Cooking and electronic cigarettes leading to large differences between indoor and outdoor particle composition and concentration measured by aerosol mass spectrometry†
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We spend about two thirds of our time in private homes where airborne particles of indoor and outdoor origins are present. The negative health effects of exposure to outdoor particles are known. The characteristics of indoor airborne particles, though, are not well understood. This study assesses the differences in chemical composition of PM1 (<1 μm) inside and outside of an occupied Swedish residence in real time with a High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) and an Aethalometer. The chemical composition and concentration of particles indoors showed large differences compared to outdoors. The average indoor concentration was 15 μg m⁻³ and was higher than the outdoor 7 μg m⁻³. Organics dominated indoor particle composition (86% of the total mass) and originated from indoor sources (cooking, e-cigarette vaping). The average indoor to outdoor ratios were 5.5 for organic matter, 1.0 for black carbon, 0.6 for sulphate, 0.1 for nitrate, 0.2 for ammonium and 0.2 for chloride. The occupancy time accounted for 97% of the total measured period. Four factors were identified in the source apportionment of organic particle fraction by applying positive matrix factorization (PMF): two cooking factors, one e-cigarette factor and one outdoor contribution (OOA) organic factor penetrated from outside.

Environmental significance

This study provides insight into differences in chemical characteristics of airborne particles PM1 (<1 μm) with the state-of-the-art techniques in real occupied apartment. The influence of active indoor and outdoor particle sources have been investigated. As in developed countries, we spend about 66% of our time in private homes, it is necessary to understand the exposure to airborne particles in indoor environments. Indoor concentrations were higher than outdoors due to contribution of the indoor sources, peak levels of organics indoors were reaching 15 700 μg m⁻³. Cooking activities, which belong to our everyday exposures, contributed to high levels of organic mass concentrations. Exposures indoors and their consequences require further investigations.

Introduction

Epidemiological studies have linked human exposure to airborne fine particulate matter (<2.5 μm in diameter) to cardiopulmonary diseases and increased mortality. 1-4 These studies are based on outdoor air measurements, however we spend the majority of our time indoors. 5-7 Understanding the consequences of exposure to particulate matter in indoor environments is thus important, yet knowledge is sparse. In this paper, we focus on private homes, because in developed countries, this is where we spend about 66% of our time. 5-7

Airborne particle levels indoors can be affected by several processes, such as active indoor sources, occupant behavior, physicochemical processes indoors and infiltration of outdoor particles, which in turn depends on the outdoor particle characteristics and factors affecting infiltration such as type of building, its ventilation, airtightness, and airing practice. 8-14 Recent studies show that indoor sources emit ultrafine (<100 nm) and fine particles (<2.5 μm) of a complex chemical nature. These sources include cooking, 15-20 burning candles, 21-23 burning incense, 24,25 using cleaning products, 26-30 and electronic cigarette vaping. 31,32 The particle number and mass concentration indoors due to such activities can be orders of magnitude higher than outdoors. 10,31-38

The majority of studies on the chemical composition of indoor particles use offline techniques providing time-
integrated values.\textsuperscript{31,39,40} This approach does not allow insight into time-resolved changes in particle chemistry. Yet knowledge of the chemical composition of indoor air and an understanding of the physicochemical processes occurring is important to assess potential health effects and develop effective control measures. Real-time aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) enables time- and size-resolved assessment of chemical composition of airborne particles. AMS has been successfully used to study the transformations of outdoor particles when combined with positive matrix factorization techniques for their source apportionment.\textsuperscript{41–46} So far, these techniques have only been used in a few studies of specific indoor sources, but they have the potential to provide invaluable help for understanding the source contributions and chemical transformation of particles indoors. AMS has been used to investigate the chemical properties of such indoor sources as incense burning and cooking in laboratory chambers and experimental house.\textsuperscript{15,25,47–53} Incense burning in a laboratory chamber (30 L), studied with use of a High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS), emitted high particle mass concentrations PM1 (<1 μm in diameter) between 50 and 350 μg m\textsuperscript{−3}, in which the chemical composition was dominated by organics.\textsuperscript{53} Three laboratory studies, using HR-ToF-AMS showed that particles emitted from different cooking and the heating of cooking oils are dominated by primary organic aerosols, while secondary aerosol production is negligible.\textsuperscript{49–51} A number of experiments were conducted in a research/test house to investigate the particle and gaseous emissions from the everyday activities, their removal indoors and chemical transformations with HR-ToF-AMS. The results showed that the cooking activities were the dominant source of submicron particle mass during the HOMEChem campaign. Cooking emitted the large amounts of organic aerosols (>100 μg m\textsuperscript{−3}) and mass concentrations varied between the meal types. Organic cooking emissions mostly comprised from C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y}, followed by C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{z} representing the fatty acid composition of cooking oils. C\textsubscript{x}H\textsubscript{y}N\textsuperscript{+} and C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}ON\textsuperscript{+} contributed little to total particle mass (<1%).\textsuperscript{45}

Particle concentrations indoors are always affected by particles of outdoor origin, which mix and undergo physicochemical transformations with both gas- and particle-phase pollutants generated by indoor sources. Various physicochemical aerosol transformations occur during outdoor-to-indoor transport. Penetration through the building envelope is size-dependent, and accumulation mode particles display the highest penetration probability.\textsuperscript{12} Some semi-volatile aerosol chemical species, such as ammonium nitrate and ammonium chloride, are susceptible to gas-to-particle partitioning, which depends on temperature, relative humidity, particle size, and the gas phase concentrations of each species as outdoor air is transported indoors.\textsuperscript{22–29} Thus, species’ penetration into indoor environments can be reduced, depending on the factors listed above.

