



Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2020, **1**, 1455

## A microporous, amino acid functionalized Zn(II)-organic framework nanoflower for selective CO<sub>2</sub> capture and solvent encapsulation†

Shradha Gandhi, Prasenjit Das and Sanjay K. Mandal  \*

A new homochiral metal organic framework,  $\{[\text{Zn}_4(\mu_3-\text{OH})_2(\text{d}-2,4-\text{cbs})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$  (**Zn-CBS**), has been solvothermally synthesized using a new chiral amino acid based tricarboxylic acid ligand, (2-((4-carboxybenzyl)amino)succinic acid), H<sub>3</sub>(d-2,4-cbs). **Zn-CBS** was characterized by various techniques like Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), UV-Vis solid-state diffuse reflectance spectroscopy, elemental microanalysis, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM), high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), and energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) and elemental mapping. The nanoflower arrangement in **Zn-CBS** is clearly seen from the FESEM and TEM images. Its microporous nature is established by the nitrogen adsorption measurements which exhibit a reversible type I isotherm with a BET surface area of 282 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, it shows a reversible type I isotherm for H<sub>2</sub> with an uptake of 0.5 wt% at 77 K. Based on its CO<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm with an uptake of 85.9 cm<sup>3</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> at 195 K, a high isosteric heat of adsorption ( $Q_{st}$ ) of 35 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> at zero coverage is obtained. This is illustrated by its high potential of selectivity towards CO<sub>2</sub> over N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> under ambient conditions (298 K and 1 bar). With the help of configurational bias Monte Carlo molecular simulation, this selectivity is explained for an effective interaction between CO<sub>2</sub> and basic amine sites of the framework. The porous nature and functionality of **Zn-CBS** have also been utilized in encapsulating various small molecules, which is followed by FTIR, TGA and PXRD.

Received 6th July 2020,  
Accepted 20th July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00481b

rsc.li/materials-advances

## Introduction

In the past couple of decades, there has been growing interest in the study of Metal–Organic Frameworks (MOFs), made from a combination of suitable organic ligands and metal ions, owing to their highly porous nature with structural diversity, tunable functionality and large surface area. These properties play vital roles in various applications, such as gas and vapour adsorption, catalysis, sensing, magnetism, *etc.*<sup>1–12</sup> In particular, their promising roles in carbon capture have received significant attention for an urgent need to reduce the concentration of the major greenhouse gas CO<sub>2</sub> as it is a part of flue gases which has a toxic effect on the environment.<sup>13</sup> Also, the composition of landfill gas has CO<sub>2</sub> along with CH<sub>4</sub> (CO<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> = 50/50) that needs to be separated out, which is essential for natural gas

purification.<sup>14–16</sup> As the landfill-gas is a combination of polar (CO<sub>2</sub>) and non-polar (CH<sub>4</sub>) gas, the criteria for the selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> are: (a) an access to open metal sites and polar N-containing basic functional groups, which show adsorbate-adsorbent interaction due to quadrupole interaction of CO<sub>2</sub> molecules with the framework,<sup>14–32</sup> and (b) an appropriate pore diameter of the framework for size/shape exclusion.<sup>31–36</sup> It should be noted that initial efforts with zeolithic and zeolitic-like metal imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) that have excellent affinity for CO<sub>2</sub> due to the presence of –N(H) donors on the imidazole moieties have suffered for less uptake capacity and selectivity for CO<sub>2</sub> for not having open metal sites.<sup>37</sup> On the other hand, flue gases also have water vapour apart from CO<sub>2</sub>. Thus, the framework ought to eliminate water vapor alongside the greenhouse gas. To make an MOF potential for H<sub>2</sub>O adsorption, it must be water stable and shows very good interaction with water. Among robust water and thermally stable MOF materials, those with the well-known Zn<sub>4</sub>O core appeared to be desirable.<sup>38</sup> Interestingly, a limited number of MOFs with the Zn<sub>4</sub>(μ<sub>3</sub>-OH)<sub>2</sub> core has been reported; moreover, those with trianionic linkers such as benzene tricarboxylate (BTC) and 2-sulfoterephthalate (STP) are rare.<sup>25,39</sup>

Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, Sector 81, Manauli PO, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, Punjab 140306, India. E-mail: [sanjaymandal@iisermohali.ac.in](mailto:sanjaymandal@iisermohali.ac.in)

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Scheme S1, Fig. S1–S4 and Tables S1–S4. Synthesis and characterization of H<sub>3</sub>(d-2,4-cbs) and Zn-CBS; sorption isotherms; comparison of data with literature. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00481b






Fig. 1 Structure of  $\text{H}_3(\text{d-2,4-cbs})$  ligand.

