Water-dispersible few-layer graphene flakes for selective and rapid ion mercury (Hg\textsuperscript{2+})-rejecting membranes\textsuperscript{†}
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Mercury (Hg) is a global highly toxic pollutant released by both anthropogenic and natural sources. Hg decontamination is of the utmost importance for human and ecosystem protection. Here, we propose a novel graphene-based membrane capable of performing rapid and highly selective Hg\textsuperscript{2+}-rejection from water. Functionalized graphene flakes are produced by a non-oxidative, room-temperature and post processing-free “green” method to simultaneously exfoliate graphite into single-/few-layer graphene (SLG/FLG) flakes in water and functionalize them with cationic rhodamine 6G (R6G) via a physisorption process (aromatic ring π–π stacking). The rhodamine 6G-functionalized graphene (R6G-FG) membrane shows a low-density (<0.5 g cm\textsuperscript{-2}) packed laminar structure, where R6G molecules act as spacers between the SLG/FLG flakes. The presence of hydrophilic micro/nanodomains in this low-density structure results in a water permeation rate as high as 789.6 L m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} bar\textsuperscript{-1} (for an 80 µm-thick membrane, R6G-FG mass loading of 3.58 g m\textsuperscript{-2}). Meanwhile, the R6G-FG complexes perform as ion-selective nano-traps for Hg\textsuperscript{2+}, showing almost complete rejection (>99%) for a filtered solution volume normalized to the R6G-FG mass superior to 3 L g\textsuperscript{-1}. The selective rejection capability of the R6G-FG membrane is ruled by competitive adsorption of metal ions and positively charged R6G molecules with different affinity onto the negatively charged graphene surface. Lastly, a washing treatment in alkaline conditions is also proposed for membrane regeneration and reuse. The rationalization of the working mechanism of the R6G-FG membrane is promising for eliminating the “permeability–selectivity trade-offs” often tackled by laminar two-dimensional material membranes.

Introduction

The lack of clean water and sanitation is a pervasive problem afflicting the world today.\textsuperscript{1,2} This is expected to get worse in the coming years,\textsuperscript{3} unless efficient water purification methods are developed while minimizing the use of hazardous/toxic chemicals and impact on the environment.\textsuperscript{4–8} In this scenario, two-dimensional (2D) materials are gaining massive appeal for the realization of novel membranes for filtration,\textsuperscript{9,10} water purification\textsuperscript{11,12} and desalination technologies.\textsuperscript{11–18} As key-cases, graphene-based membranes can provide cost-effective solutions for precise and ultrafast ionic and molecular sieving in aqueous solution.\textsuperscript{19–24} Recently, other 2D materials, such as transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs),\textsuperscript{25–27} boron nitride (BN),\textsuperscript{28} MXenes\textsuperscript{29} and layered double hydroxides (LDHs),\textsuperscript{30,31} have been also reported for molecular and ionic separation membranes.\textsuperscript{32,33} The effectiveness of 2D material-based membranes originates from their unique laminar structure consisting of nanochannels with tunable sizes\textsuperscript{32–34} and surface chemistry.\textsuperscript{35,36} These features can simultaneously provide selective solute rejection\textsuperscript{34,37,38} and high solvent flux\textsuperscript{25,39,40} (i.e., water permeation rate under external pressure > 500 L m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} bar\textsuperscript{-1} for µm-thick membranes).\textsuperscript{41,42} The rejection selectivity results from a combination of size-exclusion effects depending on the interlayer spacing of the 2D material flakes,\textsuperscript{32–34} electrostatic interactions between the charged solute and the charged surface state of the flakes,\textsuperscript{31,35,36} and adsorption effects (e.g., ion–π interactions\textsuperscript{43,44} and metal coordination to the flakes’
surface\textsuperscript{45-47}). For the case of water as a solvent, the unusual high flow rate (up to 20 m s\textsuperscript{-1}) has been attributed to both capillary driven force and low-friction flow confined within 2D channels enclosed by hydrophobic, pristine (non-oxidized) regions of graphene\textsuperscript{20,48}. In contrast, H-bonding interactions between water molecules and O-containing functional groups slow down the water flow between the hydrophilic oxidized graphene flakes.\textsuperscript{49} Moreover, the interaction between hydrophilic regions and water causes the swelling of the soaked membranes,\textsuperscript{50,51} which progressively decreases their solute rejection capability.\textsuperscript{10,52}

