

Cite this: *Energy Environ. Sci.*,
2020, 13, 2559

Alkoxy-functionalized ionic liquid electrolytes: understanding ionic coordination of calcium ion speciation for the rational design of calcium electrolytes†

Xinpei Gao,^{ab} Xu Liu,^{ab} Alessandro Mariani,^{ab} Giuseppe Antonio Elia,^{ab}
Manuel Lechner,^c Carsten Streb,^c and Stefano Passerini^{ab*}

There is growing interest in the rational design of electrolytes for multivalent-ion batteries by tuning the molecular-level interactions of solvate species present in the electrolytes. Herein, we report our effort to control Ca-ion speciation in ionic liquid (IL) based electrolytes through the design of alkoxy-functionalized cations. Quantitative analysis reveals that the alkoxy-functionalized ammonium cation (N_{07}^+), bearing seven ether oxygen atoms, can effectively displace the bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide anion ($TFSI^-$) from the Ca^{2+} ion coordination sphere, facilitating the reversible Ca deposition/stripping process. More importantly, post-analysis of Ca deposits surface chemistry and density functional theory calculations of Ca-ion speciation indicate the formation of an organic-rich, but inorganic-poor solid electrolyte interphase layer, which enables Ca^{2+} ion diffusion rather than passivating the Ca metal electrode. Finally, as a proof-of-concept, a prototype Ca/V_2O_5 cell using the optimized IL-based electrolyte ($[Ca(BH_4)_2]_{0.05}[N_{07}TFSI]_{0.95}$) is demonstrated for the first time, exhibiting a remarkable initial discharge capacity of 332 mA h g^{-1} and reversible capacity of 244 mA h g^{-1} .

Received 15th March 2020,
Accepted 9th July 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0ee00831a

rsc.li/ees

Broader context

The present lithium-ion batteries will continue to dominate the market and research efforts as the most promising electrochemical energy storage system. However, aside from controversial debates on cobalt and lithium supply, the rapidly growing global energy storage demand is leading research efforts toward new sustainable battery chemistries based on abundant elements. From the viewpoint of element strategy, multivalent-ion batteries based on magnesium and calcium have attracted substantial interest as potential post-lithium ion energy storage devices. The problem generally encountered with multivalent metal anodes is the limited development of suitable electrolytes. Accordingly, there is a growing interest in the rational design of electrolytes for multivalent-ion batteries by tuning the molecular-level interactions of solvate species present in the electrolytes. Herein, we report our effort to control Ca-ion speciation in ionic liquid-based electrolytes, which may provide guidance for future multivalent electrolytes.

Introduction

The prevailing lithium-ion battery (LIB) technology will continue to dominate the market and research efforts for a fairly long period of time. However, the large-scale application of LIBs is raising critical concerns about the limited and unevenly distributed raw materials

such as cobalt, nickel, and lithium, which are essential elements in current LIB technologies.¹ Furthermore, substitution of metal-ion for metal-anode battery chemistries is enthusiastically pursued, as it can substantially enhance the energy density of the battery systems.² If more abundant, eco-friendly, and non-toxic metals could be used, the overall battery technologies would also benefit from cost and sustainability.³ Thus, there is a re-emerged interest in multivalent-ion batteries (MIBs) such as those based on magnesium,⁴ calcium,⁵ and aluminum⁶ as potential energy storage devices beyond LIBs. Among the multivalent electropositive metals, most research efforts have focused on magnesium-based chemistries.^{7,8} However, in comparison with the extensively studied magnesium, calcium offers higher abundance (the third most abundant metal element in the Earth's crust), lower

^a Helmholtz Institute Ulm (HIU), Helmholtzstrasse 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany^b Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), P.O. Box 3640, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany. E-mail: stefano.passerini@kit.edu^c Institute of Inorganic Chemistry I, Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee 11, 89081 Ulm, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC1991206. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0ee00831a



reduction potential (-2.87 V for Ca vs. -2.38 V for Mg, based on SHE), and expected faster reaction kinetics owing to the lower ion charge density.⁵ Hence, rechargeable calcium batteries promise higher cell voltage and better power performance than rechargeable magnesium batteries.

It is now well accepted that the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) model proposed by Peled in 1979 is essential for the successful operation of non-aqueous, alkali-metal batteries such as lithium and sodium systems.⁹ This model concludes that the degradation products of salts, solvents, and impurities, form a protective solid passivation layer adhering to the metal electrode surface. This SEI layer is ion conductive and electron insulating, facilitating the metal anode dissolution/deposition processes while preventing further parasitic reductions of the electrolyte components.¹⁰ However, like for other alkaline-earth metals, one of the most serious hurdles associated with the use of the calcium anode arises from the unsuitable SEI layer, which blocks calcium diffusion and disables plating and stripping processes.¹¹ In fact, while the typical SEI layer of alkali-metal anodes consists of inorganic compounds like LiF and LiO₂ that are cationic conductors, that of alkaline-earth metal anodes consist of fluorides and oxides, which are anionic conductors.^{9,12} Inspired by the success of magnesium batteries using Grignard reagents as electrolytes, a first attempt to develop rechargeable calcium batteries might be based on the analogous calcium-based Grignards, which is probably an SEI-free system.¹³ However, hindered by the instabilities of organocalcium reagents, no reports have been made of similar calcium electrolytes.¹⁴ Thus, a viable electrolyte forming a Ca²⁺ ion conductive SEI on the metal anode is strongly desirable for the development of rechargeable calcium batteries.

