Impact of small promoter amounts on coke structure in dry reforming of methane over Ni/ZrO₂


Coke deposition is one of the main challenges in the commercialisation of dry reforming of methane over supported Ni catalysts. Besides the coke quantity, the structure of the deposits is also essential for the catalyst lifetime. Accordingly, in this study, we analysed the effect of Na, K, and Cs promoters on both these variables over Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts. Besides blocking the most active coke-forming sites already at low loading, the promoting effect of the alkali metals is also contributed to by their coke gasification activity. To evaluate the additional impact of the latter, the behaviour of alkali-doped catalysts was compared to that for Mn-doped catalysts, exclusively featuring the site-blocking promotion mechanism. While the conversion is barely affected by the type of promoter, it has a profound effect on the amount and the composition of carbon deposits formed during the reaction. Promoting with K or Mn reduces the coke content to a similar degree but with less carbon fibres observed in the case of K. The promotion by Cs and Na results in the lowest coke content. The superior performance of Cs and Na-doped Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts is attributed to the enhanced coke gasification via carbonate species on top of the site blocking effects.

CH₄ + CO₂ = 2CO + 2H₂ ΔH₂⁹⁸K = 247 kJ mol⁻¹ (1)

The extensive experience of the (petro)chemical industry with the related process of methane steam reforming is a considerable advantage of dry reforming. However, one of the significant drawbacks of this process over steam reforming is the more extensive carbon formation, causing rapid deactivation of the catalyst during operation. The main coking reactions are the Boudouard reaction (2) and methane decomposition (3):

2CO = CO₂ + C ΔH₂⁹⁸K = -171 kJ mol⁻¹ (2)

CH₄ = 2H₂ + C ΔH₂⁹⁸K = 75 kJ mol⁻¹ (3)

The comparison of thermodynamic equilibrium constants for the target dry reforming and the undesirable side-reactions shown in Fig. 1 highlight the fundamental challenges of this process, especially when aiming for large-scale industrial application. Ideally, the reaction temperature should be low to reduce energy consumption and thus costs. High-pressure operation would also be economically preferable to a compression of the more voluminous syngas for use in syntheses at elevated pressure (e.g., Fischer-Tropsch [FT] or methanol [syntheses]). At low temperature and high pressure, the side-reactions producing coke are...
reactor plugging.9 Critical challenge as the growth of carbon fibres in the course contains a controlled amount of H2S poison.21 The H2S process developed by Haldor Topsøe, in which the feed example is the Sulphur PAssivated ReforminG (SPARG)3966 various types of coke, such as surface graphite, graphene as temperature, Ni catalysts can promote the formation of susceptibility to coking. Depending on the reaction reforming.10 Amongst these, nickel is the most studied element due to its form of coke, such as surface graphite, graphene as well as carbon fibres.13–15 The latter represents the most critical challenge as the growth of carbon fibres in the course of the catalytic process breaks catalyst particles and results in reactor plugging.9 A popular approach to improve the coke resistance of Ni-based dry reforming catalysts is to deactivate the highly reactive sites on the surface by promoter addition. This approach has been earlier investigated in detail for various support–promoter combinations.16–20 A representative example is the Sulphur PAssivated ReforminG (SPARG) process developed by Haldor Topsøe, in which the feed contains a controlled amount of H2S poison.21 The H2S chemisorbs on the Ni catalyst, deactivated the most active sites, which are also responsible for most of the coke formation. As a result, the catalyst operates with reduced activity but in a much more stable fashion over extended periods of time.22 Single-crystal studies of methane decomposition on Ni have shown that a similar effect is achieved with Au and K.23,24 Step sites on Ni clusters are the most active sites for coke formation and all promoters mentioned above preferentially occupy these sites.25 Site blocking with alkaline and earth-alkaline oxides has already been investigated.18–20,26,27 Other additives such as Mn or Sn are also reported to reduce coking by blocking the Ni surface.28,29 For the earth-alkaline metals and manganese a caveat must be added. Besides blocking the Ni surface, these promoters are also assumed to increase the CO2 affinity of the catalyst, additionally reducing the coking. Although the site-sensitivity of the Boudouard reaction has been studied less extensively, the literature points to similar trends as for methane decomposition for this process with a higher reactivity of the step-edge sites.30 Furthermore, it has been proposed that promoter ions may also affect the electronic structure of the neighbouring Ni centres, reducing their activity towards dissociative methane chemisorption.21 At the same time, alkali addition can enhance Ni sintering in select cases, meaning that a delicate balance must be struck during synthesis.31–34