Although the morphological and physicochemical properties of 2D materials hold promise for the development of next-generation ultrafiltration, high-flux, and energy-efficient membranes for precise ionic and molecular filtration,\textsuperscript{53,54} a major challenge that practically hinders their implementation is the production of 2D materials at an industrial scale together with controlling their physical/chemical properties at the nanoscale.\textsuperscript{13,55,56} In this context, liquid phase exfoliation (LPE) methods\textsuperscript{57-60} have emerged as scalable approaches to exfoliate layered bulk crystals into single-/few-layer flakes in liquid solvents by exploiting cavitation\textsuperscript{61-65} and shear forces\textsuperscript{66-71} to break the van der Waals bonds between the adjacent planes of the layered structures. Then, the exfoliated flakes can be deposited or printed on different substrates using well-known solution-processing techniques.\textsuperscript{72-75} Among LPE techniques, ultrasonication-assisted exfoliation is the most used lab-scale approach because of its easiness.\textsuperscript{57,59,61} Its optimization also provides guidelines for designing advanced LPE processes with industrial material production rates (up to the order of 1 kg per day, independently of the materials).\textsuperscript{71,76-78} Subsequently, the produced nanomaterials have to be exploited in the form of interlocked layered structures to effectively act as robust ion and/or molecule filters.\textsuperscript{9-14} At this stage, the inclusion of different-sized functional groups\textsuperscript{86,79} can act as both nanometric spacers modulating the nanochannels' size\textsuperscript{19,21,80} and active sites for chemical adsorption of solutes,\textsuperscript{25,81,82} resulting in extraordinary control of the permeation selectivity.\textsuperscript{83-86} Actually, the possibility of functionalizing 2D materials,\textsuperscript{87} which intrinsically provide a platform of nanomaterials covering a unique variety of physical/chemical properties,\textsuperscript{88-91} can create added-value for designing laminar structures with “on-demand” solute-selective removal and solvent permeability.\textsuperscript{21,53,54} The resulting membrane can be effectively integrated in multifunctional and multi-stage filtration apparatus based on sub-cascade membranes and/or treatment.\textsuperscript{92-95} This also turns out to minimize fouling invasion\textsuperscript{94-97} which reduces the solvent permeability and solute affinity in the end-located nano-filtration blocks.\textsuperscript{94,95,98-100}

Herein, we show a novel membrane based on graphene functionalized with cationic rhodamine 6G – R6G – (hereafter named R6G-FG), a prototypical cost-effective and non-hazardous organic molecule,\textsuperscript{101,102} for selective Hg\textsuperscript{2+} rejection from water. It is noteworthy that Hg is one of the heavy metals of most concern, since its non-biodegradability and bio-accumulative pollution are the cause of birth defects, brain damage and diseases in humans and wildlife.\textsuperscript{103} Mercury is released into the environment by anthropogenic sources in the form of several industry products/byproducts and processes\textsuperscript{104-107} including gold mining by amalgamation,\textsuperscript{108} chloralkali industry wastewater,\textsuperscript{109,110} cement and mineral production,\textsuperscript{111} combustion in coal-fired power plants,\textsuperscript{112-114} port activities,\textsuperscript{115} petroleum refineries,\textsuperscript{116,117} batteries\textsuperscript{118} and fluorescent lamps.\textsuperscript{119} In addition, volcanic eruptions,\textsuperscript{120} deposits of cinnabar\textsuperscript{121,122} and trace amounts in coal\textsuperscript{123,124} are relevant natural sources of Hg emission.\textsuperscript{125,126} In order to face Hg pollution, global agreements have been reached for implementing Hg treaties.\textsuperscript{127-129} This is spurring the search for novel efficient and rapid methods to remove and recover Hg from water,\textsuperscript{130-133} where the volatile elemental Hg (Hg\textsuperscript{0}) penetrates via wet precipitation once is oxidized in Hg\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} form.\textsuperscript{134,135} The rational choice of R6G-based functionalization of graphene for Hg\textsuperscript{2+} removal is based on the previous use of R6G molecules pre-adsorbed on conductive substrates as fluorescence/surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) chemo-sensors for the selective detection of Hg\textsuperscript{2+}.\textsuperscript{136-141} R6G-FG was produced by a non-oxidative, room-temperature and post processing-free “green” method to simultaneously exfoliate graphite up to single-/few-layer flakes in water (mild alkaline conditions, pH 8) and functionalize graphene with R6G. This method is effective to obtain graphene flakes with a large contribution of monolayers/bilayers and lateral size comparable to that obtained by conventional LPE methods, e.g., the prototypical ultrasonication-aided exfoliation in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP).\textsuperscript{57,59,61,142,143} Subsequently, selective and rapid Hg\textsuperscript{2+}-rejecting membranes, made of R6G-incorporated graphene interlocked layered structures (R6G-FG membranes), were obtained through facile low-pressure (1 bar) deposition (i.e., vacuum filtration) of the as-produced R6G-FG dispersions. The R6G molecules act as spacers between the graphene flakes and determine the presence of hydrophilic micro/nanodomains, enabling efficient water permeation (up to 789.6 L m\textsuperscript{-2} h\textsuperscript{-1} bar\textsuperscript{-1} for an 80 μm-thick membrane). Meanwhile, R6G/graphene complexes perform as ion-selective nano-traps for Hg\textsuperscript{2+} (percentage Hg\textsuperscript{2+} rejection higher than 99%). The ion selective permeation is explained by the Hg-phlicity of graphene flakes, which results in adsorption of Hg\textsuperscript{2+} replacing the R6G molecules. In contrast, the metallophilicity of the graphene flakes for the other investigated metal ions is insufficient to compete with R6G molecules, still saturating the cation-sieving sites of graphene flakes. Lastly, the recyclability of the R6G-FG membranes, i.e., the recovery of their Hg\textsuperscript{2+} adsorption capability, was attained by washing the membranes in tetrabutylammonium hydroxide 30-hydrate (Bu\textsubscript{4}NOH·3OH\textsubscript{2}O), representing a novel pH-controlled membrane regeneration method.

**Experimental**

**Production of materials**

Rhodamine 6G-functionalized graphene samples were produced in the form of an aqueous dispersion through ultrasonication-assisted LPE of graphite in water, in the presence of R6G.
molecules and mildly alkaline conditions. Experimentally, 1 g of graphite (+100 mesh, ≥75% min, Sigma Aldrich) and 1.2 mg of R6G powder (99% dye content, Sigma Aldrich) were dispersed in 100 mL of deionized water. The pH of the sample was adjusted to ~8.0 by adding drops of 1 M KOH solution (Sigma Aldrich). The sample was ultrasonicated in a bath sonicator (Branson 5800 cleaner, Branson Ultrasonics) for 6 h. Afterward, the sample was centrifuged at 500g for 30 min at 15 °C to exploit sedimentation-based separation. Lastly, ~80% of the supernatant was collected by pipetting, obtaining the R6G-FG dispersion in water.

