diNAcBac is N',N'-diacetylbacillosamine $[2,4-diacetamido-2,4,6 trideoxyglucopyranose])^{33}$ at the consensus sequon Asp (D)/Glu (E)-X1-Asn (N)-X2-Ser (S)/Thr (T) (where X1,2 \neq Pro), with Asn (N) being the attachment site.^{60,61} The synthesis of the *N*-glycan and attachment to proteins are encoded by the 16 kb *pgl* (protein glycosylation) gene cluster;^{32,34,62} the *pgl* cluster is highly conserved among members of the order *Campylobacteriales*,^{63,64} although *N*-glycan composition and structure, as well as the genomic location of the pgl locus (including being split into multiple loci), can differ between species.⁶³ Gene transfer of the complete pgl cluster into otherwise N-glycosylation incompetent bacterial species (e.g. most commonly E. coli) is sufficient to confer the ability to N-glycosylate co-expressed acceptor proteins.⁶² Therefore, the pgl system has become a model for the production of glycoconjugate vaccines in recombinant expression procedures [reviewed in^{65–67}].

Biosynthesis and transfer of the N-glycan to proteins (Fig. 1) involves the actions of 10 Pgl proteins (an eleventh member of the locus, *pglG*, does not appear to contribute to the process and remains functionally undefined⁶⁸) and begins with the cytoplasmic synthesis of nucleotide-activated (uridine diphosphate; UDP) UDP-diNAcBac from UDP-GlcNAc, which is catalyzed by the activities of (in order) the PglF dehydratase (conferring the rate-limiting step in the Pgl pathway), PglE aminotransferase and PglD acetyltransferase.⁶⁹⁻⁷⁴ Synthesis of diNAcBac has been reviewed extensively elsewhere.75,76 The potential for cross-talk between the N- and O-linked pathways is evidenced by shared nucleotide-activated precursors and by the activity of PglD, which can form intermediates from within the legionaminic acid biosynthetic pathway, albeit at substantially reduced catalysis compared with LegH.38 DiNAcBac is attached to the cytoplasmic side of an inner membrane spanning lipid carrier (undecaprenyl-pyrophosphate [Und-P]) by the PglC glycosyl-1-phosphate transferase, and Und-P then serves as the carrier for the nascent *N*-glycan.^{77,78} Continued synthesis of the glycan on Und-P-diNAcBac involves the sequential addition of 5 N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc) residues by three pgl-encoded glycosyltransferases (the first by PglA, the second by PglJ and the final three by PglH).⁷⁹ Glycan length is controlled by increased competitive inhibition of the PglH active

site relative to the number of GalNAc residues, and is considered limited by the final GalNAc(x5) product.⁸⁰ The PglH tertiary structure also contains a novel 'ruler helix' that binds the pyrophosphate of Und-P and limits PglH catalysis to 3 GalNAc.⁸¹ Glycan synthesis is completed by the PglI glucosyltransferase, which adds a single glucose (Glc) branch to the third GalNAc in the N-glycan.⁶⁸ This last Glc residue is not a strict requirement for N-glycosylation as, unlike all previous steps, addition of the complete N-glycan (without the Glc branch) to proteins still occurs in the absence of *pglI*,^{77,82} albeit at lower catalytic efficiency. Deletion of other pgl genes results in either complete loss of the N-glycan or the presence of significantly truncated N-glycans (e.g. pglD⁸²), as well as compromised protein transfer efficiency.⁷⁷ Once the heptasaccharide has been completed, the PglK flippase translocates the Und-P-linked glycan from the cytoplasm into the periplasmic space utilizing a mechanism dependent on the hydrolysis of two molecules of ATP.^{83,84} The mature glycan is then transferred en bloc from Und-P onto target proteins by the PglB oligosaccharyltransferase (OST),68,85 which recognizes both the Und-P-N-glycan complex and peptide acceptor as substrates.86

The PglB OST is also capable of releasing the N-glycan from Und-P into the periplasm as a 'free oligosaccharide' (fOS),^{82,87,88} although the exact proportion of N-glycan as Asn-bound:fOS remains a point of contention. Nothaft et al. reported a ratio favouring high fOS at ~1:10, while Scott et al. reported a distribution of 4.5:1 in favour of protein-bound N-glycan.^{82,89} While there are a number of technical considerations that may help explain this discrepancy,^{89,90} there may also be dynamic control of fOS production based on environmental conditions and the kinetics of PglB. The fOS itself has been shown to provide protection against osmotic stress, further supporting the notion that the cellular fate of the N-glycan may be determined to some degree by environmental sensing.82 Unlike protein N-glycosylation, the free N-glycan is highly dependent on the synthesis of the complete heptasaccharide, as pgll deficient strains produce $\sim 55\%$ less fOS.⁹⁰ The N-glycan itself can also be further modified with a phosphoethanolamine (pEtN) group, which is added to the terminal GalNAc of the heptasaccharide at a small number of glycosites by the sole C. jejuni pEtN transferase, EptC.⁹¹ An inability to detect pEtNmodified fOS suggests that variation of the glycan by EptC occurs post-attachment to protein targets.91

Attachment of the *N*-glycan to proteins in *C. jejuni*

Modification of protein substrates by PglB at the *C. jejuni N*-glycosylation consensus motif is driven by a tryptophantryptophan-aspartic acid (WWD) motif, which is common to eukaryotic OST STT3 homologs.^{85,92} The OST WWD (WWDYG in *C. jejuni* PglB) motif interacts by hydrogen bonding with residues at the +2 position (Ser/Thr) in the *N*-glycosylation sequon, while isoleucine 572 (Ile-572) of PglB also contacts



Fig. 1 Schematic of the biosynthesis and attachment of the heptasaccharide *N*-glycan to *C. jejuni* proteins. (lower) The *pgl* gene cluster colour coded by functional class; (middle) glycan biosynthesis begins with the ordered actions of PgIFED that convert UDP-GlcNAc to diNAcBac. The PgIC glycosyl-1-phosphate transferase adds diNAcBac-P to the membrane-bound lipid carrier undecaprenylphosphate (Und-P). Glycan assembly continues in the cytoplasm with the sequential addition of 5 GalNAc (1 by PgIA, a second by PgIJ and the final 3 by PgIH). PgII adds a glucose (Glc) to the third GalNAc. Following assembly, the PgIK flippase flips the *N*-glycan into the periplasm; (upper) the PgIB OST transfers the *N*-glycan to proteins predominantly at the consensus sequon D/E-X1-N-X2-S/T (where X1,2 cannot be proline); structures of known glycoproteins PEB3, JlpA and CmeB are shown with the glycan positioned at known glycosites; the pEtN transferase EptC can further modify some glycoproteins with pEtN at the terminal GalNAc of the heptasaccharide. PgIB can also liberate a free glycan (fOS).

the Thr methyl group in target sequons and Ile-572 point mutants have reduced activity.92 The additional specificity of the C. jejuni sequon (requiring D/E at the -2 position compared to N-X-S/T in eukaryotic *N*-glycosylation⁶¹) is conferred at least partly by PglB Arg-331, which interacts with these acidic residues.⁸⁵ In yeast OST STT3, this residue is an Asp, which explains the shorter eukaryotic-like N-X-S/T glycosylation motif based on charge repulsion. The distorted conformation of the peptide in the PglB OST active site is not capable of accommodating Pro at either the -1 or +1 positions,⁸⁵ and this conformational constraint may also contribute to the preference of PglB for targeting unstructured regions of its protein substrates.⁹³ Mechanistically, it is thought that the carboxamide group of the modified Asn is twisted through hydrogen bond interactions with PglB residues Asp-56 and Glu-319, which prime it for nucleophilic attack of the lipid-linked heptasaccharide.⁹⁴ A large, partially disordered periplasmic external loop region (known as 'EL5') was also identified that contains a C-terminal portion (including Glu-319) involved in sequon binding, and disengagement of EL5 allows release of the glycosylated substrate from PglB.⁸⁵ A conserved Tyr residue (Tyr-293) in the N-terminal region of EL5 was found to be essential for PglB catalysis but did not influence sequon binding, yet rather was associated with the interaction with the lipid-linked N-glycan donor.95 Additional studies have suggested a further conserved motif (⁴⁷⁵DGGK⁴⁷⁸) in PglB may contribute to Und-P binding, and that this region could be essential for function, as PglB orthologs that do not contain this motif are unable to glycosylate proteins or produce fOS.⁹⁶

Despite our knowledge of the structure and function of PglB and other OSTs, a number of elements still remain poorly understood. Firstly, observations of N-glycosylation at noncanonical sequons^{89,97} are not consistent with the above model, particularly considering that it has previously been demonstrated that such substitutions are catalytically unfavourable.⁹² It has been suggested that these atypical or non-canonical occupied sequons may reflect observations that peptide binding is not necessarily the rate-limiting step in the PglB reaction.95 Evidence for this can be seen in similar turnover rates between sequons containing Thr or Ser at the +2 position despite an apparent 4-fold reduced affinity of PglB for Ser,⁹² and this is further supported by similar propensities for the two amino acids at this position in vivo.89 Additionally, while PglB can modify glutamine (Gln) at very low rates in in vitro peptidebased assays,94 no glycosite at Gln has been demonstrated in any C. jejuni glycopeptide identified thus far, with the very small number of non-canonical sequons limited to differences at the -2 and +2 positions.⁸⁹ Additionally, the exquisite sensitivity of MS-based approaches for glycopeptide identification may mean that even experimentally verified non-canonical sequons could occur at extremely low occupancy and have potentially little biological value. Finally, the current model does not address how PglB is able to perform fOS release given the catalytic importance of also binding a peptide substrate. In yeast, purified STT3 can generate fOS by hydrolyzing the lipid (dolichol rather than Und-P) linked oligosaccharide irrespective of peptide binding,⁹⁸ however an observation that the WWD motif is required for PglB-mediated fOS release⁸² suggests a peptide substrate is necessary in *Campylobacter*.