Although it has been found that CaF₂ is not a good SEI compound because of its extremely low cation diffusivity, two independent studies have indeed demonstrated successful calcium plating and stripping in the presence of CaF₂ as the major component of the SEI layer.^{15,16} The pioneering work from Ponrouch *et al.* proved reversible plating/stripping of calcium metal using Ca(BF₄)₂/ethylene carbonate/propylene carbonate electrolyte at elevated temperature.¹⁵ Recently, successful calcium plating and stripping at room temperature was also reported using calcium fluorinated alkoxyborate salt dissolved in Dimethoxy ethane.^{16,17} In both cases, the formation of CaF₂ can derive from salt decomposition; thus it is most likely present in the SEI layer. Compared with CaF₂, CaH₂ might be a better candidate for Ca²⁺ ion conductive SEI layer. In fact, it has been found that in the Ca(BH₄)₂/tetrahydrofuran electrolyte, the SEI layer is composed entirely of CaH₂, granting 95% coulombic efficiency of calcium plating and stripping.^{18–20} However, CaH₂ is highly reactive, and the formation of CaH₂ passivation layer requires additional electrochemical purification of the electrolyte and a rather clean glovebox atmosphere.

Instead of a predominantly inorganic SEI, a polymeric SEI layer based on the rational design of electrolyte components might be a more attractive strategy to achieve reversible calcium plating and stripping.²¹ In order to demonstrate this, we focused

on the use of ionic liquid (IL)-based electrolytes. ILs have been widely studied as electrolyte components for LIBs and MIBs benefitting from their negligible volatility as well as high thermal and electrochemical stability.²² Moreover, ILs are prepared by coupling charge delocalized organic or inorganic ions, thus their physicochemical properties can be easily tailored to a specific application by changing the structure of the component ions.²³ ILs do provide ideal model systems to control speciation of metal ions and ionic interaction in the electrolyte, which are crucial for understanding the electrolyte activity and SEI formation process.

Herein, we report our effort to control Ca-ion speciation in IL-based electrolytes through the design of alkoxy-functionalized cations. Quantitative analysis revealed that the polyether chains could effectively displace TFSI[−] anion from the Ca²⁺ ion coordination sphere, which facilitates the reversible Ca deposition/stripping process. More importantly, post-analysis of Ca deposits surface chemistry and density functional theory calculation of Ca-ion speciation indicate the formation of an organic-rich, but inorganic-poor SEI layer, which enables Ca²⁺ ion diffusion rather than passivates the Ca metal. Finally, as a proof-of-concept, a prototype Ca/V₂O₅ cell using the IL-based electrolyte ([Ca(BH₄)₂]_{0.05}[N₀₇TFSI]_{0.95}) is demonstrated for the first time, exhibiting a remarkable initial discharge capacity of 332 mA h g^{−1} and reversible capacity of 244 mA h g^{−1}.

Results and discussion

Compared with the lithium and magnesium analogues, calcium salts have a significantly lower solubility in TFSI-based ILs. The solubility of calcium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (Ca(TFSI)₂) in Pyr₁₄TFSI is only around 3 mol%, while that of LiTFSI and Mg(TFSI)₂ are all above 30 mol%.^{24,25} This is because the Ca²⁺ ion exhibits a larger radius (1.00 Å) as compared to Mg²⁺ ion (0.72 Å) and Li⁺ ion (0.76 Å), which results in larger preferred coordination numbers.²⁶ Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) was used to determine the phase behavior of different IL electrolytes (chemical structures of the ILs are given in Scheme S1 in ESI[†]) and the corresponding thermograms are shown in Fig. 1. In the heating trace of neat Pyr₁₄TFSI, a glass transition (T_g) was detected followed by a crystallization peak (T_c) and a melting peak (T_m), which behaves as a typical supercooled liquid.²⁴ With the addition of Ca(TFSI)₂, the T_c shifts to lower temperature while the T_m shifts to a higher temperature, indicating a more pronounced freezing phenomenon. In addition, a new crystallization peak (T_c) is observed, which can be attributed to the crystalline phase [Pyr₁₄]₂[Ca(TFSI)₄].²⁷ The introduction of alkoxy group in the IL's cation structure is known to lower the lattice energy and reduce/suppress the crystallization of neat ILs as well as IL-based solutions.²⁸ Indeed, the crystallization of the Pyr₁₂₀₁TFSI electrolytes is effectively suppressed while the solubility of Ca(TFSI)₂ is slightly increased to 5 mol%. In the thermograms of Pyr₁₂₀₁TFSI with and without Ca-salt (Fig. 1b), the T_c and T_m peaks completely disappear. The IL and its mixture with the Ca-salt behave as






Fig. 1 DSC thermograms of $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{Pyr}_{14}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (a), $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (b), $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (c), and $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (d). Scan rate: 5°C min^{-1} . The traces are vertically shifted for clarity; all tick marks on the left axis correspond to heat flow differences of 0.25 W g^{-1} .

