Kinetic and mechanistic analysis of a synthetic reversible CO$_2$/HCO$_2^-$ electrocatalyst†
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[Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ electrocatalyzes the reversible conversion between CO$_2$ and HCO$_2^-$ with high selectivity and low overpotential but low rates. A comprehensive kinetic analysis indicates the rate determining step for CO$_2$ reduction is the reactivity of a Pt hydride intermediate to produce HCO$_2^-$.

To accelerate catalysis, the use of cationic and hydrogen-bond donor additives are explored.

Photosynthesis utilizes solar energy to upgrade CO$_2$ to organic structural materials and chemical fuels. The prospect of replicating this feat in a synthetic system has driven interest in bio-inspired systems for carbon conversion schemes. The two-electron oxidation of formate (HCO$_2^-$) to CO$_2$ is catalyzed in nature by the formate dehydrogenase (FDH) enzyme. A key feature of FDH is that it also catalyzes the reverse reaction, CO$_2$ reduction to formate. Reversible catalysis is a hallmark of many redox enzymes and indicates catalysis is occurring with negligible overpotentials.

We recently reported the reversible conversion between CO$_2$ and HCO$_2^-$ by the synthetic electrocatalyst, [Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (1) where depe = 1,2-bis(dieethylphosphino)ethane. Complex 1 operates with high selectivity in both directions (>95% faradaic efficiency) and <50 mV of overpotential for CO$_2$ reduction. We previously noted the catalytic rate was too slow for reliable measurement by cyclic voltammetry (<0.5 s$^{-1}$). The slow rate of catalysis precludes 1 from being a faithful functional mimic of FDH.

In this study, detailed kinetic analyses of [Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (1) using electrochemical methods and stoichiometric reactions are described. The proposed catalytic cycle is shown in Scheme 1: Step A, 2e$^-$ reduction of 1 to Pt(depe)$_2$ (2); (B) protonation to generate [HPt(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3); and (C), CO$_2$ reduction to formate. The rate for a possible competitive side reaction (Step B') was also considered. We note that we recently published a kinetic analysis on the similar catalyst, [Pt(dmpe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (where dmpe = 1,2-bis(dimethylphosphino)ethane). Although switching from methyl to ethyl substituents on the phosphine ligand is a subtle change, it results in the free energy for hydride transfer being nearly 4 kcal mol$^{-1}$ more favorable for the methyl-containing variant [HPT(dmpe)$_2$]$^+$. This minor modification leads to important differences in their reactivities; notably [Pt(dmpe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ is not a reversible catalyst.

The studies on [Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (1) reveal that Step C, the reaction of [HPT(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3) with CO$_2$ to regenerate 1 and HCO$_2^-$ is likely the rate-determining step (RDS) for catalysis. Sluggish reactivity at metal hydride intermediates is common for CO$_2$ to HCO$_2^-$ electrocatalysts. There are only a few known homogeneous electrocatalysts with high selectivity for HCO$_2^-$ (>90% faradaic efficiency). In addition to [Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (1) and [Pt(dmpe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$, an Fe carbonyl cluster from Berben et al., two Ir complexes from Brookhart and Meyer et al., and Berskoetter, Hazari, Palmore et al., and a Co complex from
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**Scheme 1** Proposed catalytic cycle and corresponding rates of reactions for electrocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction to HCO$_2^-$ by [Pt(depe)$_2$](PF$_6$)$_2$ (1). Conditions: 5 mM 1, 100 mM CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$-HPF$_6$, 1 atm CO$_2$. 

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d0cc05556e
Artero et al. have been reported. For all of these catalysts, the reaction of a metal hydride intermediate and CO$_2$ to produce formate is proposed to be the rate-determining step.

Although it is evident that the reaction of a metal hydride with CO$_2$ is a key step in formate production, there have been few experimental studies on the nature of the transition state for electrocatalysts. However, mechanistic studies on CO$_2$ hydrogenation catalysts and the enzyme formate dehydrogenase suggest the addition of secondary sphere cations or hydrogen-bond donors could accelerate this step. We explore the use of these additives and discuss the information they provide on the transition state.