Addition of the *N*-glycan does not appear to be coupled to any particular membrane translocation pathway as CmeA was modified when shuttled into the periplasm *via* either the secretory (Sec) or twin-arginine translocation (Tat) bacterial translocation systems.⁹³ Kowarik *et al.* however, did demonstrate differences in *N*-glycosylation site occupancy when proteins were transported *via* these different systems in *E. coli*,⁹³ and consistent with their findings that showed lower *N*-glycosylation efficiency with Tat-translocated proteins, only 1 identified *C. jejuni N*-glycoprotein is predicted (by SignalP⁹⁹) to be translocated using this system.¹⁰⁰

Identification of proteins modified by *N*-glycosylation in *C. jejuni*

In early studies, lectin affinity approaches employing soybean agglutinin (SBA) specific for the GalNAc residues of the C. jejuni N-linked glycan were undertaken in combination with gel electrophoresis for separation of SBA-bound proteins. Gel-separated proteins could also be highlighted by Western blotting using glycan-specific antisera.33,34 In each case, significant problems were encountered, including the lack of separation of very hydrophobic membrane-associated proteins on gels, the difficulty in performing post-separation analysis and confirmation of glycosylation sites for blotted proteins; and finally, even for those proteins that could be gel separated, the incompatibility of the Asn-N-glycan bond (most likely due to the structure of diNAcBac) with protein-Nglycosidase F (PNGase F) digestion and chemical β-elimination that meant intact glycopeptide analysis was needed for site verification.35

Site-specific glycopeptide analysis firstly relied on collisioninduced dissociation (CID) MS-based fragmentation, however the highly labile nature of the glycosidic bonds resulted in very poor peptide backbone sequence coverage and therefore an inability to identify the modified sites. The advent of higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation enabled switching between CID (for glycan confirmation) and HCD for peptide fragmentation and sequencing,35 while concurrent advances in hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography (HILIC) facilitated better enrichment and separation of glycosylated C. jejuni peptides compared to previous studies employing SBA affinity and gel electrophoresis. An optimized workflow employing HCD tandem MS (MS/MS) provides glycanderived diagnostic oxonium ions from the C. jejuni N-glycan (e.g. GalNAc, 204.08 mass:charge [m/z]) and peptide sequence.^{89,91} In addition to improvements in MS-based glycan site identification, glycoprotein analysis can also be coupled to a multiprotease digestion strategy (e.g. employing alternatives to trypsin, including pepsin and chymotrypsin) that improve N-glycosite coverage and provide independent site verification in many cases.35

This approach has now yielded the identification of 134 sites of C. jejuni N-glycosylation from 78 membrane-associated proteins that have been experimentally confirmed (predominantly by MS), including periplasmic proteins, lipoproteins, inner membrane proteins and at least one protein that is thought to be surface-exposed (the lipoprotein JlpA¹⁰¹) across 5 C. jejuni strains, 33,35,61,63,89 meaning that C. jejuni is likely to be the most complete glycoproteome yet described in the literature (Table 1). Some glycoproteins are modified at multiple sites; for example, the Cj0152c putative membrane protein (which shares significant sequence similarity with the Helicobacter pylori neuraminidase/sialidase) contains 6 occupied canonical sites, as well as a single atypical site (Fig. 2A). Cj0152c also contains an additional pseudo-sequon (⁷⁰ENNPT⁷⁴) that is not occupied, and that is predicted to be located in the cytoplasmic region of the protein. Cj0610c (encoding the peptidoglycan O-acetyltransferase PatB) is potentially the most 'modified' protein in C. jejuni since it contains 5 confirmed N-glycosites and 10 N-sequons in total, all of which are predicted to be located within the periplasm; structural elucidation of this protein could be particularly useful in determining the threedimensional constraints involved in N-glycan site occupancy (see below). A further 5 proteins (Cj0114, Cj0592c, Cj0843c, Cj1013c and Cj1670c) each contain 4 verified N-glycosites (Table 1). Additionally, eight proteins have been identified with the pEtN-modified N-glycan attached.⁹¹ Although the function of the pEtN-glycan remains completely unknown, the proteins displaying this modification are amongst the most immunogenic in C. jejuni, including the major antigen PEB3 (Ci0289c), and the previously identified immunogens CjaC (Cj0734c), CjaA (Cj0982c) and JlpA (Cj0983c).^{17,34,101-103} Despite this, deletion of the *eptC* pEtN transferase responsible for pEtN modification of the N-glycan did not influence the reactivity of these proteins with human serum.⁹¹ Further work is required to better understand the occupancy levels of nonto pEtN-modified N-glycan on these glycosites and thus to assist in determining the biological role of the pEtN group in this context. It is also important to note that a second Campylobacter species, C. gracilis, exclusively modifies proteins with an *N*-glycan displaying a terminal pEtN group,⁶³ however again, the role of this modification remains to be elucidated.

As discussed above, despite PglB showing a preference for Thr at the +2 position,⁹² there is no obvious bias towards Thr in the identified *N*-glycosites; in fact only 60 of 134 identified sites contain sequons with a Thr in this position (44.8%), with 73 containing Ser (54.5%) and the final sequon displaying alanine (Ala) in a non-canonical sequon (Table 1).⁸⁹ Conversely, there is clear preference for Asp at the -2 position with 84 sequons displaying this amino acid (62.7%) compared with only 47 displaying Glu (35.1%). The final 3 sequons were non-canonical (Table 1). These data align with previous studies that have tested various sequon compositions and their glycosylation efficiency by the PglB OST and found DQNAT to be the optimal sequon, as well as an ~5-fold preference for Asp, rather than Glu, at the -2 position.¹⁰⁴

Structural constraints of *N*-glycosylation

C. jejuni contains ~ 500 N-glycosylation sequens within the translated genome sequence, depending on the strain examined (for example, 510 sequons are found in strain HB93-13³⁵), and ~ 370 of these are found in > 260 predicted membraneassociated proteins (or proteins of unknown localization) suggesting that there are evolutionary constraints associated with maintenance of the sequon in proteins connected with this sub-cellular localization; similar sequon bias (albeit against the presence of the sequon) has been observed for the HMW system in *Haemophilus influenzae*.¹⁰⁵ Realistically, the modifiable N-glycoproteome is likely to be considerably smaller, given that both topological and structural constraints likely play a crucial role in the ability of the PglB OST to modify a given sequon. While tools such as PSORTb¹⁰⁶ provide predicted sub-cellular localization for a given protein sequence, it is absolutely critical to understand that the topology of the protein defines PglB sequon accessibility. This is particularly important for proteins associated with the cytoplasmic/inner membrane in Gram negative organisms, since regions within these proteins can be cytoplasmic, and thus any sequons contained within those regions will not be amenable to the catalytic activity of PglB. For the 134 N-glycosites shown in Table 1, we employed a variety of localization and topology tools that show 133 (99.2%) are predicted to localize to the periplasm (Fig. 2A and Table 1). Only a single site, ${}^{3}EINKT^{7}$ from DsbI (Cj0017c), is predicted to localize to the cytoplasm. This site is challenging to accurately predict given its proximity to the N-terminus and the difficulty in orienting termini into the inner membrane (inside or outside). Furthermore, the site was identified only in a single study³⁵ and was based on a low scoring, manually validated and very short glycopeptide sequence; an approach no longer valid due to many improvements in computational intact glycopeptide analysis (another site identified in a similar manner is the non-canonical ⁵⁰AMNVS⁵⁴ from Cj0864). Despite the overwhelming association of experimentally verified N-glycosites with periplasmic localization, many sequons in membrane-associated proteins that have not been experimentally identified are predicted to localize to the cytoplasm (or be located within cleaved signal peptides) and therefore cannot be glycosylated (for examples, see Fig. 2B and C). Fundamentally, this means that the theoretical N-glycoproteome of C. jejuni may only comprise between 200-250 possible sites.

Beyond localization and topology, the next major influence on sequon occupancy is the tertiary conformation of the protein, with the three-dimensional structure of both the target protein and PglB itself dictating site accessibility.^{85,93,107} Unlike in eukaryotes, where *N*-glycosylation occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum (with further processing in the Golgi apparatus) prior to or during folding (and hence partially dictates the final conformation), the prevailing viewpoint is that the *C. jejuni N*-glycan is added to already, or at least partially, folded substrates,^{93,100} meaning that existing tertiary structural

 Table 1
 Experimentally validated *C. jejuni* proteins modified by *N*-glycosylation. Cj, gene identifier from *C. jejuni* NCTC11168 genome sequence;

 81–176, gene identifier from *C. jejuni* 81–176 genome sequence; gene, gene name if known; identification, protein identification taken from NCTC11168

 UniProt entry (additional information added by BLAST and literature search); sequence/site, sequence is shown for NCTC11168