With the above considerations, we chose to explore the use of amino acid functionalized tricarboxylates to synthesize MOFs with the  $\text{Zn}_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2$  core that will offer (a) to contain  $-\text{N}(\text{H})$  functional groups and open metal sites, and (b) to tailor pore sizes by varying the aldehyde used in making the tricarboxylate. We believe that our approach is simple and cost-effective to introduce multiple functionalities into the MOFs.<sup>40–43</sup> Furthermore, the presence of amino acid in the system also provides an opportunity to add chirality in the MOFs.<sup>44</sup> Whereas, if the framework is found to be microporous in nature it will be suitable for hydrogen sorption.<sup>45,46</sup> Thus, we targeted to make a microporous MOF using one of the two amino acids that have two carboxylic acid groups (aspartic acid and glutamic acid) and functionalize their amine part with 4-formyl benzoic acid through the reduced Schiff base chemistry to demonstrate our concept.

Herein, we have synthesized a new chiral ligand (2-((4-carboxybenzyl)amino)succinic acid)  $\text{H}_3(\text{d-2,4-cbs})$  with one secondary amine group and three carboxylic acid group (Fig. 1) from very cheap  $\text{D}$ -aspartic acid. Using this ligand, a microporous and versatile MOF,  $\{[\text{Zn}_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\text{d-2,4-cbs})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$  (**Zn-CBS**), has been solvothermally synthesized and characterized by various analytical techniques. The basic functionality present on the pore wall is utilized for selective  $\text{CO}_2$  capture and separation over  $\text{N}_2$  (flue gas) and  $\text{CH}_4$  (landfill gas). Along with  $\text{CO}_2$ , **Zn-CBS** also shows smart adsorption behavior towards  $\text{H}_2$ . Furthermore, its water vapour sorption isotherm illustrates steep uptake behavior at low relative pressure. **Zn-CBS** is also found to be efficient in encapsulating solvent molecules.

## Experimental section

### Materials and methods

All chemicals and solvents used for synthesis were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich and Merck and were used as received, without further purification. All reactions were carried out under aerobic conditions.

### Physical measurements


Using samples prepared as KBr pellets, Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were measured in the 4000–400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  range on a PerkinElmer Spectrum I spectrometer. For melting

point determination, a Büchi M-565 instrument was used. Solid-state diffuse reflectance spectra were recorded using a Cary 5000 UV-vis-NIR instrument by Agilent Technology. The  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX-400 spectrometer in  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$  at 25 °C. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were carried out from 30 to 500 °C (at a heating rate of 10 °C min<sup>−1</sup>) under a dinitrogen atmosphere using a Shimadzu DTG-60H instrument. High Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) data were measured by Thermo Scientific LTQ XL LC-MS instrument for the 50–2000 amu range with ESI,† ion source. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurements were done on a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer equipped with a 3 kW sealed tube  $\text{Cu K}\alpha$  X-ray radiation and a DTex Ultra detector using Bragg-Brentano beam geometry over a 2 $\theta$  range from 5 to 40° with a scan speed of 2° per min and 0.02° step width. Wide angle X-ray (WAX) measurements were carried out using Xeuss Model C HP100 fm from Xenocs. Scattering vector ( $q = 4\pi\sin\theta/\lambda$ ) and position of an incident X-ray beam on the detector were calibrated using several orders of layer reflections from silver behenate while scattering and diffraction images were recorded and integrated along the Debye-Scherrer ring using the FIT2D software. Field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) experiments, including energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) for mapping of elements, were performed on a JEOL instrument; each sample was well dispersed in ethanol, drop casted on a silicon wafer, dried and coated with gold using a working distance of 4.5–15 mm and a voltage of 10–15 kV. High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed on a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 equipped with a field emission gun operated at 200 kV using a copper grid loaded with the dispersed sample (1 mg in 10 mL ethanol sonicated for 20 min) followed by drying with a lamp for 30 minutes. Gas sorption experiments were performed using a BELSORP MAX (BEL JAPAN) volumetric adsorption analyzer at different temperatures. Ultra-pure (99.995%)  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{He}$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  gases were used for the adsorption measurements. Prior to the adsorption measurement, the sample was ground into a fine powder, evacuated at 393 K for 24 h and purged with ultrapure nitrogen gas on cooling.

### Synthesis of 2-((4-carboxybenzyl)amino)succinic acid [ $\text{H}_3(\text{d-2,4-cbs})$ ]

In a 50 mL round bottom flask,  $\text{D}$ -aspartic acid (106.4 mg, 0.8 mmol) and NaOH (64 mg, 1.6 mmol) were dissolved in 20 mL dry methanol and stirred for half an hour at 25 °C. To the resulting mixture, 4-formyl benzoic acid (120 mg, 0.8 mmol) was added and stirred for 4 h to get a colorless solution that was cooled using an ice bath for the next step. Upon addition of solid  $\text{NaBH}_4$  (60.48 mg, 0.62 mmol), the reaction mixture was stirred for another 8 h. After removal of methanol under reduced pressure, the obtained residue was dissolved in water and the pH was adjusted to 4–5 by adding acetic acid, which resulted in the precipitation of a white solid. The solid was isolated by filtration, thoroughly washed with water, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 124 mg (60%). M.P. 243 °C. HRMS (ESI)  $m/z$ : cal.  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ : 268.058, found: 268.057.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , 400 MHz):  $\delta$  2.1 (m, 2H), 3.2 (dd, 1H), 3.5 (m, 2H), 7.2 (d, 2H),



Scheme 1 Solvothermal synthesis of **Zn-CBS**.