glass-forming liquids with low glass transition temperatures. It is worth noting that replacing the pyrrolidinium cation with an ammonium cation, *e.g.*, N_{01}TFSI and N_{07}TFSI , further increases the solubility of $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2$ to about 15 mol%. The N_{01}TFSI -based electrolytes exhibit a similar glass-forming behavior as the $\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}$ -based ones (Fig. 1c). In the thermogram of neat N_{01}TFSI , the only phase transition detected is T_g , which value increases with increasing Ca-salt contents. In the heating trace of $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_{0.1}[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{0.9}$, a new pair of T_c and T_m peaks is observed, which indicates a faint freezing phenomenon. Interestingly, the thermal behavior of the $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ electrolytes (Fig. 1d) is quite different than those of $\text{Pyr}_{14}\text{TFSI}$ -, $\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}$ -, and N_{01}TFSI -based electrolytes. In these latter cases, in fact, increasing the Ca-salt content facilitates the solidification (freezing) of the IL solution, which suggests that the ionic interactions between the Ca^{2+} ion and the ionic liquids (most likely with the TFSI^- anion) promote crystallization.²⁴ On the contrary, the increasing Ca-salt content inhibits the crystallization of the $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ electrolytes. As shown in Fig. 1d, the T_c and T_m peaks gradually diminished with the increasing of $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2$ molar fraction, indicating that the interactions between the Ca^{2+} ion and the N_{07}TFSI lead to the formation of different Ca^{2+} -IL complexes, which crystallization is inhibited.

Concurrent with the limited research on Ca electrolytes, information about the solvation structure of Ca-ion speciation

is seldom reported in the literature.²⁹ Herein, Raman spectroscopy was employed to study the ionic coordination of Ca-ion speciation in the ILs. The characteristic Raman bands of free³⁰ and metal ion coordinated^{25,31,32} TFSI^- anion are well-known, *i.e.*, bands' assignments are already available. Thus, the ionic interactions between the Ca^{2+} ion and the TFSI^- anion can be clearly verified. Selected regions of the Raman spectra of $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ and $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ electrolytes are compared in Fig. 2. The $\nu_s(\text{SNS})$ vibration in $720\text{--}770\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region as well as the $\nu_s(\text{SO}_2)$ vibration in $1100\text{--}1180\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region are the most influenced as the TFSI^- anion coordinates to the cation in a chelating mode through the oxygen atoms.²⁵ Specifically, the intense peak centered at 742 cm^{-1} (Fig. 2a) in the N_{01}TFSI electrolytes spectra is attributed to $\nu_s(\text{SNS})$ vibration of the “free” TFSI^- anions (*i.e.*, not coordinated or very weakly interacting with metal ions). The same applies for the peak centered at 1137 cm^{-1} (Fig. 2b), which is associated with the $\nu_s(\text{SO}_2)$ vibration of the “free” TFSI^- anions.³⁰ As the Ca^{2+} ion content increases, the coordinative bond toward the metal ions shifts the $\nu_s(\text{SNS})$ and $\nu_s(\text{SO}_2)$ vibrations to higher energy, resulting in the appearance of two new peaks at 749 cm^{-1} and 1146 cm^{-1} , respectively.²⁷ The quantification of “free” and coordinated TFSI^- anion was performed using the integrated areas of Voigt peak fits in the $720\text{--}770\text{ cm}^{-1}$ region (see Fig. S1a–c, ESI†).³¹ The average number of TFSI^- anion per Ca^{2+} cation ranges from 3.54 to 4.1 depending on the Ca-salt content. These values are






Fig. 2 Raman spectra of $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (a–c) in comparison with $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ (d–f).

higher than those obtained for IL-based electrolytes containing LiTFSI and NaTFSI due to the higher charge density of Ca^{2+} .³³ The interaction between the Ca^{2+} ion and the TFSI⁻ anion is also evident from the low energy region of the $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2]_x[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{1-x}$ electrolytes Raman spectra. As shown in Fig. 2c, a new peak appears around 245 cm⁻¹ growing as the Ca^{2+} ion content increases, which can then be ascribed to the intermolecular interactions between the Ca^{2+} cation and the TFSI⁻ anion.^{34,35} Fig. S1d–f (ESI[†]) show a similar coordination behavior between Ca^{2+} ion and TFSI⁻ anion in Pyr₁₂₀₁TFSI electrolytes over the mole fraction range explored.

Interestingly, in the $\nu_s(\text{SNS})$ and $\nu_s(\text{SO}_2)$ vibrations and the low energy intermolecular interaction regions of the N_{07}TFSI -based electrolytes (Fig. 2d, e and Fig. S2 (ESI[†]), respectively), no (or rather weak) evidence for the Ca^{2+} ion coordinating with the TFSI⁻ anion is observed. However, the broad C–O–C stretching feature centered at 849 cm⁻¹ shifts to 860 cm⁻¹ as the Ca^{2+} ion content increases (Fig. 2f), which is then attributed to the Ca^{2+} ion coordinated with the C–O–C groups in the alkoxy chains of the N_{07} ammonium cation.^{36,37} The comparison of the Raman spectra of the N_{01}TFSI electrolytes (Fig. 2a–c) and the N_{07}TFSI electrolytes (Fig. 2e and f) clearly indicates that the alkoxy-functionalized ammonium cation with seven oxygen atoms can effectively displace TFSI⁻ from the Ca^{2+} ion coordination sphere. This is consistent with our previous report of Mg^{2+} -ion speciation in similar ILs, in which this displacement was found to improve the reversibility of Mg electrochemical deposition/dissolution process.³¹ Herein, the displacement of TFSI⁻ from the Ca^{2+} coordination sphere is revisited below in comparing the electrochemical deposition/dissolution of Ca in the four ILs.

