



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, 56, 5333

Received 24th February 2020,
 Accepted 28th April 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cc01438a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Enhancing the catalytic properties of well-defined electrophilic platinum complexes

Pablo Ríos,  Amor Rodríguez * and Salvador Conejero *

Platinum complexes have been often considered as the least reactive of the group 10 triad metals. Slow kinetics are behind this lack of reactivity but, still, some industrially relevant catalytic processes are dominated by platinum compounds and sometimes different selectivities can be found in comparison to Ni or Pd. Nevertheless, during the last years, it has been reported that the catalytic behaviour of well-defined platinum derivatives can be improved through a judicious choice of their electronic and steric properties, leading to highly electrophilic or low-electron count platinum systems. In this feature article, we highlight some catalytic processes in which well-defined electrophilic platinum complexes or coordinatively unsaturated systems play an important role in their catalytic activity.

Introduction

Reports on homogeneous catalysis mediated by group 10 metals are widespread in the literature. Indeed, this triad is able to carry out a great number of chemical transformations, yet the catalytic properties of these elements dramatically vary from each other. In fact, there exists the notion that Pt is much less

reactive than its lighter counterparts, and this is supported by different physicochemical data such as stronger Pt–ligand bond dissociation energies¹ or higher kinetic barriers for oxidative addition and reductive elimination phenomena,² apart from its low tendency to undergo one electron redox events.³ For these reasons, Pt is often employed as a mechanistic model so as to understand the successive elementary steps of a catalytic cycle by analysing the outcome of its corresponding stoichiometric reactions, for example.⁴ However, the role of Pt in catalysis is of paramount importance, given that it is the metal of choice in industrially relevant processes such as olefin hydrosilylation⁵ and because of its ability to activate inert bonds such as those in

Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ), Departamento de Química Inorgánica, Centro de Innovación en Química Avanzada (ORFEO-CINQA), CSIC/Universidad de Sevilla, C/Américo Vespucio 49, 41092 Sevilla, Spain. E-mail: marodriguez@iiq.csic.es, sconejero@iiq.csic.es



Pablo Ríos

Pablo Ríos received his BSc degree in Chemistry from the University of Seville (Spain) in 2013. Then, he moved to Bristol (United Kingdom) to carry out his MSc(R) studies in the group of Prof. Anthony P. Davis, where he worked on supramolecular chemistry developing pyrene-based receptors for neutral monosaccharides. In 2015, he went back to Seville to do his PhD on organometallic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis, under the supervision of Dr Salvador

Conejero and Dr Amor Rodríguez. As part of his PhD studies, he also worked in the labs of Prof. Agustí Lledós at UAB (Spain) and Prof. Christopher C. Cummins at MIT (USA). Currently, he is a postdoctoral fellow at UC Berkeley (USA) in the group of Prof. T. Don Tilley thanks to a Marie Curie Global Fellowship.




Amor Rodríguez

Amor Rodríguez received her PhD in 2002 at the University of Oviedo under the supervision of Professors Víctor Riera and Julio A. Pérez. Shortly after, she started a three years postdoctoral stay at the University of California–Riverside with Professor Guy Bertrand. In January 2005, she joined the Group of Professor Ernesto Carmona at the Institute of Chemical Research of Seville (CSIC–University of Seville) and in September 2009 she became

Tenured Scientist at the Spanish Research Council. Her research interests are mainly focused on the rational design of organometallic group 10 metal systems and the mechanism analysis of small molecules activation processes.





methane (Shilov's⁶ and Periana's⁷ systems are representative cases of this type of transformation).

Pt-Catalysed olefin hydrosilylation usually takes place *via* either Chalk–Harrod or modified Chalk–Harrod mechanisms, which include oxidative addition and reductive elimination steps, as it also happens in CH bond functionalisation reactions (Scheme 1). Nonetheless, the Lewis acidity of Pt(II) and its soft character open the door to different types of reactivity involving electrophilic activation of olefins or alkynes for example (Scheme 1), in comparison to other group 10 metals. As a matter of fact, cationic or low-electron count Pt derivatives are especially relevant in this type of reactions since coordination of the olefin to the metal fragment renders the multiple bond electrophilic, making it susceptible to nucleophilic attack. This is partially due to the decreased (although not negligible) degree of backbonding from the Pt center to the π^* orbital of the olefin.⁸ Indeed, the increase in the positive charge on the metal complex seems to be a key factor in alkene activation. This has been shown experimentally⁹ and theoretically.¹⁰ For instance, cationic monoolefinic complexes of Pt tend to give addition reactions with oxoanions such as hydroxide, alkoxide or carboxylate groups,¹¹ whereas neutral Pt derivatives tend to undergo substitution reactions.¹² However, DFT studies have revealed that olefin fragments bound to cationic metal complexes in η^2 coordination modes still remain inactive towards attack by nucleophiles; instead, a slippage movement of

the alkene to η^1 coordination is required for the electrophilic activation to occur.¹⁰ This phenomenon would be a plausible explanation to the usual Markovnikov regioselectivity observed in monosubstituted aliphatic and aromatic olefins (see below), and it also highlights the importance of the coordination mode of certain ligands and how their resulting geometry affects their reactivity.

Therefore, platinum complexes can be regarded as versatile catalysts which can lead to catalytic processes involving oxidative addition/reductive elimination events or as Lewis acids involving electrophilic activation reactions with no changes in its oxidation state. It is the aim of this feature article to show how it is possible to modulate the catalytic performance of well-defined platinum systems to enhance its chemical reactivity leading to more efficient processes using either mono- or di-cationic, or low-coordinate platinum complexes, or systems that can behave in a similar way. Thus, either ligand design or structure design of the metal complexes is a key feature in the reactivity they exhibit. This is not an exhaustive review-type article but an account through some selected and important reaction processes involving this type of platinum complexes.¹³

Hydroarylation of C–C multiple bonds

Platinum complexes have played a major role in the study of C–H bond activation and functionalisation reactions of hydrocarbons. The discovery first by Garnett and Hodges,¹⁴ followed by Shilov and co-workers⁶ that platinum salts can cleave carbon–hydrogen bonds of hydrocarbons provided the basis to new opportunities in which the design of new architectures based on platinum complexes led to key discoveries and understanding of the critical steps involved in the activation of C–H bonds of hydrocarbons. The readers can find excellent reviews in the literature covering most of the important findings in the field, some of which are quite recent.¹⁵ It is not the scope of this feature article to duplicate such information but to focus on well-defined platinum complexes which are electrophilic or low-coordinate, or that can lead to transient species of this nature. In this sense, one particular process that has benefited for these type of species is the formal addition of a C–H bond of an aromatic compound into a multiple bond, a process known as hydroarylation. Early studies by Fujiwara *et al.*¹⁶ showed that platinum salts in combination with silver salts are amenable systems for the hydroarylation of alkynes through electrophilic metalation of C–H bonds of aromatic compounds. Thereafter, some efforts were geared at developing platinum systems that could provide access to low-coordinate, 14-electron Pt(II) species since they have been proposed as key intermediates in the activation of C–H bonds of hydrocarbons,^{15,17} an important fundamental elementary step in hydroarylation reactions. Indirect evidence for the participation of these species in these processes has been provided in several examples,^{15c} but our group reported the first evidence in which an isolable Pt(II) 14-electron compound can intermolecularly activate C–H bonds of aromatic compounds.¹⁸ From a catalytic perspective in the arena of hydroarylation reactions, one of the first examples was developed by




Salvador Conejero

Salvador Conejero received his PhD from the University of Oviedo, working with Prof. José Gimeno and M^a Pilar Gamasa. From 2002 to 2005 he was a post-doctoral fellow at the University of California-Riverside under the supervision of Prof. Guy Bertrand and in 2005 he was awarded with a Ramón y Cajal contract at the University of Seville-Instituto de Investigaciones Químicas (IIQ) in the group of Prof. Ernesto Carmona. Since

2007 he is Tenured Scientist at the IIQ. He has been awarded with the Young Research Award by the Spanish Royal Society of Chemistry (RSEQ) (2007) and with Excellence Research Award by the Organometallic Group of the RSEQ (2016).







