



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 12813

Received 29th June 2020,
Accepted 15th September 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cc04489j

rsc.li/chemcomm

Dibenzocycloheptatriene as end-group of Thiele and tetrabenzo-Chichibabin hydrocarbons†

Vicente G. Jiménez, ^{†a} Paula Mayorga-Burrezo, ^{†b} Victor Blanco, ^{†a} Vega Lloveras, ^b Carlos J. Gómez-García, ^c Tomás Šolomek, ^d Juan M. Cuerva, ^a Jaume Veciana ^{*b} and Araceli G. Campaña ^{*a}

Thiele (Th) and tetrabenzo-Chichibabin (TBC) derivatives with terminal dibenzocycloheptatriene (DBHept) units were prepared. A clear correlation between their electronic and molecular structures was established. Insights into their closed- or open-shell ground states were gained, where particular contribution of the heptagonal carbocycles as end-groups was proved. Remarkably, a thermally accessible triplet diradical configuration was confirmed for the DBHept-TBC compound.

Electronic structure of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons¹ (PAHs) with small HOMO–LUMO gap appears as halfway between closed-shell (CS) and open-shell (OS) with two possible spin configurations: a singlet (SOS) and a triplet (TOS). As a result, a number of intriguing properties may arise in these so-called diradicals,² such as two-photon absorption enhancement,³ singlet fission,^{2,4} interesting chiroptical,⁵ or amphoteric redox⁶ behaviour. In the case when the frontier MOs are disjoint (*i.e.* have no atoms in common), a small singlet–triplet energy gap and thermally accessible magnetism may arise. Several π -extended diradical PAHs based on *ortho*- or *para*-quinodimethanes (*o*-/*p*-QDM),⁷ such as indenofluorenes, zethrenes, teranthrenes and others, have been reported.⁸ Among them, Thiele⁹ and Chichibabin¹⁰ PAHs, the first reported diradicals, are of particular interest (Chart 1A). Organic chemists have devoted great effort to analyse their peculiar CS/OS resonance while battling their high reactivity.¹¹ The gain in steric hindrance between the (diphenyl)-methyl




Chart 1 CS (left) and OS (right) forms of previously reported: (A) Thiele (Th) and Chichibabin hydrocarbons, (B) tetrabenzo-Chichibabin (TBC) hydrocarbon, (C) TBC-derivatives with terminal fluorene groups. (D) Previous and new Th and TBC-derivatives incorporating dibenzocycloheptatriene (DBHept) as end-groups.

end-groups and the central aromatic rings extended to anthracenes^{12,13} (Chart 1B) twists the structure and diminishes the exchange between the two spins. This enhances the SOS character and lowers the singlet–triplet gap.

By increasing the length of the spacers ($n = 2 \rightarrow 4$, Chart 1B), Wu *et al.* observed a slow conversion of the OS orthogonal structure to the quinoidal CS singlet state with contorted butterfly-like geometry ($n = 1$).¹³ In the extreme case ($n = 3, 4$), the derivatives behaved like uncoupled radicals in the solid-state EPR experiments. In recent years, the effect of the end-groups has been explored,¹⁴ including carbenes^{14c–h} or non-hexagonal carbocycles. Using fluorenyl end-group, Wu *et al.* stabilized^{13a} the orthogonal geometry ($n = 2$, Chart 1C) with the OS electronic configuration and Campos *et al.* showed a thermal inter-conversion of stable CS/OS forms ($n = 1$, Chart 1C).¹⁵ Only the CS form with no hints of a radical behaviour was recently reported by Suzuki *et al.* when a heptagonal (*i.e.* dibenzocycloheptatriene, DBHept) end-group ($n = 1$, Chart 1D) was employed.¹⁶ The same observation was done by some of us with cumulenes as the conjugated bridges between two DBHept groups.¹⁷

^a Department of Organic Chemistry, Unidad de Excelencia de Química aplicada a Biomedicina y Medio Ambiente, University of Granada (UGR), C. U. Fuentenueva, Granada 18071, Spain. E-mail: araceligc@ugr.es

^b Department of Molecular Nanoscience and Organic Materials, Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB)/CIBER-BBN, Campus Universitari de Bellaterra, Cerdanyola, Barcelona, E-08193, Spain. E-mail: vecianaj@icmab.es

^c Department of Inorganic Chemistry and Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universidad de Valencia, Paterna 46980, Spain

^d Department of Chemistry, University of Basel, St. Johanns-Ring 19, Basel 4056, Switzerland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthetic procedures, further details and additional figures. CCDC 2012097 and 2012098. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d0cc04489j

‡ Both authors contributed as first authors.



