PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, 22, 11485

Received 2nd March 2020, Accepted 7th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cp01196g

rsc.li/pccp

Introduction

Despite the widespread industrial applications of micro- and meso-porous catalysts, there is often little understanding of the structure, or dynamical processes which occur within the catalyst pores. In order to obtain this information Quasielastic Neutron Scattering (QENS) techniques coupled with Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations provide an excellent combination, which relate the dynamics obtained *via* experimentation to the dynamics and structure from simulation. We have used this combination to elucidate the structure and dynamics of benzene confined within the mesoporous catalyst Pt/MCM-41. This catalyst has been shown to be effective for the industrially relevant process of hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane,¹ which is important for use in the production of nylon. $²$ Whilst</sup> the reaction proceeds readily, it has been demonstrated using total neutron scattering that the reaction is internally mass transport limited. $¹$ It is, therefore, necessary to understand the</sup> dynamics of the sorbed molecules in these systems in order to improve catalyst design.

Daniel Dervin, $\mathbf{D} \star ab$ A. J. O'Malley,^c Marta Falkowska,^d Sarayute Chansai, \mathbf{D}^{d} Ian P. Silverwood, \mathbf{D}^e Christopher Hardacre \mathbf{D}^{bd} and C. R. A. Catlow^{bfg}

A combination of Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations and Quasielastic Neutron Scattering (QENS) experiments has been used to investigate the dynamics and structure of benzene in MCM-41 based catalysts. QENS experiments of benzene as both an unconfined liquid and confined in the catalyst Pt/MCM-41 find that the mobility of benzene decreases upon confinement as shown by the decreased diffusion coefficients. Complementary MD simulations on benzene in MCM-41 show agreement with the QENS experiments when using a novel fully flexible model of MCM-41. Structural information from the MD simulations show that benzene in MCM-41 has a significantly different structure from that of the bulk liquid; with benzene molecules closer together and no prefered orientation. **PAPER**
 (a) Gheek forundates
 EVENTIFY SET AND SE

MCM-41 is a particularly suitable system for probing dynamical molecular processes. The large (circa 30 Å) pores allow for bulky guest molecules to diffuse easily. It is also very suitable for combining QENS and MD, which provide complementary information on molecular dynamics as discussed by both O'Malley et $al.^3$ and Jobic and Theodorou.⁴ It is well known that confining a fluid within a porous solid will alter its physicochemical properties, such as adsorption and transport properties. It is, therefore, of particular interest in the present study, to investigate the effect of confinement by measuring properties of both the unconfined liquid and the liquid when confined within the pores of MCM-41.

The dynamics of benzene in MCM-41 type materials has been investigated previously through both QENS and MD simulations; however, these investigations were limited to MCM-41 and not the catalyst Pt/MCM-41. The value of the diffusion coefficient of bulk liquid benzene has been thoroughly investigated through both experimental $5-8$ and theoretical methods^{9,10} and found to have a value of around 2.2 \times 10^{-9} m² s⁻¹ under ambient conditions. Earlier QENS studies^{7,8} of benzene in MCM-41 found no difference between the bulk liquid diffusion coefficient and that of confined benzene. However, a study into the dynamics of benzene in MCM-41 using MD simulations show a decrease in diffusion coefficient from 1.9 \times 10⁻⁹ to 1 \times 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹.⁹ Other experimental techniques such as PFG-NMR have also been used⁵ to study this system and found a slight decrease in diffusion coefficient from 2.2 to 1.7×10^{-9} m² s⁻¹. There have also been numerous simulations of MCM-41 type materials^{9,11-14} although the models used have limitations. Previous models have either employed a rigid model of MCM-41 $9,11-13$ or if flexibility has been included, the silanol groups have been neglected.¹⁴

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, BT9 5AG, UK. E-mail: ddervin01@qub.ac.uk

 b UK Catalysis Hub, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell OX11 OFA, UK

^c Centre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies, Department of Chemistry,