Outdoor-to-indoor aerosol transformations have been studied with offline techniques.\textsuperscript{56,52–54,60,61} Recently, an online high-resolution mass spectrometric technique has also been used for this purpose in indoor environments without any active indoor sources.\textsuperscript{55,62,63} Indoor to outdoor ratios (I/O) of the penetrated particle mass to indoor environments in winter ranged between 0.5–0.7 for organics, 0.3–0.5 for ammonium, 0.2 for nitrate, 0.5–0.6 for sulfate, and 0.6–0.9 for BC throughout the studies.\textsuperscript{56,64} Positive matrix factorization (PMF) was applied to the penetrated organic particle mass in a multi-use, mechanically ventilated university building. The following factors were identified: hydrocarbon-like (HOA), cooking (COA), and oxygenated (OOA) factors indoors.\textsuperscript{26} To the best of our knowledge, there is one study that includes co-existing active indoor sources while exploring the penetration of outdoor particles with an HR-ToF-AMS.\textsuperscript{64} Several indoor sources including the use of insect repellant devices and environment tobacco smoke and the influence of the outdoor penetration were studied in an office building with the Aerosol Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometer (ATOFMS).\textsuperscript{64} To our knowledge, there are no published studies using HR-ToF-AMS to assess the chemical composition of particles in real residences that are actually occupied.

In this study, we performed simultaneous time- and size-resolved measurements of the chemical composition of particles inside and outside of an occupied Swedish residence. The overall aim was to investigate the chemical characteristics of PM1 inside and outside of the residence. The specific aims were to: (1) assess the differences in chemical particle characteristics between indoor and outdoor environments; (2) identify the origin of major contributors to indoor loadings; (3) investigate the chemical transformations of particles during outdoor-to-indoor transport and their contributions to indoor concentrations. The study is based on two and a half weeks of measurements and is part of a larger project “Airborne particles in our homes: cocktail effects, chemical composition, physical characteristics and toxicity” (Formas Dnr 942-2015-1029).

Experimental section

Measurement site

Simultaneous indoor and outdoor particle measurements were conducted from December 2016 to January 2017 in an occupied residence in Malmö, Sweden. The ground floor apartment with a total surface area of 117 m\textsuperscript{2} and volume of 322 m\textsuperscript{3}, was naturally ventilated. It consisted from a kitchen, a dining room, two bathrooms, three bedrooms, and a living room (see Fig. S1†). A kitchen exhaust fan above the electric stove vented the cooking fumes to the outdoors.

The residence is located in a two-story brick building (built in the 1930s) with an attic, surrounded by a garden that separates the building from the surrounding streets. A family of four lived in the apartment throughout the measurement campaign. The residents filled in logbooks recording their presence/absence from the home, daily activities, such as cooking, candle burning, using household products, vacuuming, sweeping, ironing, printing and opening windows.\textsuperscript{a} Occupancy time, defined as the time when at least one occupant was at home, accounted for 97% of the period, as measurements took place over a holiday period. The outdoor air pollution could be
attributed to the regional background and local traffic from several major roadways within 100–550 m of the residence.

**Sampling**

Airborne particles were sampled from the apartment through two parallel stainless steel indoor and outdoor sampling lines. An inlet to indoor sampling line was situated in the living room, approximately 1.2 m above the floor and extending 0.5 m past the exterior of the building. The indoor sampling line led from living room through a window frame to the basement area, where the instruments were placed. The outdoor line was mounted outside of the window frame on the ground floor of the apartment where it also led to the basement area, see Fig. 1. Each line was 4.1 m in length with a diameter of 4.5 mm. The indoor sampling line was heated with a heating wire and insulated to avoid condensation due to temperature differences. Average temperature (T) at the inlet in the living room was 24 (±0.4) °C, average T measured on the sampling line (under insulation) before entering the basement was 15.6 (±3.1) °C and average T in the basement area was 22 (±1.9) °C throughout the measurement period. An additional carrier flow (0.6 L min⁻¹) was used to lower the residence time of indoor aerosols during sampling.

A switching valve alternated automatically between the indoor and outdoor lines with time intervals of 20 and 10 minutes, respectively. The aerosol sampled then passed through a Silica dryer (TOPAS, length 47 cm, RH < 30%) into the Time-of-flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) and Aethalometer. The data acquired directly after valve switching (1.5 min) were excluded to avoid mixed indoor and outdoor air due to valve switching, data acquisition interval and residence time. Particle losses in the sampling line were calculated and ranged from 1 to 4% for 500 and 50 nm particles, respectively. However, the data has not been corrected for particle losses.

The duration of the measurement campaign was one month, but for technical reasons, the data presented here covered a total of 16 days.