The solvation structure of Ca-ion speciation in the IL electrolytes was further identified by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

Colourless, transparent crystals were obtained from over-saturated solutions of $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2$ in Pyr₁₂₀₁TFSI and N_{01}TFSI . The $[\text{Pyr}_{1201}]_2[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]$ compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. Its crystal packing diagram is shown in Fig. 3. The Ca^{2+} ion is coordinated in a distorted square antiprism geometry by eight oxygen atoms of four different TFSI⁻ anions and the isolated square antiprismatic $[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]^{2-}$ complexes are separated by two Pyr_{1201}^+ cations to compensate the charge. All TFSI⁻ ligands show a cisoid conformation and are coordinated in a bidentate geometry *via* one oxygen atom from each SO_2 group. The crystal structure of $[\text{Pyr}_{1201}]_2[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]$ is similar to that previously reported for the $[\text{Pyr}_{14}]_2[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]$ compound,²⁷ which is the only other reported crystal structure containing $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2$ to the best of our knowledge. Substitution of the alkyl-functionalized pyrrolidinium cation with the alkoxy-functionalized ammonium cation has been shown to reduce the lattice energy and suppress crystallization of the IL solution. Correspondingly, the crystals obtained from N_{01}TFSI electrolyte are more fragile than those from $[\text{Pyr}_{1201}]_2[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]$, which makes it impossible to obtain a meaningful XRD analysis.

Previous studies about Mg have demonstrated that the nature of the Mg^{2+} -ion species is one of the keys to successful Mg deposition/dissolution in IL media.²⁸ For IL-based electrolytes containing only TFSI⁻ anion, Mg ions are typically found as $\text{Mg}(\text{TFSI})_3^-$.^{25,36} The electrochemical deposition and dissolution of Mg from this complex is not reversible since the TFSI⁻ anion is not stable towards reduction.³⁸ However, just displacing TFSI⁻ from the coordination sphere of Mg^{2+} is insufficient to achieve the reversible Mg^{2+}/Mg electrochemistry.³² To ensure the removal of any residual impurities is vital as well, which prevents the formation of an ion-blocking SEI layer. For example, the Mg deposition/dissolution in electrolytes based on ILs and containing TFSI⁻ anion occurs only when $\text{Mg}(\text{TFSI})_2$ is






Fig. 3 Projection of the crystal structure of $[\text{Pyr}_{1201}]_2[\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_4]$ along the crystallographic (a) b - and (b) a -axes.

replaced with Grignard reagent or $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, both of which can serve as scavengers of water traces.^{22,32} Inspired by the Mg results, we also investigated Ca deposition/dissolution in the relatively reducing electrolyte solutions based on calcium borohydride dissolved in ILs. Unfortunately, due to the limited solubility of the $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$ in the ILs, we could not quantitatively investigate the coordination sphere of Ca-ion speciation in such electrolytes. However, the thermograms (Fig. S3, ESI[†]) and Raman spectra (Fig. S4, ESI[†]) of the $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2/\text{IL}$ electrolytes suggest that the solvation structure of Ca-ion speciation is similar to that of the $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2/\text{IL}$ electrolytes. Specifically, the TFSI^- anion is coordinated to the Ca^{2+} center in the case of $\text{Pyr}_{14}\text{TFSI}$, $\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}$, and N_{01}TFSI electrolytes, but is displaced from the Ca^{2+} ion coordination sphere by polyether chains in the case of N_{07}TFSI electrolyte.

The investigation of Ca plating and stripping in the $\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2/\text{IL}$ electrolytes was carried out by cyclic voltammetry (CV) in three-electrode cells, using Au as working electrode (WE) and Ca metal as counter electrode (CE) and reference electrode (RE). As shown in Fig. 4a, an intense current was observed in the cathodic scan of the $\text{Pyr}_{14}\text{TFSI}$, $\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}$, and N_{01}TFSI -based electrolytes. However, no signal corresponding to Ca stripping could be detected in the anodic scan of all these three electrolytes. The only existing study about IL-based electrolytes for Ca batteries reported a similar CV curve of $\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2/\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}$ electrolyte, in which the intense cathodic current has been attributed to Ca deposition.³⁹ However, according to the above-mentioned Raman investigation on the solvation structure of Ca-ion speciation in N_{01}TFSI , we believe this intense current should be attributed to TFSI^- anion fragmentation.³⁸ Fig. S5a (ESI[†]) shows the deposition product obtained from $[\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_{0.05}[\text{N}_{01}\text{TFSI}]_{0.95}$. EDX elemental analysis reveals a high content of F (21.4%) and S (21.1%) in the deposited product, which is characteristic of TFSI^- anion decomposition and leads to surface fouling. Interestingly, when the TFSI^- anions are displaced from the Ca^{2+} ion coordination

sphere by the polyether chains, as in the N_{07}TFSI -based electrolyte, typical reversible metal plating and stripping voltammograms are observed (Fig. 4b), although the peaks are centered at around -1.25 V *versus* Ca metal pseudo-RE. In order to calibrate this potential shift, CV measurements were performed using three-electrode cells employing Li metal pseudo-RE and leakless Ag/AgCl RE. As shown in Fig. S6 (ESI[†]), the plating/stripping process is centered at *ca.* -0.1 V *vs.* Li metal pseudo-RE or -3.3 V *vs.* Ag/AgCl RE. Although the reason for the significant potential shift remains unclear, it seems the shift might be related to the Ca metal pseudo-RE passivation rather than the electrolyte ohmic drop.^{40,41}