Only few other examples of radicals stabilized using heptagonal rings can be found,¹⁸ mostly as a combination of five- and seven-membered rings.^{18c,d,f} To further investigate the effect of heptagonal end-groups on quinoidal PAHs, herein, we report the synthesis and properties of new DBHept derivatives (Chart 1D), Thiele **DBHept-Th** and tetrabenzo-Chichibabin **DBHept-TBC**.


Firstly, **DBHept-Th** was prepared following the synthetic strategy described in Scheme 1A. Double lithium-halogen exchange in 1,4-dibromobenzene, and subsequent nucleophilic addition to dibenzosuberone afforded diol **1**. **DBHept-Th** was then achieved by reduction of **1** with SnCl₂ in CH₂Cl₂. Noteworthy, **DBHept-Th** resulted to be bench stable at air and light. The CS nature of **DBHept-Th** was fully characterized by means of NMR and IR spectroscopies as well as high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS, ESI-TOF) (see ESI†). Single crystals of **DBHept-Th** for X-ray analysis were also grown by slow evaporation of hexane/CH₂Cl₂ solutions, showing the saddle curvature of the end-groups caused by the heptagonal carbocycles and the typical C–C bond distances alternation of the *p*-QDM core (ESI†, Fig. S8). UV-Vis spectrum of **DBHept-Th** in CH₂Cl₂ showed the lowest energetic absorption band between 340 and 430 nm (λ_{max} = 386 nm), in good agreement with a CS ground state (ESI†, Fig. S9). Remarkably, this CS form remained stable after heating up to 373 K in C₂D₂Cl₄, as observed by VT-NMR (ESI†, Fig. S4). As expected, no ESR response was obtained for **DBHept-Th** in *o*-DCB even after heating up to 360 K (ESI†, Fig. S11). In contrast to **DBHept-Th**, previous reports on the isolation of Th analogues with terminal fluorenyl units showed to be very difficult.¹⁹ Consequently, these results show the positive role of heptagonal carbocycles as end-groups for the stabilization of *p*-QDM units in Thiele PAHs and **DBHept-Th** represents the first example of a Thiele PAH analogue incorporating heptagonal carbocycles as end-groups.

Having studied the behaviour of **DBHept-Th**, we wondered what the influence of heptagonal rings as end-groups in a Chichibabin-hydrocarbon would be. However, due to its known high reactivity, we chose its higher kinetically stable analogue, tetrabenzo-Chichibabin hydrocarbon (TBC) with 9,9'-bianthracene central core, presented by Wu and co-workers.^{13a} The synthesis of

DBHept-TBC (Scheme 1B) started from 10,10'-dibromo-9,9'-bianthracene, by a double lithium-halogen exchange and nucleophilic addition to dibenzosuberone giving diol **2**. The resolved X-ray crystal structure of **2** showed the 9,9'-bianthracene core in an orthogonal geometry and the saddle shape of the dibenzosuberone moiety (ESI†, Fig. S8). **DBHept-TBC** was generated by a stepwise protocol and monitored by ¹H-NMR.

First, titration of **2** with trifluoroacetic acid-*d* (TFA-*d*) in CD₂Cl₂ afforded a red solution of [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ with two CF₃COO[−] as counterions. ¹H-NMR confirmed the generation of the dication with a pronounced downfield shift of the proton resonances in the dibenzotropylium moieties, as expected from the deshielded environment (Fig. 1A, bottom to middle). HRMS also confirmed the formation of the [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ (ESI†, Fig. S50). According to the DFT calculations at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) in CH₂Cl₂ level, [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ (ESI†, Table S3) planar dibenzotropylium and anthracene units are orthogonal in good agreement with similar reported dicationic species.²⁰