Scheme 2 Hydroarylation of norbornene catalysed by complex 1.

Tilley, Bell and co-workers.¹⁹ Complex 1 in Scheme 2, together with a silver salt to generate a vacant site, proved to be active in the hydroarylation of norbornene with benzene, although with comparable activity than other platinum complexes such as Zeise's dimer activated by silver salts (*ca.* 90% yield in 2 h). In search for new catalysts, Gunnoe *et al.* found that the cationic bipyridine Pt(II) complex 2 having labile ligands such as THF, C₅F₅N or MeCN that can de-coordinate from the metal, hydroarylates benzene and other benzene-substituted organic compounds.²⁰ The reaction of benzene and ethylene catalysed by complex 2 leads to ethylbenzene accompanied by 20–25% of diethylbenzenes (Scheme 3). The ratio of the *ortho*-, *meta*- and *para*-diethylbenzene (1 : 15.3 : 13.6, respectively) formed in the reaction is in accordance with a catalytic system working *via* a platinum-mediated C–H bond activation process instead of the typical Friedel–Crafts reaction pathway usually observed in hydroarylation reactions. The same conclusion can be drawn from the fact that the reaction of propylene with benzene produces certain amounts of the linear *n*-propylbenzene derivative, and has been supported by kinetic experiments and DFT calculations.^{20a} Optimization of the reaction conditions lead to a system operating at 120 °C (0.025 mol% of 2) yielding 89% of alkyl-arene products.^{20a} The fact that the catalyst is not working under Friedel–Crafts conditions but by insertion of olefins into Pt–aryl bonds is very attractive, since selectivities can be completely different and could be controlled through modifications of the ligands around the metal centre.

Comparison of these cationic catalysts with related neutral systems (see below) suggest that the production of diethylbenzenes might be inhibited by decreasing the electrophilic character at the platinum centre, since mechanistic studies point out that dissociation from the coordination sphere of the ethylbenzene formed initially (that can undergo a CH bond activation leading to the diethylbenzenes) is substantially more difficult in cationic systems. To understand the effect of the electronic properties of the platinum atom in the selectivity and




Scheme 3 Hydroarylation of ethylene catalysed by complex 2 (turnovers are given in parenthesis for each compound after 16 h of reaction).



Scheme 4 Hydroarylation of ethylene catalysed by complexes 2. Table shows product distribution (turnovers) after 4 h of reaction.


rate of the reactions, bipyridines with substituents at the 4,4'-positions with different electronic properties have been evaluated (Scheme 4).²¹ Nevertheless, it has been observed that the influence of the ligand has a more important impact on the competitive formation of styrene rather than on the distribution of ethylbenzene and diethylbenzenes. In general, less-donating groups tend to increase the amounts of styrene formed during the catalytic reaction, working at higher rates than Pt complexes bearing more electron-rich bipyridines (in the series were X = H, ^tBu and OMe). However, the systems with electron-withdrawing groups (Br, CO₂Et, NO₂) are more prone to decomposition, something that has been linked to more rapid β -hydride elimination processes with electron-poor bipyridines leading to more unstable platinum-hydride intermediates (as shown in Scheme 5).

On the other hand, the hydroarylation of benzene with propene by complexes 2 lead to mixtures of the branched (cumene) or linear (*n*-propylbenzene) products (3 : 1 ratio respectively). Bipy ligands with electron-poor substituents at the 4,4' position originate mixtures in which the cumene/*n*-propylbenzene is higher (branched to linear product ratios ranging from 3.8 to 4.6).²² The stability of the platinum catalyst is an important issue in order to develop more efficient catalytic systems. A further step to improve the longevity of the catalyst was made by changing the bipy-type ligands by dipyrityls, such as 2,2-dipyritylmethane (**dpm**) (Scheme 6).²³ Bipy and **dpm** have



Scheme 5 Proposed catalytic cycle for hydroarylation process and competitive β -hydride elimination reaction leading to styrene.



Scheme 6 Hydroarylation of ethylene catalysed by complexes **3**.

rather close electronic properties, but different steric properties. It has been shown that complexes of type **3** bearing a **dpm** ligand ($E = \text{CH}_2$, $R = \text{H}$ in Scheme 6) are about 5.6 times more active than **Bubipy** systems of type **2** under the same reaction conditions (Scheme 3). In addition, whereas type **2** complexes deactivate after a period of 24 h, complexes **3** remain active for more than 4 days (leading to turnover numbers up to 469). According to mechanistic studies and DFT calculations, entropic factors arising from an increase on the ring size from 5 to 6-membered rings (going from **Bubipy** ligand to **dpm**) are responsible for these beneficial effects. However, the efficacy of the **dpm**-based platinum complex is lower (TO 11.8 in 4 h) than that of the **Bubipy** (TO 33.5 in 4 h) in the hydroarylation of propene and benzene, presumably due to steric effects.²² With respect to the selectivity of the processes, although styrene is a minor component, diethylbenzenes account for about 20% of the final products. Attempts to modify the dipyrrolyl ligand by means of different E or R groups ($E = \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2$, $\text{C}=\text{O}$, NH , O ; $R = \text{Me}$) did not lead to improvement of the catalyst activity, due in part to catalyst deactivation, although some benefits in selectivity were observed when Me groups are at the 6,6' position of the pyridyl units ($R = \text{Me}$).²⁴

Having in mind that platinum complexes bearing bipyridine ligands with electron-withdrawing groups at the 4,4' position increase the amount of styrene in the reaction of benzene with ethylene catalysed by complexes **2**, the next step was to evaluate the effect of incorporating in the structure of the catalyst more electron rich ligands such as phosphines or N-heterocyclic carbenes such as those in Fig. 1.²⁵ Contrary to initial expectations, the reaction with the platinum complexes bearing di-phosphines gave rise to styrene as a major product with very low efficiency (less than 2 turnovers after 4 h at 100 °C), whereas systems incorporating mixed phosphine-pyridine units or bis-NHCs (**4–6** in Fig. 1) were completely ineffective.

Besides the use of cationic platinum complexes for the hydroarylation of alkenes, the groups of Goldberg and Tilley,






Fig. 1 Phosphine and NHC-based Pt complexes.

Scheme 7 Hydroarylation of norbornene catalysed by complexes **7** and **8**.

independently, have explored platinum derivatives with mono-anionic ligands as a source of transient (and competent), neutral 14-electron platinum(II) intermediates. In 2006 Tilley *et al.* hypothesised that complexes **7** (Scheme 7) could provide an entry to 14-electron Pt(II) species through a change in the coordination mode of the allyl ligand from η^3 to η^1 . Alternatively, analogous low-coordinated derivatives could be targeted by methyl abstraction or protonation of complexes of type **8**.²⁶ It turned out that these kind of platinum complexes are active in hydroarylation of benzene, although with important limitations since, besides relatively moderate conversions (38%), the process works only with norbornene. A few years later, Tilley and co-workers synthesised a series of platinum complexes with 2,2'-pyridyl-indolate ligands (**9** in Scheme 8) with different electronic and steric properties and evaluated them as catalysts in the hydroarylation of alkenes.²⁷ As expected from previous results from Goldberg's group using related platinum catalysts (see below), the reaction proceeds with the nearly exclusive formation of ethylbenzene (turnovers ranging from 7.4 to 25.2), with only traces of over-alkylated products such as diethylbenzenes being detected (less than 1 turnover in all cases) (Scheme 8). However, it was not easy to


Scheme 8 Hydroarylation of olefins catalysed by complexes **9** and **10**.

rationalise the effect of the substituents on the ligand on the activity of the catalysts. One important remark from these systems is that their efficiency is controlled by catalyst decomposition through, mainly, β -hydride elimination processes (Scheme 5) leading to unstable platinum-hydride species that can undergo elimination of the ligand from the coordination sphere giving rise to platinum black (generally after 24 h). Thus, once again, as in some of the systems reported by Gunnoe, an important issue to take into account in the catalytic hydroarylation of alkenes is formation of unstable platinum-hydride complexes.