However, a detailed quantitative analysis of the effect on the coke structure of several different promoters operating through a similar mechanism has not been reported until now. Even small decreases in coking activity can delay the exchange of the catalyst bed or result in a milder regeneration procedure, extending the catalyst lifetime further. Consequently, the alkali elements Na, K and Cs were selected as the first batch of promoters. Potassium has been one of the prime examples of this site-blocking behaviour. Other alkali metals should thus represent a rather moderate change of promoter characteristics, allowing to probe the electronic effects mentioned previously.23 Amongst metal oxides, MnO2 has been reported as a promoter in dry reforming literature.29,35 As mentioned above, at high loadings of manganese, an increased CO2-affinity of the catalyst is noticeable. For low loadings, the physical blocking of Ni sites dominates. This apparent similarity in function made MnO2 an interesting comparison. MnO2 is typically reported to have little to no activity in the gasification of carbon.36,37 In contrast, alkali metals are well-known for their activity in carbon gasification.38,39 Thus, manganese as the fourth promoter should also clarify, if this reaction contributes to the reduced coke content. All samples were supported on ZrO2 since it is less prone to forming mixed phases with Ni or the promoters, as is the case for Al2O3 or SiO2 (e.g. ref. 31 and 40). To avoid possible interference of additional species, pure ZrO2 without any stabilising additives was used. Additives such as CaO would be necessary to stabilise cubic ZrO2,41 which has been reported to be the superior ZrO2 phase for use as catalyst support.42 In contrast to other supports, ZrO2-based catalysts also tend to form more coke, which is desirable in the analysis of the impact of the promoters on the coke structure.43,44

Experimental

Chemicals

Ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA, Thermo Fisher 99%), NH3 solution (VWR, 25%), ZrO2 (Alfa Aesar, 51 m2 g−1),
Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (Merck, analysis quality), KNO$_3$ (Acros, 99%+), NaNO$_3$ (Riedel-De Haen, 99.5%), CsNO$_3$ (Alfa Aesar 99.5%), Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O (Thermo Fisher, analysis quality).

All materials were used without further modification except for NH$_3$ (aq), which was diluted with demineralised water in a volumetric ratio of 1:1 before usage, and ZrO$_2$. ZrO$_2$ extrudates were ground to a fine powder before impregnation.

**Catalyst synthesis**

All catalysts were synthesised via incipient wetness impregnation on commercial ZrO$_2$ support. A solution of the required concentration was prepared in the following fashion: in a first step EDTA was dissolved in a solution of 12.5% NH$_3$. Afterwards, the required amount of Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$ and either KNO$_3$, NaNO$_3$, CsNO$_3$ or Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$ were added to the solution. After the dissolution of all components, the required amount of liquid (0.4 mL g$^{-1}$) was impregnated onto the dry ZrO$_2$ powder. This was followed by thorough mixing, drying for 5 h at 80 °C and then calcination at 700 °C for 5 h (heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$). For all samples, the loading of Ni was set at 0.02 gNi g$^{-1}$Support. The amount of the promoter was calculated to achieve molar ratios promoter/Ni = 1/10 or 1/5. In all syntheses, a ratio of EDTA/Ni = 1 was maintained. An overview of the different catalysts is given in Table 1.