To confirm whether the reversible redox process observed in the CV scans of $[\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_{0.05}[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{0.95}$ electrolyte corresponds to Ca metal deposition/dissolution processes, galvanostatic deposition was carried out at a constant current of 0.2 mA cm^{-2} on a copper substrate. The gray-white deposit on the Cu electrode was collected for powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The PXRD pattern of the deposit is shown in Fig. 4c. The dominant deposition product is Ca metal in the cubic phase, along with a small amount of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$, which may generate from atmosphere contamination. It is worth to mention that Ca metal may have a propensity for dendrite formation.¹⁶ In fact, as shown in Fig. 4d, the SEM image of the Ca deposit reveals a dendritic morphology, which is distinctly differs from the smooth hemispherical morphology of the Mg deposit obtained from the analogous $\text{Mg}(\text{BH}_4)_2/\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}$ electrolyte.³¹ Another point worth noting is that the coulombic efficiency of Ca plating and stripping process is only around 37% in $[\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_{0.05}[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{0.95}$ electrolyte, which indicates the redox process is accompanied by side reactions. Nonetheless, these side-reactions do not block the Ca plating and stripping processes. Thus, in contrast to the surface blocking TFSI^- fragmentation, the degradation products of the side-reactions occurring in the






Fig. 4 (a and b) Cyclic voltammograms for the Ca deposition and dissolution reaction in different Ca(BH₄)₂/IL electrolytes. Working electrode: Au, counter and reference electrodes: Ca. (c and d) Powder X-ray diffraction pattern (c) and SEM image (d) of the Ca deposits obtained from [Ca(BH₄)₂]_{0.05}[N₀₇TFSI]_{0.95} electrolyte.

N₀₇TFSI-based electrolyte form a Ca-ion conductive SEI layer. The EDX analysis of the deposits obtained from the [Ca(BH₄)₂]_{0.05}[N₀₇TFSI]_{0.95} electrolyte shows a significantly reduced fraction of F and S elements (2.0% and 1.4%, respectively), indicating that the unwanted TFSI⁻ anion decomposition is effectively suppressed (Fig. S5b, ESI[†]). Nevertheless, large amounts of C and O elements are evidenced, which appear to be the main components of the conductive SEI layer.

To gain further information on the plating process, the surface chemistry of the Ca deposit was characterized in detail by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS survey spectrum in Fig. S7 (ESI[†]) confirms the presence of large amounts of C and O and minor amounts of F, corroborating the EDX results mentioned above. Representative high-resolution XPS detail spectra including the corresponding fits are shown in Fig. 5. The Ca 2p detail spectrum (Fig. 5a) shows two features centered at 351.2 and 347.7 eV, which could correspond to the Ca or CaF₂ 2p_{1/2} and Ca 2p_{3/2} peaks.^{42,43} In the C 1s spectrum (Fig. 5b), four peaks can be discerned at binding energies of 290.2, 288.1, 286.0, and 284.8 eV, which can be assigned to C-F, C-OO, C-O-C and C-(C,H) groups, respectively.⁴⁴⁻⁴⁶ The O 1s spectrum in Fig. 5c displays three obvious peaks located at 533.5, 532.3 and 531.2 eV,

corresponding to the O=C-O, C-O-C and O=C-O groups, respectively, which is in agreement with the C 1s spectrum.^{47,48} It should be mentioned that small features at binding energies corresponding to F-M (685.5 eV, Fig. 5d) and O-M (530.3 eV, Fig. 5c) were also observed, suggesting the existence of rather small amounts of the inorganic compounds CaF₂ and CaO in the SEI layer. It can be noticed that the C-O-C group is dominating the C 1s spectrum as well as the O 1s spectrum, which is a typical characteristic of poly(ethylene oxide)-like compounds.^{44,45} Thus, based on the element content and surface chemistry analysis of Ca deposits obtained from the [Ca(BH₄)₂]_{0.05}[N₀₇TFSI]_{0.95} electrolyte, we propose that the degradation products of Ca-ion speciation in this IL-based electrolyte form a protective SEI layer mainly composed of organic polyether chains. This organic-rich, but inorganic-poor SEI layer seems to be Ca-ion conductive enabling the Ca metal dissolution/deposition processes.^{21,49}

To further study the effect of Ca-ion speciation on the electrolyte stability, DFT calculations were performed to evaluate the energy levels for the Ca-ion speciation considered in this work. The optimized configurations and interaction energies of Ca-BH₄, Ca-TFSI, and Ca-N₀₇ clusters are given in Fig. S8 and Table S1 (ESI[†]). A comparison between the evolution of clusters






Fig. 7 Voltage profiles during galvanostatic charge and discharge (20 mA g^{-1} of V_2O_5) of a rechargeable Ca battery with $[\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2]_{0.05}[\text{N}_{07}\text{TFSI}]_{0.95}$ as the electrolyte, and Ca and V_2O_5 as the negative and positive electrodes, respectively.

followed with a charge capacity of 244 mA h g^{-1} . From the second cycle to the fourth cycle the capacity stabilized at around 250 mA h g^{-1} . It is important to notice that the coulombic efficiency (defined as the ratio between the discharge and charge capacities, *i.e.*, $Q_{\text{dis}}/Q_{\text{ch}}$) is always higher than 100%. This is a clear indication that side reactions occur delivering additional capacity during discharge. Nonetheless, despite the limited cyclability and reversibility, these results indicate the potential of rechargeable Ca batteries using Ca metal anode and IL electrolyte for the first time. Most important, the successful demonstration implies the feasibility of using a polymeric, artificial SEI layer to facilitate Ca^{2+} diffusion.