7.6 (d, 2H) ppm. Selected FTIR peaks (KBr pellet,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 2969 (br), 1691 (s), 1618 (s), 1429 (m), 1299 (m), 1189 (m), 750 (m).

### Synthesis of $\{[\text{Zn}_4(\mu_3-\text{OH})_2(\text{D-2,4-cbs})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4]\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$ (Zn-CBS)


A mixture of  $\text{Zn(OAc)}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (32 mg, 0.11 mmol), NaOH (8.88 mg, 0.22 mmol) and  $\text{H}_3(\text{D-2,4-cbs})$  (20 mg, 0.074 mmol) in  $\text{MeOH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (1 mL/1 mL) was heated in a 10 mL capacity Teflon lined stainless-steel reactor at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h and then cooled to room temperature in 24 h. A white precipitate that was formed was collected by filtration, washed with acetonitrile/toluene and dried in air. Yield: 19 mg (54%). Anal. Calc. (%) for  $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{40}\text{N}_2\text{O}_{23}\text{Zn}_4$  (MW 986.09): C, 27.5; H, 3.79; N, 2.92. Found: C, 27.8; H, 3.40; N, 2.5. Selected FTIR peaks (KBr pellet,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3421 (br), 3239 (br), 1617 (s), 1398 (s), 1099 (w), 1010 (w), 882 (w).

## Results and discussion

### Synthesis and characterization

The chiral ligand  $\text{H}_3(\text{D-2,4-cbs})$  used in this study was prepared from D-aspartic acid, which was first converted to its disodium salt, and 4-formylbenzaldehyde in a single pot using the standard Schiff base chemistry in dry methanol followed by reduction with sodium borohydride (Scheme S1, ESI†). Its isolation as a solid was aided by the addition of glacial acetic acid to the reduced Schiff base obtained in the second step. This ligand was characterized by FTIR, TGA, HRMS, and NMR spectroscopy (Fig. S1–S4, ESI†). For the synthesis of **Zn-CBS**, a solvothermal reaction of  $\text{Zn(OAc)}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , NaOH and  $\text{H}_3(\text{D-2,4-cbs})$  was carried out in a mixture of methanol and water at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h followed by cooling to room temperature in 24 h with a yield of 54% (Scheme 1). Several attempts to obtain suitable single crystals of **Zn-CBS** for the X-ray study were not successful. However, in addition to the extensive characterization by elemental analysis, spectroscopic and microscopic analysis, the crystallinity and phase purity as well as thermal stability of **Zn-CBS** were studied by in-situ PXRD and TGA for its applications. These are discussed in detail below.

In the FTIR spectrum of **Zn-CBS**, the presence of water molecules and the OH groups within the core is substantiated by the observation of a strong peak at  $3421 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . Similarly, a broad peak at  $3239 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to the –NH group from the ligand (Fig. S5, ESI†).<sup>47</sup> The asymmetric and symmetric stretching frequencies for the carboxylate appears at  $1622 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and  $1397 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively, with a difference of  $225 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which corresponds to the monodentate binding mode of the carboxylate groups.<sup>47</sup> The solid-state diffuse reflectance spectrum of **Zn-CBS** exhibited two peaks at 245 and 280 nm attributed to the ligand-based  $\pi-\pi^*$  transitions (Fig. S6, ESI†).

Fig. 2 Variable temperature PXRD patterns of **Zn-CBS**.

### Thermochemistry by TGA and VT-PXRD


To examine the thermal stability of **Zn-CBS**, the TG experiment was carried out from  $30^\circ\text{C}$  to  $500^\circ\text{C}$  under a nitrogen atmosphere. In the first step of its TG profile, a weight loss of 9.5% (calc. 9.3%) corresponding to five lattice water molecules is observed between  $30^\circ\text{C}$  to  $80^\circ\text{C}$  (Fig. S7, ESI†). Thereafter, it is stable up to  $275^\circ\text{C}$  followed by decomposition.

The purity and crystallinity of **Zn-CBS** at room temperature was evaluated by PXRD (Fig. S8, ESI†) and further confirmed by the 2D WAX map (Fig. S9, ESI†). Based on the thermal stability of the framework shown by TGA, **Zn-CBS** was investigated by in-situ VTPXRD to provide insight into their crystalline properties at different temperatures (from  $-100^\circ\text{C}$  to  $275^\circ\text{C}$ ). As shown in Fig. 2, it maintains the structure and crystallinity throughout the cooling and heating cycles. The high crystallinity and stability of **Zn-CBS** up to  $275^\circ\text{C}$  can be due to the formation of a stable  $[\text{Zn}_4(\mu_3-\text{OH})_2]$  core in its 3D structure.<sup>39</sup>

### Surface analysis

To figure out the morphology of **Zn-CBS**, both FESEM and TEM were utilized. Its FESEM image (Fig. 3a) shows accumulation and assembling of leaves to form nanoflowers, which indicate the chiral nature of the framework.<sup>48</sup> The morphology is further confirmed by TEM showing aggregation of leaflets (Fig. 3b). Moreover, the TEM image shows that the size of the leaflet is in the range of 79–90 nm. The HRTEM image shows well-defined lattice fringes with spacing of 0.23 nm for the nanoflowers (Fig. 3c). Its compositional characterization was done by EDX and elemental mapping (Fig. 3d, e and Fig. S10, ESI†), where





**Fig. 3** Characterization of **Zn-CBS**: (a) FESEM image showing nanoflower morphology; (b) TEM image showing accumulation of leaflets; (c) HRTEM image; (d) EDX spectrum; and (e) elemental mapping.

peaks for Zn, O, N and C were observed. In particular, the % of Zn was found to be very close to the theoretical value for **Zn-CBS**.