**Temperature programmed reduction (TPR)**

Temperature programmed reduction was carried out in a fixed-bed reactor system. 100 mg of freshly prepared sample was pelleted, crushed and sieved to a particle size of 212–355 μm and filled into a quartz reactor (I.D. 6 mm). The quartz reactor was placed into a furnace, and a flow of 10% H$_2$/Ar (30 mL min$^{-1}$) started. The furnace was then heated for 10 s with 6 accumulations. The laser has a maximum laser power was set to 100% and the sample was irradiated for 10 s with 6 accumulations. The laser has a maximum power of 30 mW.

**H$_2$-Chemisorption**

Chemisorption was measured on a Micromeritics ASAP 2020C. Approx. 130 mg of sample was loaded into the setup and reduced at 650 °C for 2 h in 20% H$_2$ in N$_2$ thus mimicking the reduction conditions of the reactivity tests. Afterwards, the sample was cooled down to 30 °C, at which H$_2$ chemisorption was measured with a static-volumetric method.

**Electron microscopy**

ADF-STEM analysis and EELS elemental mapping of the samples were carried out with an FEI Titan G$^2$ 80–300 kV electron microscope operated at 300 kV. For the TEM analysis of the coked samples, a Jeol JEM 1400 plus TEM was used. The high-resolution images were obtained on a JEM3200-FSC.

**13C-MAS solid state NMR**

For the 1D $^{13}$C MAS NMR, a known amount of sample was filled into zirconia rotors and recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III spectrometer. The system operated at resonance frequencies of 600 MHz ($^1$H frequency), and a conventional double resonance 3.2 mm CPMAS probe was used. The spinning frequency was set to 10–15 kHz. NMR chemical shifts are reported with respect to TMS as the external reference. Spectra were recorded by a spin-echo pulse sequence (pulse length 3.4 μs) with four-phase alternation synchronised with the spinning rate for the MAS experiments to delete all background signals from the probe. The interscan delay was set to 15 s to allow the complete relaxation, and 5000–30000 scans were performed. An apodisation function (exponential) corresponding to a line broadening of 80 Hz was applied prior to the Fourier transformation.

**Raman spectroscopy**

Raman spectra were obtained with a Renishaw Via Reflex confocal spectrometer using a 532 nm laser excitation. The laser power was set to 100% and the sample was irradiated for 10 s with 6 accumulations. The laser has a maximum power of 30 mW.

**XRD**

XRD measurements were carried out in a Bruker D8 Advance Diffractometer with monochromatic Co Kα radiation ($\lambda = 0.179026$ nm) at room temperature.

**Reactivity tests**

The system used for catalytic testing has been described in detail in previous publications. In short, it consists of a parallel fixed-bed reactor system with six reactors (quartz tubes with 4 mm I.D.). The quartz tubes are inserted into steel tubes mounted within the furnace to provide for better heat conduction. For each experiment the reactors were filled in the following manner (top to bottom): quartz wool plug, a 15 cm SiC bed (212–425 μm), a thin quartz layer, the catalytic bed, a thin quartz wool layer, a 10 cm SiC bed, a quartz wool plug. For the standard catalytic experiments, the catalyst bed consisted of 30 mg of catalyst (212–355 μm) mixed with 70 mg of SiC (300–355 μm). These two components were mixed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Overview of the tested catalysts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Promoter metal</td>
<td>Ratio promoter/Ni</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>2/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs</td>
<td>2/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as described in the literature to ensure proper distribution of the sample. For a detailed coke content analysis, catalytic runs were carried out with a catalytic bed of 75 mg of undiluted catalyst (212–355 μm), to allow for a full recovery of coked catalyst without SiC contamination. In all experiments, the correct placement of the catalytic bed within the isothermal zone of the reactor was ensured.