Electrochemical properties (ESI†, Section S8) of [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ were then investigated by cyclic and square wave voltammetry, where two reversible reductions ($E_{\text{red}}^{1/2}$ = −0.25 V and −1.02 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺) and two quasi-reversible oxidations processes ($E_{\text{ox}}^{1/2}$ = 1.18 V and 1.44 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺), due to the oxidation of the two anthracene moieties, were observed. Consequently, **DBHept-TBC** could easily be obtained from [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ by addition of an adequate reducing agent, such as excess of ferrocene (Fc). Thus, chemical reduction of [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ in CD₂Cl₂, by adding an excess of Fc, was followed by ¹H-NMR (Fig. 1A, top) showing the disappearance of the protons at the terminal DBHept units (central, red signals) and suggesting the presence of paramagnetic species. The signals associated with the protons in the 9,9'-bianthracene core of [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ (central, blue signals) were remarkably broad (top). In this regard, similar partial broadening has been reported for twisted OS diradicals too.²¹



Scheme 1 (A) Synthesis of **DBHept-Th**. (a) *n*BuLi, THF, −78 °C, 1 h; then dibenzosuberone, THF −78 °C to r.t., 16 h, 25%. (b) SnCl₂, CH₂Cl₂, r.t., 24 h, 62%. (B) Synthesis of **DBHept-TBC**. (c) *n*BuLi, THF, −78 °C, 1 h; then dibenzosuberone, THF −78 °C to r.t., 16 h, 86%. (d) TFA-*d*, CD₂Cl₂, 1 min, r.t. (e) Ferrocene (Fc), CD₂Cl₂, 1 min, r.t. Single crystal X-ray structures of **DBHept-Th** and **2** (inset) are shown.




Fig. 1 (A) Partial ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂, 298 K, 3.2 mM) of **2** (bottom), upon addition of TFA-*d* to generate [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ (middle) and subsequent addition of Fc to **DBHept-TBC** (top). (B) UV-Vis-NIR absorption spectrum of **DBHept-TBC** (CD₂Cl₂, 0.1 M, r.t.).



The formation of **DBHept-TBC** was further confirmed by HRMS (ESI,† Fig. S52).

VT-¹H-NMR experiments (ESI,† Section S3) were run for the generated **DBHept-TBC**. High-*T* experiments in C₂D₂Cl₄ showed a marked line broadening upon heating up to 373 K while low-*T* experiments in CD₂Cl₂ (down to 237 K) afforded again the signals of [DBHept-TBC]²⁺. Since returning to r.t. favoured again the disappearance/broadening of the signals, a subtle equilibrium between **DBHept-TBC**/[DBHept-TBC]²⁺ in the presence of Fc/Fc⁺ at low temperatures was considered. Accordingly, the chemical reversibility of **DBHept-TBC**/[DBHept-TBC]²⁺ was also proved by addition of tris(4-bromophenyl)ammoniumyl hexachloroantimonate as oxidant to a CD₂Cl₂ **DBHept-TBC** solution, as the [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ NMR signals were again restored (ESI,† Fig. S3).

Electron spin resonance (ESR) measurements (ESI,† Section S6) were conducted in *o*-dichlorobenzene, aiming at assessing the electronic structure of **DBHept-TBC**. A spectrum with five groups of partially overlapped lines (*g* = 2.00263) was recorded at 400 K (Fig. 2a). Remarkably, this signal was only accessible at high temperature. On the contrary, an ESR-silent response was obtained at r.t., and importantly, VT-ESR experiments showed the reversibility of the process. No half-field signal, typical of triplets, was observed since frozen solutions are needed to this purpose. The associated isotropic hyperfine coupling constants with five different pairs of H nuclei were determined by simulation from the optimized structure of the triplet diradical state (DFT//UCAM-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory, see *a_H* values in Fig. 2c). The obtained data are in good agreement with previous results reported by Kubo *et al.*, for anthracene-attached tricyclic monoradicals having one seven-membered ring.^{18e}

Despite all our efforts, we could not grow suitable single crystals of **DBHept-TBC** for X-ray diffraction. Instead, we isolated a deep red amorphous solid by precipitation of **DBHept-TBC** solution with Et₂O. The isolated solid displayed the same behavior as the samples generated *in situ* in solution. Thus, by re-dissolving this solid in CD₂Cl₂ or *o*-DCB we obtained the same NMR, UV-Vis or ESR spectra, respectively. The UV-Vis spectrum showed the typical vibronic progression of anthracene (350–400 nm, Fig. 1B) and a long absorption tail in the near-IR region typical for similar OS diradicals (Chart 1C, *n* = 2).^{13a} Note that the same UV-Vis spectrum was obtained by *in situ* reduction of either [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ with Fc or diol 2 with SnCl₂, (ESI,† Fig. S10).