**Characterization of materials**

Zeta potential measurements of the as produced R6G-FG in the form of a water dispersion were carried out with a Zetasizer Zeta potential measurements of the as produced R6G-FG dispersion in water. Concretely, the electrophoretic mobility was measured and converted into the zeta potential using the Smoluchowski approximation. An equilibration time of 3 min was set before each measurement. The zeta potential value was averaged over the values obtained by 3 replicate measurements.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were taken using a JEOL 1011 (JEOL) transmission electron microscope operating at 100 kV. Morphological and statistical analysis were carried out using ImageJ software (NIH) and OriginPro 9.1 software (OriginLab), respectively. The lateral dimension of a flake was estimated as its maximum lateral dimension. The samples for the TEM measurements were prepared by drop-casting the R6G-FG dispersions onto carbon-coated Cu grids, subsequently rinsed with deionized water and dried under a vacuum overnight.

Atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were taken using a Nanowizard III (JPK Instruments, Germany) mounted on an Axio Observer D1 (Carl Zeiss, Germany) inverted optical microscope. The AFM measurements were carried out using PPP-NCHR cantilevers (Nanosensors, USA) with a nominal tip diameter of 10 nm. A drive frequency of ~295 kHz is used. Intermittent contact mode AFM images (512 × 512 data points) of 2.5 × 2.5 μm² were collected by keeping the working set point above 70% of the free oscillation amplitude. The scan rate for acquisition of images was 0.7 Hz. Height profiles were processed with the JPK Data Processing software (JPK Instruments, Germany) and the data were analyzed with OriginPro 9.1 software. Statistical analysis was carried out by means of Origin 9.1 software on multiple AFM images for each sample. The samples were prepared by drop-casting R6G-FG dispersions onto mica sheets (G250-1, Agar Scientific Ltd, Essex, UK) and dried under a vacuum.

Raman spectroscopy measurements were carried out using a Renishaw microRaman inVia 1000 using a 50× objective, with an excitation wavelength of 532 nm and an incident power on the samples of 1 mW. For each sample, 50 spectra were collected. The samples were prepared by drop casting the R6G-FG and R6G dispersions onto Si/SiO₂ substrates and dried under a vacuum.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) characterization was carried out on a Kratos Axis UltraDLD spectrometer, using a monochromatic Al Kα source (15 kV, 20 mA). The spectra were taken over a 300 × 700 μm² area. Wide scans were collected with a constant pass energy of 160 eV and energy step of 1 eV. High-resolution spectra were acquired at a constant pass energy of 10 eV and energy step of 0.1 eV. The binding energy scale was referenced to the C 1s peak at 284.8 eV. The spectra were analyzed using the CasaXPS software (version 2.3.17). The samples were prepared by drop-casting R6G dispersions onto Si/SiO₂ substrates (LDB Technologies Ltd) and dried under a vacuum.

The steady-state photoluminescence (PL) emission measurements were performed using an Edinburgh Instruments FLS920 spectrofluorometer. The samples were a R6G solution in water at a concentration of 0.2 mM and a 1:100 diluted R6G-FG dispersion, both with and without Hg²⁺. For the PL experiments with Hg²⁺, an aqueous solution of Hg²⁺ (10 ppm) was prepared by dissolving Hg(ClO₄)₂ salt (Sigma Aldrich, Hg(ClO₄)ₓ.H₂O, 98%) in water. From this solution, different aliquots were taken and added to the R6G-FG dispersion in water (~0.4 mg mL⁻¹). The PL spectra were collected exciting the samples at 400 nm using a Xe lamp coupled to a monochromator and using quartz cuvettes with a path length of 1 cm. The blank (control) measurement was carried out in the same experimental conditions used for the characterization of the aforementioned samples in order to discard any contribution from the solvent. Additionally, before carrying out the PL measurements, the absorbance of the different samples was acquired using a Varian Cary 5000 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. The PL spectra of the samples were then normalized to the absorbance of the samples at the excitation wavelength (400 nm) to allow their comparison.

**Fabrication of the membranes**

The membranes were produced by depositing the R6G-FG dispersion in water onto a microporous nylon filter (Whatman® membrane filters nylon, 0.2 μm pore size, Sigma Aldrich) through the vacuum filtration process. By controlling the volume of the deposited dispersion, different amounts of material were deposited (mass loading ranging from 17.9 to 71.6 g m⁻²). The membranes were dried overnight at room temperature before their characterization.

**Characterization of the membranes**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis of the membranes was performed using a Helios Nanolab 600 DualBeam microscope (FEI Company) operating at 5 kV and 0.2 nA. The EDX spectra were acquired by combining the microscope (operating at 15 kV and 0.8 nA), an X-Max detector and an INCA system (Oxford Instruments). The samples were imaged without any metal coating or pretreatment. To evaluate the laminar structure of the membranes by the cross section, the membranes were cut with a scalpel and mounted in a tilted sample holder.