A few years before, Goldberg *et al.* observed that during the thermolysis of the 16-electron Pt(IV) complex [PtMe₃(dmpp)] (dmpp = 3,5-dimethyl-2-(2-pyridyl)pyrrolide) in C₆D₆ in the presence of ethylene certain amounts of ethylbenzene were detected consistent with catalytic activity in hydroarylation of ethylene.²⁸ Since it was known that thermolysis of related Pt(IV) complexes undergo reductive elimination processes of ethane to generate transient Pt(II) 14-electron species of the type [PtMeL₂], complexes of the type **10** (Scheme 8) were targeted as potential catalysts for the hydroarylation of propene and other substituted olefins.²⁹ It was found that all of them yield mixtures of both Markovnikov and anti-Markovnikov additions with little formation of styrene products derived from β -hydride elimination processes, with the exception of the pyridine methyl-substituted system (R' = Me; R = Me in complexes **10**) for which this process is prevalent. The catalyst with substituents at the pyrrolide (R = Me in complexes **10**) gave higher amounts of the branched products (Markovnikov/anti-Markovnikov ratios up to 85 : 15 with TONs up to 17.9). However, the complexes without Me groups at the pyrrolide fragment (R = H and R' = H in complexes **10**) are less efficient (TONs up to 10.7), but a significant increase of the linear products is observed (leading to nearly 1 : 1 ratios of the products). The decrease in activity for this latter system has been justified by the less basic nature of the unsubstituted pyrrolide ligand, which translates into a lower propensity of the platinum centre to activate C–H bonds through an oxidative addition mechanism. Higher selectivities toward anti-Markovnikov products were observed when bulkier alkenes such as neohexene were used (up to 94 : 6 selectivities).

The hydroarylation of alkynes is also a challenging reaction, in which the control of the selectivity is an important issue as it is the finding of efficient catalytic systems.³⁰ Some examples on the catalytic activity of platinum salts in this relevant process have been reported,¹⁶ but it has been shown that some well-defined platinum complexes are indeed very active. Hahn *et al.* reported that dicationic Pt(II) complexes activate alkynes once bound to the metal centre, to the point that they can undergo nucleophilic addition of electron-rich arenes under very mild reaction conditions through a Friedel–Crafts type process (as in Scheme 1).³¹ Under acidic conditions it is possible to cleave the generated [Pt]–C bond releasing the hydroarylated product and regenerating the catalyst (Scheme 9). This last step is rate-limiting since it is known that protonolysis of dicationic [Pt]–C bonds is a rather difficult process.³²

Biffis *et al.* explored the use of platinum bis-NHC complexes **12** (Fig. 2) in hydroarylation of activated alkynes and in the



Scheme 9 Hydroarylation of acetylene catalysed by complex **11**.





Fig. 2 Platinum bis-NHC complexes.


related insertion of alkynes into CH bonds of heterocyclic compounds. The use of NHC ligands would benefit from the excellent stability of their complexes, even under acidic reaction conditions. These complexes were, however, less efficient than their palladium counterparts (using catalyst loadings of 1%) both in terms of activity (conversions of 40–58%, *vs.* 99% for Pd derivatives) and selectivity (selectivities for Z isomers up to 68 *vs.* 79 for Pd catalysts).³³

Alternative ways to increase the electrophilic character of the metal and therefore to improve catalytic efficiency has been focused on ligand modifications. In this regard, Gabbaï and co-workers developed antimony-substituted bipyridine ligands and studied the effect of the oxidation state on the Sb in their reactivity (Scheme 10),³⁴ most particularly if long-range effects, without the Sb atom directly interacting with the metal centre,³⁵ would have an impact in the electronic properties of platinum. Cyclic voltammetry, together with UV-vis and DFT studies indicated a decrease on electron density in system **14** lowering its LUMO. In fact, whereas complex **13** shows similar catalytic behaviour than the unsubstituted bipy complex [PtCl₂(bipy)] in the hydroarylation of an activated alkyne with mesitylene (leading to yields of 55–65% and selectivities of 1 : 1 of mono- and



Scheme 10 Hydroarylation of electron-poor alkynes catalysed by complexes **13** and **14**.






Scheme 11 Intramolecular hydroarylation of alkynes catalysed by complexes **15** and **16**.

di-substituted alkene) (Scheme 10), an enhanced catalytic activity is observed when complex **14** was used under identical reaction conditions (yields of 83% with selectivities of 2.9 : 1 of mono and di-substituted alkene, respectively).

The intramolecular version of alkyne hydroarylation has been studied by Alcarazo and co-workers for the synthesis of polycyclic homo- and hetero-arenes. To increment the Lewis acidity of the platinum centre the group of Alcarazo devised the use of cationic phosphines, that according to computational studies behave as poor σ -electron donors and good π -acceptors.³⁶ As expected, their complexes have a LUMO lower in energy than that in their corresponding species bearing neutral phosphine ligands such as PPh_3 . Complex **15** (activated with a silver salt), bearing a tricationic phosphine ligand, boosts the catalytic hydroarylation of *ortho*-biaryls substituted alkynes with full conversion in less than 20 min (Scheme 11) in comparison to other typical π -acceptor phosphines such as PF_3 (600 min with < 50% conversion) leading selectively to the corresponding 6-*endo*-dig polycyclic derivatives. A further improvement of the catalytic efficiency came with the use of complex **16**, in which the phosphine ligand is now monocationic. This catalytic system is even faster than that based on complex **15**, completing the reaction in *ca.* 2 min under identical reaction conditions, in spite of having a net neutral charge. This apparent discrepancy, in which a less electrophilic system gives better results, seems to have two possible origins: on one side the R substituents on the phosphine in complex **16** are 1,3-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups, that are effective electron-withdrawing groups, and on the other hand solubility issues might be also important, since dicationic pre-catalyst **15** has a rather low solubility in organic solvents.