**HR-ToF-AMS**

The High Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (Aerodyne Research Inc., USA⁴⁴) was used to measure mass concentrations and size-resolved mass distributions of organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride in the size range 50–500 nm. The ToF-AMS was operated in mass spectrum (MS) and particle time-of-flight (PTToF) modes with a time resolution of 30 seconds. Data were recorded in the V-mode. Ionization efficiency was calibrated using 300 nm (mobility) ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate particles. PToF sizing was calibrated with salt and polystyrene latex particles (PSL) in the size range 70–500 nm (vacuum aerodynamic diameter).⁴³ CE efficiency of 1 was applied to both datasets.

**Aethalometer**

(AE33, Magee⁴⁵) was used to monitor black carbon (BC) mass concentration (at wavelength of 880 nm) inside and outside the residence.

**Other measurements**

Indoor and outdoor temperatures, (T, °C), and relative humidity (RH, %) were recorded with a Testo sensors. The air exchange rate (AER) was measured on a separate occasion after the data measurement had finished. A tracer decay method was used. In short, N₂O was released in the apartment and dispersed with use of two fans. G200 N₂O monitor (Bedfont Scientific Limited) was used to measure the decay of N₂O.

**Data processing**

Indoor events were identified based on activity logbooks filled out by the occupants. A majority (76%) of the sources were identified based on the logbook. However, during data processing, some events not specified in the logbook were observed, and these were treated as “unknown” sources.

Indoor to outdoor ratios (I/O) were calculated based on the average particle mass concentrations of given chemical components and were used to show differences in the chemical composition of particles.

The AMS analysis was performed using SQUIRREL v 1.6P and PIKA v 1.2P software written in IGOR Pro 6.37 (Wavemetrics, Inc., Portland, OR, USA).⁶⁶

A Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) was used to identify sources contributing to the mixture observed indoors and estimate the relative contributions to organic aerosol (OA) from AMS data. The PMF algorithm was applied using the bilinear model through a multilinear engine (ME-2). The PMF analysis of the organic aerosol matrix was performed on the HR-AMS data. For source apportionment we used graphic user interface SoFi 6.3 H⁶⁵ Source Finder, Paul Scherer Institute, (PSI), which was written in the software package IGOR Pro 6.37.⁶⁶
We explored four to seven factors with unconstrained runs in the PMF analysis. By increasing the number of more than four factors led to splitting of the factor profiles giving similar spectral pattern, but different mass concentrations. Thus, those factors did not present other particle sources. Additionally, we have tried to constrain cooking factor profiles ($\alpha$-values 0.4–0.6). However, there was negligible changes to our solutions for four to seven factors and therefore we present the unconstrained result. A four-factor solution was chosen based on the dependence of $Q/Q_{\text{exp}}$ ($Q/Q_{\text{exp}} > 1.5$) and the correlation of the obtained factor profiles and time series with reference spectra$^{97}$ and the activity logbooks. The acceptable range of $Q/Q_{\text{exp}}$ ratio was between 1 and 5 based on the previous AMS studies.$^{43}$ The residual did not decrease significantly with 5 or more factors compared to the 4 factor solution.

Sources identified by PMF, especially those classified as “unknown”, were scrutinized by further analyzing their mass spectra and comparing these to existing reference mass spectra (National Institute of Standards and Technology, NIST) and laboratory measurements, (see Fig. S2 in the ESI). After the analysis, additional discussions with occupants helped to identify “unknown” indoor sources (i.e., those not logged to the activity logbooks).

Results and discussion

Chemical aerosol composition

Average particle mass concentrations over the whole measurement period were higher indoors in the studied apartment (15 µg m$^{-3}$, ranging from 0.1 µg m$^{-3}$ to 15 700 µg m$^{-3}$) than outdoors (7 µg m$^{-3}$, ranging from 0.2 to 143 µg m$^{-3}$). The AER in the apartment was 0.5 h$^{-1}$. The differences between indoor and outdoor chemical particle mass compositions are presented in Fig. 2, based on the HR-ToF Aerosol Mass Spectrometer and Aethalometer datasets. The average particle mass concentration (µg m$^{-3}$) for determined chemical species and their mass concentration fraction (%) are also presented in Fig. 2.

Indoors, the particles were dominated by organic matter (86% of the total mass, Fig. 2), followed by black carbon (6%), sulfate (4%) and nitrate (2%). The main contributors to the indoor particle levels were various types of cooking (frying, using the oven, deep-frying), e-cigarette vaping, and candle burning. These activities were identified based on the logbooks. The outdoor chemical composition was also dominated by organic matter (31%) and nitrate (29%), followed by BC (11%), sulfate (14%), ammonium (12%) and chloride (3%). The concentrations of sulfate, ammonium, nitrate, and chloride particle mass were lower indoors than outdoors. Sulfate and BC are non-volatile chemical species and are typically used to reflect penetration of outdoor particle mass upon outdoor-to-indoor transport as reported in previous studies.$^{52,54-59}$ However, indoor levels of BC and sulfate in the apartment were also influenced by candle burning (based on information from logbooks and as seen in Fig. 3, discussed in detail under “Indoor–outdoor time series”). An enhanced decrease in ammonium nitrate and ammonium chloride mass concentrations upon outdoor-to-indoor transport, compared to sulfate and BC, can be explained by the chemical transformations of aerosols due to the temperature and relative humidity change (described in detail in section “Indoor–outdoor time series”).$^{53,57,63,68,69}$