**Electron transfer rate constant.** Upon reduction, [Pt(depe)$_2$][PF$_6$]$_2$ (1) exhibits a 2 e$^-$ reversible redox event at $-1.64$ V vs. Fe$^{3+/2+}$ (where Fe = Fe(C$_5$H$_5$)$_2$). The electron transfer rate constant, $k_{ET}$, was determined using the Butler–Volmer method, which is described in more detail in the ESI.† The scan rate dependent cyclic voltammetry is shown in Fig. S1 and S2 (ESI†). Using this method, the 2 e$^-$ $k_{ET}$ is 0.069(80) cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$.

**Reactivity of [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2) with H$.** Under electrocatalytic conditions, the reduced intermediate [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2) can either react with CO$_2$ or the proton source CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$HPF$_6$ where TBD = triazabicyclodecene ($pK_a$ = 29 in CH$_3$CN). The direct reaction of CO$_2$ with reduced metal centers typically leads to a metal carbonate.† The metal carbonate can either protonate twice to generate CO and H$_2$O or disproportionate with another equivalent of CO$_2$ to give CO and CO$_2$. Conversely, direct protonation at a reduced metal center results in formation of a metal hydride as proposed in our catalytic cycle. To explore both these possibilities, we investigated the independent reactivity of electrochemically generated [Pt(depe)$_2$] with either CO$_2$ or H$^+$.

**Protonation of [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2).** In the absence of CO$_2$, the stoichiometric reaction of [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2) with CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$HPF$_6$ was previously shown by $^{31}$P{$^1$H} NMR to give [HPt(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3) (Scheme 1). Thus, we would expect electrochemically-generated 2 to protonate and generate 3 in situ. The cyclic voltammograms of 1 in the presence of H$^+$ under 1 atm N$_2$ retain the expected cathodic peak associated with reduction to 2. However, the anodic peak is only present at higher scan rates (Fig. 1). At more anodic potentials, a feature appears at ca. $-2.9$ V vs. Fe$^{3+/2+}$ (Fig. S3, ESI† blue trace), which is attributed to the subsequent reduction of [HPt(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3). The reduction at $-2.9$ V vs. Fe$^{3+/2+}$ is only present with the addition of acid. CVs of independently-isolated 3 have the same irreversible feature (Fig. S3, ESI† red trace), confirming generation of [HPt(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3) upon reduction in the presence of CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$HPF$_6$.

The rate constant for protonation of the electrogenerated reduced platinum species, 2, was investigated using scan-rate dependent cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 1 and Fig. S4, S5, ESI†). As expected for an EEC reaction (2e$^-$ transfer followed by a chemical step), the cathodic peak potentials shift to more negative values at higher scan rates (Fig. 1 inset, and Fig. S4, S5, ESI†). Additionally, at slower scan rates, the return oxidation wave is almost completely diminished due to consumption of electrogenerated 2 to form 3. At faster scan rates, almost complete reversibility is achieved as re-oxidation of 2 outcompetes protonation. Analysis of the cathodic peak shift† (see ESI†) with 10 mM of CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$H$^+$ results in an observed rate constant for protonation, or $k_{obs}$ of 74(11) s$^{-1}$.

The dependence of acid concentration on observed rate confirmed that protonation is first order in acid (Fig. S6, ESI†). The observed rate constants for protonation of 2 are 36(4) and 54(10) s$^{-1}$ for 5.0 and 7.5 mM CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$H$^+$, respectively, and the second order rate constant is 8.2(7) $\times$ 10$^3$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. Thus, the $k_{obs}$ under catalytic conditions with 100 mM CH$_2$(TBD)$_2$H$^+$ is 820(7) s$^{-1}$, indicating protonation of 2 is relatively facile.