The catalytic setup allowed for premixing the reaction mixture in a separate mixing section upstream of the reactors. The custom mixture was then fed to each reactor individually through separate mass flow controllers (MFCs). Activity testing was carried out as follows. To reduce the catalysts, the reactors were heated under a flow of 40 mL min⁻¹ (20% H₂ in N₂) to 650 °C with a rate of 10 °C min⁻¹, followed by an isothermal period of 2 h. Next, the feed was switched to the reaction mixture. For the catalytic activity tests, the feed consisted of 20% CO₂, 20% CH₄ and 60% N₂. For the separate coking runs 50% CO₂ and 50% CH₄ were used. In both cases, the flow of gas per reactor was 80 mL min⁻¹, keeping the reactant to catalyst ratio identical between the two sets of experiments (32 LCH₄ g⁻¹ h⁻¹). All runs were carried out for a total of 12 hours. The coke content was determined with the aid of a TGA-MS (Mettler Toledo TGA/DSC1 connected to a Pfeiffer Vacuum OmniSTAR) by quantifying the CO₂ signal while heating the sample using synthetic air as an oxidant.

Product analysis was carried out by a GC equipped with both an FID and a TCD. The TCD was used for the analysis of all gases (columns: 0.3 m Hayesep Q 80–100 mesh with back-flush, 25 m × 0.53 mm Porabond Q, and 15 m × 0.53 mm mol sieve 5A with bypass option) with N₂ as the internal standard. The FID signal provided a quality check for the TCD signal.

Results and discussion
Catalyst characterisation
In STEM analysis of the synthesised catalysts Ni particles smaller than 20 nm were detected in all samples, with a major fraction smaller than 10 nm, again for all samples. The low loading of Ni and the low number of detected particles (14 for REF and 1Na, around 30 for all other samples) did not allow for a proper statistical analysis, especially when the possible variation of the PSD on the Ni/ZrO₂ system is considered. For example, Charisiou et al. report a PSD from 20 nm to 80 nm for an 8 wt% Ni/ZrO₂ catalyst characterised via STEM-HAADF. The different particles observed in STEM have irregular shapes, similar to previously published literature. Previous studies revealed that the nature of the support and the synthesis method have a strong impact on the observed Ni particle shapes. Another primary characterisation tool for the Ni-PSD of Ni/ZrO₂ catalysts is H₂ chemisorption. While this method allows estimating an averaged diameter, the exact ZrO₂ morphology can also strongly impact the average Ni size. In contrast to frequent literature reports of successful H₂ chemisorption, no H₂ uptake at 30 °C was observed. The support can partially cover metal particles after prolonged reduction at high temperatures, which significantly reduces H₂ uptake. Steib et al. have observed ZrO₂ clusters on Ni particles in a Ni/ZrO₂ system. Therefore, the chemisorption results can be seen as proof of a partial coverage of the Ni particles by the support. The low loading of Ni prevented its detection in the XRD measurements and thus the determination of the Ni particle size via line broadening. XRD established that in all cases ZrO₂ is present in the monoclinic phase.

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) has been used in the past to compare the particle size of supported Ni catalysts. Deconvolution of the TPR profiles leads to three peaks in all cases with the maxima at 350 °C, 456 °C and 510 °C for pure Ni/ZrO₂ (Fig. 2 and Table S1†). When considering the positions of peaks 1 and 3, no general statement can be made. The peak positions are shifted to higher or lower temperatures depending on the promoter with no visible systematic effect. Only the position of the intermediate peak 2 is shifted to lower temperatures for all promoted catalysts. 1Mn must be mentioned separately, since here the positions

Fig. 2 TPR curves with peak deconvolution for K-promoted and Na-promoted (a, left) and Cs-promoted and Mn-promoted (b, right) catalysts.
of peaks 2 and 3 shift more substantially than for the catalysts promoted with alkali metals. A more interesting effect can be noted when analysing the relative peak area of the three reduction peaks. In short, all promoters increase the area of the highest temperature peak at the expense of the two others. Except for 1Mn, this increase comes mainly at the cost of the lowest temperature peak. Increasing the loading of Cs or K once again increases the lowest temperature peak, as seen in Fig. 3.