Cj	81-176	Gene	Identification	Sequence/site [#]	Location	Topology
Cj0011c	0037	cj0011c	Putative non-specific DNA-binding protein (competence ComFA-like: natural transformation protein)	49EANFT53	IM (1)	РР
Cj0017c	0044	dsbI	Disulfide bond formation protein DsbI	3EINKT7	IM (5)	Cyto ^a
Cj0081	0118	cydA	Cytochrome bd oxidase subunit I	283DNNES287	IM (9)	PP
U		-		351EN(S)NDT355		PP
Cj0089	0124	cj0089	Putative lipoprotein (TPR tetricopeptide repeat-like helical domain protein)	73DFNKS77	LP/IM (SP)	PP
Cj0114	0149	cj0114	Putative periplasmic protein (TPR tetricopeptide repeat-like	99ENNFT103	ОМ	PP
5		U	helical domain protein; putative Tol-Pal system protein YbgF/	153DA(V)NLS157		PP
			putative cell division coordinator CpoB)	171DSNST175		PP
				177ENNNT181		PP
Cj0131	0166	cj0131	Putative peptidase M23 family protein/putative zinc metallo-	73DD N TS75	Unk (1)	PP
Cj0143c	0179	znuA	peptidase (putative Gly–Gly endopeptidase) Putative periplasmic ABC transport solute-binding protein	26E(D)Q N TS30	РР	PP
			(zinc-binding ABC transporter ZnuA)		()	
Cj0152c	0188	cj0152c	Putative membrane protein (45.3% similarity to <i>H. pylori</i>	126EQNNT130	Unk (1)	PP
			sialidase A/neuraminidase)	157DNNKA161		PP
				163ETNRT167		PP
				182DKNIS186		PP
				188ENNIS192		PP
				193ENN11197		
Ci01580	0104	ci0158c	Putative baem hinding lipoprotein (autochrome c ovidase	250DFN15254 110DKNHS122	I D/OM (SD)	
CJ0158C	0194	<i>tj0158t</i>	Cbb3-like protein)	119DKIN15125	LP/OM (SP)	rr
Cj0168c	0204	cj0168c	Putative periplasmic protein	26DVNQT30	PP (SP)	PP
Cj0176c	0212	cj0176c	Putative lipoprotein	29DLNKT33	LP/OM (SP)	PP
Cj0177	ND	ctuA/chaN	Putative iron transport protein (putative iron-regulated lipoprotein)	83EGNLS87^	IM (1)	PP
CJ0182	0213	<i>c</i> j0182	transmembrane family; long chain fatty acid ABC transport	58DSNS162 70ENNAT74	IM	PP PP
C.0100	0000		protein; peptide antibiotic transport protein SbmA)	426000000000	II. (4)	DD
Cj01990	0230	<i></i>	Putative periplasmic protein	126DINL5130	Unk (1)	PP
Cj02000	0231	6J02000	Uncharacterized protein (preprotein translocase subunit	97ENINITO1	M(2)	
002330	0200	3610	SecG)	118DVNSS122	IIVI (2)	DD
Ci0238	0263	ci0238	Putative mechanosensitive ion channel family protein (MscS	24DANIS28	IM (5)	PD PD
0,0250	0205	670230	family membrane integrity protein)	56DENSS60	III (5)	PP
Cj0256	0283	eptC	Putative sulfatase family protein (phosphoethanolamine transferase EptC; lipid A/lipooligosaccharide pEtN transferase	213ENNHT217	IM (5)	PP
Cj0268c	0295	cj0268c	EptC) Putative transmembrane protein (SPFH domain/band 7	274EANAT278	Unk (1)	PP
5		5	family protein; FtsH protease regulator HflC)			
Cj0277	0304	mreC	Homolog of <i>E. coli</i> rod-shape determining protein MreC	91DQNST95	Unk (1)	PP
Cj0289c	0315	peb3	Major antigenic peptide PEB3 (thiosulfate/sulfate-binding protein)	88DF N VS92	Unk (SP)	PP
Cj0313	0335	cj0313	Putative integral membrane protein (putative lipooligo-	173DLNLS177	IM (6)	PP
			saccharide export ABC transporter permease LptG)	196DGNIT200		PP
Cj0365c	0388	cmeC	Outer membrane channel protein CmeC (multi-drug	30EANYS34	OM (SP)	PP
~		_	antibiotic efflux system CmeABC protein)	47ENNSS51		PP
Сј0366с	0389	стеВ	Efflux pump membrane transporter CmeB (Multi-drug antibiotic efflux system CmeABC protein)	634DRNVS638	IM (12)	РР
Cj0367c	0390	cmeA	Periplasmic fusion protein CmeA (multi-drug antibiotic efflux system CmeABC protein)	121DFNRS125 271DNNNS275	IM (1)	PP pp
Ci0371	0395	ci0371	UPF0323 lipoprotein Ci0371 (putative secreted protein	75DLNGT79	LP/OM (SP)	PP/SE^{b}
cjoor 1	0050	90071	involved in flagellar motility)	, 02 Lito 17 5		11,52
Cj0376	0400	cj0376	Putative periplasmic protein	50DKNQT54	Cvto	PP
Cj0397c	0420	cj0397c	Uncharacterized protein	105DFNNT109	Únk (1)	PP
Cj0399	0422	cvpA	Colicin V production protein homolog CvpA	179DLNNT183	IM (4)	PP
Cj0404	0428	dedD	Putative transmembrane protein (SPOR sporulation domain- containing protein; putative cell division protein DedD)	101EQNNT105	Unk (1)	PP
Cj0454c	0479	cj0454c	Putative membrane protein	91ENNKS95	IM (1)	PP
Cj0455c	0480	cj0455c	Putative membrane protein	$60LQNQT64^+$	IM (1)	PP
Cj0494	0515	cj0494	Putative exporting protein	26DNNIT30	Unk	PP/SE
Cj0508	0536	pbpA	Penicillin-binding protein PbpA (penicillin-binding protein 1A: peptidoglycan transpeptidase PBP1A)	312DANLS316	IM (1)	PP
Cj0511	0539	ctpA	Putative secreted protease (protease family S41; carboxy-terminal protease (CnA)	67DQNIS71	IM (1)	PP
Ci0515	0543	ci0515	Putative periplasmic protein	207ELNAT211	IM (3)	PP
5,0010	0010	90010	Periphosine Protein	234DFNAS238	(0)	PP

Molecular Omics

Table 1 (continued)

Cj	81-176	Gene	Identification	Sequence/site [#]	Location	Topology
Cj0530	0555	cj0530	Putative periplasmic protein (AsmA family protein DUF3971	519DFNAS523	OM (1)	PP/SE
Ci0540	0565	ci0540	domain) Putative exporting protein	61/DSNK1621 173ENNNS177	$\operatorname{Unk}\left(0\right)$	PP/SE DD/SE
Ci0587	0505	ci0540	Putative integral membrane protein	282DNNI \$286	IM (8)	DD
Ci0592c	0620	ci0592c	Putative necesial memorale protein	96DINOS100	$\operatorname{Unk}(SP)^{c}$	PP
CJ0392C	0020	<i>tj0392t</i>	naralog)	103FNNFS107	Olik (SP)	PP
Cj0599			paralog	127ENNOS131		DD 11
				137DVNMT141		DD 11
	0627	ci0599	Putative OmnA family membrane protein (putative chemo-	97EANIT101	Unk (1)	PP/SE^d
	0027	90055	taxis protein MotB: Putative flagellar motor motility protein	109DLNST113	Olik (1)	PP/SE
			MotB: Ci0336c MotB paralog)	168DNNIT172		PP/SE
Cj0608	0637	cj0608	Putative outer membrane efflux protein (putative TolC-like outer	35DLNLT39	OM (2)	PP
5		5	membrane protein; putative antibiotic efflux CmeC paralog)			
Cj0610c	0639	cj0610c	Putative periplasmic protein (SNGH family hydrolase; puta-	82DENLS86	Unk (1)	PP
0		-	tive lipase/esterase; peptidoglycan O-acetyltransferase PatB)	98DENTS102		PP
				113DANIS117		PP
				296ENNRS300		PP
				331EENAS335		PP
Cj0633	0661	cj0633	Putative periplasmic protein (putative polysaccharide deace-	73DNNKS77	Cyto (1)	PP
			tylase; putative glycoside hydrolase/deacetylase)	123DTNLT127		PP
				129DQNLT133		PP
Cj0648	0676	cj0648	Putative membrane protein (putative lipooligosaccharide	49ESNTS53	IM (1)	PP
			transport system substrate-binding protein LptC)	103EGNVT107		PP
Cj0652	0680	pbpC	Penicillin-binding protein PbpC (pencillin-binding protein	99DLNAS103	IM (1)	PP
_			PBP2; peptidoglycan transpeptidase PBP2)	467ENNNT471		PP
Cj0694	0717	ppiD	Putative periplasmic protein (SurA domain-containing outer	132DFNKT136	IM (1)	PP
			membrane protein folding protein; peptidyl-prolyl cis/trans	306DQNIS310		PP
			isomerase PpiD)	426DQNSS430		PP
Cj0734c	0757	hisJ	Probable histidine-binding protein (periplasmic lipoprotein	26EN(S) N AS30	IM (PP)	PP
		'0 77 6	CjaC; solute transport protein HisJ)		G (())	
Сј0776с	0797	cj0776c	Putative periplasmic protein	8/DENQS91	Cyto (1)	PP
				103ENNQS107		PP
C:0700	0001	m cm A	Desinlarmia nitrata reductara NanA (astalutia subunit of the	111DIN15115 205DDNES200		PP
CJ0780	0801	пира	NapAB complex)	385DDINE5389	IM (PP)	PP
C:0702	0004	nanP	Napab complex)	AGEANETEO	IM (DD)	DD
0,0785	0004	пирь	of the NapAR complex)	40EAINF 152	IIVI (PP)	PP
Ci0843c	0850	slt	Dutative secreted transglycosylase (soluble lytic murein	97DANI T101	IM (DD)	DD
0,00450	0055	311	nentidoglycan transglycosylase (soluble lytic mutchi	173DLNTG(S)177	IIVI (I I)	DD 11
			peptidogijedit transgijeosijasej	327DANAS331		PP
				374DYNKT378		PP
Ci0846	0862	ci0846	Uncharacterized metallophosphoesterase (Ser/Thr	280DLNTS284	IM (3)	PP
0,0010	0002	90010	phosphatase family protein)	20021110201		
Cj0864	0880	cj0864	Putative periplasmic protein (putative thiol: disulfide	50 AMNVS 54^{+f}	IM (PP)	PP
3		5	interchange protein DsbA homolog)	-		
Cj0906c	0915	pgp2	Putative periplasmic protein (peptidoglycan L-D-	53DKNIS57	IM (SP)	PP
5		101	carboxypeptidase Pgp2)			
Cj0944c	0968	cj0944c	Putative periplasmic protein (putative flagellar protein FliL;	219ENNAS223	Cyto $(0)^g$	PP
-		-	chemotaxis-associated protein)	238DENST242	,	
Cj0958c	0981	yidC	Membrane protein insertase YidC (integral membrane	40EQNIT44	IM (5)	PP
			protein assembly/folding protein YidC)	48QQ N TS52 ⁺		PP
				154DENGS158	L	PP
Cj0982c	1001	cjaA	Putative amino acid transporter periplasmic solute-binding	137DSNIT141	IM $(PP/LP)^n$	PP
			protein CjaA			
Cj0983	1002	jlpA	Uncharacterized lipoprotein Cj0983 (surface-exposed	105E(K)A N AS109	OM (SE)	SE
			lipoprotein JlpA)	144DINAS148		SE
Cj1007c	1025	cj1007c	Putative mechanosensitive ion channel family protein (MscS	17DVNRT21	IM(4)	PP
			family osmotic stress resistance protein)			
Cj1013c	1032	cj1013c	Putative cytochrome c biogenesis protein CcmF/CycK/CcsA	178ENNNS182	IM (14)	PP
			family protein CcsB	230DENLT234		PP
				530DLNS1534		PP
C:1022	1051		Manchenna fastian annan an Casadh iada an	/31DGNWT(I)735	$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}(1)$	РР PD
CJ1032	1051	стеЕ	memorane rusion component of antibiotic efflux system	199DQMG1203	IM (1)	РР
Ci1052-	1072	ai1052-	Unicodef		$\mathbf{IM}(\mathbf{a})$	DD
Cj1053c	10/3	cj1053C	arbohydrato/antibiotic transport porten (amino acid/	/5DINV5/9	IM (2)	PP DD
			lipooligosageharide ligase like motif protein)	ADDINING2100		ГĽ
Ci10550	1075	ci1055c	Dutative sulfatase family protein (putative arybulfatase)	616FSNDT620	IM (5)	DD
CJ1055C	10/3	G10550	nutative phosphorlycerol transferace linooligosacebaride	510E511D1020	1111 (3)	11
			renth aris materia hamalan)			