Conclusions

Several mixtures of Ca salts and ILs have been characterized as electrolytes for Ca-batteries. DSC thermograms and Raman spectroscopic analysis show as the alkoxy-functionalized ammonium cation bearing seven ether oxygen atoms can effectively displace TFSI⁻ from the Ca^{2+} ion coordination sphere. This is one of the keys for the successful Ca deposition/dissolution observed in such an IL-based electrolyte. Most importantly, XPS, EDX and DFT results suggest the formation of an organic-rich, but inorganic-poor SEI layer instead of the typical inorganic compound-based layer, as the strategy to facilitate Ca^{2+} diffusion to and from the metal electrode. As a proof-of-concept, it is demonstrated that the alkoxy-functionalized IL-based electrolyte enables the first direct demonstration of a rechargeable Ca metal cell, employing a V_2O_5 positive electrode, taking advantage of the PEO-like SEI formation and the TFSI⁻ displacement from the coordination of the Ca^{2+} cations. The reported results highlight the excellent potential of alkoxy-functionalized IL-based electrolyte as an attractive model system to control speciation of metal ions and ionic interaction in the electrolyte. It is expected that the proof-of-concept Ca/ V_2O_5 cell provides guidance for future efforts to design artificial SEI-protected, alkaline-earth

metal anodes enabling the improvement of multivalent battery chemistries.

Experimental

Electrolyte preparation and characterization

Calcium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide ($\text{Ca}(\text{TFSI})_2$, Solvionic) and, calcium borohydride ($\text{Ca}(\text{BH}_4)_2$, Sigma Aldrich) were used as received. The $\text{Pyr}_{14}\text{TFSI}$, $\text{Pyr}_{1201}\text{TFSI}$, N_{01}TFSI , and N_{07}TFSI ionic liquids were prepared following the procedure described in the literature.³¹ Briefly, the TFSI-based ILs were synthesized using a two-step synthesis procedure, involving the direct alkylation of pyrrolidinium or amine to form the bromide precursor, which is subject to anion exchange with Lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide in aqueous solution. The hydrophobic ILs were rinsed several times with deionized water to eliminate the water-soluble impurities, LiBr and excess Li salt. Then the ILs were vacuum dried for 2 days (below 10^{-7} mbar, evacuated using a turbomolecular pump). The electrolyte solutions were prepared by mixing appropriate amounts of calcium salt and IL in an argon-filled glove box (MBRAUN), with oxygen and water contents lower than 0.1 ppm. The ILs and electrolyte solutions were all dried under vacuum using a turbomolecular pump (below 10^{-7} mbar). The water content of the ILs and electrolyte solutions was less than 5 ppm (detection limit) as determined by Karl Fischer titration.

DSC measurements were performed using a differential scanning calorimeter (TA Instruments Q2000) with liquid N_2 cooling. The samples were hermetically sealed in aluminum pans in the glove box. The thermal treatment included cycling from -140 to 100 °C at a rate of 5 °C min^{-1} . The Raman measurements were recorded on a combined Raman-FTIR spectrometer (RAM II FT-Raman module of Bruker Vertex70) equipped with a laser wavelength of 1064 nm and laser power of 300 mW. The collected spectra are the average of 500 scans at an optical resolution of 2 cm^{-1} . Single crystal XRD was performed on a Bruker D8 Quest with a Photon II detector. Surface morphology of electrodeposited calcium was studied using scanning electron microscopy (ZEISS 1550VP). All samples recovered from the cells were transferred to the microscope in Ar atmosphere using an airtight transfer box (Sample Transfer Shuttle, SEMILAB). Elemental analysis and elemental mapping were performed by using the EDX spectroscopy. Powder XRD was carried out with a Bruker D8 Advance (Cu-K_α radiation with a wavelength of 0.154 nm) in an air-sensitive holder. XPS measurements were conducted in an ultrahigh vacuum surface analysis system by monochromatized Al K_α (1486.6 eV) radiation (PHI 5800 MultiTechnique ESCA System, Physical Electronics) at a base pressure of 10^{-10} mbar. For XPS characterization, electrodes were disassembled inside the glovebox and dip-washed with DMC for a few seconds to remove electrolyte residuals. Then, they were dried under vacuum in the glovebox antechamber for 30 min and mounted on the XPS sample holder. In order to prevent air exposure and surface contamination, all samples were transferred to the XPS load-lock chamber under inert gas atmosphere by



means of an airtight transfer vessel. During data acquisition of survey and detail spectra, the constant-analyzer-energy mode was used at 93.9 and 29.35 eV energy passes, respectively. For elemental depth profiling, the outer surface layers were removed by Ar⁺ sputtering (ISp ≈ 1 mA; U_{Sp} = 5 kV) for 10 min. Peak fitting was done with the CasaXPS software using Shirley background subtraction and mixed Gaussian–Lorentzian peak shapes.

Electrochemical characterization

Cyclic voltammograms were acquired using three electrodes glass cell in an argon-filled glove box. A gold disk electrode (1 mm diameter) was used as working electrode while calcium metal (purchased from ZRIndustrial, purity of 99.8%) was used for the reference and counter electrodes. The calcium ribbon surface was cleaned with a razor blade. The tests were conducted using a VMP3 galvanostat/potentiostat (BioLogic). The galvanostatic calcium deposition on Cu foil substrates (about 1 mA h cm⁻² at a current density of 0.2 mA cm⁻²) was performed inside the glovebox. The deposits were dip-washed with DMC for a few seconds and dried under vacuum in the glovebox antechamber for 30 min. After, the deposits were collected from the Cu foil and mounted in an air-tight XRD sample holder. The V₂O₅ aerogel cathode material was prepared according to the reported microwave driven reaction.^{52,53} The cathodes were composed of 70 wt% active material, 20 wt% carbon black (Super C65, IMERYS), and 10 wt% polyacrylic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, average M_v of ~1 250 000) binder with aluminum foil current collectors. The average mass loading of active material was around 1.4 mg cm⁻². Three electrode Swagelok cells were assembled in the argon-filled glovebox with water and oxygen content below 0.1 ppm. Whatman GF/D glass fiber separators were soaked with the electrolyte and Ca metal was used as the counter and reference electrodes. Galvanostatic cycling was conducted using a battery tester (Maccor, model 4300). All electrochemical tests were performed at 20 °C.