#### Gas and vapor sorption study

The microporous nature of **Zn-CBS** is utilized for significant adsorption towards gas ( $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$ , and  $\text{CH}_4$ ), and vapor ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ). For adsorption measurements, each time the compound was activated at  $120^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h under high vacuum. To evaluate its porosity,  $\text{N}_2$  sorption isotherm at 77 K has been measured and evaluated. It exhibits a reversible type I isotherm with a pore diameter of 0.6 nm, signifying microporous nature of the framework (Fig. 4a). With a maximum  $\text{N}_2$  uptake of  $106.98 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  at 1 atm, Langmuir and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas are calculated to be 350.21 and  $282 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , respectively. For its microporous nature, the adsorption of  $\text{H}_2$  with an uptake of  $64 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (STP) was observed as shown in Fig. 4b. Its uptake of  $\text{H}_2$  (0.5 wt%) is comparable and higher than  $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{tcpcdp})]$  (0.2 wt%),<sup>14</sup>  $[\text{Zn}_4\text{O}(\text{adc})_3]$  (0.4 wt%),<sup>30</sup>  $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{btatb})(\text{EtPy})]$  (0.57 wt%),<sup>23</sup> and  $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{btatb})(\text{MePy})]$  (0.59 wt%).<sup>16</sup>

The kinetic diameters of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  are 3.3 Å and 3.8 Å, respectively, which are suitable for the pore of **Zn-CBS** to adsorb both the gases. The  $\text{CO}_2$  sorption isotherms were measured at 195 K, 263 K, 273 K, and 298 K (0–1.0 atm) depicting typical type I isotherm. At 298 K, 273 K, 263 K and 195 K, the uptake amounts of  $\text{CO}_2$  are  $38.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (STP; 7.6 wt%),  $47.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (STP; 9.3 wt%),  $49.3 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (STP; 9.6 wt%) and  $85.9 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  (STP; 16.8 wt%), respectively (Fig. 4c). Interestingly, its uptake of  $\text{CO}_2$  is higher or comparable with other amine or amino acid based system (Table S1, ESI†).<sup>12,19</sup> On the other hand, the uptake of  $\text{CH}_4$  is less compared to  $\text{CO}_2$  at 298 K, 273 K, and 263 K, which are  $15.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ ,  $21.2 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , and  $24.8 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , respectively (Fig. 4d). However, **Zn-CBS** shows higher value for  $\text{CH}_4$  than SNU-77S, SNU-100, SNU-150 and SNU-151,<sup>16–41</sup> IITKGP-5 and IITKGP-6,<sup>15,29</sup>  $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{BDC})_2(\text{DABCO})_2]$ <sup>23</sup> (Table S1, ESI†).

For comparison, the  $\text{N}_2$  uptake amounts are  $4.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  at 298 K,  $6.6 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  at 273 K and  $8.1 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  at 263 K (Fig. S11, ESI†). The high uptake of  $\text{CO}_2$  over  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  reflect very strong dipole–quadrupole interaction between  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules and the amine-functionalized pore wall of **Zn-CBS**.

The interaction between the framework and gas molecules is calculated by isosteric heat of adsorption ( $Q_{st}$ ) by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation at 263 K, 273 K, and 298 K. The values for  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2$  at zero coverage are  $35 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ,  $51.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $0.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , respectively (Fig. 5). It is important to note that the  $Q_{st}$  of  $\text{CH}_4$  is remarkably higher compared to  $\text{CO}_2$ . These  $Q_{st}$  values have been compared with other MOFs (Table S1, ESI†). Interestingly, **Zn-CBS** shows comparable and higher  $Q_{st}$  for  $\text{CO}_2$  than MIL-53(Cr) ( $32 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>20</sup> IRMOF-9-NMe<sub>2</sub> ( $27 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>21</sup> TEA@bio-MOF-1 ( $26.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>22</sup> CPF-13 ( $28.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>14</sup> JUC-141 ( $27.85 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>10</sup> IISERP-MOF20 ( $26 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>12</sup>  $[\text{Zn}(\text{atz})_2]$  ( $26 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>16</sup>  $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{BDC})_2(\text{DABCO})]$  ( $25 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>23</sup> NOTT-202a ( $22 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>24</sup>  $[\text{Zn}_4(\text{OH})_2(1,2,4-\text{BTC})_2]$  ( $20 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>25</sup> and IRMOF-3 ( $19 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>26</sup> Whereas, the  $Q_{st}$  for methane is higher than those reported for the MOFs in the literature, such as, SNU-100 ( $26.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>16</sup> JUC-141 ( $22.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>10</sup>  $[\text{Zn}(\text{atz})_2]$  ( $19.5 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>16</sup> IITKGP-6 ( $18.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>29</sup> SNU-151 ( $18.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>41</sup> IITKGP-5 ( $14.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>15</sup> SNU-77S ( $14.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ),<sup>41</sup> and SNU-150 ( $12.8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>41</sup>