Atomic force microscopy measurements were taken with the same setup used for the material characterization.
However, the AFM mode was QI for morphology and adhesion work. Adhesion work measurements were also carried out with the same AFM setup, but used in the quantitative imaging (QI) mode, an AFM mode based on force measurements. V-shaped DNP silicon nitride cantilevers (Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA), with a nominal spring constant of 0.06 N m$^{-1}$, resonance frequency in air in the 40–70 kHz range and tip typical curvature radius of 20–60 nm were used. The actual spring constant of each cantilever was determined in situ, using the thermal noise method. The acquisition of a large set of force–distance (FD) curves (256 x 256) was performed with a maximum force load of 25 nN and a curve length of 800 nm. $3 \times 3 \text{m}^2$ images were collected. Height profiles were processed with JPK Data Processing software (JPK Instruments, Germany) and the data were analyzed with OriginPro 9.1 software.

Electrical volumetric resistance measurements of the membranes were performed using a four-probe system (Jandel RM3000 Test Unit).

Elemental analysis by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-EOS) was performed with a Thermo-Fisher ICAP 6000 Duo inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometer. The samples were prepared from stock solutions recovered after passing through the membranes and digesting in HCl:HNO$_3$ (3:1 vol/vol) overnight. The stock solutions, i.e., 10 ppm aqueous solutions of: CaCl$_2$, MnCl$_2$, CoCl$_2$, NiCl$_2$, CuCl$_2$, ZnCl$_2$, CdCl$_2$, and Hg(ClO$_4$)$_2$ (chemicals supplied by Sigma Aldrich), were also measured by ICP-OES. Experimentally, the analyzed solutions were prepared in a 25 mL volumetric flask. Prior to the measurement, 2.5 mL of each sample was diluted with Millipore water to a total volume of 25 mL and stirred by vortexing at 2400 rpm for 10 s. Lastly, the sample was filtered using a PTFE membrane (0.45 µm pore size). Four measurements were performed on each sample to obtain the final averaged values of the metals' concentration.

Results and discussion

Production and characterization of R6G-FG

Single/few-layer graphene flakes were produced by ultrasonication-assisted LPE of graphite in mild-alkaline water (obtained by adding 1 M KOH to adjust the pH equal to 8) in the presence of R6G molecules (12 mg L$^{-1}$) (Fig. 1a). By excluding the KOH or the R6G as additives, the exfoliation was not effective, resulting in the precipitation of the aggregates. In agreement with computational and experimental studies, R6G molecules interact with the graphene surface by forming physisorbed
complexes (Fig. 1b). The physisorption behavior originates from the π–π stacking between the aromatic rings of the R6G molecules and graphene, as well as the electrostatic interaction between positively-charged amino groups of R6G molecules and negatively charged intrinsic functional groups of graphene, i.e., deprotonated carboxyl groups at the edges and/or hydroxyl and epoxy groups on the basal plane for marginal oxidized regions (see the below XPS analysis).

The electrostatic R6G/graphene interaction is also aided by mild alkaline conditions, as obtained by KOH addition in water. In fact, the alkaline environment completes the dissociation of the R6G from the neutral to the cationic form, thus triggering its electrostatic interaction with the negative surface of graphene, whose deprotonated state is also promoted in alkaline conditions, as observed for graphene derivative dispersions. Furthermore, the carboxyl protons at the edges of graphene first undergo ion exchange with salt cations (K+) which further eases graphite exfoliation, and thus the graphene/R6G interaction.

Subsequent to the ultrasonication process, sedimentation-based separation based on ultracentrifugation (see the Experimental section) was used to remove unexfoliated materials and thick flakes and collect the supernatant as the exfoliated material in a concentration of ~0.4 mg mL⁻¹ (Fig. 1c).