Computational method

DFT calculations were performed using Gaussian09⁵⁹ at CAM-B3LYP/6-31+G* level of theory. The geometries for the starting systems, *i.e.* Ca²⁺ + 2 NO₇⁺ and Ca²⁺ + 4 TFSI⁻, were hand-drawn using Avogadro⁶⁰ and then optimized. To obtain the Gibbs free energy values, for every molecular arrangement a vibrational frequency calculation was performed. From that point, we considered two different electron transfer scenarios. The first where a single electron is injected, and the second where an electron pair is simultaneously added. To achieve a realistic simulation of the system reduction, we did not allow for nuclei relaxation after electron acquisition, being the transfer faster than atomic vibrations. The excited clusters obtained this way were found to have energies lower than the starting state, meaning that the process will happen, but they also have two or more negative vibration frequencies, indicating that the molecular conformation needs to relax to accommodate the electron(s). We then allowed for nuclear relaxation, and for all the clusters no imaginary frequencies were observed, with the exception of the systems Ca²⁺ + NO₇⁺ + NO₇^{*+} system, where

a single negative vibration frequency was found, indicating a saddle-point in the potential energy surface, characteristic of a transition state.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the H2020 Programme (H2020-FETOPEN-2018-2019-2020) under grant agreement no. 828902, Project “VIDICAT Versatile Ionomers For Divalent Calcium Batteries”. All authors acknowledge the support support Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association within the Network of Excellence on post-Lithium batteries (ExNet-0035). X. Liu gratefully acknowledges financial support from the China Scholarship Council.

References

- 1 C. Vaalma, D. Buchholz, M. Weil and S. Passerini, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2018, **3**, 18013, DOI: 10.1038/natrevmats.2018.13.
- 2 W. Xu, J. Wang, F. Ding, X. Chen, E. Nasybulin, Y. Zhang and J. G. Zhang, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 513–537.
- 3 J. Muldoon, C. B. Bucur and T. Gregory, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 11683–11720.
- 4 R. Deivanayagam, B. J. Ingram and R. Shahbazian-Yassar, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2019, **21**, 136–153.
- 5 M. E. Arroyo-De Dompablo, A. Ponrouch, P. Johansson and M. R. Palacín, *Chem. Rev.*, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00339.
- 6 G. A. Elia, K. Marquardt, K. Hoeppepner, S. Fantini, R. Lin, E. Knipping, W. Peters, J.-F. Drillet, S. Passerini and R. Hahn, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**(35), 7564, DOI: 10.1002/adma.201601357.
- 7 R. Deivanayagam, B. J. Ingram and R. Shahbazian-Yassar, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2019, **21**, 136, DOI: 10.1016/j.ensm.2019.05.028.
- 8 P. Canepa, G. Sai Gautam, D. C. Hannah, R. Malik, M. Liu, K. G. Gallagher, K. A. Persson and G. Ceder, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 4287–4341.
- 9 E. Peled, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1979, **126**, 2047–2051.
- 10 E. Peled and S. Menkin, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A1703–A1719.
- 11 D. Aurbach, R. Skaletsky and Y. Gofer, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1991, **138**, 3536–3545.
- 12 R. J. Staniewicz, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1980, **127**, 782–789.
- 13 D. Aurbach, Z. Lu, A. Schechter, Y. Gofer, H. Gizbar, R. Turgeman, Y. Cohen, M. Moshkovich and E. Levi, *Nature*, 2000, **407**, 724–727.
- 14 M. Westerhausen, A. Koch, H. Görls and S. Krieck, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 1456–1483.
- 15 A. Ponrouch, C. Frontera, F. Bardé and M. R. Palacín, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 169–172.