Cj	81-176	Gene	Identification	Sequence/site [#]	Location	Topology
Cj1126c	1143	pglB	Undecaprenyl-diphosphooligosaccharide-protein glycosyl- transferase (PglB oligosaccharyltransferase)	532DYNQS536	IM (12)	РР
Cj1219c	1232	cj1219c	Putative periplasmic protein (uncharacterized protein involved in outer membrane biogenesis assembly)	47DVNIT51	OM (1)	РР
Cj1345c	1344	pgp1	Putative periplasmic protein (peptidoglycan D-L-carboxypeptidase Pbp1)	59DY NI T63 159EINAS163 348DGNET352	Cyto (1)	PP PP PP
Cj1373	1376	cj1373	Putative integral membrane protein (antibiotic resistance sterol-sensing domain protein; RND superfamily export protein MmpL family)	134DI N RT138 497DQ N TS501	IM (12)	PP PP
Cj1444c	1438	kpsD	Capsule polysaccharide export system periplasmic protein KpsD	37DQ N LS41 50EN N LT54	IM (PP)	PP PP
Cj1496c	1488	cj1496c	Putative periplasmic protein (putative magnesium transpor- ter MgtE-like protein; putative motility chaperone MotE; putative flagellar protein FliG)	71EV N AT75 167DN N AS171	Cyto (PP)	PP PP
Cj1565c	1550	pflA	Paralysed flagellar motility protein A PflA	456DN N AS460 495EG N FS499	Cyto (PP)	PP PP
Cj1621 Cj1661	1608 1652	cj1621 cj1661	Putative periplasmic protein Putative ABC transport system permease (putative antibiotic macrolide export protein MacB; putative cell division protein FtsX)	197DL N KT201 188EN N QS192	E (1) IM (4)	PP PP
Сј1670с	1666	cgpA	Putative periplasmic protein (campylobacter glycoprotein A; AMIN-domain containing protein, membrane protein assembly protein)	26DQ N IT30 71DV N KS75 104EK N SS108 111ES N ST115	Unk (0)	РР РР РР РР
ND ND ND VirB10	0063 0701 1263 pVir0003	sirA kdpC 1263 virB10	Dissimilatory sulfite reductase SirA/MccA Potassium-transporting ATPase KdpC subunit Uncharacterized protein ⁱ Type IV secretion system protein VirB10	213DGNLS217 83DTNES87 26EQNGS30 30EENVS34 95DNNIT99	IM (1) IM (1) Unk (SP) OM (SP)	PP PP PP PP PP PP

[#]Where glycosylation site was identified only in another strain this sequence is shown in (brackets), ⁺non-canonical sequon denoted by underlining of atypical amino acid at -2 or +2 position, Asn (N) highlighted in bold and shaded in italicized bold is also modified by pEtN-modified N-glycan; location, predicted subcellular localization as determined by PSORTb (vers. 3.0.2.)¹⁰⁶ and Lipo P 1.0,¹³⁹ (x) number of predicted transmembrane regions (TMR), or presence of signal peptide (SP), unless experimentally proven all lipoproteins were considered anchored to OM or IM (dependent on Lipo P use of the '+2 rule', Asp at +2 from lipo-Cys predicts IM anchoring, all other amino acids predict OM anchoring) with protein facing into the periplasm; topology, predicted location of the N-glycosylation site as determined by TmPred (https://embnet.vital-it.ch/software/TMPRED) and TOPCONS.¹⁴⁰ Cyto, cytoplasm; E, extracellular; IM, inner membrane; LP, lipoprotein; OM, outer membrane; PP, periplasm; SE, surface exposed; Unk, unknown. ^Site identified by expression in *E. coli* containing the *pgl* cluster and over-expression in *C. jejuni* [H. M. Frost, PhD Thesis, University of Manchester, 2015], not seen in any wild-type *C. jejuni* glycoproteome studies. ^a Cj0017c localization depends on correct prediction of orientation for *N*- and *C*-terminus of protein. ^b Cj0371 co-localises to the poles of *C. jejuni* cells and thus co-localises with flagella. ¹³⁴ Thus, the protein is potentially surface-exposed (SE). ^c Cj0592c PSORT b predicts unknown localization; Lipo P predicts a lipoprotein signal peptide with OM anchors, protein contains C-terminal OmpA domain suggesting OM localization and therefore topology could be PP or SE. ^e Cj0776c PSORT b predicts ytoplasmic localization; 1 predicted TMR; TOPCONS predicts 1 TMR with the majority of the protein localized to the periplasm. ^f Cj0864 Ding *et al.*¹⁴¹ reported this sequence as DM^{ow}NVS (where the methionine is methionine sulfoxide), however the

constraints are a major factor in the final attachment and kinetics of the modification. Sequons buried within the tertiary structure are therefore inaccessible to PglB and cannot be modified, irrespective of their sub-cellular location. The earliest structural consideration of *C. jejuni N*-glycosylation was based on the crystal structure of the major antigen PEB3 (Cj0289c),¹⁰⁸ which showed the *N*-glycosite at ⁸⁸DFNVS⁹² occurs in a flexible exposed loop region readily accessible to the PglB OST. Therefore, without determining structures of glycoproteins it remains difficult to predict which sequons will be occupied and the likely level of site occupancy, and there are only very few *N*-glycoproteins for which three-dimensional structures are currently available. In addition to PEB3, and PglB itself,⁸⁵ structures of components of the tripartite antibiotic efflux system CmeABC (Cj0365c-Cj0367c) have also been elucidated,^{109,110}

and all 3 are *N*-glycoproteins (Table 1). CmeA is the periplasmic membrane fusion family protein, with 2 *N*-glycosites both predicted to be located within the periplasm (Table 1). CmeC is the outer membrane channel and examination of the crystal structure¹⁰⁹ shows that both experimentally verified glycosylated sequons (30 EANYS³⁴ and 47 ENNSS⁵¹) are located in a periplasmic disordered exposed loop region that leads from the membrane-embedded *N*-terminal lipidated cysteine (following removal of the signal peptide) to the first structured part of the protein. Therefore both sequons are consistent with the known structural requirements for *N*-glycosylation.^{93,99,108}

CmeB, which is the inner membrane efflux pump, contains one well characterized *N*-glycosite (⁶³⁴DRNVS⁶³⁸). A second sequon (⁶⁵³DRNAS⁶⁵⁷) is located proximal to this confirmed site, but no experimental evidence exists for this site being



Fig. 2 Modelling of predicted surface topologies of 3 *C. jejuni N*-glycoproteins. (A) Cj0152c; positions of experimentally verified *N*-glycosites (Asn; N) are shown in red circles with occupied sequons shown in blue fill, the position of a non-canonical, but occupied sequon is shown in green fill; (B) Cj0179 (ExbB1); positions of two sequons (not experimentally verified) are shown in blue with the Asn residues in red, the *N*-terminal signal peptide that overlaps the first sequon is in green; (C) Cj1087c; positions of two sequons (not experimentally verified) are shown in blue with the Asn residues in red, the Asn residues in red, the sequon at position ¹²DINGS¹⁶ is predicted to reside in the cytoplasm and hence cannot be glycosylated. All topologies were visualized using Protter.¹⁴²

occupied in any C. jejuni strain, and hence CmeB is the only protein with both an occupied and unoccupied glycosite for which structural information can currently be determined. These two sites are also of interest since their sequons are near identical and hence, any effects of differences at the -2 and +2positions, as described above, are likely to be negligible (indeed the arginine [Arg] at the -1 position is shared, while the +1position is a semi-conservative substitution from valine [Val] to alanine [Ala], which are both aliphatic amino acids) and most likely do not influence site occupancy. Interrogation of the CmeB tertiary structure shows that both sequons are located in the large periplasmic section of the protein located between the sixth and seventh transmembrane-spanning regions (TMR; residues 554-867, with CmeB predicted to contain 11 TMR, excluding the N-terminal signal peptide) and are found in short disordered exposed loop regions separated by a small alpha-helix (Fig. 3A). Tertiary structure modelling shows that ⁶³⁴DRNVS⁶³⁸ is located close to the membrane and the modified Asn is highly solvent accessible, while ⁶⁵³DRNAS⁶⁵⁷ is located further into the periplasm. Although solvent accessible, Asn-655 is partially occluded by Arg-654 (Fig. 3A). The CmeB structure was next modelled in protein complex with the PglB OST, using the model sequon DQNAT¹⁰⁴ to provide the PglB binding conformation. CmeB/PglB docking clearly demonstrated a preference for the Asn-636 site, consistent with the identification of this site in several MS-based studies (Fig. 3B and C), while the Asn-655 site does not appear to readily interact with the PglB model, and hence therefore is likely to either not be glycosylated or glycosylated at only very low site stoichiometry.