The indoor to outdoor ($I/O$) ratio, calculated for the total measurement period, for organic matter was 5.5, followed by BC 1.0, sulfate 0.6, ammonium 0.2, nitrate 0.1, and chloride 0.2. Detailed concentration and summary statistics are presented in Table S1.$^\dagger$ High $I/O$ ratios of organic matter can be explained by the presence of the active indoor sources. The apartment was occupied 97% of the total measuring period as it was a winter holiday period. Influence of the outdoor pollution on indoor particle concentrations was small. Indoor mass concentrations were lower than outdoor concentrations only 23% of total measured time. We have also examined $I/O$ ratios after we split the data into times with active indoor sources and times without any active sources (Table S2$^\dagger$). $I/O$ ratio of organic matter during active indoor sources was 6.7, while during no

![Fig 2](image-url) Differences between chemical composition of particles found indoors (left) and outdoors (right) given by fractions of average particle mass concentration during the whole measurement period. Pie chart description represents type of compound, average PM1 particle mass concentration (µg m$^{-3}$), and mass concentration fraction (%).
active indoor sources it was 0.5. The latter represents penetration of organics from outdoors in case when there is no indoor source in operation. In general higher I/O ratios during active indoor sources compared to no active sources were observed for organics, BC and sulfate, which confirm contribution of indoor sources to indoor concentration of these species.

The I/O ratios obtained in this study, for the total measuring period, are comparable to those reported in earlier studies that did not include indoor sources for the majority of the species, with the exception for organics and BC. The I/O ratios measured in our study for organics and BC are higher, namely 5.0 vs. 0.5–0.7 and 1.0 vs. 0.6–0.9, respectively. The increased I/O ratios of organics and BC were due to the operation of indoor sources that were not present in the earlier studies. In previous studies, BC particle mass indoors was used as a tracer of the outdoor influence. However, in our study it is not possible to use the same approximation for quantifying BC concentration of outdoor origin since indoor sources (cooking, candle burning) were emitting BC on a daily basis. The I/O ratios of PM1 in winter in the earlier studies without indoor sources ranged between 0.2–0.3 for nitrate, 0.3–0.5 for ammonium, 0.5–0.6 for sulfate indoors with an AER between 1.9–3.1 h⁻¹ in buildings with mechanical ventilation.

### Indoor–outdoor time series

Fig. 3 shows the differences in chemical composition and particle mass concentrations between indoor and outdoor air with and without active indoor sources during one evening, given as an example. The changing pattern (shown as different shades in Fig. 3) represents values when the automatic valve switched between indoors and outdoors.

When there was no active indoor sources (18:00–19:45), the indoor particle mass concentrations of all measured chemical species were lower compared to outdoors (Fig. 3). This can be explained by particle losses during the outdoor–indoor penetration. It is clearly visible for organics, sulfate and BC, and is further influenced by loss due to phase changes, possibly driven by surface losses of the gas-phase in the case of semi-volatile ammonium nitrate aerosols. Penetrated particles from outdoors formed a background level indoors. A number of studies confirm that semi-volatile ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃) and ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) aerosols exist in equilibrium with gas phase ammonia, nitric acid, and hydrochloric acid. Thus, they are highly susceptible to changes in temperature, relative humidity and the gas phase concentrations of these compounds. During our measurements the average measured outdoor temperature $T_{\text{out}}$ was 4.3 (±1.8 standard deviation, s.d.) and ranged from −8.8 to 9.7 °C. The average outdoor relative humidity RH$_{\text{out}}$ was 86.5 (±6)% and ranged from 58 to 100%. Indoors, average $T_{\text{in}}$ was 24 (±0.4) °C and ranged from 20 to 26.1 °C. The average RH$_{\text{in}}$ was 41.4 (±3.7)% and ranged from 27 to 50%. We interpret that the rapid temperature change between cooler outdoor and warmer indoor environments led to a shift of the equilibrium of the nitrate and chloride with gas-phase species and volatilization reactions toward the gas phase; hence, there was an observed loss of particle phase ammonium nitrate and chloride indoors in Fig. 3 during the no active indoor sources period. Additional losses of ammonium nitrate indoors could result from sorption of the gas-phase to indoor surfaces as described earlier by Lunden et al.