The stability of the as-produced aqueous dispersion of graphene flakes was verified by measuring the graphene flake zeta-potential, i.e., the electric potential at the interfacial double layer of dispersed flakes versus a point in the continuous phase away from the interface. The measured graphene flake zeta potential is ~−32 mV, which indicates that the as-produced graphene flake dispersion is electrically stabilized (i.e., electrically repulsive forces between the flakes exceed their mutually attractive van der Waals force). Transmission electron microscopy and AFM measurements (Fig. 2a and b)
show that the exfoliated sample consists of irregularly-shaped wrinkled flakes with a log-normally distributed lateral dimension and thickness of 194.6 nm and 1.0 nm, respectively (Fig. 2c and d). The TEM diffraction pattern of the imaged flakes is shown in Fig. 2e and indicates the crystalline nature of the flakes. The structural properties of the latter have been further investigated by Raman spectroscopy, which is a versatile tool to identify both chemical and physical properties of graphitic materials.\textsuperscript{160} The typical Raman spectrum of exfoliated graphene flakes shows, as fingerprints, the following peaks:\textsuperscript{142,161–163} \( G \) (\( E_{2g} \) phonon at the Brillouin zone centre, \( \sim 1585 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)),\textsuperscript{161,162,164} \( D \) (breathing mode of sp\( ^2 \) rings requiring a defect for its activation by double resonance, \( \sim 1380 \text{ cm}^{-1} \)),\textsuperscript{162,164–166} \( D' \) (\( \sim 1620 \text{ cm}^{-1} \))\textsuperscript{167} and \( 2D \) (\( \sim 2700 \text{ cm}^{-1} \))\textsuperscript{162} (see the ESI† for a detailed discussion of the origin of the Raman modes). Fig. 2f shows the Raman spectra of the graphite and the as-produced flakes. The Raman spectrum of only R6G is also shown to prove the R6G-functionalization of the graphene flakes, as well as to discriminate the peaks related to graphitic components from those attributed to R6G molecules. For the exfoliated sample, the \( D \) and \( D' \) bands increase relatively to the \( G \) band compared to the graphite case. The ratio between the intensities of the \( D \) and \( G \) peaks – \( I(D)/I(G) \) – is \( \sim 0.6 \), which is significantly superior to that of pristine graphene (\( <0.1 \)). This indicates that few (\( <5 \)) layer graphene flakes have been effectively produced by our method, in agreement with AFM analysis.\textsuperscript{168–171} The analysis of the 2D peak allows the exfoliation of the graphite into few-layer graphene to be further confirmed. In fact, the 2D peak is a single and sharp Lorentzian band centred at \( \sim 2680 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) for single-layer graphene,\textsuperscript{142,161,162} whereas it is a superposition of multiple components, the main being the \( 2D_1 \) and \( 2D_2 \) components, for few-layer graphene.\textsuperscript{142,161,162} In graphite, the intensity of the \( 2D_1 \) band is twice the \( 2D_1 \) band,\textsuperscript{161,162,172} while the \( 2D_2 \) progressively decreases with decreasing the number of layers for few-layer graphene.\textsuperscript{161,162,169,172,173} Therefore, the data shown in Fig. 2f clearly indicate that the exfoliated sample has a few-layer graphene enriched composition,\textsuperscript{174} since \( I(2D_1) \) is inferior to \( I(2D_1)/I(G) \). Lastly, the Raman spectrum of the exfoliated sample exhibits additional signatures which are attributed to the R6G bands, in agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{175–179} Notably, some of the bands related to R6G partially overlap those typically observed for graphene including \( D \), \( G \) and \( D' \). This results in a broadening of the \( D \), \( G \) and \( D' \) bands assigned to graphene, as well as a slight overestimation of the calculated \( I(D)/I(G) \). For the sake of comparison, a representative Raman spectrum for graphene flakes produced by conventional LPE in NMP is reported in Fig. S1 (ESI†) to uniquely identify the Raman modes of graphene in the absence of R6G molecules. The chemical composition of the as-produced graphene flakes has been assessed by performing XPS measurements (Fig. 2g). The C 1s spectrum of the flakes can be decomposed into different components. The main one peaks at \( 284.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV} \) and refers to C\( -\text{sp}^2\) carbon,\textsuperscript{180–182} which also results in the corresponding feature at \( 291.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV} \) due to \( \pi\text{–}\pi^* \) interactions.\textsuperscript{180–182} The component centred at \( 284.8 \text{ eV} \) refers to C\( -\text{sp}^3\) and is due to flake edges as well as to environmental contamination (adventitious carbon).\textsuperscript{184} The other contributions, peaking at binding energies of \( \sim 285.9 \text{ eV} \), \( 287.2 \text{ eV} \) and \( 289.1 \text{ eV} \), can be ascribed to C–O/C–N, C=O and O–C=O groups, respectively.\textsuperscript{185,186} Their origin is ascribed to R6G molecules,\textsuperscript{185,186} whose presence is confirmed by the peak at \( 399.4 \text{ eV} \) in the N 1s spectrum (inset of Fig. 2g) corresponding to their amine groups. The percentage content of O is inferior to 2.5%, thus proving the high quality of the as-produced graphene flakes. It is noteworthy that the low oxidation of graphene can be also explained by the removal of epoxy and hydroxyl groups attached to the graphene surface by the R6G molecules nearby.\textsuperscript{144} In more detail, a R6G molecule close to an epoxy/hydroxyl group on graphene can cause the detachment of O/H\( ^+ \) species from the graphene flake by forming a N–O bond with the amine group of R6G. Subsequently, the R6G molecule can further interact with graphene through physisorption mechanisms. The formed system decreases the energy of the oxidized graphene by more than 2 eV, while showing an electronic structure of the reduced graphene resembling the one of the pristine graphene.\textsuperscript{148} The occurrence of an interaction between graphene flakes and R6G molecules was further confirmed by steady-state PL measurements. As shown in Fig. 2h, the PL emission of R6G molecules in aqueous solution is quenched in the presence of graphene. In agreement with previous studies, the PL quenching is linked to the formation of R6G/graphene complexes leading to photo-induced electron transfer from R6G to graphene.\textsuperscript{150,151,167,188} Overall, the morphological and spectroscopic characterization of the exfoliated sample confirm that the R6G/KOH-assisted LPE of graphite in water is effective for producing high quality R6G-functionalized single-/few-layer graphene flakes.

**Fabrication and morphological characterization of graphene-based laminar membranes**

The aqueous R6G-FG dispersion was deposited onto a microporous nylon membrane (pore size of 0.2 \( \mu \text{m} \)) through a vacuum filtration process to obtain graphene-based laminar membranes (R6G-FG membranes) with mechanical robustness. By controlling the volume of the deposited dispersion, different amounts of material were deposited over the supporting membranes (mass loading ranging from 17.9 to 71.6 g m\(^{-2} \)). Noteworthily, functionalization of 2D material-based membranes with dye molecules has been previously reported.\textsuperscript{25} However, these cases achieved the functionalization by post-deposition treatment of the membranes through a chemical bath in dye solutions over a long time (tens of days).\textsuperscript{25} Therefore, this approach may be time-consuming for pursuing scalable technologies. Fig. 3a shows a photograph of a representative nylon filter-supported membrane (R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m\(^{-2} \)), also illustrating its mechanical flexibility. The surface morphology of the as-prepared membranes has been characterized by SEM and AFM measurements. Fig. 3b shows the top-view SEM image of the R6G-FG membrane reported in Fig. 3a. The image indicates that the membrane has a crumpled, wrinkled, and flake-composed structure.
membrane. During the measurements, the adhesion forces acting as spacers.\(^{20}\)