Further evidence for structural constraints determining optimal glycosylation have been shown for the doubly glycosylated surface-exposed glycoprotein JlpA.¹⁰¹ Scott et al. showed that JlpA must be glycosylated at one site (¹⁴⁴DINAS¹⁴⁸) before a second site (¹⁰⁵EANAS¹⁰⁹) can be glycosylated, inferring that structural modifications to JlpA conferred by Asn-146 glycosylation open the protein conformation and allow PglB to add the N-glycan to the second site. These structural constraints have since been confirmed using structural predictions and crystallography.¹¹¹ Finally, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) analysis of a recombinant C. jejuni CmeA domain indicates that the N-glycan itself adopts a rigid rod conformation¹¹² that appears to fold back over the exposed protein (thus suggesting a role in protection from proteolysis), although it remains to be seen how well conserved this is in vivo. Although no examples have been shown in the literature, the converse may also be true in that the N-glycan itself may hinder accessibility of a second site in a given protein to the PglB OST. Despite this possibility, proteins such as Cj0152c (Fig. 2A) have multiple sites in close sequence space; occupied sequons are found at 7 sites, with 3 (Asn-184, Asn-190 and Asn-195) located within 20 amino acids. To determine if N-glycan steric hindrance of PglB occurs, better understanding of individual site occupancy, in the context of tertiary structures, is needed.

A final structural/topological consideration is the role of N-glycosylation in OMVs that have been associated with

C. jejuni virulence.²⁴⁻²⁶ OMVs package cytoplasmic, periplasmic, outer membrane-associated and N-glycoproteins in a 'bleb'-like structure.24 PglB is located in the cytoplasmic/inner membrane, which is not typically associated with OMVs. It is possible however, that inner membrane fragments may also be packaged into OMVs, and all C. jejuni OMV proteomics studies have demonstrated the identification of integral cytoplasmic membrane proteins (e.g. CmeB²⁴). Packaging of PglB into OMVs may enable glycosylation of sites not typically found in the membrane; however despite this, we and others have observed no such cytoplasmic N-glycosites, even at low levels, which may imply that PglB does not occur in OMVs, or that OMVs are not induced (or collected) under the culture conditions employed in the N-glycosite discovery studies conducted thus far. C. jejuni OMV composition is however, altered in *pgl*-negative compared with wild-type C. jejuni,113 suggesting N-glycosylation does impact protein packaging, although no differences were observed in the ability of OMVs from either pgl positive or negative bacteria to induce an immune response.¹¹³

Putative functions of *C. jejuni* protein *N*-glycosylation

Deletion of genes from the pgl cluster (except pgll) results in C. jejuni that are poorly able to colonize chickens and display reduced adherence to, and invasion of, human epithelial cells.^{114,115} Additional recent modelling of C. jejuni virulence in a human small intestine-like gut-immune co-culture model also revealed that pgl-negative C. jejuni (in this case, pglE deletion) were vastly deficient (~ 100 times less) in adherence and invasion.¹¹³ Therefore, N-glycosylation is considered a fundamental virulence determinant in this organism. Despite this, until recently^{116,117} there have been very few studies that have broadly characterized pgl-associated phenotypes, and the function(s) of the N-glycosylation system in general, and even more so the role of the N-glycan on individual proteins, remains almost completely unknown. Genome-wide and functional screens have shown an association between glycosylation and biofilm formation, amongst other traits, and pgl-negative C. jejuni are attenuated in some, but not all, models of biofilm growth.^{116,118} C. jejuni pgl deletion strains incapable of N-glycosylating proteins display a number of additional altered traits including a reduced capacity for natural transformation,¹¹⁹ altered antibiotic resistance,¹¹⁷ greater susceptibility to host-derived proteases,¹²⁰ impaired motility¹¹⁶ and modified binding to host cell surface lectins.¹²¹ Proteomicsbased approaches have been employed in an attempt to globally characterize phenotypes associated with N-glycosylation.^{116,117} pgl negative C. jejuni displayed evidence of induction of the stress response and were attenuated for survival at temperature extremes,^{82,116} particularly survival at lower temperatures, which has further implications for the pgl system acting as an interventional target to limit the presence of the organism on supermarket chicken for human consumption. Loss of glycosylation influenced metabolism and nutrient transport, as well



Fig. 3 CmeB modeling with PglB highlighting *N*-glycosylation sequons. (A) CmeB trimer (Protein Data Bank [PDB]: 5LQ3) has a transmembrane domain (highlighted in blue with 11 TMR) and periplasmic domain. The experimentally validated sequon (⁶³⁴DRNVS⁶³⁸) is labelled red and the non-identified sequon (⁶⁵³DRNAS⁶⁵⁷) is labelled green, with both Asn labelled cyan. Both Asn are located on the periplasmic side on exposed loops and are solvent-accessible with Asn-636 more accessible than Asn-655; (B) The PglB OST (PDB: 3RCE) shown in yellow has a transmembrane spanning domain (highlighted in blue with 12 TMR) and a larger periplasmic region where the catalytic domain is located. The sequon recognition site is highlighted in orange and facing towards CmeB with the glycan-binding site located behind. Sequon ⁶³⁴DRNVS⁶³⁸ is in closer proximity and has better accessibility to the PglB catalytic site; (C) (left) PglB viewed from the front (90° counter-clockwise rotation to upper panels) reveals the sequon-binding surface in orange, (Middle) PglB fitted with the model peptide mimic DQNAT, (right) alignment of CmeB to PglB (90° counter-clockwise rotation to panel B) reveals that sequon ⁶³⁴DRNVS⁶³⁸ is more spatially likely to fit into the active site of PglB suggesting this sequon is more readily glycosylated than ⁶⁵³DRNAS⁶⁵⁷. Analysis was performed in UCSF Chimera 1.14 (build 42094).

as chemoattraction towards some of these substrates.¹¹⁶ Finally, *pgl*-negative *C. jejuni* displayed very strongly reduced abundance of proteins involved in respiration using alternative electron acceptors under low oxygen conditions; a phenotype paramount to *C. jejuni* colonization of all hosts, and potentially associated with a loss of proton motive force.¹¹⁶ This phenotype could be linked to reduced nitrate reductase (NapA/NapB) activity, which was shown in both PglB OST ($\Delta pglB$) and glycan biosynthesis ($\Delta pglFED$) negative *C. jejuni*.¹¹⁶ Since both NapA and NapB are experimentally proven glycoproteins (Table 1), this loss of activity may be associated with a requirement for glycosylation in formation of the NapAB complex, in generating a structural conformation that maximizes Nap catalysis, or in providing stability against protein degradation.

The membrane-associated targets of the pgl N-glycosylation system are largely functionally uncharacterized 'putative' proteins. The remaining proteins share some degree of sequence identity with well characterized proteins from other organisms, while only a very small number have been experimentally validated. Examination of the relationships between glycoprotein identifications (Table 1) highlight several clusters of potentially functionally related classes of protein, including those involved in antibiotic resistance (all 3 members of the CmeABC antibiotic efflux system are glycosylated, as is CmeE of the CmeDEF efflux system), and antibiotic resistance has been strongly associated with the pgl system.¹¹⁷ Additionally, proteins with putative functions, or sequence similarity to proteins, involved in peptidoglycan biosynthesis, modification and C. jejuni helical cell morphology (Pgp1, Pgp2, MreC, PatB [Cj0610c], Cj0843c and the penicillinbinding proteins PbpA and PbpC), LOS and capsular polysaccharide (CPS) transport and assembly (Cj0313/LptG, Cj0648/LptC, Cj1053c, Cj1055c and KpsD), and membrane protein translocation and assembly (SecG, Cj0238, PpiD, YidC, Cj1219c, CgpA) are also enriched in the 78 identified N-glycoproteins, however these phenotypes have not yet been tested in pgl negative C. jejuni or N-glycosite mutants.