Olefin dimerisation


In some occasions, the binding of the olefin to a platinum centre induces a significant activation of the double bond conferring a carbocation-like reactivity of the carbon atoms (Scheme 1). Earlier reports by Vitagliano *et al.* showed that dicationic Pt(II) precursors have an important impact on the activation of the olefin by several orders of magnitude in comparison with monocationic or neutral complexes.³⁷ Thus, the olefin is susceptible for nucleophilic



Scheme 12 Olefin dimerization and cyclopropanation catalysed by complexes **17–19**.

addition of electron rich olefins leading to a co-dimerization process of ethylene/olefins as shown in Scheme 12 (95% conversion of the starting material). Importantly, a modification of the ligand in the coordination sphere at platinum can alter the outcome of the reaction promoting a cyclopropanation reaction. Both the hydrovinylation and the cyclopropanation seem to occur through a common carbocation intermediate (Scheme 12). The selectivity of the process is linked to the *trans* influence of the central fragment of the pincer ligand, with systems bearing low *trans* influence moieties, such as pyridine (**18**), directing the reaction towards a hydrovinylation (95 : 0 ratio hydrovinylated : cyclopropanated products) and the high *trans* influence ligands, such as phosphines (**17**), to cyclopropanation (0 : 100 ratio hydrovinylated : cyclopropanated products with 11% conversion). A similar behaviour was observed with catalyst **19**, for which an excellent selectivity (> 99%, 68% conversion) towards hydrovinylation is observed, although under harsher reaction conditions (Scheme 12).³⁸

It's worth noting that ethylene itself is not involved in a dimerisation process. The catalytic system only works in the presence of a more nucleophilic alkene. However, Brookhart, Templeton and co-workers observed that the monocationic platinum catalyst **20** (Scheme 13) is involved in the dimerisation of ethylene into butenes.³⁹ Moreover, the mechanism of the



Scheme 13 Olefin dimerization and cyclopropanation catalysed by complexes **20–22**.




reaction involves classical hydride/ethylene and alkyl/ethylene insertion reactions rather than nucleophilic addition into a coordinated olefin as observed by Vitagliano, something likely due to the monocationic nature of complex **20**. In search for transient Pt(II) 14-electron species with enhanced electrophilicity Roddick *et al.* reported the synthesis of platinum complexes bearing fluorinated bis-phosphine ligands (**21** and **22**) expecting an increased reactivity by means of the good π -acceptor properties of these phosphines. In fact, complex **22** is some orders of magnitude better than complex **20** (achieving TOF numbers up to 150 h^{-1} at $22 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ vs. 0.1 h^{-1} by **20** at $100 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$). On the contrary, the pincer-based platinum complex **21** showed an intermediate activity (TOF 7 h^{-1} at $20 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).⁴⁰

Cycloisomerisation of dienes and enynes

Cycloisomerisation reactions of multiple bonds catalysed by platinum systems are, arguably, one of the most important processes in which this metal is involved. The cycloisomerisation allows for the synthesis of complex molecules with a large structural diversity under complete atom economy and mild reaction conditions.⁴¹ Platinum compounds have shown a marked propensity to activate multiple bonds facilitating further reactivity patterns that depends strongly on the nature of the reaction conditions, substrate used, ligands surrounding the metal centre, *etc.* The π -acidity of platinum triggers the reaction by transferring the electrophilicity of the metal to the multiple bond. Seminal works by Murai,⁴² followed by intense research developed by Fürstner on the use of platinum salts⁴³ initiated a vibrant research in the field that was complemented by the development of gold catalysis.^{41a,c} Although initial reports were based on the use of platinum salts, it was soon recognised that the electronic properties of the platinum centre can be modified through a judicious choice of ligands to increment its chemical reactivity and direct the catalytic processes to more selective ones.


Cyclisations of dienes

Pioneering work by Gagné, inspired by the work of Vitagliano on the dimerization of olefins,^{37b} involved the use of well-defined dicationic platinum complexes stabilised by tridentate phosphine based ligands (bis(diphenylphosphinoethyl)phenylphosphine, **triphos**) (Scheme 14).⁴⁴ This ligand architecture was selected, on the one hand, to stabilise the dicationic platinum centre and on the other hand, to prevent (or reduce) possible β -hydride elimination processes. To their surprise, complex **23** catalysed the isomerisation of the diene shown in Scheme 14 into a bicyclic structure containing a cyclopropane unit with diastereomeric ratios of 95:5. The reaction takes place at faster reaction rates when the counteranion is SbF_6^- whereas the more coordinating BF_4^- leads to a catalyst operating at slower rates, suggesting the necessity of generating a vacant site at the platinum centre. Interestingly, changing the nature of the pincer ligand, incorporating pyridine unit in the structure,




Scheme 14 Isomerisation of dienes catalysed by complexes **23** and **18**.

results in catalyst **18** with a completely different outcome of the reaction (Scheme 14), in line with the results observed by Vitagliano in the previous section.^{37a} The chiral version of this catalytic reaction is challenging as a consequence of the difficulties in synthesising chiral tridentate phosphine ligands. To circumvent this problem, the same group explored other type of platinum complexes based on mixtures of diphosphine/monophosphine ligands (Scheme 15).⁴⁵ In spite of the possibility of having side reactions arising from β -hydride elimination processes from some intermediates (due to easiness of ligand dissociation of the monophosphine ligand in comparison to tridentate ligands), no such a process is observed. In fact, this had led to a catalyst that is 20 times faster than the tridentate analogue in the same reaction, operating at lower temperatures (rt instead of $40 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$).⁴⁶ One of the reasons for having a more reactive system seems to be due to the use of a bis(diphenyl)phosphinomethane (**dppm**) ligand, in which the smaller bite angle opens more room facilitating the rate-limiting step of the process. Diphosphine ligands with bigger bite angles led to inferior catalytic activities. Varying the **dppm** ligand by chiral diphosphine versions (Scheme 15) resulted in the catalytic conversion of the dienes into bicyclopropane products with enantioselectivities up to 96%. Somehow surprisingly, it has been observed that the synthesis of bicyclopropanes can be carried out with platinum complexes bearing formally one diphosphine ligand ($[(R\text{-BINAP})\text{Pt}][\text{BF}_4]_2$) raising the question



Scheme 15 Cycloisomerisation of dienes catalysed by complex **24** and chiral phosphines leading to best enantiomeric excess.




Scheme 16 Polycyclic synthesis catalysed by complex **25**.

about the role of the third phosphine ligand in precluding β -hydride elimination competing processes.


In other occasions, the β -hydride elimination pathway is desirable for the construction of polycyclic structures through polyene cascade reactions catalysed by electrophilic platinum complexes. To this end, Gagné and co-workers devised the possibility of using the dicationic platinum system $[\text{Pt}(\text{dppe})][\text{BF}_4]_2$ (dppe = 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane) in combination with a hydride abstractor $[\text{Ph}_3\text{C}][\text{BF}_4]$ to initiate a cascade reaction leading to polycyclic structures in yields between 45 to 90% (Scheme 16).⁴⁷

A key point in the catalytic process, besides using a very electrophilic platinum dication, is the use of a hydride abstractor (in the form of Ph_3C^+ , generated *in situ* from Ph_3COMe)⁴⁸ to regenerate the active catalytic species from the monocationic platinum-hydride intermediate, $[\text{Pt}(\text{H})(\text{dppe})][\text{BF}_4]$, generated after β -hydride elimination from the carbocyclic alkyl intermediate formed in the cyclisation event (Scheme 17). It is worth mentioning that the monocationic platinum hydride species $[\text{Pt}(\text{H})(\text{dppe})][\text{BF}_4]$ is not able to catalyse the reaction itself, demonstrating the need of a more electrophilic dicationic species with two formally vacant sites to promote the whole catalytic cycle.

Intermediate **A** in Scheme 17 has been observed by NMR spectroscopy during the catalytic cycle in both the symmetric and the enantioselective version of the process.⁴⁹ As mentioned above, the catalytic system comprises a platinum derivative with a diphosphine ligand in order to favour the β -hydride elimination process. If, on the contrary, a tridentate ligand such as **triphos** is used, the catalytic reaction is blocked since the carbocyclic alkyl platinum species **A** (Scheme 17) is not able to undergo the β -hydride elimination reaction. Nonetheless, Gagné *et al.* demonstrated that it is possible to cleave the Pt–C bond by means of oxidations processes, using oxidants (O_2 combined with Cu(II) salts) that are compatible with the regenerated Pt catalyst




Scheme 17 Proposed mechanism for the cyclisation of dien-ols.