The atomic force microscopy image (Fig. 3c) also confirms such a surface structure, which exhibits an average roughness \(R_a\) of 92.1 ± 6.3 nm. Fig. 3d reports cross-sectional SEM images of a representative membrane (R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m\(^{-2}\)), evidencing a ~80 µm-thick laminar structure. The corresponding mass density of the membrane is as low as 0.45 g cm\(^{-3}\), which is inferior to the one of a reference membrane made of graphene produced by conventional LPE in NMP (0.71 g cm\(^{-3}\)). The relatively low density of the R6G-FG membrane might be attributed to the presence of R6G molecules, which act as a spacer between the flakes, impeding their restacking during film deposition.\(^{76,189}\) Consequently, the lamellar R6G-FG membranes were intended to provide expanded nanochannels for high-flux solute filtration (\(\phi > 10^4\), high water permeability, as demonstrated below).

The electrical volumetric resistivity of the R6G-FG membranes is lower than the one of the reference membrane (0.98 × 10\(^{-4}\) Ω cm vs. ~2.78 × 10\(^{-4}\) Ω cm). These results agree with the marginal presence of oxygen functionalities, which can also act as architectural spacers between the graphene flakes.\(^{190}\) It is noteworthy that both theoretical and experimental studies previously reported that R6G molecules can reduce graphene flakes by removing oxygen functionalities, including epoxy, hydroxyl and carbonyl groups possibly formed in the defective regions of the graphene flakes.\(^{148}\)

Adhesion force measurements were carried out with an AFM\(^{191,192}\) in humid (relative humidity - RH - ~75%) ambient air (assuming the Lennard-Jones force–separation relation\(^{193,194}\)) to effectively identify the water accessible sites of the R6G-FG membrane.\(^{195}\) During the measurements, the adhesion forces between the AFM tip and sample surface are dominated by capillary forces,\(^{196}\) which depend on the hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the substrate,\(^{196-198}\) as well as its roughness.\(^{196,197,199}\) In addition, chemical specificity (e.g., the presence of functional groups) can strongly affect the pull-off force at the nano/microscale level.\(^{196,200-202}\) Therefore, for the case of the membranes, adhesion force measurements can effectively identify the sites of the R6G-FG membrane accessible to water,\(^{195}\) which are typically expressed by hydrophilic porous nano/microdomains.\(^{203-205}\) Fig. 4a and b show the adhesion work maps of a representative R6G-FG membrane and a reference membrane made of graphene produced by conventional LPE in NMP (material mass loading of 35.8 g m\(^{-2}\) for both membranes), respectively. Contrary to the membrane based on graphene produced by LPE in NMP, the R6G-FG membrane manifests elongated nano/microdomains that are more adhesive than the rest of the surface, thus expressing hydrophilic regions. Fig. 4c and d report the adhesion work distribution corresponding to the adhesion work maps. The adhesion work distribution for the R6G-FG membrane can be fitted with two components. The first component, peaking at 6.7 aJ, can be ascribed to the hydrophobic domains of graphene, since it resembles in shape and position the work distribution of the membrane based on graphene produced by LPE in NMP (fitted by a single component peaking at 7.54 aJ). The second component, peaking at 21.5 aJ, lies at an adhesion work higher than the first component, and refers to hydrophilic micro/nanodomains. The latter are expected to facilitate the access of water to the membrane,\(^{49}\) where the water permeation rate is determined by: (1) capillary-driven force; and (2) low-friction flow through the 2D-like channels enclosed by hydrophobic, pristine (non-oxidized) regions of graphene (expanded by R6G molecules acting as spacers).\(^{20}\)
Evaluation of the filtration properties of the graphene-based laminar membranes

The properties and the filtration performance of the as-produced R6G-FG membranes were evaluated in terms of permeability to water (i.e., water permeation rate) and metal ion rejection. The water permeation through the R6G-FG membranes was measured by applying an external pressure in a dead-end filtration mode. The water permeation rate was found to be 789.6 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹ for an 80 µm thick membrane (R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻²). This value significantly surpasses those of other 2D material-based laminar membranes proposed in the relevant literature, as shown in Table 1. In addition, the membrane based on graphene produced by conventional LPE in NMP (mass loading 41.2 g m⁻²) showed a water permeation rate of 201.58 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹, which is significantly inferior to the one measured for the R6G-FG membrane using a comparable active material mass loading (35.8 g m⁻²). Compared to the R6G-FG membranes, the lower water permeation rate of the membrane based on graphene produced by conventional LPE in NMP is ascribed to the hydrophobicity of the pristine graphene and the absence of spacers (such as R6G molecules in R6G-FG membranes) between the graphene flakes, which therefore hamper prompt water intercalation. Additional discussion of the high water permeation rate of the R6G-FG membrane is reported in the next section. The ion rejection of the R6G-FG membrane was first evaluated specifically for Hg²⁺ ICP-OES measurements of filtered Hg(ClO₄)₂ aqueous solution with a Hg²⁺ concentration ([Hg²⁺]) of 10 ppm.