Indoor activities started to occur at 19:45 and indoor concentrations of organics, BC, ammonium and sulfate became higher indoors than outdoors. The indoor organic concentration reached maximum mass concentration of 351 µg m⁻³ as a result of the combined contribution of cooking with oven use, and candle burning. In comparison to our measurements,
Brunekreef et al. showed similar maximum particle mass concentrations during cooking activities (200–300 μg m⁻³ in the particle size range of 100–300 nm). When candles were burning and e-cigarettes were vaping, adding to particles from cooking, organic mass concentrations reached 1405 μg m⁻³ in the three hours those sources were in operation (Fig. 3). Nguyen et al. found PM2.5 mass concentrations from e-cigarette vaping can vary between 15.5 and 37.500 μg m⁻³ in vape shops. The emitted particle organic mass was mostly emitted from e-vaping as candles, during sooting conditions, emit predominantly particles with very low OC/EC ratios (<0.1), thus their contribution to OA is expected to be low. Candle burning was responsible for inorganic emissions such as BC, ammonium and sulfates. BC shows strong short-lived emission peaks, which ranged from 0.8 to 10.9 μg m⁻³ while the candle was burning (Fig. 3). Increased BC particle mass may be a result of the candle burning during sooting, as shown by Pagels et al. Sudden air movements (caused by people moving around in the residence or opening the entrance door) cause the candle flame to flicker and emit soot particles. The sulfate and ammonium concentrations gradually increased when the candle was burning and varied between 1.1–7.0 and 0.3–1.9 μg m⁻³, respectively, while outdoor sulfate and ammonium levels remained unchanged. The candlewick may have been infused with inorganic salts (e.g., sulfates, nitrates and phosphates) that control the consumption rate of thewick and prevent it from glowing after the flame has been extinguished. These particles may partly coagulate with the BC emissions. Danish EPA showed lower BC mass concentrations of 0.1–0.7 μg m⁻³ during candle burning under the sooting conditions. The Danish EPA found similar particle mass concentrations of ammonium 0.6–2.8 μg m⁻³ and sulfate 3.0–6.1 μg m⁻³, compared to our study.

Different cooking activities and candle burning were identified based on the information in the activity logbooks. However, occupants did not always log repeatedly occurring events such as e-cigarette vaping. Emissions of e-cigarette vapor were identified by fragmentation pattern of a compound emitted from the e-cigarette liquid (described in section Aerosol mass spectra), and confirmed by discussion with the occupants. Some activities such as toasting, vacuuming, and using cleaning spray, did not cause detectable changes in particle mass concentrations in the size range covered. Elevated mass concentrations during housekeeping activities have been shown to emit particles larger than 1 μm. Histograms of probability distribution comparing measured chemical species indoors and outdoors over the whole measurement period are presented in Fig. 4. One hour averaged data was used for the comparison. It can be seen that there are high particle mass concentrations of organics indoors due to frequently occurring indoor sources contributing with higher organic mass loading than outdoor concentrations. Outdoor organic mass concentrations are predominantly below 7 μg m⁻³. The distribution of particle mass concentrations of sulfate outdoors and indoors displays higher concentrations observed outdoors. Indoor concentrations represent mainly outdoor to indoor penetration, I/O ratio was 0.6. However, if sulfate indoors would originate only from outdoors we would see nearly identical distribution with lower concentrations. Some contribution at occasions when candles were burned (indoor sources) was observed, but sulfate concentrations indoors due to candle burning are low, which may explain similar distribution between indoor and outdoor at concentrations lower than 1 μg m⁻³. Substantially higher concentrations of nitrate, ammonium and chloride were measured outdoors compared to indoors. The larger shift in the probability distributions between indoor and outdoor in case of nitrate and ammonium can be due to losses during outdoor-to-indoor transport with some contribution from candles (indoor source) to the low concentrations measured indoors. In case of chloride there could be some contribution from cleaning products indoors at the low concentrations.

In general, indoor sources have been shown to emit large amounts of submicrometer-size range particles, mainly of organic matter composition. The average particle mass concentration indoors was 15 μg m⁻³ throughout the measuring period. Particle mass concentration during periods with active indoor sources was 16.7 μg m⁻³ and during no active indoor sources 3.4 μg m⁻³ (Table S2†). The mass concentration values during entire measuring period during active indoor sources periods were below the recommended by WHO guidelines daily limit (25 μg m⁻³ within 24 hours) for outdoor PM2.5. However, measured peak concentrations in indoor air can reach as high concentrations as 351 μg m⁻³ (due to cooking or 15 700 μg m⁻³ in close proximity to the place where e-cigarette was vaped (Table S1†)). The health impacts that are associated with short-term exposure to such high particle concentrations remain unknown. These exposures are likely repeated frequently, especially as many people cook on daily basis. Peak exposures go unnoticed when only 24 h averages are presented. It illustrates the importance of adequate ventilation to remove the pollutants accumulated indoors.

Aerosol mass spectra

Highly-resolved organic mass spectra (MS) of major families of the ion fragments, C₃H₇⁺, C₃H₅O⁺ and C₄H₅O⁺ from cooking and vaping of the e-cigarettes are presented in Fig. 5. In Fig. 5A the average mass spectrum of all cooking activities is given. It was dominated by ion series of C₊H₂m−₁⁺ (with mass to charge ratio m/z 41, 55, 69, 83…), C₆H₃(m/z 43, 57, 71, 85…) and some oxygen-containing organics (m/z 29, 44, 60, 73). Measured spectra agree with results from earlier reported studies on emissions from cooking, which are briefly presented below.