- 16 A. Shyamsunder, L. E. Blanc, A. Assoud and L. F. Nazar, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2019, **4**, 2271–2276.
- 17 Z. Li, O. Fuhr, M. Fichtner and Z. Zhao-Karger, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 3496, DOI: 10.1039/c9ee01699f.
- 18 M. Wang, C. Jiang, S. Zhang, X. Song, Y. Tang and H. M. Cheng, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 667–672.
- 19 K. Ta, R. Zhang, M. Shin, R. T. Rooney, E. K. Neumann and A. A. Gewirth, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 21536–21542.
- 20 N. T. Hahn, J. Self, T. J. Seguin, D. M. Driscoll, M. A. Rodriguez, M. Balasubramanian, K. A. Persson and K. R. Zavadil, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2020, **8**, 7235–7244.
- 21 S. B. Son, T. Gao, S. P. Harvey, K. X. Steirer, A. Stokes, A. Norman, C. Wang, A. Cresce, K. Xu and C. Ban, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 532–539.
- 22 G. A. Giffin, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 13378–13389.
- 23 M. Watanabe, M. L. Thomas, S. Zhang, K. Ueno, T. Yasuda and K. Dokko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 7190–7239.
- 24 W. A. Henderson and S. Passerini, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, **16**, 2881–2885.
- 25 G. A. Giffin, A. Moretti, S. Jeong and S. Passerini, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 9966–9973.
- 26 P. Hartman and H. K. Chan, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr.*, 1976, **32**, 751–767.
- 27 A. Babai and A. V. Mudring, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 3249–3255.
- 28 X. Gao, F. Wu, A. Mariani and S. Passerini, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 4185–4193.
- 29 J. D. Forero-Saboya, E. Marchante, R. B. Araujo, D. Monti, P. Johansson and A. Ponrouch, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2019, **123**, 29524–29532.
- 30 M. Castriota, T. Caruso, R. G. Agostino, E. Cazzanelli, W. A. Henderson and S. Passerini, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2005, **109**, 92–96.
- 31 X. Gao, A. Mariani, S. Jeong, X. Liu, X. Dou, M. Ding, A. Moretti and S. Passerini, *J. Power Sources*, 2019, **423**, 52–59.
- 32 T. Watkins, A. Kumar and D. A. Buttry, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 641–650.
- 33 N. N. Rajput, T. J. Seguin, B. M. Wood, X. Qu and K. A. Persson, *Elucidating Solvation Structures for Rational Design of Multivalent Electrolytes—A Review*, Springer International Publishing, 2018, vol. 376.
- 34 K. Fumino, A. Wulf and R. Ludwig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3830–3834.
- 35 A. Wulf, K. Fumino, R. Ludwig and P. F. Taday, *ChemPhysChem*, 2010, **11**, 349–353.
- 36 T. Watkins and D. A. Buttry, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2015, **119**, 7003–7014.
- 37 D. Brouillette, D. E. Irish, N. J. Taylor, G. Perron, M. Odziemkowski and J. E. Desnoyers, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **4**, 6063–6071.
- 38 N. N. Rajput, N. Sa, X. Qu, A. K. Burrell and K. A. Persson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 3411–3420.
- 39 T. Shiga, Y. Kato and Y. Hase, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 13212–13219.
- 40 D. S. Tchitchekova, D. Monti, P. Johansson, F. Bardé, A. Randon-Vitanova, M. R. Palacín and A. Ponrouch, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2017, **164**, A1384–A1392.
- 41 R. Dugas, J. D. Forero-Saboya and A. Ponrouch, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 8613, DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.9b02776.
- 42 M. Wang, C. Jiang, S. Zhang, X. Song, Y. Tang and H. M. Cheng, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 667–672.
- 43 P. Layrolle and A. Lebugle, *Chem. Mater.*, 1996, **8**, 134–144.
- 44 P. Louette, F. Bodino and J.-J. Pireaux, *Surf. Sci. Spectra*, 2005, **12**, 59–63.
- 45 A. Bratek-Skicki, P. Eloy, M. Morga and C. Dupont-Gillain, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 3037–3048.
- 46 B. Qin, S. Jeong, H. Zhang, U. Ulissi, D. Vieira Carvalho, A. Varzi and S. Passerini, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 208–212.
- 47 M. Zarrabeitia, L. Gomes Chagas, M. Kuenzel, E. Gonzalo, T. Rojo, S. Passerini and M. Á. Muñoz-Márquez, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 28885–28893.
- 48 M. Hekmatfar, A. Kazzazi, G. G. Eshetu, I. Hasa and S. Passerini, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 43166–43179.
- 49 Q. Pang, A. Shyamsunder, B. Narayanan, C. Y. Kwok, L. A. Curtiss and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Energy*, 2018, **3**, 783–791.
- 50 Y. Yu, A. Baskin, C. Valero-Vidal, N. T. Hahn, Q. Liu, K. R. Zavadil, B. W. Eichhorn, D. Prendergast and E. J. Crumlin, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 8504–8512.
- 51 A. Moretti, F. Maroni, I. Osada, F. Nobili and S. Passerini, *ChemElectroChem*, 2015, **2**, 529–537.
- 52 X. Liu, B. Qin, H. Zhang, A. Moretti and S. Passerini, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 2786–2793.
- 53 X. Liu, G. A. Elia, X. Gao, B. Qin, H. Zhang and S. Passerini, *Batter. Supercaps*, 2020, 1–8.
- 54 P. Senguttuvan, S. D. Han, S. Kim, A. L. Lipson, S. Tepavcevic, T. T. Fister, I. D. Bloom, A. K. Burrell and C. S. Johnson, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2016, **6**, 1600826, DOI: 10.1002/aenm.201600826.
- 55 G. G. Amatucci, F. Badway, A. Singhal, B. Beaudoin, G. Skandan, T. Bowmer, I. Plitz, N. Pereira, T. Chapman and R. Jaworski, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2001, **148**, A940.
- 56 M. Hayashi, H. Arai, H. Ohtsuka and Y. Sakurai, *J. Power Sources*, 2003, **119–121**, 617–620.
- 57 M. Hayashi, H. Arai, H. Ohtsuka and Y. Sakurai, *Electrochem. Solid-State Lett.*, 2004, **7**, A119, DOI: 10.1149/1.1675951.
- 58 G. S. Gautam, P. Canepa, R. Malik, M. Liu, K. Persson and G. Ceder, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 13619–13622.
- 59 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, R. Toyota, K. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar,



- J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, M. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski, D. J. Fox, *Gaussian* **16**, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 60 M. D. Hanwell, D. E. Curtis, D. C. Lonie, T. Vandermeersch, E. Zurek and G. R. Hutchison, *J. Cheminform.*, 2012, **4**, 17.