Motivated by the polar functional pore surface, the water sorption isotherm of **Zn-CBS** has been measured to check its stability in water. It shows a type I isotherm with high  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  uptake of  $\sim 156 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ (STP) g}^{-1}$  at lower  $P/P_0$  values (at 0.2), amounting to five water molecules per formula unit. This corroborates well with its microanalysis where five lattice water molecules have been determined. Its sorption and desorption curves properly overlap, indicating no hysteresis loop for retaining any water molecules inside the pore upon desorption. Its ability for a large water uptake at low pressure and a long




Fig. 4 Gas sorption of Zn-CBS: (a) N<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm and pore size distribution; (b) H<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherm at 77 K; (c) CO<sub>2</sub> sorption isotherms at 195 K, 263 K, 273 K, and 298 K; (d) CH<sub>4</sub> sorption isotherms at 263 K, 273 K and 298 K.




Fig. 5 Isosteric heat of adsorption ( $Q_{st}$ ) for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> using experimental isotherm data of Zn-CBS at 263 K, 273 K and 298 K.




Fig. 6 Water adsorption–desorption isotherm of Zn-CBS.

saturation plateau that are usually found for zeolites is remarkable. This type of materials which show more adsorption of the adsorbate at lower  $P/P_0$ , can be good candidate as a desiccant.<sup>49</sup> At higher  $P/P_0$  value (0.8–1.0), it shows an uptake of  $\sim 221 \text{ cm}^3 (\text{STP}) \text{ g}^{-1}$  (Fig. 6), amounting to seven water molecules per formula unit. As indicated in the thermal analysis section and later utilized such property for solvent encapsulation, the framework is stable upon loss of lattice water molecules and has a permanent pore. This sorption study validates the hydrophilic interaction between the framework and the water molecules. The PXRD of the used **Zn-CBS**

was recorded after the gas and vapor adsorption experiments (Fig. S12, ESI<sup>†</sup>). It shows that the crystallinity and structural integrity of the framework are maintained. Interestingly, there are only few MOFs which show such permanent porosity.<sup>17</sup> On the other hand, examples of amino acid-based MOFs demonstrating both  $\text{CO}_2$  and water sorption are rare.

### Selectivity of $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$ and $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$ by IAST method

The potential for gas separation by **Zn-CBS** was investigated by using ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) method (see ESI,<sup>†</sup> for details). The dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich (DSLF)




Fig. 7 Separation selectivity of **Zn-CBS** at 263 K, 273 K, and 298 K predicted by IAST for (a)  $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$  (15/85); and (b)  $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$  (50/50).




Fig. 8 CBMC simulation: (a) interaction and distance between  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules and  $\text{H}_3(\text{b}-2,4-\text{cbs})$  present as a trianion in **Zn-CBS** at 298 K; probability density of (b)  $\text{CH}_4$  and (c)  $\text{N}_2$  at 298 K; (d) binding energy of  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ , and  $\text{N}_2$ .



isotherm model has been utilized for fitting the unary isotherm of three gases, *i.e.*,  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  at three different temperatures 263 K, 273 K and 298 K, respectively (Table S2 and Fig. S13–S21, ESI†). The selectivity values for  $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$  (15 : 85, flue gas composition) and  $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$  (50 : 50, landfill gas composition) are measured using this equation. The calculated selectivity for  $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$  (15 : 85) mixture is 1832, 916 and 408 at 298 K, 273 K and 263 K, respectively (Fig. 7a). On the other hand, the selectivity of  $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$  (50 : 50) mixture is 24.5, 17.2 and 12.2 at 298 K, 273 K and 263 K, respectively (Fig. 7b). The selectivity of  $\text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4$  is higher than the MOFs reported in Table S3 (ESI†).<sup>14–16,24,50–52</sup> The high selectivity of  $\text{CO}_2$  over  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2$  may be accredited to the presence of an amine group in the framework.