It is known that during food treatment different processes and chemical reactions occur, such as denaturation of proteins, degradation of carbohydrates and fats at different temperatures. Studies have shown that frying and baking of foods of animal origin results in the formation of unbranched-chain saturated hydrocarbons and long-chain fatty acids with the most intensive ion peaks at C₁₀H₂₂ (m/z 41) and C₁₄H₂₅ (m/z 55). Hydrolysis of fats causes the formation of fatty acids with alcohol and carbonyl functional groups attached, and expressed by the CHO⁻ (m/z 29) ion. Duplissy et al. referred CHO⁻ ion as a product of thermal decomposition of cooking oils. Previous
studies attributed peaks at \(m/z 60\) (C\(_2\)H\(_4\)O\(_2\)) and \(m/z 73\) (C\(_3\)H\(_5\)O\(_2\)) to fragmentation of sugars during cooking. Carbohydrates (e.g. starch, sugars and cellulose) undergo hydrolysis when heated with water. During the hydrolysis reaction, water reacts with the oxygen atom joining the sugar rings and breaks complex sugars down into single ring sugars. These monomer
sugars may decompose to anhydrous sugars such as levoglucosan and similar molecules upon heating. The release of CO₂ at m/z 44 was observed as a result of thermal decarboxylation of organic acids during cooking. It is used as an indicator of highly oxygenated organic aerosols.⁸⁰ Our study confirmed that highly resolved mass spectra of organic emissions from indoor activities showed different fragmentation patterns and can be used as reference spectra to identify different sources in indoor environments.

The organic mass spectrum of vaping the e-cigarettes (Fig. 5B) showed fragmentation peaks of glycerine (C₃H₈O₃) at m/z 43 and m/z 61. Vegetable glycerine (VG) is one of the main constituent in e-cigarette, which is added as humectant for vapor production from e-liquid.⁸⁰ Fig. 5C shows a laboratory spectrum of vaping of e-cigarette, which is similar to the e-cigarette mass spectrum measured in the residence. The mass spectra obtained are comparable to the mass spectra of glycerine in the NIST database (NIST: glycerine, Fig. S2).⁸⁰ Another common main constituent of e-cigarette fluids is propylene glycol (PG). PG has a much higher vapour pressure than glycerine and rapidly evaporates in indoor air (NIST: propylene glycol).⁸⁷

The differences between the average indoor and outdoor mass spectra’s during the entire campaign are illustrated in Fig. S5.† Indoor mass spectra prominent peaks were at m/z’s 41, 43, 55, 57, 60, 61, 71, 73 and represents combination of cooking, vaping of the e-cigarette. Outdoor spectra prominent peaks were at m/z’s 41, 43, 44, 55, 57, 60, 71, 73 that represents formed oxygenated organic species, traffic, and biomass burning emissions.

PMF analysis

Indoor sources emit large amounts of PM1 predominately of organic matter origin, as seen in the results presented above. Thus, we focused on the characterization of the organic mass fraction by means of a Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) analysis to identify and separate sources contributing to the indoor concentrations. PMF identified three primary factors: cooking OAI (COAI), cooking OAlI (COAII), electronic cigarette OA (EOA); and one secondary factor: outdoor contribution (OOA) factor penetrated from outside.

Fig. 6A shows the factors’ profiles, and Fig. 6B shows the factors’ time series. The mass spectra of the factor profiles allowed us to distinguish sources from each other by comparing obtained spectra with the reference spectra in an HR-AMS spectral database.⁸⁵ PMF also enabled the identification of unknown sources such as e-cigarettes (by tracing the glycerine peak at m/z 61) and some cooking activities that were not logged in the activity logbook by the occupants. On average, the e-cigarette (EOA) was the major contributor (44%) to indoor loadings and resulted in particle mass concentrations of 6.8 μg m⁻³ (Fig. 7A). However, the contribution of EOA to observed concentrations may be overestimated because the placement of the inlet of the sampling line was in close proximity to where e-cigarette was vaped. This was only discovered after the measurements had been carried out, during discussions with the occupants about the identification of the peaks observed in the measured data. However, the data present the real concentrations measured in this specific apartment, and highlight the challenges of performing measurements in real occupied residences. E-cigarette vaping occurred fourteen times during the period measured by AMS.

The second largest contributor indoors was cooking activities, which contributed to 33% of the total particle mass. Cooking events were frequent (n = 29). Of these, 10 were identified as cooking (in general without specification), 5 as baking, 9 as frying, 1 as deep frying according the activity logbooks. Two cooking factors, COAI and COAII, were retrieved during the PMF analysis. When comparing the PMF time series with activity logbooks of cooking events, it was not possible to identify a specific cooking activity (e.g. frying) as COAI or COAII. However, some of the cooking events (n = 8) were not logged by the participants and were retrieved from the PMF analysis. Both COA factor profiles had characteristic peaks at m/z’s 41, 43, 55, 57, 60, 71 and 73. This is similar to results in previous studies.¹⁵,⁴⁶,⁴⁷,⁶⁰–⁹⁰ However, the difference between COAI and COAII factors was that the intensity of m/z’s 45, 55, 57, 60, 71 and 73 of COAI was less pronounced compared to COAII (see Fig. S3†).