Previous studies indicate that in TPR analysis of supported Ni catalysts, smaller NiO clusters are reduced at higher temperatures. In contrast, large NiO particles on the support can be considered bulk NiO.56-58 This rule cannot be applied universally, however. The available literature on Mn-promoted Co and Ni catalysts shows that shifts in reduction temperature are not necessarily caused by changes in Ni particle size but can also be affected by the formation of solid solutions during synthesis.59 60 The promoter itself can also decrease the reducibility of the Ni active phase. Mixed oxides of alkali metals and nickel have mainly been reported for Ni3+.61 However, we found no indication of Ni3+ in our samples, and this is not expected after calcination at 700 °C.

Instead, the TPR curves are in good agreement with the results of Peters et al. for pure Ni/ZrO2.58 We propose that a correlation between reducibility and particle size is also appropriate for alkali-promoted Ni/ZrO2. Thus, we attribute here peak 1 to bulk NiO and peaks 2 and 3 to dispersed Ni species with different degrees of interaction with the support.58

To summarise, our TPR data show, that the addition of alkali promoters reduces the amount of “bulk” nickel (peak 1). All alkali promoters increase the highest temperature peak also at the expense of the intermediate peak. However, an increase in alkali loading again increases the percentage of “bulk” nickel. It depends on the promoter in question, which of the two “dispersed nickel” peaks is affected by this readjustment. This concept of an optimal promoter loading for nickel distribution mirrors the TEM analyses of Park et al.62 When adding various alkali metals to Ni/SiO2, they also noticed a smaller average Ni particle size for low promoter loadings and a growth in particle size with increased promoter loading.

Catalytic activity

The catalytic runs were carried out with very low loadings of the active metal under conditions at which coking is thermodynamically favourable. This allowed us to observe a significant deactivation for all catalysts during the 12 h activity test. The results of the catalytic runs are summarised in Fig. 4, in which the methane conversion is shown for all samples. For the CO2 conversion, we refer to the ESI. For all catalysts, the increase in the promoter loading gave rise to a decreased conversion. Interestingly, at identical loadings, the catalysts with different promoters show similar levels of conversion (Fig. 4). These data suggest that regardless of the choice of the promoter, a similar number of active sites in the catalyst was blocked by promoter addition.

Following this line of thought, samples with higher promoter loadings should be more resistant to coking and deactivate less. Yet, in all cases, the conversion decreases considerably within 12 h TOS and after 6–8 h the measured values differ only marginally between the samples. Catalyst deactivation in dry reforming is frequently caused by coke formation, but sintering can also play a significant role. For example, the weak interactions between ZrO2 and Ni have been proposed to accelerate Ni sintering, and thus catalyst deactivation during dry reforming.43 Pronounced sintering would thus be a credible explanation for the observed behaviour.

A strong impact of sintering may obscure differences between the different promoters. The different promoters do appear to block a similar amount of active sites. The question that remains is, if the effect on the catalyst stability is the same or if differences exist between the promoters. For example, one promoter may be more effective at blocking the
most coke forming sites. To elucidate these potential differences, the coke contents on the spent catalysts was analysed and quantified.

**Coke characterisation**

The carbon content of spent samples was analysed with TGA-MS. The data are summarised in Fig. 5. In short, the non-promoted REF contains 53 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat, and the coke loading of all promoted samples was substantially lower. In this group, samples 1Mn and 1K contain the most coke with 9 and 10 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat, respectively. Using caesium and sodium as promoters instead reduces the coke content by around 50% to 3 or 5 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat. Increasing the loading of potassium reduces the coke content further (3 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat for 2K). 2Cs is on a similar level with 4 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat. As mentioned previously, the increased promoter loadings of 2Cs and 2K also reduce the initial methane conversion substantially. The coke contents in REF sample is already rather low compared to the values commonly reported for different Ni-based catalyst systems.\(^{18,63}\) We attribute this to a low Ni loading and the short runtime of 12 h TOS used in the current study. Experiments at higher Ni loadings and longer reaction times have indicated, that ZrO\(_2\)-based systems commonly form coke gasification activity.\(^{26}\) Alkali metals are well-known coke gasification catalysts (e.g. ref. 65 and 66) and typically outperform manganese oxides in this respect.\(^{36,67}\) Thus, the comparable coke content of 1Mn and 1K implies the site-blocking mechanism dominating in these cases. The superior performance of 1Cs and 1Na may well be due to an increased gasification activity.