University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

 d Department of Chemical Engineering and Analytical Science, School of

Engineering, The University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK e ISIS Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK

 f Department of Chemistry, Materials Chemistry, University College London,

London, WC1E 6BT, UK

 S School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0cp01196g

Clearly, therefore, there is uncertainty both in the extent of the confinement effect in MCM-41 pores and in the viability of previously used models in simulating MCM-41 dynamics. In contrast to previous work, this study employs the use of a novel fully flexible framework with explicit silanol groups for the simulation of MCM-41. In line with work from O'Malley et al.¹⁵ and Holden et al.¹⁶ in the study of smaller pore zeolites, the use of a flexible framework has proved to be essential if a close match between experiment and theory is to be achieved. The mesoporous material MCM-41 with its larger pores compared to microporous zeolites would have the potential for greater freedom of movement and so the flexibility of the pore would be assumed to have less of an effect on the dynamics of confined sorbates. However, this work shows the importance of using a flexible framework model, even with mesoporous materials, to reach agreement between experiment and theory. Our simulation studies are complemented by the new QENS data which accord well with the modelling studies; and the combination of the two techniques yields detailed insight into the benzene dynamics when confined in the pores of this catalyst. Paper

Clearly, therefore, there is natertiality both in the extent of Table 1 Carliston continents are the common to the common of the strength on the strength on 10 May 2020. The measurement of the strength of the stren

Methods

In the present study, 3 wt% Pt supported on MCM-41 saturated with benzene liquid has been examined using QENS. In addition, complementary MD simulations have been employed using both a rigid and a novel fully flexible MCM-41 model but without a representation of the platinum which is assumed to have a minor effect on the framework dynamics due to the low concentration employed. QENS measurements were performed using the timeof-flight inverted-geometry neutron spectrometer IRIS at the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Oxfordshire. A full account of the experimental procedure is found in the ESI.†

The results from the MD simulations using the flexible framework are compared to those calculated using a rigid framework model, where the atomic positions of all the MCM-41 atoms are kept frozen throughout the simulation and the new fully flexible model, where there are no restrictions on the movement of MCM-41 atoms. The MD simulations were carried out using the DL_POLY code (Classic v1.9¹⁷), with input files prepared using the programmes Aten¹⁸ and Packmol.¹⁹ Each simulation was equilibrated for 1 ns followed by 10 ns production run using the NVE ensemble. The timestep was set to 0.001 ps with the atomic coordinates saved every 1000 steps. To check that no further dynamics is missed, a simulation of the bulk system and the confined system were performed using a smaller data recording interval of 0.1 ps. These test simulations showed no more detailed dynamics and so the recording interval was set to every 1 ps. A cut off of 10 Å was used. The Verlet leapfrog algorithm was employed to integrate and the Ewald sum was used to treat long range electrostatics. The MCM-41 structural model was taken from the work of Bell.²⁰ This model was made by imposing a hexagonal void onto an amorphous silica glass structure generated through

Table 1 Calculated and experimental diffusion coefficients of bulk benzene

	Diffusion coefficient (m ² s ⁻¹) \times 10 ⁻⁹			
Method	300 K	325 K	350 K	
QENS	2.02	2.48	2.58	
MD	1.68	1.98	2.04	

simulated annealing from 7000 K to 300 K by steps of 200 K for 2 ps at each step. Unsaturated Si atoms were then saturated through the introduction of silanol groups. The pore wall thickness was chosen to give a thickness of two $SiO₂$ tetrahedra $(8-10 \text{ Å})$. The simulation cell used is parallelepiped with A and B parameters of 74.057 Å and C of 21.394 Å, α and β angles of 90[°] and γ of 120 \degree . The potential parameters for the MCM-41 model were taken from the work of de Leeuw²¹ based on the earlier work of Catlow²² for the SiO₂ and Schröder et al.²³ to describe the surface terminal hydroxyl groups. A three body potential is included in DL_POLY as an angular restraint with the term acting between specific atomic sites and not elements, that is to say between atoms defined by their atomic index in the CONFIG file. This potential has been widely used to simulate a range of silica materials including silicalite, 15 MCM-22²⁴ and hydroxylated silica surfaces. 25 The confined simulations were carried out by filling the central pore with sorbate molecules until the liquid density was reached.