While several of the above studies have examined phenotypes from the perspective of *pgl* negative and positive *C. jejuni*, comparatively fewer studies have attempted to exploit sitedirected mutagenesis to understand the role of the N-glycan in individual proteins. This is mainly due to the difficulty in generating site mutants in C. jejuni, which is considered poorly tractable and somewhat recalcitrant to molecular biology approaches considered standard in species such as E. coli. Despite this, a limited number of studies have been performed.¹²²⁻¹²⁴ *N*-Glycosite point mutants in *cmeA* (CmeA contains 2 glycosites; Table 1) have increased susceptibility to several antimicrobials including bile salts and ciprofloxacin, and are attenuated for chicken colonization.125 The PglB OST is also capable of transferring the N-glycan to itself,⁶¹ however recombinant PglB expressed in otherwise non-glycosylating E. coli remains capable of catalyzing the transfer of N-glycans to proteins,⁶² suggesting PglB does not strictly require modification with the heptasaccharide to maintain function. Plasmid encoded VirB10 (as well as CmeA, discussed above) was reported to require N-glycosylation to perform its function (in natural

transformation) at wild-type levels.¹²³ VirB10 is not universally distributed among strains of C. jejuni, however observations of impaired natural transformation in the absence of N-glycosylation have also been observed in studies of the Cj0011c N-glycoprotein.¹²⁶ Several confirmed N-glycoproteins (including DsbI, JlpA, PEB3, EptC, Cj0268c, Cj0371, Cj0454c, Cj0511c/CtpA, Cj0587 and Pgp1/Pgp2) have been associated with host colonization;¹²⁷⁻¹³⁴ however, these focused studies of individual glycoproteins have only rarely attempted to provide evidence of a contribution from the N-glycan, rather than testing gene-specific deletion mutants. In vitro expression and functional analysis of C. jejuni N-glycoproteins in non-pglcontaining E. coli suggest that N-glycosylation is not required for the function of a number of glycoproteins,^{128,130,135,136} however, without site mutants or comparative expression in pgl-positive expression systems, it is not possible to compare the functional efficiency of these proteins when glycosylated.

While evidence that C. jejuni protein N-glycosylation occurs on folded substrates indicates that the modification is not a driver of protein folding, there is a mounting body of evidence to suggest that the N-glycan may be important for protein stability. Mansell et al. demonstrated that the glycoproteins PEB3, CjaA and PatB/Cj0610c displayed differences in protein stability in an N-glycosylation competent, pgl system-containing E. coli.137 These proteins also showed altered folding when glycosylated, further supporting the JlpA evidence that indicates glycan attachment can alter conformational state.¹¹¹ Similarly, Min et al. showed an increase in thermostability for recombinant expressed PEB3 engineered to have an additional N-glycosylation site in comparison to an unmodified variant.¹³⁸ Finally, Alemka et al. showed that a pgl-negative strain displayed reduced viability when cultured under physiological levels of human- and chicken-derived proteases,¹²⁰ which also supports the notion that N-glycosylation is involved in conferring protein stability.

Conclusions

N- and O-linked glycosylation in C. jejuni are fundamental requirements for virulence. Interventions targeting the biosynthesis of unique bacterial sugars may be useful in the future to reduce severity of human infection, and in particular to limit serious immune-mediated complications. Additionally, knowledge of the biochemistry, structural biology and the many peptide targets of these pathways provides a unique opportunity to better understand the functional roles of these PTM in conferring organism-wide phenotypes, and in specific protein functions. The overall lack of data regarding the function of N-glycosylation on C. jejuni proteins means that the association with virulence remains poorly understood, and could reflect a general requirement for glycosylation in a global, C. jejunispecific process (such as protection against proteolytic degradation) and/or that the effect is protein-specific. For the latter, comprehensive knowledge of glycosylation sites is still required despite our advances in understanding the glycoproteome in

this organism. Therefore, several approaches are needed; (i) a full phenotypic characterization of different *pgl* mutants that are attenuated for virulence, coupled with multi-omics approaches to determine affected pathways; (ii) a comprehensive analysis of occupied and unoccupied *N*-glycosylation sequons, and their occupancy, that can be quantified across many changes in environmental or host-specific conditions to create a knowledge bank of sites suitable for mutational analysis; and (iii) testable hypotheses regarding the role of the *N*-glycan that can be examined by interventional approaches. Ultimately, it remains likely that the *pgl N*-glycosylation system plays a multi-factorial role in *C. jejuni* biology that is imperative in environmental, avian and human niches occupied by the organism.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to disclose.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (Project Grant APP1106878 to S. J. C.). J. A. C. and A. L. D. are supported by Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Stipends. A. L. D. is additionally supported by the William G. Murrell Postgraduate Scholarship in Microbiology and a University of Sydney Merit Award Supplementary Scholarship. N. S. is supported by an NHMRC Early Career Postdoctoral Fellowship.

References

- 1 P. L. Griffiths and R. W. Park, *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 1990, **69**, 281–301.
- 2 M. B. Skirrow, Br. Med. J., 1977, 2, 9-11.
- 3 J. P. Butzler, Clin. Microbiol. Infect., 2004, 10, 868-876.
- 4 P. D. Allan, C. Palmer, F. Chan, R. Lyons, O. Nicholson, M. Rose, S. Hales and M. G. Baker, *BMC Public Health*, 2018, 18, 414.
- 5 W. A. Awad, C. Hess and M. Hess, *Avian Pathol.*, 2018, 47, 352–363.
- 6 W. B. Sruwe, R. Gough, M. E. Gallagher, D. T. Kenny, S. D. Carrington, N. G. Karlsson and P. M. Rudd, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2015, 14, 1464–1477.
- 7 A. Alemka, S. Whelan, R. Gough, M. Clyne, M. E. Gallagher,
 S. D. Carrington and B. Bourke, *J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2010, 59, 898–903.
- 8 R. Janssen, K. A. Krogfelt, S. A. Cawthraw, W. van Pelt, J. A. Wagenaar and R. J. Owen, *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.*, 2008, 21, 505–518.
- 9 M. J. Blaser, D. N. Taylor and R. A. Feldman, *Epidemiol. Rev.*, 1983, 5, 157–176.
- 10 M. Koga, M. Kishi, T. Fukusako, N. Ikuta, M. Kato and T. Kanda, J. Neurol., 2019, 266, 1655–1662.

- 11 E. F. Wijdicks and C. J. Klein, *Mayo Clin. Proc.*, 2017, **92**, 467–479.
- 12 V. Phongsisay, Immunobiology, 2016, 221, 535-543.
- 13 J. A. Goodfellow and H. J. Willison, *Nat. Rev. Neurol.*, 2016, 12, 723–731.
- F. Yoshida, H. Yoshinaka, H. Tanaka, S. Hanashima,
 Y. Yamaguchi, M. Ishihara, M. Saburomaru, Y. Kato,
 R. Saito, H. Ando, M. Kiso, A. Imamura and H. Ishida, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, 25, 796–805.
- J. Parkhill, B. W. Wren, K. Mungall, J. M. Ketley, C. Churcher, D. Basham, T. Chillingworth, R. M. Davies, T. Feltwell, S. Holroyd, K. Jagels, A. V. Karlyshev, S. Moule, M. J. Pallen, C. W. Penn, M. A. Quail, M. A. Rajandream, K. M. Rutherford, A. H. M. van Vliet, S. Whitehead and B. G. Barrell, *Nature*, 2000, **403**, 665–668.
- 16 C. P. Skarp, O. Akinrinade, A. J. Nilsson, P. Ellström, S. Myllykangas and H. Rautelin, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, 5, 17300.
- 17 S. J. Cordwell, A. C. Len, R. G. Touma, N. E. Scott, L. Falconer, D. Jones, A. Connolly, B. Crossett and S. P. Djordjevic, *Proteomics*, 2008, 8, 122–139.
- 18 V. Korolik, Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 2019, 47, 32-37.
- 19 D. J. Bolton, Food Microbiol., 2015, 48, 99-108.
- 20 P. M. Burnham and D. R. Hendrixson, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2018, 16, 551–565.
- 21 M. Stahl, J. Butcher and A. Stintzi, Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol., 2012, 2, 5.
- 22 D. Hofreuter, Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol., 2014, 4, 137.
- M. E. Konkel, J. D. Klena, V. Rivera-Amill, M. R. Monteville,
 D. Biswas, B. Raphael and J. Mickelson, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2004, 186, 3296–3303.
- 24 A. Elmi, E. Watson, P. Sandu, O. Gundogdu, D. C. Mills, N. F. Inglis, E. Manson, L. Imrie, M. Bajaj-Elliott, B. W. Wren, D. G. Smith and N. Dorrell, *Infect. Immun.*, 2012, 80, 4089–4098.
- 25 A. Elmi, A. Dorey, E. Watson, H. Jagatia, N. F. Inglis, O. Gundogdu, M. Bajaj-Elliott, B. W. Wren, D. G. E. Smith and N. Dorrell, *Cell. Microbiol.*, 2018, 20, 3.
- 26 N. Taheri, M. Fällman, S. N. Wai and A. Fahlgren, J. Proteomics, 2019, 195, 33–40.
- 27 C. M. Szymanski and B. W. Wren, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2005, 3, 225–237.
- 28 H. Nothaft and C. M. Szymanski, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2010, 8, 765–778.
- 29 M. Koomey, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 2019, 56, 198-203.
- 30 A. H. Bhat, S. Maity, K. Giri and K. Ambatipudi, *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2019, 45, 82–102.
- 31 J. Poole, C. J. Day, M. von Itzstein, J. C. Paton and M. P. Jennings, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2018, **16**, 440–452.
- 32 C. M. Szymanski, R. Yao, C. P. Ewing, T. J. Trust and P. Guerry, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 1999, **32**, 1022–1030.
- 33 N. M. Young, J. R. Brisson, J. Kelly, D. C. Watson, L. Tessier, P. H. Lanthier, H. C. Jarrell, N. Cadotte, F. St. Michel, E. Aberg and C. M. Szymanski, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2002, 277, 42530–42539.
- 34 D. Linton, E. Allan, A. V. Karlyshev, A. D. Cronshaw and B. W. Wren, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2002, 43, 497–508.