A different effectivity at reducing the coke content could result in different coke structures for the individual promoters. Besides the presence of carbon fibres (Fig. S1\(^\dagger\)), TEM analysis revealed carbon agglomerates of initially unclear structure. HRTEM analysis indicated that these agglomerates are composed at least partially of overlapping and intertwining fibres (Fig. S2\(^\dagger\)). Additionally, at high resolution, non-hollow coke structures could be identified on the catalyst surface (Fig. 6 and S3\(^\dagger\)). This structure also appears ordered when contrasted with published TEM images of amorphous carbon deposits.\(^{42}\) Lastly, it must be mentioned that the most carbon fibres were observed, when analysing samples REF and 1Mn.

Raman spectroscopy is a potential tool to probe the nature of the carbon species in dry reforming catalysts.\(^{58}\) In particular the graphitic coke species are probed, since Raman spectra of carbons are typically dominated by the characteristic bands of sp\(^2\) species.\(^{69}\) Fig. 7 shows the spectra for the pure Ni/ZrO\(_2\) and all samples with a 1/10 promoter quantity of the promoter are then necessary to cover all such ensembles sufficiently to prevent further coke formation. For Cs the situation is slightly different as a Cs/Ni ratio of 1/10 (1Cs) is already enough to reduce the carbon content to 3 mgC g\(^{-1}\)_cat. In our work, we were not able to obtain a catalyst that coked less.

To summarise, in our work caesium and sodium were more effective at reducing the overall coke content than manganese and potassium at low promoter loadings. At higher promoter loadings, the low total coke content prevents any differentiation between the samples. Literature has already hinted at Cs being more effective at reducing the carbon formation than K.\(^{20}\) Horiuchi et al. compared the relative effectiveness of sodium and potassium in suppressing coke formation. Still, their data do not establish a clear trend.\(^{56,67}\) As mentioned previously, the most carbon fibres were observed, when analysing samples REF and 1Mn.

![Fig. 5 Initial methane conversion of the samples plotted over the carbon content after 12 hours of coking.](image)

![Fig. 6 HRTEM images of non-hollow carbon (left – sample REF) and carbon filaments (right – sample 1K) after 12 h coking treatment.](image)
The only exception is 1Cs for which no Raman spectrum of the carbon could be obtained.

Sp² carbons typically display two different Raman bands: the D band (approx. 1360 cm⁻¹) and G band (approx. 1560 cm⁻¹). The latter has been correlated to the tangential vibrations of C–C bonds, while the D-band indicates the defect density within the graphitic structure. In Fig. 7 all samples exhibit these two bands. To further differentiate between the samples, the relative intensity of the D- and G-bands can be calculated for the different samples. A high value of the D/G ratio is a sign of graphitic carbon with high defect density or of nanocrystalline graphite. Carbon nanotubes are highly structured graphitic species and should thus lead to a more pronounced G-band relative to the D-band.