Scheme 18 Tandem cyclisation/C–O bond formation mediated by complex **26**.

(Scheme 18), although high catalytic loadings are required to achieve moderate to good yields (46–64%) of the mixture products (alcohol:ketone).⁵⁰

Other oxidants, such as XeF_2 , seem to be compatible with the catalytic process to create C–F bonds.⁵¹ Although initial reports were carried out under stoichiometric conditions using the platinum dication $[\text{Pt}(\text{triphos})][\text{BF}_4]_2$ as a platform,^{51b} the reaction can be carried out under catalytic conditions with diphosphine-stabilised platinum dications yielding fluorinated polycycles (Scheme 19) in good yields (49–80%).^{51a} Remarkably, the generated Pt–alkyl intermediates (of type **A** in Scheme 17) are intercepted before suffering a β -hydride elimination process, undergoing a faster oxidation by XeF_2 but, still, by-products arising from this competitive reaction pathway are formed as minor components (between 0% and 24%). It has been reasoned that the C–F coupling event takes place very fast as a result of steric crowding between the supporting ligands around the metal centre and the “F” and “alkyl” fragments in the putative Pt(IV) intermediates.^{51a} Notably, the fluorinated reagent reacts only with the Pt–alkyl intermediates and not with the dicationic platinum catalyst, making possible the regeneration of the catalyst.

In some of these oxidations processes, the authors invoked the participation of Pt(III)–alkyl intermediates to explain the 1 : 1 ketone/alcohol ratio. Such species are likely formed through a one-electron oxidation of the cationic Pt(II)–alkyl by the Cu(II) salts. Paramagnetic Pt(III)–alkyl compounds have been suggested

Scheme 19 Tandem cyclisation/C–F bond forming process catalysed by **27**.



Scheme 20 Synthesis of a mononuclear, paramagnetic Pt(III) complex.

(and sometimes EPR detected) as key intermediates in platinum-mediated oxidation reactions of alkyls, but they are usually too reactive to be observed. Nevertheless, in 2012 our group isolated the first cationic Pt(III)-alkyl derivative by means of an oxidation reaction of a cyclometallated, low-electron count, Pt(II) cationic species stabilised by bulky N-heterocyclic carbene ligands (Scheme 20).⁵² Although unstable at temperatures above $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the X-ray structure revealed a very unusual seesaw structure. This compound is involved in the stoichiometric formation of C-Br bonds.

Coming back to cycloisomerization of dienes bearing alcohol units, we have already mentioned that the key point in directing the type of products formed depends strongly on the ability of the Pt-alkyl system to undergo a β -hydride elimination process. In the previously mentioned catalytic processes, the proton generated from the OH functionality is removed from the media to avoid side reactions. However, this proton could, in principle, protonate the Pt-alkyl intermediate (intermediate A in Scheme 17), provided that the β -hydride elimination process is sufficiently slow. Nevertheless, protonation of cationic Pt-alkyl compounds is usually challenging, but tuning the electronic properties of the ligands around the metal centre could bypass this obstacle. At the same time, the ligand of choice should, ideally, confer electrophilic characteristics to the metal centre to initiate the cyclisation reaction. To this end, two types of pincer ligands have been devised (Scheme 21). One of them is based on nitrogen-based pincer ligands, among which 2,6-bis[(4*R*)-isopropyl-2-oxazolin-2-yl]-pyridine (PyBOX), which additionally could allow for enantio-differentiation of the new-generated stereogenic centres, is the most effective one, even in the absence of added bases.⁵³




Scheme 21 Cycloisomerisation of dien-ols mediated by complexes **28** and **29**.

Fig. 3 Mono-cationic platinum system.

Scheme 22 Cycloisomerisation of polyenes catalysed by complex **29**.

Nevertheless, although high conversions (49 to 93%) are obtained with diastereoselectivities above 20:1, low enantiomeric excesses (up to 38%) are generated. The mismatch between a hard ligand (N-based) and a soft metal (Pt(II)), might be behind the excellent activities.

The fact that no alkene derived from a β -hydride elimination process is observed indicates that the protodemetalation is very fast. If, on the other hand, a more electron donating anionic N-based ligand is used as a supporting ligand, as that in Fig. 3, no cycloisomerisation is observed revealing that the electrophilic character of this monocationic platinum system (generated *in situ* from the corresponding iodine derivative) is not enough to trigger the cyclisation process.

The second type of pincer ligand was based on the excellent sigma-donor electron properties of N-heterocyclic carbene ligands (NHCs). The authors conceived that the NHC ligands should be in the lateral positions of the pincer ligand, whereas a less electron donor pyridine fragment should be located at the central position in order to be in a *trans* position of the incoming alkene substrate. This ligand design proved to be efficient in the cycloisomerisation of dien-ols (complex **29**, Scheme 21), working under very mild conditions (rt) generating the final products in yields up to 88%.⁵⁴

The catalytic activity of the bis-NHC pincer complex is not limited to dien-ols but it is also efficient for the diastereoselective cascade cyclization reactions of other polyenes lacking acidic OH functional groups that are usually more difficult to cyclise (Scheme 22).⁵⁵ This system allows the synthesis of polycycles of up to four fused cycles as exemplified in Scheme 22. It is worth noting once again the lack of products arising from β -hydride elimination events. If, however, a bidentate diphosphine ligand is used instead of the pincer bis-NHC above mentioned the cascade cyclization reaction leads, eventually, to the alkene products (Scheme 23).⁵⁶

Cyclisations of enynes

Besides the cyclisation reactions involving dienes, enynes are also prone to undergo cyclisation processes mediated by electrophilic








Fig. 4 Route to ring expansion from intermediate **B**.

authors conceived that the more electrophilic nature of the platinum catalyst might facilitate a ring expansion process from the putative carbene intermediate **B** in Fig. 4 leading formally to a 7-endo-dig (seven membered) cycle, a process that does not take place using PtCl_2 .⁶⁶ Although they succeeded (in some specific cases) in reaching this goal, low yields ($\sim 17\%$) of the desired products were obtained. Nevertheless, this is a good example of how it is possible to modify a platinum system making it more electrophilic for undergoing unusual reactivities.


There are in the literature other ligands with different architectures that have been explored as potential catalysts. In this sense the steric and electronic properties of phosphole-based ligands can be fine-tuned to control the reactivity of the complexes they form.⁶⁷ Gouygon and co-workers have prepared a series of Pt complexes bearing phospholes in their coordination sphere and studied their catalytic performance in the cyclisation of enynes (Scheme 27).⁶⁸ Although some of them were able to catalyse the process, even at rt, diverse mixtures of 6-endo-dig and 5-exo-dig products have been observed that, on the other



Scheme 27 Cyclisation of en-yne mediated by complexes **37**.


hand, can be directed to one or another through modifications of the reaction conditions (solvent, time and temperature). The more basic phosphole ligand **TMP** is the most active among the series studied with conversions of 96% (1 h), whereas bulkier phosphole ligands were considerably less efficient (37% conversion after 3 h). This effect has been attributed to a very favourable *trans* disposition of the phosphole ligands in the catalytically active species.