**Reactivity of [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2) and CO$_2$.** Reduction of [Pt(depe)$_2$][PF$_6$]$_2$ (1) under 1 atm CO$_2$ and aprotic conditions leads to the loss of reversibility of the Pt(n/0) couple, suggesting the electrogene-rated [Pt(depe)$_2$] (2) can also react with CO$_2$. We observed similar reactivity with the related compound [Pt(dmpe)$_2$]. The loss of reversibility is scan-rate dependent (Fig. S7–S9, ESI†); at faster scan rates the current associated with re-oxidation of 2 to 1 increases. The electrochemical peak current analysis used to derive the rate of protonation (vide supra) could not be used for this reaction because the cathodic shifts are too small (indicating a slow rate). An alternative method was used to determine the rate. Analysis of the ratio between the anodic return current vs. the initial cathodic current ($i_{ret}/i_{pc}$) at different scan rates provides a half-life for the reaction of 26 s$^{-1}$, which corresponds to a pseudo first-order CO$_2$ binding rate constant, $k_{CO2}$, of 0.027 s$^{-1}$. The data indicate that while the reaction between 2 and CO$_2$ is favorable in the absence of protons, the rate constant is $10^5$ slower than the rate at which 2 is protonated under catalytic conditions. Thus the direct reactivity of CO$_2$ with 2 is negligible during catalysis.

**Hydride transfer step.** [HPt(depe)$_2$]$^+$ (3) was independently synthesized and isolated. We previously demonstrated that hydride transfer from 3 to CO$_2$ proceeds cleanly without any side products or reactions. The generation of HCO$_2$ was quantified using $^3$H NMR spectroscopy. The quantity of HCO$_2$ at each time point was subtracted from the initial concentration of 3 to deduce the concentration of 3 at each time point.
The slow rate measured for step C is consistent with the small Pt hydride), and is therefore likely the rate-determining step. Than our measured rate for step B (protonation to generate the metal protonation of the reduced Co(I) species to generate the metal hydride is proposed to be rate-limiting.18

Rate determining step. Understanding the heterogenous electron transfer rate constant with respect to chemical steps is not inherently intuitive. However, we can compare overall electrocatalytic rates and proposed RDS of catalysts that have slower rates of electron transfer than 1. [Fe₄N(CO)₁₂]²⁻ is an electrocatalyst for CO₂ reduction to HCO₂⁻ and operates with a fast turnover frequency (TOF) of 210 s⁻¹.¹² The RDS for this catalyst is hydride transfer to CO₂, not electron transfer. A Co diphosphine H₂ evolution catalyst that operates with a TOF of 160 s⁻¹ has a kₜₒₜ of 0.003 cm s⁻¹.¹⁸ For this particular catalyst, protonation of the reduced Co(I) species to generate the metal hydride is proposed to be rate-limiting.¹⁸⁺ The measured kₜₒₜ values in these examples are an order of magnitude slower than the reactions at 5 and -13 °C are 6.52(21) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ and 1.23(4) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, respectively. As expected, the rates are significantly slower than the reactions at 25 °C. From the Eyring plot (Fig. 2b) the activation parameters are: ΔH° = 12.2(4) kcal mol⁻¹, ΔS° = -34(1) cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and ΔG°₂₉₈K = 22.3(1) kcal mol⁻¹. As anticipated, the large ΔG°₂₉₈K term suggests sluggish kinetics at room temperature. The entropic term suggests a large degree of order in the transition state and is consistent with a bimolecular reaction between two species and is in agreement with the few reported activation parameters for hydride transfer to CO₂.¹¹

To determine more information about the nature of the transition state, the activation parameters were calculated by measuring the rates at variable temperatures (Fig. 2). The observed rate constants for the reactions at 5 and -13 °C are 6.52(21) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ and 1.23(4) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹, respectively. As expected, the rates are significantly slower than the reactions at 25 °C. From the Eyring plot (Fig. 2b) the activation parameters are: ΔH° = 12.2(4) kcal mol⁻¹, ΔS° = -34(1) cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹, and ΔG°₂₉₈K = 22.3(1) kcal mol⁻¹. As anticipated, the large ΔG°₂₉₈K term suggests sluggish kinetics at room temperature. The entropic term suggests a large degree of order in the transition state and is consistent with a bimolecular reaction between two species and is in agreement with the few reported activation parameters for hydride transfer to CO₂.¹¹