Fig. 2 (a) Experimental (black, 10⁻⁴ M in *o*-DCB, 400 K, *g* = 2.00263) and (b) simulated (red) ESR spectra of **DBHept-TBC**. (c) Experimentally determined *a_H* values by simulation (in G) for **DBHept-TBC**. In parentheses, the calculated values at the DFT//UCAM-B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory, over a fraction of the optimized structure for the triplet diradical state.

Magnetic susceptibility data (ESI,† Section S9) of this solid also provided insight into the electronic structure of **DBHept-TBC**. The temperature dependence of $\chi_m T$ (2 to 350 K) shows that the magnetic moment steadily increases with temperature without achieving a constant value at high temperatures and attaining a value of 0.4 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 350 K, which is lower than the expected one of 0.75 cm³ K mol⁻¹ for two independent *S* = 1/2 spins. This indicates that there is an antiferromagnetic interaction in **DBHept-TBC** and it has a singlet (*S* = 0) ground state with the first triplet (*S* = 1) excited state accessible thermally at high temperature. The obtained data can be fitted with the Bleaney–Bowers model and the singlet–triplet gap estimated as 0.74 kcal mol⁻¹.

Theoretical calculations show that the contorted quinoidal closed-shell singlet, **DBHept-TBC-CS**, is lower in energy (Table S2, ESI†) than the triplet state in the same contorted butterfly-like or the orthogonal geometries by >45 or 10 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, being therefore, thermally inaccessible from **DBHept-TBC-CS**. Indeed, reduction of orthogonal [DBHept-TBC]²⁺ must lead to diradical in orthogonal geometry that is kinetically prevented by the steric bulk of the end-groups to convert to the more stable **DBHept-TBC-CS** for which we got no spectroscopic evidence. This agrees well with the rotation barrier (22.7 kcal mol⁻¹) observed¹³ for such transformation with smaller (diphenyl)-methyl end-groups or the calculations (20 kcal mol⁻¹) for model **DBHept-Anthracene** radical (ESI,† Section S7.4).^{18e} Model studies suggest this stabilization is mostly kinetic unlike in case of fluorenyl end-groups¹³ where the orthogonal diradical is the thermodynamic product (ESI,† Section S7.5). This shows that the shapes of the end-groups govern the properties of diradical Chichibabin PAHs. ESR experiments, however, suggest that the **DBHept-TBC** ground state is singlet. Broken-symmetry DFT shows that the triplet and SOS are nearly isoergic, as expected for a diradical with fully disjoint degenerate frontier MOs (FMOs, see ESI†). In this case, two mechanisms may lead to preferential stabilization of the SOS state in the orthogonal **DBHept-TBC**: (i) vibronic coupling or (ii) dynamic spin polarization (DSP). Vibronic coupling was suggested to affect singlet states and their reactivity in diradicals.²² CAS(2,2) calculations do not show any admixture of excited states that might arise by lowering the *D*_{2d} symmetry of the triplet **DBHept-TBC** and stabilize the OS singlet. Note, however, that the dynamic electron correlation missing in CASSCF calculations and solvation could provide a different result. Therefore, DSP, the reason why diradicals with disjoint degenerate FMOs possess the singlet ground state,²³ stabilizes the OS singlet, while it is absent in triplet **DBHept-TBC**. **DBHept-TBC** in orthogonal geometry is thus predicted to have a singlet OS ground state as observed in the ESR and the magnetic susceptibility experiments or by broadening of the NMR resonances. Although we can not discard formation of diamagnetic oligomers at r.t.,²⁴ clearly, paramagnetic triplet **DBHept-TBC** is reached upon heating. Therefore, **DBHept-TBC** incorporating heptagonal carbocycles is the first TBC diradical with major kinetic stabilization embedded by these end-groups.