The peaks at m/z’s 60 and 73 are commonly associated with wood burning in outdoor datasets (Biomass Burning Organic Aerosol PMF factor; BBOA) and have been linked to anhydrous sugars, such as levoglucosan, formed during low-temperature pyrolysis of cellulose in the biomass. Anhydrous sugars have also been detected during cooking with higher abundance in Hong Kong style cooking and lower abundance during meat cooking. Anhydrous sugars have been hypothesized to be emitted during high temperature cooking of vegetables.⁹¹

---

**Fig. 6** PMF analysis of high-resolution organic species indoors: (A) factors’ profiles; (B) factors’ time series.
Vegetables are rich in carbohydrates that may decompose to single ring sugars through hydrolysis reactions and at higher temperature form anhydrous sugars. Thus, COAI may therefore represent high temperature cooking of vegetables and other food rich in carbohydrates, while COAI may represent low temperature cooking and cooking of food with low carbohydrate content. Logbooks in future studies should list both the cooking process and food type.

The signals at m/z’s 43, 55, 57, 71 represent unbranched-chain saturated hydrocarbons and long-chain fatty acids formed during cooking. COA tracer ion ratios can help elucidate COA and its subcategories (i.e., specific cooking techniques and ingredients) in both indoor and outdoor source attribution efforts. The ratios of m/z 55 : 57 is often used to identify COA (e.g., separating COA from the commonly reported “hydrocarbon-like OA”, HOA typically attributed to traffic). Farmer et al. report 55 : 57 ratios of 2.26 and 1.80 for “oil splash” and “stir-fry”, respectively. We have found m/z 55 : 57 ratios of 1.33 and 1.25, for COAI and COAII factors, respectively. Interestingly, this puts values found in this study outside the range of literature values (1.5–4) reported by others.15,55,80,92

The contribution of particle mass from outdoors reflected penetration of low volatile oxygenated organic species (1.6 μg m⁻³). The outdoor OA mass spectrum showed a peak at m/z 44 and was dominated by the CO₂⁻ ion, which reflect the result of decomposition and fragmentation of oxygenated organic acids, as reported earlier.83,85 The retrieved outdoor contribution factor (OOA) from PMF analysis is similar to average outdoor mass spectra (Fig. S4†). It can be seen that not all the organic particle mass has penetrated inside (67%) due to size dependent penetration through the building envelope12 and evaporation of more volatile species (which include a lower fraction of m/z 44). It is important to note that e-cigarette is not a typical indoor source at home, the results reflect the habits of the occupants of this specific apartment (Fig. 7A). Thus, if we exclude e-cigarette as a source (Fig. 7B), the predominant indoor source would be cooking activities, which on average contributed with 5 μg m⁻³, or 58% out of the total mass (i.e. after deduction of e-cigarette contribution). In this case, the outdoor contribution would be 18%.

Probability distribution of individual sources contributions to measured organic particle mass concentration is presented in Fig. 8. Histograms were prepared on basis of 2 minutes averaged measurement data. It can be seen that EOA occurs frequently and contribute with varying mass concentrations of organics ranging from hundreds to thousands of μg m⁻³ (with maximum contributions about 4000 μg m⁻³). There is a difference between COAI and COAII contribution, COAII contributes with higher concentrations with majority of data points below 100 μg m⁻³, and some occasions with concentrations up to 350 μg m⁻³, whereas COAI contributes with concentrations lower than 65 μg m⁻³ and on majority of occasions with concentrations below 10 μg m⁻³. In contrast to all indoor sources, outdoor contribution OOA displays very low contribution below 10 μg m⁻³.

PMF source apportionment proved to be a useful tool for separating and identifying contributing sources to organic mass fractions. However, PMF was ineffective for retrieving the candle burning factor in both unconstrained and constrained runs. This is most likely because when candles burn, their mainly emit salts particles (phosphates and alkali nitrates) or BC depending on the burning conditions, as shown by Pagels et al. only small amounts of organics are emitted predominately during extinguishing.

In real occupied indoor environments, such as the apartment in the study presented in this article, indoor sources of particles may occur at the same time or in a sequence of the activities. This results in particle mixtures from the indoor sources and the contribution of outdoor airborne particles, which are difficult to differentiate using traditional chemical methods. The results obtained from PMF source apportionment allowed us to estimate the contribution of specific sources to the concentrations measured in indoor air.

As an example, we present in Fig. 9 a series of pie charts of organic particle mass concentration from the retrieved PMF time series and inorganic mass during a sequence of indoor
Fig. 8 Probability distribution histograms of indoor PMF factors (based on the 2 min-average data).

activities in one evening (the same as shown in Fig. 3). Fig. 9A represents PMF results of the separated factor time series from the specific evening. Each pie chart (B–D) represents a 10 min average concentration. The outdoor concentration during this time remained unchanged, as seen in Fig. 3.

As one can see from the Fig. 9B, when the apartment was vacant and no indoor sources were in operation, organics that penetrated from outdoors contributed to 61% of the particle mass indoors. Inorganics (NH₄, SO₄, BC and Chl), contributed to 16% of the indoor mass concentration. However, there was also a residual organic mass concentration (23%) from previous cooking events and vaping of the e-cigarette that have not been removed by the ventilation system of the apartment. When the occupants were cooking, burning candle and vaping electronic cigarette (Fig. 9C and D) the emissions were dominated by organic matter, followed by inorganic particle species. BC was emitted from candle burning in a sooting mode, sulfate and ammonium were emitted from candle wick. The described pie charts illustrate an example of how particle mass concentration of different chemical species changed during activity and non-activity periods indoors. We have observed such differences in chemical composition of particles with and without indoor activities during the entire measurement period.