The most commonly proposed mechanisms for CO₂ insertion are shown in Scheme 2a and b.¹¹ In the (a) outer-sphere mechanism, a hydride is delivered directly to CO₂ with concomitant cleavage of the M-H and C-H bond formation. In the (b) inner-sphere mechanism, M-H and CO₂ join in a side-on fashion giving rising to a metallacyclic transition state. Both mechanisms have a large negative entropy of activation, so they are not distinguishable by our Eyring parameters. Hazari and colleagues studied the kinetics of CO₂ insertion into various metal hydrides.¹¹,²⁰ They found the rate of the reaction between CO₂ and an Ir pincer trihydride complex and [HRu(ppy)(bpy)][PF₆] can be accelerated by up to a factor of ×10³ upon addition of salts, particularly Lewis acidic (LA) cations.¹¹ In these cases, it is believed hydride transfer follows an outer-sphere mechanism, and the acceleration in rate is due to stabilization of the negative charge on the carboxylate moiety in the transition state. In the case of a Ni pincer hydride complex, no enhancement was observed, leading the authors to conclude the reaction likely follows an inner-sphere mechanism.

In the most recent proposed mechanism of the enzyme formate dehydrogenase, hydride transfer resembles an outer-sphere approach (Scheme 2c).¹² In metal-dependent formate dehydrogenation enzymes, a conserved arginine residue near the active site is critical for catalytic activity. With a pKₐ of 12.5, arginine is expected to be protonated under biological conditions. In this mechanism, a cationic protonated arginine in the secondary coordination sphere is proposed to stabilize the carboxylate species through hydrogen-bonding and/or electrostatic interactions.

In an attempt to accelerate the rate of CO₂ insertion into 3, lithium bis(trifluoromethane)sulfonimide (LiNTf₂) was added to the reaction. We selected LiNTf₂ because of its relatively high solubility in acetonitrile and because it exhibited the highest rate acceleration for the previously reported iridium pincer trihydride complex.¹¹,²⁰ UV-Visible spectroscopy (UV-Vis) was used to quantify the rate instead of ¹H NMR measurements.
in the presence of LiNTf₂ due to precipitation of lithium formate at the mM concentrations required for NMR (Fig. S10, ESI†). Using the method of initial rates (data for t < 20 min) and a lower concentration of 1 (0.100 mM) the rate was 6.50(7) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ without LiNTf₂ and 7.23(6) × 10⁻⁵ s⁻¹ with 10 mM LiNTf₂. Thus, the addition of LiNTf₂ does not significantly increase the rate of CO₂ reactivity with 3.

To mimic the role of a protonated arginine, guanidinium tetraphenylborate was also tested as an additive to accelerate reactivity. Guanidinium (pKₐ of 23.3 in CH₃CN) is a stronger proton donor than the CH₂(TBD)₂HPF₆ we normally use for catalysis. Fig. S12 (ESI†) shows the CVs with addition of guanidinium BPh₄. Upon addition of guanidinium BPh₄, the anodic (return) wave diminishes after reduction, consistent with protonation of [Pt(depe)₂]⁺ (2) to form [H₂Pt(depe)₂]⁺⁺ (3). The addition of CO₂ leads to a reduction in cathodic current, which is recovered with addition of excess guanidinium BPh₄. However, the peak current does not increase with the addition of guanidinium BPh₄.

As neither LiNTf₂ nor guanidinium BPh₄ increase the rate of the proposed RDS or catalysis, we conclude that Step C likely proceed through an inner-sphere mechanism (Scheme 2b). An inner-sphere mechanism was previously attributed to a Ni pincer hydride complex as various additives did not significantly affect the rate of CO₂ insertion.¹¹ε This mechanism may consist of several elementary steps to produce HCO₂⁻, although we expect any potential intermediates are likely short-lived. We have observed no catalyst-based intermediates from 3 to 2.

Currently, all of the evidence for reported CO₂ reduction to HCO₂⁻ electrocatalysts indicate the rate limiting step is the reaction of a metal hydride with CO₂. Understanding the different possible mechanisms, the metal hydride properties that make each mechanism favorable, and how each mechanism can be accelerated through synthetic design or reaction conditions would make a profound impact on developing new catalysts. As our understanding of metal hydride reactivity progresses, we can aspire to new synthetic catalysts that replicate the selectivity, low overpotential, and speed of enzymes.
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