In summary, we revealed the great impact that heptagonal carbocycles as end-groups have on the ground state in Thiele



and Chichibabin diradical PAHs. The saddle-shaped structure imposed by distorted DBHept moieties led to a CS quinoidal geometry in **DBHept-Th**, while the size of planar DBHept enhanced the steric clash with central anthracenes in **DBHept-TBC** and kinetically stabilized the orthogonal diradical structure allowing to thermally reach the triplet diradical configuration.

The authors are grateful for the financial support from: MICIU/FEDER/AEI, Spain (PG2018-101181-B-I00, PGC2018-095808-B-I00, MAT2016-80826-R, FIP-2018-HECTIC-PTM, Prometeo2019/076 and the “Severo Ochoa” Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D; SEV-2015-0496), the European Research Council (ERC) (677023), DGR (Catalunya) (2017-SGR-918), and SNSF (Switzerland, TŠ, PZ00P2_174175). We thank the CSIRC-Alhambra and SciCore (Basel, Switzerland) for supercomputing facilities and the Servei de RMN, UAB, for instrument time.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) J. Wu, W. Pisula and K. Müllen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 718–747; (b) A. Narita, X. Y. Wang, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6616–6643.
- M. Abe, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 7011–7088.
- K. Kamada, K. Ohta, T. Kubo, A. Shimizu, Y. Morita, K. Nakasuji, R. Kishi, S. Ohta, S. I. Furukawa, H. Takahashi and M. Nakano, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3544–3546.
- K. M. Felter and F. C. Grozema, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **10**, 7208–7214.
- (a) P. Mayorga-Burrezo, V. G. Jiménez, D. Blasi, I. Ratera, A. G. Campaña and J. Veciana, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 16282–16288; (b) Q. Jin, S. Chen, Y. Sang, H. Guo, S. Dong, J. Han, W. Chen, X. Yang, F. Li and P. Duan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 6583–6586; (c) P. Mayorga-Burrezo, V. G. Jiménez, D. Blasi, T. Parella, I. Ratera, A. G. Campaña and J. Veciana, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 3776–3781.
- M. Chikamatsu, T. Mikami, J. Chisaka, Y. Yoshida, R. Azumi, K. Yase, A. Shimizu, T. Kubo, Y. Morita and K. Nakasuji, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2007, **91**, 043506.
- (a) A. Shimizu and Y. Tobe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 6906–6910; (b) Z. Sun, Z. Zeng and J. Wu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2582–2591.
- (a) C. K. Frederickson, B. D. Rose and M. M. Haley, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2017, **50**, 977–987; (b) Y. Li, W. K. Heng, B. S. Lee, N. Aratani, J. L. Zafra, N. Bao, R. Lee, Y. M. Sung, Z. Sun, K. W. Huang, R. D. Webster, J. T. López Navarrete, D. Kim, A. Osuka, J. Casado, J. Ding and J. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14913–14922; (c) A. Konishi, Y. Hirao, M. Nakano, A. Shimizu, E. Botek, B. Champagne, D. Shiomi, K. Sato, T. Takui, K. Matsumoto, H. Kurata and T. Kubo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 11021–11023; (d) P. Ravat, T. Šolomek, M. Rickhaus, D. Häussinger, M. Neuburger, M. Baumgarten and M. Juriček, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 1183–1186.
- J. Thiele and H. Balhorn, *Chem. Ber.*, 1904, **37**, 1463–1470.
- E. Tschitschibabin, *Chem. Ber.*, 1907, **40**, 1810–1819.
- T. Y. Gopalakrishna, W. Zeng, X. Lu and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 2186–2199.
- Z. He, L. Shan, J. Mei, H. Wang, J. W. Y. Lam, H. H. Y. Sung, I. D. Williams, X. Gu, Q. Miao and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3538–3543.
- (a) Z. Zeng, Y. M. Sung, N. Bao, D. Tan, R. Lee, J. L. Zafra, B. S. Lee, M. Ishida, J. Ding, J. T. López Navarrete, Y. Li, W. Zeng, D. Kim, K.-W. Huang, R. D. Webster, J. Casado and J. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14513–14525; (b) Z. Lim, B. Zheng, K. W. Huang, Y. Liu and J. Wu, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 18724–18729.