In fact, on the basis of the use of R6G molecules pre-adsorbed on conductive substrates as fluorescence/SERS chemo-sensors for the selective detection of Hg²⁺, a distinctive interaction between R6G molecules and Hg²⁺ was rationally expected to influence the Hg²⁺ sieving process. Fig. 5a shows the Hg²⁺ percentage rejection of a R6G-FG membrane with a R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻² after passing different volumes of Hg(ClO₄)₂ solution. A high Hg²⁺ percentage rejection (> 95%) is obtained up to ~800 L m⁻² filtered solution volume normalized to the membrane area. This value can be assumed as the critical filtered solution volume of the membrane, beyond which fading of Hg²⁺ rejection starts to occur because of saturation of the ion-sieving sites or even a morphology change of the membrane. Fig. 5b shows the Hg²⁺ percentage rejection of R6G-FG membranes with increasing R6G-FG mass loading (from 17.9 to 71.6 g m⁻²) after passing a fixed volume normalized to the area of a Hg(ClO₄)₂ solution of 263.2 L m⁻². For a R6G-FG mass loading higher than 25 g m⁻², the Hg²⁺ rejection is superior to 90%, reaching almost complete rejection (> 99%) for a R6G-FG mass loading superior to 55 g m⁻², which corresponds to a filtered solution volume normalized to the R6G-FG mass superior to 3 L g⁻¹. In contrast, the membrane based on graphene produced by conventional LPE in NMP shows poor Hg²⁺ rejection capability (~10%), thus proving the functional role of R6G molecules adsorbed onto the graphene surface to sieve Hg²⁺. The Hg²⁺ rejection capability of the R6G-FG membrane was also investigated by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy combined with scanning electron microscopy (SEM-EDS). Fig. 5c shows the presence of material deposits on the surface of the membrane with a R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻² after the passage of 263.2 L m⁻² of the Hg(ClO₄)₂ solution. The elemental analysis (Fig. 5d–f) reveals that these deposits are formed by Hg. Interestingly, the membrane made of graphene produced by standard LPE in NMP does not show any presence of Hg deposits (Fig. S2, ESI†), in agreement with its poor Hg²⁺ rejection capability (~10%, Fig. 5b).

The permeation of ionic solutes through R6G-FG membranes was then tested for different alkaline and transition metal ions, i.e., Ca²⁺, Mn²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Cd²⁺. As shown in Fig. 5g, high percentage contents of such ions (~80% for Ca²⁺, > 50% for Mn²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺, and Cd²⁺, and ~46% for Zn²⁺) can pass through the membrane, while proving selective rejection for Hg²⁺. This result evidences that the ion rejection capability of the R6G-FG membrane is not strictly regulated by size-exclusion effects depending on the interlayer spacing of the graphene flakes, but is also ruled by specific chemical processes (see the working mechanism of R6G-FG membranes sub-section below).

The possibility to recycle the membrane is also important for practical application, since it increases the effective critical filtered solution volume (depending on the Hg²⁺ concentration of the aqueous solution to be filtered). The regeneration of the active sites for Hg²⁺ rejection was achieved by washing the R6G-FG membrane in 0.5 M Bu₄NOH-3H₂O. Actually, a similar washing treatment were previously exploited to regenerate the Hg²⁺-detection capability of R6G-based luminescence sensors, as well as the adsorption capacity of R6G-based nanocomposites. Fig. 5h shows that subsequent washing cycles are effective to desorb Hg²⁺ from a membrane with a R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻² which filtered a solution volume normalized to the membrane area of 1842.4 L m⁻² (well above its critical value). After the first washing cycle, ~80% of the initial Hg²⁺ rejection capability of the membrane can be successfully restored.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Thickness [µm]</th>
<th>Water permeation [L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹]</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R6G-FG (this work)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>789.6</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon nanotube-intercalated graphene</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>~30</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(15 layers)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanostrand-channellted GO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrugated reduced GO</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO membrane</td>
<td>KOH-activated nanoporous graphene</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoS₂</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal violet functionalized MoS₂</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>269.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS₂</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values measured in dead-end filtration mode.
The R6G functionalization of graphene flakes has afforded the realization of a filtration membrane with three functional properties: (1) a high water permeation rate; (2) highly selective metal ion rejection capability for Hg$^{2+}$ from aqueous solution; and (3) recyclability via chemical washing cycles in alkaline conditions. The high-water permeability (up to $789.6 \text{ L m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ bar}^{-1}$ for an 80 $\mu$m thick R6G-FG membrane, see Table 1) is first attributed to...
the low-density (∼0.5 g cm⁻³) packed laminar structure in the presence of R6G molecules. In fact, the latter act as spacers between the graphene flakes, which can be easily intercalated by water.¹⁹ In addition, the peculiar presence of hydrophilic micro/nanodomains, as observed by adhesion force measurements, can further promote the access of water to the membrane.⁴⁹ Secondly, both capillary-driven force and low-friction flow confined between 2D channels enclosed by hydrophobic, pristine (non-oxidized) regions of graphene can accelerate the passage of water through the membrane.²⁰,²¹,²¹⁵