Owing to the reported system size effect the bulk liquid simulations were carried out using three box sizes and the correct self-diffusion coefficients calculated as detailed by Yeh and Hummer.²⁶ The three systems used cubic simulations cells of 20, 25 and 30 \AA ³. The potentials for the sorbates are taken from previous work: specifically the benzene parameters are from Sastre and Corma.²⁴ Intramolecular benzene potentials are from Sastre et $al.^{27}$ benzene-MCM-41 potentials from Jousse et al.²⁸

A full listing of the potentials and other simulation parameters used is available in the ESI.†

Results

Table 1 compares the calculated and experimental diffusion coefficients of benzene. The simulated value of bulk benzene is in acceptable agreement with experiment (with a discrepancy in the range 0.3-0.6 \times 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹). In order to examine the confined benzene, the two models were compared (Table 2). These models of MCM-41 show the limitations of using rigid models with

Table 2 Calculated and experimental diffusion coefficients of benzene in MCM-41. Mean square errors (MSE) in deriving the simulated values from mean square displacements plots are also reported

$MCM-41$ Model		Diffusion coefficient (m ² s ⁻¹) × 10 ⁻⁹			
	300 K	325 K	350 K	MSE	
Flexible	0.91	1.09	1.33	0.022	
Rigid QENS	0.69 1.03	0.78 1.24	0.97 1.50	0.281	

Fig. 1 ARDF of bulk benzene (top) and benzene confined in flexible MCM-41 (bottom); colour scheme – black (no intensity) to blue (low intensity) to red (high intensity).

the model allowing framework flexibility clearly providing significantly better agreement with the experimental data.

Insight into the structural properties of the liquid is obtained from the angular radial distribution function (ARDF) of benzene, i.e. the RDF plotted as a function of the angle between the Z axis of a central molecule and the surrounding molecules. In this case, the Z axis is defined as the axis pointing out of the benzene ring, perpendicular to the plane of a C–C bond, and as such an angle of 0° θ corresponds to benzene molecules parallel to each other and 90° θ benzenes perpendicular to each other. Fig. 1 gives this function for both the unconfined bulk and confined systems. The bulk benzene ARDF shows the preferential location for a neighbouring benzene to be sited is 5.55 Å at 90 $^{\circ}$ θ . There is a slight preference for parallel benzene molecules at distances \lt 4 Å. This structure is similar to that observed previously from the analysis of total neutron scattering data.²⁹ The ARDF of confined benzene shows significant changes to the structure with there being no preference in orientation at the most probable distance of 5 Å, which is clear evidence that confining the liquid changes the structure, forcing the benzene molecules closer together and disrupting any order present in the bulk liquid.

The confined liquid does, however, have significant structure, as is clearly shown in Fig. 2 which plots the simulated probability density of benzene inside the flexible MCM-41 pores

Fig. 2 Probability density of benzene in flexible MCM-41; colour scheme – black (no probability) to blue (low probability) to red (high probability). The secondary graph represents the cross section inferred by the red line at θ \AA

Fig. 3 Probability density of benzene in rigid MCM-41.

demonstrating that, when confined, three concentric rings on average 4.8 Å apart are formed – approximately the diameter of benzene (5 \AA^{30}). When compared to the rigid model, Fig. 3, the flexible model shows the more realistic behaviour with the whole pore area filled. The rigid model of MCM-41 shows areas of low probability of finding a benzene where the rigid pore walls block the movement of benzene showing the significant limitations of this model.

Both methods (QENS and MD) agree that benzene diffuses more slowly when confined in MCM-41. Moreover, in both the unconfined and confined systems, the MD simulations are close to the QENS experiments. The very close match between the confined systems shows the use of the novel fully flexible framework is very well suited to simulations of this type, which provides confidence in the structural properties revealed by the simulations (Table 3).

Turning now to a more detailed analysis of the QENS measurements, we find that the data are fit with a delta peak corresponding to the elastic peak convoluted with the resolution function, and two Lorentzians that describe the diffusive motions. The need for two Lorentzians strongly suggests that there are two types of motion present in the system. A full description of the QENS analysis is found in the ESI.† A more

Table 3 Dynamical parameters calculated from QENS experiments in benzene and Pt/MCM-41 + benzene

Temperature (K)			Jump distance (Å) Residence time (ps)		Ds L1 m ² s ⁻¹ $(x10^{-9})$	
	Bulk	Confined	Bulk	Confined		Bulk Confined
300	3.26	3.57	5.27	11.11	3.37 1.91	
325	3.48	3.45	3.94	11.28	5.13 1.75	
350	3.22	3.28	3.43	8.76	5.02 2.04	

detailed examination of the diffusion data for the narrower Lorentzian motion (L1) indicates that both the confined and bulk benzene have similar jump distances suggesting that the same motion is taking place.