In recent years, some research activity has been headed to the use of non-innocent ligands that, under certain conditions, can alter the electronic properties of the metal centre. One original system that has been developed by Gabbaï *et al.* makes use of the properties that Z-type ligands impart to the metal centre. This type of ligands act as σ -accepting systems modifying the electronic properties of a complex by depleting electron density from the metal.⁶⁹ As such, the metal centre becomes more electrophilic and can undergo reactivity characteristic of, for example, cationic systems. With this idea in mind a bis(phosphine) ligand system incorporating an antimony Lewis acid in its structure was developed (**38**, Schemes 28).⁷⁰ The design allows for a direct interaction of the platinum atom with the antimony fragment that, on the other hand, can be modulated by means of the different coordination modes available at the antimony atom. It turned out that in spite of having a Z-type interaction between the Pt and Sb atoms in complex **38**, the platinum centre is still too electron rich to promote cycloisomerisation reactions of 1,6-enynes. However, the authors considered the possibility of increasing the Lewis acid properties of the Sb atom by removing one F atom (complex **39**). In doing so, the system became more electrophilic through a stronger Pt \rightarrow Sb interaction. NBO analysis of this interaction indicated that it is covalent in nature and cannot be described as a donor-acceptor interaction between the two atoms, which translates in an increased σ -acceptor properties of the Sb atom. This new system catalysed the cycloisomerisation of 1,6-enynes efficiently (100% conversion within 4 h), thus providing a new way to activate the metal centre for this kind of transformations.




Scheme 28 Cyclisation of en-yne mediated by complexes **38–40**.



Scheme 29 Self-activation of catalyst **40** into **41**.

Alternatively, Gabbaï *et al.* also reported what they termed a “self-activated catalyst” based on complex **40** in Scheme 29.⁷¹ In this particular complex, the authors speculated that the Lewis acidic Sb atom is able to abstract the chlorine atom from the Pt–Cl unit in the presence of the 1,6-enyne substrate, leading to a highly active catalyst **41** (Scheme 29). This assumption was built on the reactivity of complex **40** with other nucleophiles such as CyNC (Scheme 29). In this latter structure, NBO analysis supports that the Sb atom is acting as a π -acceptor ligand retrieving electron density from d orbitals of platinum. This electronic situation is, to some extent, reminiscent to that induced by the cationic phosphine ligands.⁷² In fact, Alcarazo *et al.* have reported that cationic phosphine ligands bind to platinum centres in a way in which the σ -donation from the ligand to the metal is smaller than back-donation from the metal to the cationic phosphine ligand leading to depletion of electron density from the metal. This unusual bonding situation is also found in analogous arsine-based ligands. The introduction of a positive charge on the As atom reduces its HOMO diminishing its σ -donor ability in comparison to neutral arsines such as AsPh_3 .⁷³ However, the nature of the cationic substituent on the As atom has little influence of their σ -donor properties but a rather high impact on the π -acceptor ones, providing a way for fine-tuning the electronic properties of the platinum centre. Therefore, the platinum atom in some of these complexes (specifically the one bearing a cyclopropenium substituent) is carbophilic enough to be active in cycloisomerisation of enynes with full conversion of the starting material in 20 min (Scheme 30). Nevertheless, the arsine bearing the more π -acceptor pyridinium fragment proved to be inefficient in the process that, according to the authors, seems to be due to an excessive π -acidic properties of the platinum system that lead to side reactions in addition to catalyst degradation. In any case, this report constitutes a nice example in which the tuning of the ligand properties leads to a platinum catalyst that surpasses any other system previously reported for this particular transformation.


Scheme 30 Cyclisation of en-yne mediated by complexes **42**.

Hydroamination of C–C multiple bonds

Hydroamination is an industrially valuable process that consists of the addition of a nucleophilic N–H functionality across a C–C multiple bond, giving rise to the corresponding C–N and C–H fragments.⁷⁴ Whereas this reaction has been widely studied with several catalytic systems,^{75–77} there exist drawbacks arising from their sensitivity to oxygen and moisture and limited functional group compatibility. In light of this situation, late transition metal-based complexes have appeared as an efficient alternative in homogeneous catalysis.⁷⁸ Among them, Platinum is one of the metals of choice for this transformation,⁷⁹ for which anionic,⁸⁰ neutral⁸¹ and cationic species have been reported. Given the scope of this feature article, only the latter class will be described in more detail.


Intermolecular hydroamination reactions

The field of intermolecular hydroamination catalysed by electron-deficient Pt complexes started to develop in 2005.⁸² The same year, Tilley *et al.* reported the catalytic olefin hydroamination by arylsulfonamides and weakly basic anilines.⁸³ Such reaction was catalysed by the electrophilic complex $(\text{COD})\text{Pt}(\text{OTf})_2$ or Zeise’s dimer activated by AgBF_4 (10 mol% each). As a result, yields greater than 95% were obtained, and short reaction times and mild reaction conditions (down to 75 °C and 2 hours) were employed. Mechanistic investigations suggest olefin coordination to the electrophilic Pt centre followed by Markovnikov addition of the N–H group, as depicted in Scheme 31. Whereas NMR and Mass Spectrometry characterization of the intermediate $[(\text{COD})\text{Pt}(\text{norbornene})_2][\text{OTf}]_2$ supports the former step (step (i) in Scheme 31), kinetic studies point to step (ii) as the rate-determining step in the cycle. Then, release of acid would yield the corresponding β -aminoalkyl Pt complex (iii), which can release the hydroamination product after further protonation (iv). No catalysis was observed in the presence of the hindered base 2,6-di-*tert*-butylpyridine, which supports the involvement of an acidic species during the catalytic cycle. As one can deduce from the mechanism, basic amines ($\text{p}K_a$ of the conjugated acid >4 , according to the authors) can either coordinate to the metal centre or they might not be acidic enough to undergo



Scheme 31 Mechanistic proposal for the outer-sphere hydroamination of alkenes.





Scheme 32 Hydroamination of alkenes by complexes 43–45.

proton-transfer (step (iii)), which narrows the library of possible amines available for this reaction.⁸³ Therefore, ligand design is crucial in order to solve this kind of problems by tuning the steric and electronic properties of the catalysts.


Although this is the general mechanism for the olefin catalytic hydroamination catalysed by electrophilic, cationic Pt complexes, the Tilley group reported an alternative mechanism involving proton transfer to the olefin substrate followed by amine attack in the case of norbornene.⁸⁴ The strategy of using Pt chloride complexes as pre-catalysts in combination with halide scavengers was also used by Widenhoefer so as to carry out the catalytic hydroamination of monosubstituted allenes by secondary alkylamines. This led to the selective formation of the *E*-diastereomer with yields between 73–99% when using dppf as supporting ligand after screening through a selection of phosphines. Again, a mechanism involving coordination of the allene followed by outer-sphere attack of the amine was suggested by the authors.⁸⁵

In a similar way, Limbach and co-workers carried out the hydroamination of unactivated olefins such as ethylene, propylene or styrene with weak bases like carboxamides and sulphonamides (Scheme 32).⁸⁶ Very high selectivity towards Markovnikov products (up to 10:1) was obtained by using NHC-stabilized bi- and tri-dentate ligands (Scheme 32) in combination with AgBF₄. When using one of the tridentate complexes and morpholine (pK_a of conjugated acid > 8)⁸⁷ the cationic β-aminoalkyl Pt complex 45 (Scheme 32) obtained after step iii (Scheme 31) could be isolated and characterized by X-ray crystallography, confirming once again this type of mechanism.⁸⁶


Intramolecular hydroamination reactions

Platinum complexes have also proven effective in the cyclisation of aminoalkenes, as described in Scheme 33. In fact, Stradiotto *et al.* performed a screening of different catalysts and concluded that complexes PtCl₂ and PtCl₂(COD) are indeed competent pre-catalysts for the cyclohydroamination of secondary alkyl/arylamines tethered to α-alkenes. However, the zwitterionic complex 46 gave comparable conversion values (*ca.* 90%) under the same conditions (110 °C).⁸⁸

Similarly to Limbach and co-workers, the group of Xu and Shi employed NHC-stabilized Pt complexes (47, Scheme 33) in combination with silver salts to afford cationic catalysts able to



Scheme 33 Intramolecular hydroamination of alkenes catalysed by complexes 46–47.