To better understand the high selectivity of  $\text{CO}_2$  over  $\text{CH}_4$  and  $\text{N}_2$ , configurational bias Monte Carlo (CBMC) simulation using BIOVIA, Material studio 2007 was carried out.<sup>53</sup> The amine basic site in the  $\text{H}_3(\text{D}-2,4-\text{cbs})$  ligand, which is present as a trianion in **Zn-CBS**, plays a crucial role in high selectivity. For this purpose  $\text{H}_3(\text{D}-2,4-\text{cbs})$  was taken into account, optimized in Density Functional Theory (DFT) and put in a (1 × 1 × 1) cell. Using the sorption module, with respect to the ligand the position of different gases and their binding energy (BE) at

298 K with a fixed pressure of 1 bar have been determined. It is observed that only one  $\text{CO}_2$  molecule was adsorbed and the intermolecular distance between  $\text{CO}_2$  and the N–H moiety of the ligand was found to be 2.18 Å (N–H···O) and the bond angle of  $\text{CO}_2$  is reduced to 174.9° (Fig. 8a). On the other hand,  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{CH}_4$  do not show any interaction but some electron density was observed near the N–H bond of the ligand (Fig. 8b and c). The probable electron density of  $\text{CH}_4$  was almost triple compared to  $\text{N}_2$ . The BE of  $\text{CO}_2$  (−7.45 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>) is higher than that of  $\text{N}_2$  (−4.4 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>) and  $\text{CH}_4$  (−5.5 kcal mol<sup>−1</sup>), confirming the high sorption and selectivity (Fig. 8d).

### Encapsulation of solvent molecules and chemical stability

Based on the porosity of **Zn-CBS** confirmed by nitrogen gas and water vapor sorption studies, the encapsulation of various organic solvents, like acetonitrile, ethanol, methanol, tetrahydrofuran, toluene, and *p*-xylene, inside the MOF was carried out by activating it at 100 °C for 3 h under high vacuum. Experiments were performed by soaking the activated **Zn-CBS** in different organic solvents for 3 days, where the respective fresh solvent was used after every 24 h, and dried in air. In order to understand the framework stability and get an estimate of




Fig. 9 Encapsulation of solvents by **Zn-CBS**: (a) comparison of FTIR spectra; (b) PXRD patterns; (c) TG profiles after encapsulation of various organic solvents; and (d) bar plot representing the total number of solvent molecules encapsulated per formula unit of the framework.



solvent absorbed by **Zn-CBS**, the solvated framework was examined by FTIR, PXRD, and TGA. The FTIR spectra (Fig. 9a) confirm the stability of the framework in the encapsulated solvents. Further, PXRD indicates that crystallinity and structural integrity of the framework are maintained after the encapsulation of different solvents (Fig. 9b). From the TG profiles of encapsulated **Zn-CBS** with various solvents compared to its activated form, the stability of the framework after the loss of solvent is intact (Fig. 9c). The number of solvent molecules encapsulated by the framework is calculated (Table S4, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and depicted in Fig. 9d. Based on this information, it is clear that the maximum absorbing capacity of **Zn-CBS** is for acetonitrile as it has the highest dipole moment and is linear in shape. The remarkable encapsulation of different solvents can be attributed to the interaction of the solvent with a framework depending on the size and polarity of the solvent.