**Limitations of the study**

The influence of the e-cigarette on particle mass concentrations may have been overestimated due to the close proximity of the sampling inlet to the place where e-cigarette was vaped. The inlet of the probe was placed in the living room to ensure some distance from the kitchen and to reduce the influence of strong indoor sources such as cooking. The location was also chosen for practical reasons: the preference of the occupants. However, it turned out that this was the place where e-cigarette was vaped, so the e-cigarette concentrations captured are “close to source” concentrations. Additionally it may be that e-cigarette vaping is not typically occurring source in indoor environments. The accumulation of the pollutants emitted by indoor sources may be enhanced indoors in winter season in Scandinavia as the ventilation is usually reduced (low AER) due to windows and doors kept closed.

The mass concentrations presented in this study were assessed on the basis of AMS measurements that do not capture refractory components, and were measured in the size range 50–500 nm. Additionally, the length of the sampling line introduced some diffusional losses in sampling line, 1–4%; these losses have not been applied to the presented values. These factors contribute to underestimating the presented values of mass concentration.

Indoors, particles are likely liquid as majority of the mass were emitted from cooking and e-cigarette, thus they would have high CE. In this study, we have applied the collection efficiency (CE) of AMS as 1 to both indoor and outdoor datasets for consistency. However, CE has different values depending from particle composition size ranges and sampling locations. Reyes-Villegas et al. found the RIEOA value up to 3.06 and if we were to use that value it would decrease the cooking factor concentration by a factor of 2.2 in our datasets. Thus, further investigation of RIE with cooking experiments are needed. PMF is not effective for apportioning signal to sources, which contribute less than 5% of the mass concentration.

Concentrations presented here were measured in one apartment with a specific air exchange rate, volume, and surface area available for particle deposition. Therefore when comparing to other studies, variations in these factors of the residence should always be considered.
Conclusions

This is the field-deployed study that assessed chemical characteristics of airborne particles PM1 (<1 μm) with the state-of-the-art High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS) and an Aethalometer inside and outside of an occupied Swedish residence. Chemical composition and concentration of particles indoors showed large differences in comparison to outdoors. Average indoor mass concentrations were twice higher compared to the outdoor loadings throughout the measuring period. Indoor mass concentrations were lower than outdoor concentrations only 23% of total measured time. Indoor active sources, namely, cooking (frying, using the oven, deep-frying) and e-cigarette vaping emitted large amounts of submicrometer-size range particles predominantly of organic matter origin (86% of the total mass). This has resulted in high indoor to outdoor (I/O) ratio for organic matter (5.5). The concentrations of sulfate, ammonium, nitrate and chloride particle mass were lower indoors than outdoors and reflected penetration of outdoor particle mass during outdoor-to-indoor transport. An enhanced decrease in ammonium nitrate and ammonium chloride mass concentrations upon outdoor-to-

Fig. 9 Differences in particle chemical composition indoors during the sequence of events during one evening – an example. OOA – outdoor contribution of organics; EOA electronic cigarette organics; COAI – cooking I organics; COAII – cooking II organics. (A) Time series plot of the different sources during this evening obtained from PMF. Arrows indicate start time of 10 min average from which each pie chart was made; (B) no active indoor source period (indoor background) (C) first peak concentration during this evening due to cooking with oven use and candle burning; (D) peak concentrations during candle burning and e-cigarette vaping (coinciding events) adding to earlier concentrations from cooking, oven use and candle burning. The pie chart description represents type of compound, and mass concentration fraction (%).
indoor transport, compared to sulfate and BC can be explained by the chemical transformations of aerosols. However, indoor levels of BC and sulfate in the apartment were also influenced by candle burning.

Measured average particle mass concentration indoors of 15 μg m⁻³ was below the recommended by WHO guidelines daily limit (25 μg m⁻³ within 24 hours) for outdoor PM2.5. However, peak concentrations of organics in indoor air during cooking reached high concentrations as 351 μg m⁻³. The cooking activities is our everyday activity and perhaps these exposures were likely repeated frequently. Peak exposures go unnoticed when only 24 h averages are presented. It illustrates the importance of adequate ventilation to remove the pollutants accumulated indoors.

A Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF) source apportionment analysis applied to organic particle fractions, allowed identification of contributing sources occurring simultaneously or as a sequence of events. Identified factors within organics were: two cooking factors (COAI, COAII), e-cigarette factor (EOA) and oxygenated outdoor factor (OOA). OOA contribution accounted on average to 10% of the total indoor particle organic mass, thus was not the main contributor indoors. PMF also allowed us to identify unknown indoor sources, such as cooking and e-cigarette vaping, by comparing the mass spectra obtained to the reference mass spectral database. The retrieved mass spectra of indoor sources can be of future use as reference spectra to enable the use of PMF for source apportionment in indoor environments.
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