- 35 N. E. Scott, B. L. Parker, A. M. Connolly, J. Paulech, A. V. Edwards, B. Crossett, L. Falconer, D. Kolarich, S. P. Djordjevic, P. Højrup, N. H. Packer, M. R. Larsen and S. J. Cordwell, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2011, **10**, M000031.
- 36 P. Thibault, S. M. Logan, J. F. Kelly, J. R. Brisson, C. P. Ewing, T. J. Trust and P. Guerry, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2001, 276, 34862–34870.
- 37 D. J. McNally, A. J. Aubry, J. P. Hui, N. H. Khieu, D. Whitfield, C. P. Ewing, P. Guerry, J. R. Brisson, S. M. Logan and E. C. Soo, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2007, **282**, 14463–14475.
- 38 I. C. Schoenhofen, E. Vinogradov, D. M. Whitfield, J. R. Brisson and S. M. Logan, *Glycobiology*, 2009, **19**, 715–725.
- 39 A. I. M. Salah Ud-Din and A. Roujeinikova, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2018, 75, 1163–1178.
- 40 H. S. Chidwick and M. A. Fascione, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 799–809.
- 41 N. Zebian, A. Merkx-Jacques, P. P. Pittock, S. Houle, C. M. Dozois, G. A. Lajoie and C. Creuzenet, *Glycobiology*, 2016, 26, 386–397.
- 42 M. Schirm, I. C. Schoenhofen, S. M. Logan, K. C. Waldron and P. Thibault, *Anal. Chem.*, 2005, 77, 7774–7782.
- 43 G. N. Ulasi, A. J. Creese, S. X. Hui, C. W. Penn and H. J. Cooper, *Proteomics*, 2015, **15**, 2733–2745.
- 44 C. G. Zampronio, G. Blackwell, C. W. Penn and H. J. Cooper, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2011, **10**, 1238–1245.
- 45 C. P. Ewing, E. Andreishcheva and P. Guerry, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2009, **191**, 7086–7093.
- 46 S. M. Logan, J. P. Hui, E. Vinogradov, A. J. Aubry, J. E. Melanson, J. F. Kelly, H. Nothaft and E. C. Soo, *FEBS J.*, 2009, 276, 1014–1023.
- 47 S. L. Howard, A. Jagannathan, E. C. Soo, J. P. Hui, A. J. Aubry, I. Ahmed, A. Karlyshev, J. F. Kelly, M. A. Jones, M. P. Stevens, S. M. Logan and B. W. Wren, *Infect. Immun.*, 2009, 77, 2544–2556.
- 48 P. Guerry, C. P. Ewing, M. Schirm, M. Lorenzo, J. Kelly, D. Pattarini, G. Majam, P. Thibault and S. Logan, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2006, **60**, 299–311.
- 49 C. D. Carrillo, E. Taboada, J. H. Nash, P. Lanthier, J. Kelly, P. C. Lau, R. Verhulp, O. Mykytczuk, J. Sy, W. A. Findlay, K. Amoako, S. Gomis, P. Willson, J. W. Austin, A. Potter, L. Babiuk, B. Allan and C. M. Szymanski, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2004, **279**, 20327–20338.
- 50 I. C. Schoenhofen, D. J. McNally, E. Vinogradov, D. Whitfield, N. M. Young, S. Dick, W. W. Wakarchuk, J. R. Brisson and S. M. Logan, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2006, **281**, 723–732.
- 51 W. S. Song, M. S. Nam, B. Namgung and S. I. Yoon, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2015, **458**, 843–848.
- 52 D. J. McNally, J. P. Hui, A. J. Aubry, K. K. Mui, P. Guerry, J. R. Brisson, S. M. Logan and E. C. Soo, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2006, **281**, 18489–18498.
- 53 E. S. Rangarajan, A. Proteau, Q. Cui, S. M. Logan,
 Z. Potetinova, D. Whitfield, E. O. Purisima, M. Cygler,
 A. Matte, T. Sulea and I. C. Schoenhofen, *J. Biol. Chem.*,
 2009, 284, 20989–21000.
- 54 W. K. Chou, S. Dick, W. W. Wakarchuk and M. E. Tanner, J. Biol. Chem., 2005, 280, 35922–35928.

- 55 D. Vorkapic, F. Mitterer, K. Pressler, D. R. Leitner, J. H. Anonsen, L. Liesinger, L. M. Mauerhofer, T. Kuehnast, M. Toeglhofer, A. Schulze, F. G. Zingl, M. F. Feldman, J. Reidl, R. Birner-Gruenberger, M. Koomey and S. Schild, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2019, **10**, 2780.
- 56 Y. Fathy Mohamed, N. E. Scott, A. Molinaro, C. Creuzenet, X. Ortega, G. Lertmemongkolchai, M. M. Tunney, H. Green, A. M. Jones, D. DeShazer, B. J. Currie, L. J. Foster, R. Ingram, C. De Castro and M. A. Valvano, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, 294, 13248–13268.
- 57 J. A. Iwashkiw, A. Seper, B. S. Weber, N. E. Scott, E. Vinogradov, C. Stratilo, B. Reiz, S. J. Cordwell, R. Whittal, S. Schild and M. F. Feldman, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2012, 8, e1002758.
- 58 J. Mahdavi, N. Pirinccioglu, N. J. Oldfield, E. Carlsohn, J. Stoof, A. Aslam, T. Self, S. A. Cawthraw, L. Petrovska, N. Colborne, C. Sihlbom, T. Borén, K. G. Wooldridge and D. A. Ala'Aldeen, *Open Biol.*, 2014, 4, 130202.
- 59 G. E. Whitworth and B. Imperiali, *Glycobiology*, 2015, 25, 756–766.
- 60 M. Nita-Lazar, M. Wacker, B. Schegg, S. Amber and M. Aebi, *Glycobiology*, 2005, 15, 361–367.
- 61 M. Kowarik, N. M. Young, S. Numao, B. L. Schulz, I. Hug, N. Callewaert, D. C. Mills, D. C. Watson, M. Hernandez, J. F. Kelly, M. Wacker and M. Aebi, *EMBO J.*, 2006, 25, 1957–1966.
- 62 M. Wacker, D. Linton, P. G. Hitchen, M. Nita-Lazar, S. M. Haslam, S. J. North, M. Panico, H. R. Morris, A. Dell, B. W. Wren and M. Aebi, *Science*, 2002, 298, 1790–1793.
- 63 H. Nothaft, N. E. Scott, E. Vinogradov, X. Liu, R. Hu, B. Beadle, C. Fodor, W. G. Miller, J. Li, S. J. Cordwell and C. M. Szymanski, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2012, **11**, 1203–1219.
- 64 A. J. Jervis, A. G. Wood, J. A. Cain, J. A. Butler, H. Frost,
 E. Lord, R. Langdon, S. J. Cordwell, B. W. Wren and
 D. Linton, *Glycobiology*, 2018, 28, 233–244.
- 65 J. D. Valderrama-Rincon, A. C. Fisher, J. H. Merritt, Y. Y. Fan, C. A. Reading, K. Chhiba, C. Heiss, P. Azadi, M. Aebi and M. P. DeLisa, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2012, 8, 434–436.
- 66 V. S. Terra, D. C. Mills, L. E. Yates, S. Abouelhadid, J. Cuccui and B. W. Wren, *J. Med. Microbiol.*, 2012, **61**, 919–926.
- 67 H. Nothaft and C. M. Szymanski, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2019, 53, 16–24.
- 68 J. Kelly, H. Jarrell, L. Millar, L. Tessier, L. M. Fiori, P. C. Lau, B. Allan and C. M. Szymanski, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2006, **188**, 2427–2434.
- 69 N. B. Oliver, M. M. Chen, J. R. Behr and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2006, 45, 13659–13669.
- 70 M. Demendi and C. Creuzenet, *Biochem. Cell Biol.*, 2009, 87, 469–483.
- 71 M. J. Morrison and B. Imperiali, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 32248–32260.
- 72 N. B. Olivier and B. Imperiali, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2008, **283**, 27937–27946.
- 73 A. S. Riegert, J. B. Thoden, I. C. Schoenhofen, D. C. Watson, N. M. Young, P. A. Tipton and H. M. Holden, *Biochemistry*, 2017, 56, 6030–6040.

- 74 A. S. Riegert, N. M. Young, D. C. Watson, J. B. Thoden and H. M. Holden, *Protein Sci.*, 2015, 24, 1609–1616.
- 75 M. J. Morrison and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2014, 53, 624–638.
- 76 N. B. Olivier, M. M. Chen, J. R. Behr and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2006, 45, 13659–13669.
- 77 D. Linton, N. Dorrell, P. G. Hitchen, S. Amber, A. V. Karlyshev, H. R. Morris, A. Dell, M. A. Valvano, M. Aebi and B. W. Wren, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2005, 55, 1695–1703.
- 78 K. J. Glover, E. Weerapana, M. M. Chen and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2006, 45, 5343–5350.
- 79 K. J. Glover, E. Weerapana and B. Imperiali, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 14255–14259.
- 80 J. M. Troutman and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2009, 48, 2807–2816.
- 81 A. S. Ramírez, J. Boilevin, A. R. Mehdipour, G. Hummer, T. Darbre, J. L. Reymond and K. P. Locher, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 445.
- 82 H. Nothaft, X. Liu, D. J. McNally, J. Li and C. M. Szymanski, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2009, 106, 15019–15024.
- 83 C. Perez, S. Gerber, J. Boilevin, M. Bucher, T. Darbre, M. Aebi, J. L. Reymond and K. P. Locher, *Nature*, 2015, 524, 433–438.
- 84 C. Perez, A. R. Mehdipour, G. Hummer and K. P. Locher, *Structure*, 2019, **27**, 669–678.
- 85 C. Lizak, S. Gerber, S. Numao, M. Aebi and K. P. Locher, *Nature*, 2011, 474, 350–355.
- 86 M. Napiórkowska, J. Boilevin, T. Darbre, J. L. Reymond and K. P. Locher, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, 8, 16297.
- 87 X. Liu, D. J. McNally, H. Nothaft, C. M. Szymanski, J. R. Brisson and J. Li, *Anal. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 6081–6087.
- 88 H. Nothaft, X. Liu, J. Li and C. M. Szymanski, *Virulence*, 2010, 1, 546–550.
- 89 N. E. Scott, N. B. Marzook, J. A. Cain, N. Solis, M. Thaysen-Andersen, S. P. Djordjevic, N. H. Packer, M. R. Larsen and S. J. Cordwell, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2014, 13, 5136–5150.
- 90 R. Dwivedi, H. Nothaft, B. Reiz, R. M. Whittal and C. M. Szymanski, *Biopolymers*, 2013, 99, 772–783.
- 91 N. E. Scott, H. Nothaft, A. V. Edwards, M. Labbate, S. P. Djordjevic, M. R. Larsen, C. M. Szymanski and S. J. Cordwell, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2012, 287, 29384–29396.
- 92 S. Gerber, C. Lizak, G. Michaud, M. Bucher, T. Darbre, M. Aebi, J. L. Reymond and K. P. Locher, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, 288, 8849–8861.
- 93 M. Kowarik, S. Numao, M. F. Feldman, B. L. Schulz, N. Callewaert, E. Kiermaier, I. Catrein and M. Aebi, *Science*, 2006, **314**, 1148–1150.
- 94 C. Lizak, S. Gerber, G. Michaud, M. Schubert, Y. Y. Fan, M. Bucher, T. Darbre, M. Aebi, J. L. Reymond and K. P. Locher, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, 4, 2627.
- 95 C. Lizak, S. Gerber, D. Zinne, G. Michaud, M. Schubert, F. Chen, M. Bucher, T. Darbre, R. Zenobi, J. L. Reymond and M. Aebi, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2014, 289, 735–746.
- 96 Y. Barre, H. Nothaft, C. Thomas, X. Liu, J. Li, K. K. S. Ng and C. M. Szymanski, *Glycobiology*, 2017, 27, 978–989.