The ion selectivity of the R6G-FG membrane for Hg²⁺ is explained by the competitive adsorption of R6G molecules and metal ions on the surface of the graphene flakes within the membrane (Fig. 6a). At first, R6G molecules are adsorbed onto the graphene surface, forming physiosorbed complexes. As shown in the Production and characterization of R6G-FG sub-section, the formation of the complex originates from the π-π stacking between the aromatic rings of the R6G molecules and graphene,¹⁴⁸–¹⁵² as well as the electrostatic and non-covalent interactions between positively charged amino groups of R6G molecules and negatively charged intrinsic functional groups of graphene.¹⁴⁸,¹⁴⁹ Such complexes perform as ion-selective nano-traps for Hg²⁺. In more detail, during the passage of an aqueous solution with metallic contaminants through the R6G-FG membrane, metal ions, especially heavy metal-based ones, can compete with R6G molecules at being adsorbed onto the surface of the graphene flakes. The capability of graphene to adsorb heavy metal ions has been previously reported to be a consequence of van der Waals and electrostatic interactions between the metal ions and negatively charged oxygen groups of graphene.⁴⁵,⁴⁶,²²⁰ The different affinity of metal ions and R6G molecules to graphene rules the competitive adsorption process. For the metals, the affinity to graphene positively correlates with the metal electronegativity and first stability constant (Kₛ) of the associated metal hydroxide.⁴⁷,²¹⁶

On one hand, the electronegativity of the metal ions represents the attraction of negative charges, and this plays a crucial role in the metal adsorption to the negatively charged surface of graphene.⁴⁷,²¹⁶ On the other hand, Kₛ of the metal ions determines the speciation of metal ion species, and the formation of hydroxide complexes can alter the order of the metal ions’ affinity to graphene predicted by the metal ions’ electronegativity.²¹⁶ Consequently, the selective Hg²⁺ rejection capability of the R6G-FG membranes arises from the high electronegativity (1.9 eV)²¹¹ and high stability constant [log Kₛ = 10.6]²¹⁶ of Hg²⁺ compared to those of other metal ions (electronegativity: 1.0 eV for Ca²⁺, 1.5 eV for Mn²⁺, 1.8 eV for Co²⁺, 1.8 eV for Ni²⁺, 2.0 eV for Cu²⁺, 1.6 eV for Zn²⁺ and 1.7 eV for Cd²⁺;²¹⁷ log Kₛ: 1.3 for Ca²⁺, 3.4 for Mn²⁺, 4.3 for Co²⁺, 4.1 for Ni²⁺, 6.5 eV for Cu²⁺, 5.0 for Zn²⁺ and 3.9 eV for Cd²⁺²¹⁸). Indeed, only the adsorption of Hg²⁺ is strongly promoted onto graphene, causing the displacement/release of R6G molecules from adsorption sites. In order to further validate the proposed working mechanism of our membranes, PL measurements of the R6G-FG dispersions in water were acquired before and after the addition of Hg(ClO₄)₂ (Fig. S3, ESI†). The addition of small amounts of a Hg(ClO₄)₂ aqueous solution with 10 ppm of Hg²⁺ promotes the quenching of the PL emission of the R6G molecules in R6G-FG. However, a further increase of the Hg²⁺ leads to a partial recovery of the PL emission, indicating that R6G molecules are released from the graphene, which also effectively quenches the PL of the R6G molecules through physiosorption interactions (see Fig. 2h). Thus, these PL measurements further support the competitive adsorption of R6G molecules and metal ions on the surface of the graphene flakes across the membrane.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a sustainable graphene-based membrane has been proposed for rapid and selective rejection of Hg²⁺ in aqueous solutions. In order to preclude environmental implications of both the production and the discharge of engineered
membranes, a non-oxidative, room-temperature and post processing-free “green” method has been developed to simultaneously exfoliate graphite up to single-/few-layer flakes in water and functionalize graphene with cationic rhodamine 6G (R6G), a prototypical cost-effective and non-hazardous organic molecule. The exfoliated samples consist of R6G-functionalized graphene (R6G-FG) flakes with a log-normally distributed lateral dimension and thickness of 194.6 nm and 1.0 nm, respectively, with a percentage content of O <2.5%. The membranes were then fabricated by depositing the as-produced R6G-FG dispersion onto microporous nylon membranes through vacuum filtration. The R6G-FG membranes show an outstanding water permeation rate of 789.6 L m⁻² h⁻¹ bar⁻¹ (for an 80 μm-thick membrane, R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻²). The high water permeability is attributed to the low-density packed lamellar structure in the presence of R6G molecules acting as a spacer between the graphene flakes, as well as to the presence of hydrophilic micro/nanodomains. In addition, the R6G/graphene complexes perform as ion-selective nano-traps for Hg²⁺, providing high Hg²⁺ percentage rejection (>95%) up to a critical filtered solution volume (normalized to the membrane area) of ~800 L m⁻² (for a R6G-FG mass loading of 35.8 g m⁻²), which excludes membrane swelling issues typically occurring in two-dimensional (2D) material-based membranes. For a filtered solution volume of 263.2 L m⁻² and a R6G-FG mass loading higher than 30 g m⁻², the Hg²⁺ rejection is superior to 90%, reaching almost complete rejection (>99%) for a R6G-FG mass loading superior to 71.6 g m⁻² (filtered solution volume normalized to the R6G-FG mass superior to 3 L g⁻¹). The ion rejection capability of the R6G-FG membrane is strongly selective for Hg²⁺, as a consequence of the competitive adsorption mechanism between metal ions and R6G molecules with different affinity to interact with the negatively charged surface. Lastly, a washing treatment in highly alkaline conditions has been used to regenerate the Hg²⁺ rejection capability of the R6G-FG membranes, increasing their effective critical filtered solution volume. Overall, our work rationalizes both the sustainable design and the working mechanism of functionalized graphene-based membranes, providing new insights to overcome the “permeability-selectivity trade-offs” often tackled by laminar 2D material-based membranes.
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