However, when confined in Pt/MCM-41, the residence time has more than doubled and the diffusion coefficient decreased suggesting that this motion is now substantially slower. The jump distance of, on average, 3.4 Å is the same as the distance moved by a hydrogen atom on the benzene ring as the ring rotates 90° , which may suggest that the jump motion observed is the movement of the benzene ring from parallel to perpendicular orientations, which in a tumbling motion would match the distance of the jumps. The elastic incoherent structure factor of both bulk benzene (Fig. S10, ESI†) and confined benzene (Fig. S17, ESI†) suggests that the motion is of a translational nature due to the sharp decay to values close to 0 therefore discounting a rotational motion. This translational motion corresponds to the second Lorentzian. Further evidence is found in the ARDF which shows a transition from parallel to perpendicular in the bulk liquid from distances of 3 to 5 Å. A previous QENS measurement of benzene in $MCM-41⁷$ also found a jump diffusion motion with a residence time of 7.53 ps, a jump length of 3.14 Å and a diffusion coefficient of 2.18 \times 10⁻⁹ m² s⁻¹ which is very similar to the result of the QENS experiment reported here.

Fig. 4 shows the trajectory of a single molecule of bulk benzene at 300 K through 1 ns, with the trajectory smoothed by averaging over a period of 5 timesteps; every individual image of a benzene molecule in Fig. 4 shows its average position at 5 timesteps. This smoothing has been performed to remove some of the thermal vibrational motion in order for the overall motion of the benzene to be visualised more clearly. The figure reveals the two motions of benzene in agreement with the QENS analysis. There are long periods of translational motion corresponding to the second Lorentzian translational diffusion motion interspersed by other motions highlighted by the red circles in Fig. 4. The latter, which are jump diffusion modes are then assigned to the narrow Lorentzian motion. This motion is then hindered in the confined liquid.

Conclusions

The combined use of QENS experiments and MD simulations to study the dynamical behaviour of benzene inside Pt/MCM-41

Fig. 4 Total trajectory of benzene through 1 ns, showing the position of benzene at every timestep. Red circles are areas of motion that are not translational.

has provided considerable insight into the dynamics underpinning an industrially relevant process inside the pores of a catalyst. We found that confining benzene inside the pores causes a decrease in the mobility as shown by the decrease of diffusion coefficient. Comparing a flexible and rigid MD model for the MCM-41 framework in studying the dynamics of the system demonstrates that the results from the fully flexible framework results in much better agreement with those obtained experimentally and leads to a more even distribution of confined molecules throughout the pore. The flexible pore also prevents parts of the pore becoming inaccessible as seen with the rigid model. However, all simulation results are within the same order of magnitude of the QENS experiments showing that combined QENS-MD studies are a valuable tool in quantifying the dynamics and studying the qualitative behaviour of a system; and our analysis of the dynamics using both QENS and simulations reveals an intriguing combination of unhindered translational and jump diffusion. PCCP

Ina provided considerable itensign into the dynamics under

Faust published on 11 May 2020. The article is published under the published on 11 May 2020. The article is licensed under a

considerable into the commons

The work presented here is a first step in the design of better catalysts. If it is accepted that the liquid diffusion inside the catalyst pores is critical in determining the rate of reaction¹ then the quantification of the diffusion as presented here is essential. Our work also has more general consequences for the understanding of the structure and dynamics of confined liquids.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the use of the UCL Legion High Performance Computing Facility (Legion@UCL), and associated support services, in the completion of this work. UK Catalysis Hub is kindly thanked for resources and support provided via our membership of the UK Catalysis Hub Consortium and funded by EPSRC (grants EP/K014706/2, EP/ K014668/1, EP/K014854/1, EP/K014714/1 and EP/M013219/1) as well as the EPSRC grant EP/N008995/1. We are grateful to Robert Bell for suppling the coordinates for his model of MCM 41. AJOM acknowledges the Ramsay Memorial Trust for provision of the Ramsay Fellowship. Experiments at the ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source were supported by a beamtime allocation from the Science and Technology Facilities Council (RB1610076).