## Conclusion

A homochiral Zn(II)-organic nanoflower, **Zn-CBS**, was solvothermally synthesized using a new amino acid-based tricarboxylate ligand. Both FESEM and TEM images showed details of the nanoflower arrangement in **Zn-CBS**. Based on the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption measurements (reversible type I isotherm) the microporous nature of the framework was established. The amine functionality present in the micropore wall helped to capture more CO<sub>2</sub> over N<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> because of strong adsorbate-adsorbent interaction. However, the Q<sub>st</sub> value for CH<sub>4</sub> (51.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) is higher than that for CO<sub>2</sub> (35 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) because of appropriate pore size of the framework. The high selectivity of CO<sub>2</sub> over CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> shows its potential under relevant flue and landfill gas separation conditions. On the other hand, water sorption isotherm showed high water uptake (156 cm<sup>3</sup> (STP) g<sup>-1</sup>) at lower relative pressure, indicating a strong interaction between framework and polar molecules for a potential desiccant use. The porosity of the framework was further utilized for encapsulating small molecules such as various common solvents, which was monitored by FTIR, TGA and PXRD analysis. These gas and vapour sorption studies substantiate the permanent microporosity of **Zn-CBS**. Thus, with high thermal and water stability **Zn-CBS** is a versatile material that is suitable for selective CO<sub>2</sub> capture and separation, and encapsulating solvent molecules. Extension of this work with different ligand systems for generating new MOFs containing larger micropores with various applications is currently underway in our laboratory.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Funding for this work was provided by IISER, Mohali. S. G. is grateful to UGC and P. D. is grateful to MHRD, India for research fellowships. The use of NMR, X-ray, SAXS, CHN, FESEM and other departmental facilities at IISER Mohali; and HRTEM facility at NIPER Mohali is gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- (a) S. S. Han, J. L. Mendoza-Cortés and W. A. Goddard III, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1460–1476; (b) L. J. Murray, M. Dincă and J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1294–1314; (c) Y. Salinas, R. Martínez-Máñez, M. D. Marcos, F. Sancenón, A. M. Costero, M. Parra and S. Gil, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1261–1296; (d) S. Letzel, T. Göen, M. Bader, J. Angerer and T. Kraus, *Occup. Environ. Med.*, 2003, **60**, 483–488.
- (a) A. Corma, H. García and F. X. LlabrésiXamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4606–4655; (b) J. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1450–1459; (c) E. B. Bauer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3153–3167; (d) C. N. R. Rao, S. S. Natarajan and R. Vaidhyanathan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 1466–1496.
- B. Li, Z. Zhang, Y. Li, K. Yao, Y. Zhu, Z. Deng, F. Yang, X. Zhou, G. Li, H. Wu, N. Nijem, Y. J. Chabal, Z. Lai, Y. Han, Z. Shi, S. Feng and J. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 1412–1415.
- K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724–781.
- Z.-R. Qu, H. Zhao, X.-S. Wang, Y.-H. Li, Y.-M. Song, Y.-J. Liu, Q. Ye, R.-G. Xiong, B. F. Abrahams, Z.-L. Xue and X.-Z. You, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 7710–7712.
- J.-R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H.-C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477–1504.
- K. Sumida, D. L. Rogow, J. A. Mason, T. M. McDonald, E. D. Bloch, Z. R. Herm, T.-H. Bae and J. R. Long, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 724–781.
- T. M. McDonald, J. A. Mason, X. Kong, E. D. Bloch, D. Gygi, A. Dani, V. Crocella, F. Giordanino, S. O. Odoh, W. S. Drisdell, B. Vlaisavljevich, A. L. Dzubak, R. Poloni, S. K. Schnell, N. Planas, K. Lee, T. Pascal, L. F. Wan, D. Prendergast, J. B. Neaton, B. Smit, J. B. Kortright, L. Gagliardi, S. Bordiga, J. A. Reimer and J. R. Long, *Nature*, 2015, **519**, 303–308.
- M. Carta, R. Malpass-Evans, M. Croad, Y. Rogan, J. C. Jansen, P. Bernardo, F. Bazzarelli and N. B. McKeown, *Science*, 2013, **339**, 303–307.
- G. Feng, Y. Peng, W. Liu, F. Chang, Y. Dai and W. Huang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 2363–2366.
- B. Zheng, J. Bai, J. Duan, L. Wojtas and M. J. Zaworotko, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 748–751.
- S. Nandi, R. Maity, D. Chakraborty, H. Ballav and R. Vaidhyanathan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 5267–5272.
- (a) J. Liu, P. K. Thallapally, B. P. McGrail, D. R. Brown and J. Liu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2308–2322; (b) H. Wang, Q.-L. Zhu, R. Zou and Q. Xu, *Nat. Energy*, 2016, **1**, 16034–16046.
- Q. G. Zhai, Q. P. Lin, T. Wu, L. Wang, S. T. Zheng, X. Bu and P. Feng, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 2624–2626.
- A. Pal, S. Chand, S. M. Elahi and M. C. Das, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 15280–15286.
- R. B. Lin, D. Chen, Y. Y. Lin, J. P. Zhang and X. M. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 9950–9955.
- (a) C. Janiak, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6933–6937; (b) L. Feng, K. Y. Wang, J. Willman and H. C. Zhou, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2020, **6**, 359–367.



18 C. M. Gastaldo, J. E. Warren, M. E. Briggs, J. A. Armstrong, K. M. Thomas and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 16027–16034.

19 P. Das and S. K. Mandal, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 25360–25371.

20 S. Bourrelly, P. L. Llewellyn, C. Serre, F. Millange, T. Loiseau and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 13519–13521.

21 A. Kansari, M. R. Bryant, D. R. Jenkinson, G. B. Jameson, O. T. Qazvini, L. Liu, A. D. Burrows, S. G. Telfer and C. Richardson, *CrystEngComm*, 2019, **21**, 7498–7506.

22 J. An and N. L. Rosi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 5578–5579.

23 Z. Liang, M. Marshall and A. L. Chaffee, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2010, **132**, 305–310.

24 S. Yang, X. Lin, W. Lewis, M. Suyetin, E. Bichoutskaia, J. E. Parker, C. C. Tang, D. R. Allan, P. R. Rizkallah, P. Hubberstey, N. R. Champness, K. M. Thomas, A. J. Blake and M. Schroder, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 710–716.

25 Z. Zhang, S. Xiang, X. Rao, Q. Zheng, F. R. Fronczek, G. Qian and B. Chen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 7205–7207.

26 D. Farrusseng, C. Daniel, C. Gaudillere, U. Ravon, Y. Schuurman, C. Mirodatos, D. Dubbeldam, H. Frost and R. Q. Snurr, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 7383–7388.

27 L. Kong, R. Zou, W. Bi, R. Zhong, W. Mu, J. Liu, R. P. S. Hana and R. Zou, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 17771–17778.

28 M. H. Choi, H. J. Park, D. H. Hong and M. P. Suh, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 17432–17438.

29 A. Pal, S. Chand and M. C. Das, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 13991–13997.

30 (a) N. Zhao, F. Sun, P. Li, X. Mu and G. Zhu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 6938–6942; (b) L. Ma, J. Y. Lee, J. Li and W. Lin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 3955–3957; (c) S. K. Das, S. Chatterjee, S. Bhunia, A. Mondal, P. Mitra, V. Kumari, A. Pradhan and A. Bhaumik, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 13783–13792.