- (a) T. Nishiuchi, R. Ito, E. Stratmann and T. Kubo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 179–186; (b) Y. Ishigaki, T. Hashimoto, K. Sugawara, S. Suzuki and T. Suzuki, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 6581–6584. Some examples on singlet carbenes as end-groups, see: (c) D. Rottschäfer, B. Neumann, H. Stämmler, D. M. Andrada and R. S. Ghadwal, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4970–4976; (d) D. Rottschäfer, N. K. T. Ho, B. Neumann, H. Stämmler, M. Gastel, D. M. Andrada and R. S. Ghadwal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 5838–5842; (e) D. Rottschäfer, J. Busch, B. Neumann, H. Stämmler, M. Gastel, R. Kishi, M. Nakano and R. S. Ghadwal, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 16537–16542; (f) J. Messelberger, A. Grünwald, P. Pinter, M. M. Hansmann and D. Munz, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6107–6117; (g) G. Kundu, S. De, S. Tothadi, A. Das, D. Koley and S. S. Sen, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 16533–16537; (h) A. Maiti, J. Stubbe, N. I. Neuman, P. Kalita, P. Duari, C. Schulzke, V. Chandrasekhar, B. Sarkar and A. Jana, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 6729–6734.
- X. Yin, J. Z. Low, K. J. Fallon, D. W. Paley and L. M. Campos, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10733–10739.
- Y. Ishigaki, Y. Hayashi and T. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 18293–18300.
- V. G. Jiménez, R. Tapia, M. A. Medel, I. F. A. Mariz, T. Ribeiro, V. Blanco, J. M. Cuerva, E. Maçoas and A. G. Campaña, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 3359–3362.
- (a) M. P. Cava and R. H. Schlessinger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 835–836; (b) J. Kolc and J. Michl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1973, **95**, 7391–7401; (c) J. Liu, S. Mishra, C. A. Pignedoli, D. Passerone, J. I. Urgel, A. Fabrizio, T. G. Lohr, J. Ma, H. Komber, M. Baumgarten, C. Corminboeuf, R. Berger, P. Ruffieux, K. Müllen, R. Fasel and X. Feng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 12011–12020; (d) A. Konishi, K. Horii, D. Shiomi, K. Sato, T. Takui and M. Yasuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 10165–10170; (e) T. Nishiuchi, R. Ito, A. Takada, Y. Yasuda, T. Nagata, E. Stratmann and T. Kubo, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2019, **14**, 1830–1836; (f) X. Fu, H. Han, D. Zhang, H. Yu, Q. Ho and D. Zhao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5565–5571.
- (a) G. Wittig, E. Dreher, W. G. Wittig, E. Dreher, W. Reuther, H. Weidinger and R. Steinmetz, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, 1969, **726**, 188–200; (b) J. Ipaktschi, R. Hosseinzadeh and P. Schlaf, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1999, **38**, 1658–1660; (c) D. Beaudoin, O. Levasseur-Grenon, T. Maris and J. D. Wuest, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 894–898.
- Y. Sakano, R. Katoono, K. Fujiwara and T. Suzuki, *Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **43**, 1143–1145.
- (a) T. Kubo, A. Shimizu, M. Sakamoto, M. Uruichi, K. Yakushi, M. Nakano, D. Shiomi, K. Sato, T. Takui, Y. Morita and K. Nakasuji, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 6564–6568; (b) C. Wentrup, M. J. Regimbald-Krnel, D. Müller and P. Comba, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14600–14605.
- T. Šolomek, P. Ravat, Z. Mou, M. Kertesz and M. Juriček, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 4769–4774.
- (a) P. G. Wenthold, D. A. Hrovat, W. T. Borden and W. C. Lineberger, *Science*, 1996, **272**, 1456–1459; (b) W. C. Lineberger and W. T. Borden, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 11792–11813.
- Q. Xiang, J. Guo, J. Xu, S. Ding, Z. Li, G. Li, H. Phan, Y. Gu, Y. Dang, Z. Xu, Z. Gong, W. Hu, Z. Zeng, J. Wu and Z. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 11022–11031.