Scheme 34 Intramolecular hydroamination of alkenes catalysed by complexes 48.

carry out the cyclisation of olefins containing secondary alkylamines in excellent yields (> 90%; racemates were obtained in all cases).⁸⁹ This methodology tolerates a wide range of functional groups such as bromo, cyano, nitro, ester or methoxy fragments. Unlike the previous example,⁸⁸ the authors claim that no reaction takes place in the absence of a halide scavenger, and they propose a mechanism similar to that observed for the intermolecular hydroamination reaction (*i.e.* coordination of the olefin to the cationic complex followed by outer-sphere amine attack).⁸⁹




Nonetheless, a different mechanism was proposed by Mayer and Michael for the intramolecular hydroamination when using dicationic (bpy)Pt(II) complexes (obtained again by halide abstraction) (Scheme 34).⁹⁰ Instead, alkene insertion into a Pt–N bond *via* intermediate C (Scheme 34) is proposed based on the results obtained in stoichiometric reactions. In addition, kinetic studies rule out a nucleophilic attack mechanism, given that no rate dependence is observed upon addition of Brønsted acid or base (the protonolysis step is carried out by the own hydrazide). As a result, the 5-*exo* cyclization product is selectively obtained, without detection of the 6-*endo* derivative.

Functionalisation of E–H bonds (E = B, Si)

All the examples described in the previous sections deal with activation of organic molecules in different ways (C–H bond




activation, electrophilic activation of alkenes or alkynes). However, our group has focused its research on the activation of B–H and Si–H bonds of boranes and silanes by electrophilic platinum complexes and implementing this activation in catalytic processes. To this aim, we have synthesised a series of 14-electron Pt(II) complexes stabilised by N-heterocyclic carbene ligands. Although this type of compounds are often postulated as transient species, as in some of the examples previously described, the excellent electron donor properties of NHCs, together with the easiness to tune the steric environment around the metal centre have allowed us to isolate and characterise a series of these compounds.^{18,91} Some of them exhibit a highly electrophilic character and can activate B–H and Si–H bonds of boranes and silanes, respectively, in a different way than other previously described systems. In 2013, we reported that the 14-electron Pt(II) complex **49** is an active catalyst in the dehydrocoupling of amine-boranes, most particularly NMe₂H·BH₃ (Scheme 35).⁹² Initial investigations indicated that this platinum complex catalyses efficiently the dehydrocoupling of NMe₂H·BH₃ into dimethylamino-borane NMe₂BH₂ (that subsequently undergoes a dimerization process; full conversion in less than 20 min). Intriguingly, the mechanism by which this process takes place occurred through a distinct reaction pathway never previously described by any other metal catalyst. An in-depth mechanistic study supported that the reaction involves, in a first step, coordination of the amine-borane leading to a Shimoi-type (or η¹-BH) complex (Scheme 35 bottom right). Although these species were observed by NMR at low temperatures, X-ray diffraction studies on the homologue complex bearing a pyridine borane C₅H₅N·BH₃ (that cannot undergo a dehydrocoupling reaction) confirmed the postulated structure.⁹³ This coordination mode enhanced the electrophilic character of the boron atom so that it can undergo a nucleophilic addition of an external nucleophile leading to a net transfer of a hydride from the borane to the metal centre. As a result, a neutral platinum hydride complex and a boronium cation are formed. The latter contains an acidic NH proton that under specific reaction conditions can react with the Pt-hydride releasing the dimethylamino-borane and dihydrogen. In this last step, the catalyst is regenerated. Therefore, at variance to other metal catalyst the B–H bond activation process is not taking place through a common oxidative addition process. In our case, no changes in the oxidation state are taking place at



Scheme 35 Dehydrocoupling of amine-boranes mediated by complex **49**.Scheme 36 Dehydrocoupling of amine-boranes and amines mediated by complex **49**.

the Pt(II) centre, and therefore the platinum system is behaving as a mere Lewis acid, as in most of the examples described in the preceding sections.

If, on the other hand, an external amine is deliberately added in stoichiometric proportion with respect to the amine-borane, a double dehydrocoupling process occurs leading to diamino-boranes (Scheme 36). Complex **49** proved to be an excellent catalyst in this reaction, with full conversion using as low as 0.5% of the catalyst leading to TOF numbers up to 3692 h⁻¹, outperforming previously described catalytic systems.⁹³

With these precedents in mind, we have also explored the catalytic activity of complex **49** in the dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines. In this particular reaction it's challenging to achieve good activities and good selectivities with the same catalytic system when primary silanes are used. However, platinum complex **49** showed an extraordinary activity and selectivity, leading to TON and TOF numbers up to 98 000 and 330 000 h⁻¹, respectively, that are two orders of magnitude higher than any other catalytic system reported (yields above 86%) (Scheme 37).⁹⁴ In addition, the system is completely selective towards the formation of mono-aminosilanes using catalyst loadings at the ppm level (up to 10 ppm). However, by increasing the catalyst loading (0.1%) it was possible to generate bis-aminosilanes through a double dehydrocoupling reaction. Thus, the selectivity of the process can be controlled by means of the catalyst loading. Mechanistic studies suggest that the reaction takes place in a very similar way to the dehydrocoupling of dimethylamine-borane.⁹² In this case, the first step involves the coordination of the silane to the metal centre to form a σ-SiH complex. This coordination enhances the electrophilicity at the silicon atom⁹⁵ making it susceptible for nucleophilic attack by the amine. In other words, an electrophilic transfer from Pt to Si is occurring. It proved difficult to ascertain the nature of the interaction of the silane with the platinum centre, particularly having in mind that there were no reports on the detection or


Scheme 37 Dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines catalysed by complex **49**.



Scheme 38 Hydrosilylation of CO₂ mediated by complexes **49–51** (TOFs given in brackets).

isolation of this highly reactive species. Although the interaction of the silane with the Pt was observable by NMR at very low temperatures, it was not possible to distinguish between two of the possible coordination modes of the silane to transition metals, either the pervasive η^2 -SiH or the extremely rare η^1 -SiH.⁹⁶ According to DFT calculations carried out on the interaction of complex **49** with silanes, the most stable coordination mode is the unusual η^1 -SiH.⁹⁷ Only very recently, we have succeeded in the isolation of closely related species of this type confirming the predicted η^1 -SiH coordination.⁹⁸ It is likely that this mode of coordination of the silane to the cationic platinum centre enhances even more the reactivity of the silicon atom, since π -back donation from the metal to the σ^* -Si-H bond is expected to be low.⁹⁶ In fact, it is likely that this electrophilic character is also relevant in the selective catalytic hydrosilylation of carbon dioxide (Scheme 38) to the formic acid level by complex **49** and the related complexes **50** and **51**, a reaction taking place at rt with catalyst loadings leading to TONs and TOFs up to 200 and 714 h⁻¹, respectively.⁹⁷ Nevertheless, according to DFT calculations all three complexes **49–51** lead to η^1 -SiH complexes, but their catalytic performance is rather different, with complex **49** being the most active. Therefore, other parameters (electronic and steric) may be also important in the electrophilic activation of the silane.