31 E. Stavitski, E. A. Pidko, S. Couck, T. Remy, E. J. M. Hensen, B. M. Weckhuysen, J. Denayer, J. Gascon and F. Kapteijn, *Langmuir*, 2011, **27**, 3970–3976.

32 Y. Zhao, H. Wu, T. J. Emge, Q. Gong, N. Nijem, Y. J. Chabal, L. Kong, D. C. Langreth, H. Liu, H. Zeng and J. Li, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 5101–5109.

33 H.-P. Li, S.-N. Li, H.-M. Sun, M.-C. Hu, Y.-C. Jiang and Q.-G. Zhai, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2018, **18**, 3229–3235.

34 T. Panda, P. Pachfule, Y. Chen, J. Jiang and R. Banerjee, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 2011–2013.

35 J.-P. Zhang, A.-X. Zhu, R.-B. Lin, X.-L. Qi and X.-M. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 1268–1271.

36 J.-P. Lin, J.-P. Zhang and X.-M. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 6654–6656.

37 A. Phan, C. J. Doonan, F. J. Uribe-Romo, C. B. Knobler, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 58–67.

38 (a) H. Li, M. Eddaoudi, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nature*, 1999, **402**, 276–278; (b) H. K. Chae, J. Kim, O. D. Friedrichs, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 3907–3909; (c) H. K. Chae, D. Y. Siberio-Perez, J. Kim, Y. Go, M. Eddaoudi, A. J. Matzger, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nature*, 2004, **427**, 523–526; (d) L. K. Macreadie, E. J. Mensforth, R. Babarao, K. Konstas, S. G. Telfer, C. M. Doherty, J. Tsanaktsidis, S. R. Batten and M. R. Hill, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3828–3832; (e) X. Zhao, H. Yang, E. T. Nguyen, J. Padilla, X. Chen, P. Feng and X. Bu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 13566–13569; (f) X. Lou, F. Geng, B. Hu, C. Li, M. Shen and B. Hu, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 413–419; (g) D. Wu, J. Liu, J. Jin, J. Cheng, M. Wang, G. Yang and Y.-Y. Wang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2019, **19**, 6774–6783; (h) C. Zhuo, Y. Wen and X. Wu, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 2792–2902.

39 (a) Y.-X. Ren, S.-S. Xiao, X.-J. Zheng, L.-C. Li and L.-P. Jina, *Dalton Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 2639–2647; (b) B. An, R. Zhou, D. Dang, J. Wang, H. Pan and Y. Bai, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2014, **122**, 392–399; (c) J. Tao, X. Yin, Z. B. Wei, R. B. Huang and L. S. Zheng, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 125–133; (d) N. Wang, J. G. Ma, W. Shi and P. Cheng, *Cryst. Eng. Commun.*, 2012, **14**, 5634–5638; (e) J. Tao, M.-L. Tong, J.-X. Shi, X.-M. Chen and S. W. Ngb, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 2043–2044; (f) B. Bhattacharya, D. Saha, D. K. Maity, R. Dey and D. Ghoshal, *Cryst. Eng. Commun.*, 2014, **16**, 4783–4795; (g) S. Khan, P. Das and S. K. Mandal, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2020, **59**, 4588–4600.

40 X. Lv, L. Li, S. Tang, C. Wang and X. Zhao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 6886–6889.

41 C. Wang, D. Liu and W. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13222–13234.

42 R. Saha, B. Joarder, A. S. Roy, Sk. M. Islam and S. Kumar, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 16607–16614.

43 (a) R. W. Flag, M. O. Popp, A. M. Fracaroli, E. A. Kapustin, M. J. Kalmutzki, R. M. Altamimi, F. Fathieh, J. A. Reimer and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12125–12128; (b) F.-Y. Yi, S.-C. Wang, M. Gu, J.-Q. Zheng and L. Han, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 2010–2018.

44 C. Zhuo, Y. Wen and X. Wu, *Cryst. Eng. Commun.*, 2016, **18**, 2792–2802.

45 (a) S. Ma, X. S. Wang, C. D. Collier, E. S. Manis and H. C. Zhou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 8499–8501; (b) D. Chandra, M. W. Kasture and A. Bhaumik, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2008, **116**, 204–209.

46 O. K. Farha, K. L. Mulfort and J. T. Hupp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 10223–10225.

47 K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds: Part B: Applications in Coordination, Organometallic, and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 6th edn, 2008.

48 D. Bradshaw, J. B. Claridge, E. J. Cussen, T. J. Prior and M. J. Rosseinsky, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 273–282.

49 X. Zheng, T. S. Ge and R. Z. Wang, *Energy*, 2014, **74**, 280–294.

50 S. Xiong, Y. Gong, H. Wang, Q. Liu, M. Gu, X. Wang, B. Chen and Z. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12101–12104.

51 Z.-J. Lin, Y.-B. Huang, T.-F. Liu, X.-Y. Li and R. Cao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 3127–3132.

52 S. Xiang, Y. He, Z. Zhang, H. Wu, W. Zhou, R. Krishna and B. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2012, **3**, 954–963.

53 S. Yeganegi, M. Gholami and V. Sokhanvaran, *Mol. Simul.*, 2017, **43**, 260–266.