The D/G values for all samples are in the range of 1.45–1.55, whereas Stroud et al. reported values between 0.8 and 2.0. However, they also reported different ratios for measurements carried out on different regions of the same catalyst. These observations can be explained by considering the contribution of carbon fibres with their low defect density. During the TEM analysis described above a distinct clustering of carbon fibres was observed. Stroud et al. did not report coke quantification, but the catalysts investigated contained roughly five times more Ni than the samples in this work and were tested for more extended periods of time. This ought to lead to significantly higher coke content and thus more clusters of carbon fibres. It is possible that the different ratios of D/G reported previously were caused by analysing areas of coke containing vastly different percentages of carbon fibres. Fewer clusters of fibres make their contribution to the measured Raman spectra unlikely in this work. The calculated D/G values of approx. 1.5 are too low for only the presence of nanocrystalline graphite. Instead they are indicative of the existence of amorphous carbon on all samples in addition to nanocrystalline graphite.

To check this hypothesis, the pure Ni/ZrO₂ and all samples with a promoter/Ni ratio of 1/10 were analysed with 13C-NMR to investigate the character of the carbon species further. The presence of magnetic nickel on the catalysts required high spinning frequencies and short relaxation times to obtain information on the carbon without interference. At the same time, the low carbon content required measurement periods of approx. 24 h per sample. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the spectra of 1Cs, 1K and 1Na on the one hand and REF and 1Mn, on the other hand, are quite similar.

All samples clearly show a peak in the range of 0–50 ppm, typically associated with alkanes and sp³-hybridized carbons. Therefore, this evidences the presence of amorphous carbon with its contributions to both the sp² and sp³-range of the NMR spectrum. Pure amorphous carbon would consist of two peaks around 30 ppm and 120 ppm. Instead, REF and 1Mn contain a significant peak around 100 ppm. For 1K the main peak decreases slowly with increasing chemical shift, and a smaller peak is visible at approx. 120 ppm. For 1Cs and 1Na, instead of a distinct peak at 120 ppm, a shoulder can still be identified in this range. Additionally, these two samples also display a comparatively sharp peak at 167 ppm.

The contribution between 50–100 ppm may be due to several reasons. Firstly, hydrogen-poor amorphous carbon has been observed to display clear peaks or shoulders in the sp³-peak at around 70 ppm. The less hydrogen is present in the amorphous carbon, the more apparent this peak at 70 ppm becomes. Secondly, the existence of carbon fibres was proven by TEM for almost all samples. These fibres are typically compared to carbon nanotubes. Pristine nanotubes display a chemical shift of 100–130 ppm, depending on their diameter. At the same time, the encapsulation of hydrocarbons within carbon nanotubes typically reduces the chemical shift of these molecules in 13C-NMR. Sp³-hydrocarbons (typically 120–160 ppm) encapsulated in the fibres could then contribute in the range of 80–100 ppm.

Thus, we consider the carbon deposits to contain amorphous carbon with a noticeable sp³-contribution. For REF and 1Mn the NMR spectra further show the presence of...
significant amounts of carbon fibres. For the alkali-promoted samples, the small peak or shoulder at 120 ppm is more in keeping with a small $sp^3$-contribution in amorphous carbon. The comparison of the different spectra shows that while all promoters reduce overall carbon levels, alkali metals are more effective at preventing the formation of carbon fibres. This is in line with TEM analysis, where carbon fibres were easier to detect for REF and $1\text{Mn}$ than for the alkali-promoted samples.

The NMR results for all alkali-promoted samples are mostly comparable, especially since at such low coke contents contaminations could quickly influence the signal. The only exception is the peak mentioned above at approx. 167 ppm for $1\text{Cs}$ and $1\text{Na}$, which is absent for $1\text{K}$. The peak is attributed to carbonate species present in the spent catalyst in the form of either alkali carbonate formation or as $\text{Zr}(\text{CO}_3)_2$. A clear identification was not possible since the chemical shift of the different carbonates differ only slightly. However, the coke contents of all three samples are in the same order of magnitude. If the peak were due to $\text{Zr}(\text{CO}_3)_2$, it should also be visible in the spectrum of $1\text{K}$. This is a strong indication of the presence of $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ and $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$.