References

- 1 T. G. A. Youngs, H. Manyar, D. T. Bowron, L. F. Gladden and C. Hardacre, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 3484–3489.
- 2 M. Saeys, M.-F. Reyniers, M. Neurock and G. B. Marin, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 2064–2073.
- 3 A. J. O'Malley, S. F. Parker and C. R. A. Catlow, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 12164–12176.
- 4 H. Jobic and D. N. Theodorou, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2007, 102, 21–50.
- 5 L. Bonneviot, F. Béland, C. Danumah, S. Giasson and S. Kaliaguine, Mesoporous Molecular Sieves, Elsevier Science, 1998.
- 6 C. Krause, F. Stallmach, D. Hönicke, S. Spange and J. Kärger, Adsorption, 2003, 9, 235–241.
- 7 A. Sahasrabudhe, S. Mitra, A. K. Tripathi, R. Mukhopadhyay and N. M. Gupta, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2002, 106, 10923–10929.
- 8 S. Mitra, V. S. Kamble, A. K. Tripathi, N. M. Gupta and R. Mukhopadhyay, Pramana, 2004, 63, 443–448.
- 9 B. Coasne and J. T. Fourkas, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 15471–15479.
- 10 J. H. Kim and S. H. Lee, Bull. Korean Chem. Soc., 2002, 23, 5–10.
- 11 Y. Jing, L. Wei, Y. Wang and Y. Yu, Chem. Eng. J., 2013, 220, 264–275.
- 12 C. Schumacher, J. Gonzalez, P. A. Wright and N. A. Seaton, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2006, 110, 319–333.
- 13 C. Herdes, C. A. Ferreiro-Rangel and T. Düren, Langmuir, 2011, 27, 6738–6743.
- 14 T. Nanok, P. A. Bopp and J. Limtrakul, Construction, 2005, 805–813.
- 15 A. J. O'Malley and C. R. a Catlow, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 19024–19030.
- 16 D. Holden, K. E. Jelfs, A. Trewin, D. J. Willock, M. Haranczyk and A. I. Cooper, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 12734–12743.
- 17 W. Smith, C. W. Yong and P. M. Rodger, Mol. Simul., 2002, 28, 37–41.
- 18 T. G. A. Youngs, J. Comput. Chem., 2010, 31, 639–648.
- 19 L. Martínez, R. Andrade, E. G. Birgin and J. M. Martínez, J. Comput. Chem., 2009, 30, 2157–2164.
- 20 R. G. Bell, Proceedings of the 12th International Zeolite Conference, 1999, 839–845.
- 21 Z. Du and N. H. D. Leeuw, Surf. Sci., 2004, 554, 193–210.
- 22 M. J. Sanders, M. Leslie and C. R. A. Catlow, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 4, 1271.
- 23 K. P. Schröder, J. Sauer, M. Leslie, C. Richard, A. Catlow and J. M. Thomas, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1992, 188, 320–325.
- 24 G. Sastre, C. R. A. Catlow and A. Corma, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1999, 103, 5187–5196.
- 25 L. Whitmore, B. Slater and C. R. A. Catlow, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2000, 2, 5354–5356.
- 26 I. Yeh and G. Hummer, J. Phys. Chem. B., 2004, 108, 15873–15879.
- 27 G. Sastre, N. Raj, C. R. A. Catlow, R. Roque-Malherbe and A. Corma, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1998, 102, 3198–3209.
- 28 F. Jousse, S. M. Auerbach and D. P. Vercauteren, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2000, 104, 2360–2370.
- 29 M. Falkowska, D. T. Bowron, H. G. Manyar, C. Hardacre and T. G. A. Youngs, ChemPhysChem, 2016, 17, 2043–2055.
- 30 C. Alba-Simionesco, G. Dosseh, E. Dumont, B. Frick, B. Geil, D. Morineau, V. Teboul and Y. Xia, Eur. Phys. J. E: Soft Matter Biol. Phys., 2003, 12, 19–28.