- 97 F. Schwarz, C. Lizak, Y. Y. Fan, S. Fleurkens, M. Kowarik and M. Aebi, *Glycobiology*, 2011, **21**, 45–54.
- 98 Y. Harada, R. Buser, E. M. Ngwa, H. Hirayama, M. Aebi and T. Suzuki, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2013, **288**, 32673–32684.
- 99 J. J. A. Armenteros, K. D. Tsirigos, C. K. Sønderby, T. N. Petersen, O. Winther, S. Brunak, G. von Heijne and H. Nielsen, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2019, 37, 420–423.
- 100 J. M. Silverman and B. Imperiali, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2016, **291**, 22001–22010.
- 101 N. E. Scott, D. R. Bogema, A. M. Connolly, L. Falconer, S. P. Djordjevic and S. J. Cordwell, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2009, 8, 4654–4664.
- 102 A. Wyszyńska, K. Tomczyk and E. K. Jagusztyn-Krynicka, Acta Biochim. Pol., 2007, 54, 143–150.
- 103 A. Wyszyńska, J. Zycka, R. Godlewska and E. K. Jagusztyn-Krynicka, *Curr. Microbiol.*, 2008, **57**, 181–188.
- 104 M. M. Chen, K. J. Glover and B. Imperiali, *Biochemistry*, 2007, **46**, 5579–5585.
- 105 J. A. Gawthorne, N. Y. Tan, U. M. Bailey, M. R. Davis, L. W. Wong, R. Naidu, K. L. Fox, M. P. Jennings and B. L. Schulz, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2014, 445, 633–638.
- 106 N. Y. Yu, J. R. Wagner, M. R. Laird, G. Melli, S. Rey, R. Lo, P. Dao, S. C. Sahinalp, M. Ester, L. J. Foster and F. S. L. Brinkman, *Bioinformatics*, 2010, 26, 1608–1615.
- 107 M. Wacker, M. F. Feldman, N. Callewaert, M. Kowarik, B. R. Clarke, N. L. Pohl, M. Hernandez, E. D. Vines, M. A. Valvano, C. Whitfield and M. Aebi, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, **103**, 7088–7093.
- 108 E. S. Rangarajan, S. Bhatia, D. C. Watson, C. Munger, M. Cygler, A. Matte and N. M. Young, *Protein Sci.*, 2007, 16, 990–995.
- 109 C. C. Su, A. Radhakrishnan, N. Kumar, F. Long, J. R. Bolla, H. T. Lei, J. A. Delmar, S. V. Do, T. H. Chou, K. R. Rajashankar, Q. Zhang and E. W. Yu, *Protein Sci.*, 2014, 23, 954–961.
- 110 C. C. Su, L. Yin, N. Kumar, L. Dai, A. Radhakrishnan, J. R. Bolla, H. T. Lei, T. H. Chou, J. A. Delmar, K. R. Rajashankar, Q. Zhang, Y. K. Shin and E. W. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, 8, 171.
- 111 F. Kawai, S. Paek, K. J. Choi, M. Prouty, M. I. Kanipes, P. Guerry and H. J. Yeo, J. Struct. Biol., 2012, 177, 583–588.
- 112 V. Slynko, M. Schubert, S. Numao, M. Kowarik, M. Aebi and F. H. Allain, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 1274–1281.
- 113 C. Y. Zamora, E. M. Ward, J. C. Kester, W. L. K. Chen, J. G. Velazquez, L. G. Griffith and B. Imperiali, *Glycobiology*, 2020, DOI: 10.1093/glycob/cwz105.
- 114 C. M. Szymanski, D. H. Burr and P. Guerry, *Infect. Immun.*, 2002, **70**, 2242–2244.
- A. V. Karlyshev, P. Everest, D. Linton, S. Cawthraw,
 D. G. Newell and B. W. Wren, *Microbiology*, 2004, 150, 1957–1964.
- 116 J. A. Cain, A. L. Dale, P. Niewold, W. P. Klare, L. Man, M. Y. White, N. E. Scott and S. J. Cordwell, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2019, 18, 715–734.
- 117 S. Abouelhadid, S. J. North, P. Hitchen, P. Vohra, C. Chintoan-Uta, M. Stevens, A. Dell, J. Cuccui and B. W. Wren, *mBio*, 2019, **10**, e00297.

- 118 B. Pascoe, G. Méric, S. Murray, K. Yahara, L. Mageiros, R. Bowen, N. H. Jones, R. E. Jeeves, H. M. Lappin-Scott, H. Asakura and S. K. Sheppard, *Environ. Microbiol.*, 2015, 17, 4779–4789.
- 119 C. S. Vegge, L. Brøndsted, M. Ligowska-Marzęta and H. Ingmer, *PLoS One*, 2012, 7, e45467.
- 120 A. Alemka, H. Nothaft, J. Zheng and C. M. Szymanski, *Infect. Immun.*, 2013, **81**, 1674–1682.
- 121 N. M. van Sorge, N. M. Bleumink, S. J. van Vliet, E. Saeland,
 W. L. van der Pol, Y. van Kooyk and J. P. van Putten, *Cell. Microbiol.*, 2009, 11, 1768–1781.
- 122 T. Kakuda and V. J. DiRita, Infect. Immun., 2006, 74, 4715-4723.
- 123 J. C. Larsen, C. Szymanski and P. Guerry, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2004, **186**, 6508–6514.
- 124 L. M. Davis, T. Kakuda and V. J. DiRita, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2009, **191**, 1631–1640.
- 125 R. K. Dubb, H. Nothaft, B. Beadle, M. R. Richards and C. M. Szymanski, *Glycobiology*, 2020, **30**, 105–119.
- 126 B. Jeon and Q. Zhang, J. Bacteriol., 2007, 189, 7399-7407.
- 127 S. Jin, A. Joe, J. Lynett, E. K. Hani, P. Sherman and V. L. Chan, *Mol. Microbiol.*, 2001, **39**, 1225–1236.
- 128 E. Frirdich, J. Biboy, C. Adams, J. Lee, J. Ellermeier, L. D. Gielda, V. J. DiRita, S. E. Girardin, W. Vollmer and E. C. Gaynor, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2012, 8, e1002602.
- 129 E. Frirdich, J. Vermeulen, J. Biboy, F. Soares, M. E. Taveirne, J. G. Johnson, V. J. DiRita, S. E. Girardin, W. Vollmer and E. C. Gaynor, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2014, 289, 8007–8018.

- 130 A. V. Karlyshev, G. Thacker, M. A. Jones, M. O. Clements and B. W. Wren, *FEBS Open Bio*, 2014, 4, 468–472.
- 131 V. Novik, D. Hofreuter and J. E. Galán, *Infect. Immun.*, 2008, 78, 3540–3553.
- 132 A. M. Lasica, A. Wyszyńska, K. Szymanek, P. Majewski and E. K. Jagusztyn-Krynicka, J. Appl. Genet., 2010, 51, 383–393.
- 133 A. M. Tareen, C. G. Lüder, A. E. Zautner, U. Groß, M. M. Heimesaat, S. Bereswill and R. Lugert, *PLoS One*, 2013, 9, e107076.
- 134 X. Du, N. Wang, F. Ren, H. Tang, X. Jiao and J. Huang, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2016, 7, 1094.
- 135 T. W. Cullen and M. S. Trent, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2010, 107, 5160–5165.
- 136 X. Zeng, B. Gillespie and J. Lin, Front. Microbiol., 2015, 6, 1292.
- 137 T. J. Mansell, C. Guarino and M. P. DeLisa, *Biotechnol. J.*, 2013, 8, 1445–1451.
- 138 T. Min, M. Vedadi, D. C. Watson, G. A. Wasney, C. Munger, M. Cygler, A. Matte and N. M. Young, *Biochemistry*, 2009, 48, 3057–3067.
- 139 O. Rahman, S. P. Cummings, D. J. Harrington and I. C. Sutcliffe, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2008, 24, 2377–2382.
- 140 K. D. Tsirigos, C. Peters, N. Shu, L. Käll and A. Elofsson, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2015, **43**, W401–W407.
- 141 W. Ding, H. Nothaft, C. M. Szymanski and J. Kelly, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics*, 2009, **8**, 2170–2185.
- 142 U. Omasits, C. H. Ahrens, S. Müller and B. Wollscheid, *Bioinformatics*, 2014, **30**, 884–886.