The detection of carbonates is in line with the previous proposal of enhanced coke gasification for the samples $1\text{Cs}$ and $1\text{Na}$. Carbonate formation is commonly considered an important step in the (earth) alkali-catalysed gasification of carbon with $\text{CO}_2$. The accepted order of catalytic activity in coal gasification of the alkali metals used in this work is $\text{Cs} > \text{K} > \text{Na}$. However, this relative activity is affected by the dispersion of the alkali metals on the carbon, since Na is known to agglomerate on carbon surfaces. At the same time, the $\text{CO}_2$ absorption capacity of $\text{Na}_2\text{ZrO}_3\text{)}$ has been reported previously. For Na-promoted Ni/ZrO$_2$ a coke gasification cycle including $\text{Na}_2\text{ZrO}_3$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ has already been proposed for dry reforming of methane. Thus, whereas Cs itself is a very active gasification catalyst, the activity of Na may be increased through interaction with the support.

Summarising, the coke quantification shows that all promoters reduce the coke content significantly compared to the non-promoted REF Ni/ZrO$_2$ catalyst. However, $1\text{Na}$ and $1\text{Cs}$ only contain approx. 50% of the carbon deposited on $1\text{Mn}$ and $1\text{K}$. Raman spectroscopy did not reveal any differences in the structure of the non-fibrous carbon on the different samples. At the same time TEM and $^{13}\text{C}$-NMR show a higher propensity of $1\text{Mn}$ and REF to form carbon fibres. The relative amount of the various carbon species and thus, the overall carbon composition appears to be a function of the promoter. We did not observe any effect of the promoters on the structure of the individual carbon species. Additionally, NMR showed significant contributions of $sp^3$-hybridized carbon in all samples, which is assumed to be amorphous carbon. Operation at temperatures such as 650 °C and higher is expected to produce coke with high levels of graphitization. This is such an accepted assumption that in reviews on methane reforming, the words graphite and coke have been used interchangeably.

The presence of $sp^3$ signals shows, that, at least at 650 °C, full graphitisation cannot yet be assumed.

Furthermore, the NMR spectra of $1\text{Na}$ and $1\text{Cs}$ show the presence of carbonates indicating the presence of $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ and thus carbon gasification activity for the two samples with the lowest coke content. The results in this publication show that the same promoted catalyst can achieve site blocking and carbon gasification. However, the overall catalytic effectiveness is not directly correlated to the results of classical coal gasification. It appears that the superior interaction of Na and ZrO$_2$ compared to Na and coal cause $1\text{Na}$ to outperform $1\text{K}$, despite K being reported to be the superior coal gasification catalyst.

### Conclusion

A series of Ni/ZrO$_2$ catalysts with different promoter metals and promoter ratios was synthesised. TPR studies led to the observation of different effects of the metal promoters on Ni reducibility, which indicate smaller Ni particles when adding small promoter amounts. STEM analysis reveals that for all samples the majority of the Ni particles is smaller than 10 nm before the reaction. Despite varying effects on the reducibility of Ni, the different promoters lead to almost identical conversion in the dry reforming of methane at 650 °C. A difference only becomes noticeable in the analysis of coked samples.

While all promoters strongly reduce the coke content compared to the pristine Ni/ZrO$_2$, the degree of coke reduction depends on the promoter. $^{13}\text{C}$-NMR analysis shows the presence of $sp^3$-hybridized carbon in all samples, despite literature frequently assuming full graphitisation at these temperatures. In combination with TEM analysis, it could be established that alkali metals are more effective at suppressing fibre growth than manganese. At the same time, samples promoted with sodium or caesium coked less than a sample coked with potassium. These two samples are also the only ones, for which carbonate species could be detected in NMR. Therefore, it is proposed that the lower coke content is caused by coke gasification. Comparison with literature indicates that the higher than expected activity of the Na-promoted sample can be attributed to superior interactions between Na and the ZrO$_2$ support compared to Na on carbon in typical coal gasification.
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