Turculet *et al.* used complex **52** for the reduction of CO₂ to CH₄ using hydrosilanes (Scheme 39). The combination of a platinum centre with the borane-based Lewis acid B(C₆F₅)₃ give rise to a very efficient system, working with a catalyst loading as low as 0.016 mol% (TONs up to 2156 after 16 h), able to activate a molecule of CO₂ leading to a formaborate Pt complex (Scheme 39)



Scheme 39 Hydrosilylation of CO₂ mediated by complex **52**.

that undergoes a reduction process mediated by both the Pt centre and the borane Lewis acid.⁹⁹

Conclusions

Well-defined electrophilic or low-electron count platinum complexes are very versatile catalysts for a variety of chemical transformations. Rational modulation of its coordination environment and electronic properties can lead to very efficient and selective catalytic reactions that have mainly been explored in C-H bond functionalization reactions, dimerisation of olefins, cycloisomerisation processes, hydroamination and more recently in dehydrocoupling reactions involving boranes and silanes and CO₂ reduction. One key feature of these platinum systems is their ability to undergo processes through either oxidative addition/reductive elimination or insertion processes (commonly found in other late transition metals), but also through their excellent behaviour as a Lewis acid. Interestingly, most of the reactions described in this feature article are catalysed by platinum complexes in a +2 oxidation state but still to develop are electrophilic systems based on a +4 oxidation state. Moreover, although mono-nuclear stable complexes in oxidation states +1¹⁰⁰ and +3^{52,101} in platinum are still rare, they might find new applications in this field. In this sense, the role of Z-type ligands might be relevant to uncover new ways to increase the reactivity of platinum complexes, and in stabilising unusual oxidation states.⁷¹ Moreover, it is clear that other catalytic process involving an electrophilic transfer from the platinum centre to the incoming substrate are still to come beyond those related to carbophilic activation, as we have observed in the dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines. Further developments are still needed to increase efficiency, particularly in reactions such as hydroarylation (increasing turn over numbers and rates) and in terms of catalytic loadings in reactions involving electrophilic activations, although as we have reported the right set of ligands can promote the electrophilic activation of silanes using as low catalytic loadings as ppm. Finally, a rational design of the platinum environment can lead to unusual coordination modes of the substrates enhancing their reactivity, as in the examples of systems favouring transient or stable η^1 coordination of olefins or alkynes and silanes.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for financial support from the Spanish MINECO RED2018-102387-T and CTQ2016-76267-P, FEDER support. We acknowledge support of the publication fee by the CSIC Open Access Publication Support Initiative through its Unit of Information Resources for Research (URICI).

Notes and references

- V. P. Ananikov, D. G. Musaev and K. Morokuma, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 715.
- S. A. Macgregor, G. W. Neave and C. Smith, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2003, **124**, 111.



- 3 V. P. Ananikov, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1964.
- 4 A. R. Chianese, S. J. Lee and M. R. Gagné, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4042.
- 5 J. V. Oblagacion and P. J. Chirik, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2018, **2**, 15.
- 6 N. F. Goldshleger, M. B. Tyabin, A. E. Shilov and A. A. Shteinman, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.*, 1969, **43**, 1222.
- 7 N. J. Gunsalus, A. Koppaka, S. H. Park, S. M. Bischof, B. G. Hashiguchi and R. A. Periana, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 8521.
- 8 C. Hahn, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 5888.
- 9 L. Maresca and G. Natile, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, **16**, 95.
- 10 (a) S. Sakaki, K. Maruta and K. Ohkubo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 2499; (b) O. Eisenstein and R. Hoffmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 4308.
- 11 F. P. Fanizzi, F. P. Intini, L. Maresca, G. Natile and F. Gasparrini, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1990, 1019.
- 12 F. R. Hartley and J. J. Perić, *Nature*, 1975, **256**, 636.
- 13 We have not considered the combination *in situ* of PtX₂ (X = Cl, I) or PtCl₄ salts with ligands since the nature of the active species is unknown.
- 14 J. L. Garnett and R. J. Hodges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 4546.
- 15 (a) J. A. Labinger, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 8483; (b) A. N. Vedernikov, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **11**, 1401; (c) M. Lersch and M. Tilset, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 2471; (d) U. Fekl and K. I. Goldberg, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **54**, 259; (e) A. E. Shilov and G. B. Shul'pin, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2879.
- 16 C. Jia, D. Piao, J. Oyamada, W. Lu, T. Kitamura and Y. Fujiwara, *Science*, 2000, **287**, 1992.
- 17 M. A. Ortuño, S. Conejero and A. Lledós, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **9**, 1352.
- 18 O. Rivada-Wheelaghan, M. A. Ortuño, J. Díez, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 3936.
- 19 D. Karshedt, A. T. Bell and T. D. Tilley, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 4169.
- 20 (a) B. A. McKeown, H. E. Gonzalez, M. R. Friedfeld, T. B. Gunnoe, T. R. Cundari and M. Sabat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 19131; (b) B. A. McKeown, N. A. Foley, J. P. Lee and T. B. Gunnoe, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 4031.
- 21 B. A. McKeown, H. E. Gonzalez, M. R. Friedfeld, A. M. Brosnahan, T. B. Gunnoe, T. R. Cundari and M. Sabat, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 2857.
- 22 B. A. McKeown, B. M. Prince, Z. Ramiro, T. B. Gunnoe and T. R. Cundari, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 1607.
- 23 B. A. McKeown, H. E. Gonzalez, T. B. Gunnoe, T. R. Cundari and M. Sabat, *ACS Catal.*, 2013, **3**, 1165.
- 24 B. A. McKeown, H. E. Gonzalez, T. Michaelos, T. B. Gunnoe, T. R. Cundari, R. H. Crabtree and M. Sabat, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 3903.
- 25 A. M. Brosnahan, A. Talbot, B. A. McKeown, S. E. Kalman, T. B. Gunnoe, D. H. Ess and M. Sabat, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2015, **793**, 248.
- 26 D. Karshedt, J. L. McBee, A. T. Bell and T. D. Tilley, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1801.
- 27 B. A. Suslick, A. L. Liberman-Martin, T. C. Wambach and T. D. Tilley, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 4313.
- 28 A. T. Luedtke and K. I. Goldberg, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 7694.
- 29 M. L. Clement, K. A. Grice, A. T. Luedtke, W. Kaminsky and K. I. Goldberg, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 17287.
- 30 (a) A. Biffis, C. Tubaro and M. Baron, *Chem. Rec.*, 2016, **16**, 1742; (b) T. Kitamura, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 1111.
- 31 C. Hahn, M. Miranda, N. P. B. Chittineni, T. A. Pinion and R. Perez, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 3020.
- 32 M. Elena Cucciolito, A. D'Amora, A. Tuzi and A. Vitagliano, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5216.
- 33 (a) G. Buscemia, A. Biffis, C. Tubaro, M. Basato, C. Graiff and A. Tiripicchio, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2010, **24**, 285; (b) A. Biffis, C. Tubaro, G. Buscemi and M. Basato, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 189.
- 34 Y.-H. Lo and F. P. Gabbai, *Organometallics*, 2018, **37**, 2500.
- 35 H. Yang and F. P. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13425.
- 36 (a) A. Kozma, T. Deden, J. Carreras, C. Wille, J. Petuskova, J. Rust and M. Alcarazo, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 2208; (b) J. Carreras, M. Patil, W. Thiel and M. Alcarazo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16753.
- 37 (a) M. E. Cucciolito, A. D'Amora and A. Vitagliano, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 3359; (b) C. Hahn, M. E. Cucciolito and A. Vitagliano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 9038.
- 38 D. Serra, P. Cao, J. Cabrera, R. Padilla, F. Rominger and M. Limbach, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 1885.
- 39 M. Shiotsuki, P. S. White, M. Brookhart and J. L. Templeton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 4058.
- 40 (a) J. J. Adams, N. Arulsamy and D. M. Roddick, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 1148; (b) S. Basu, N. Arulsamy and D. M. Roddick, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 3659; (c) S. White, B. L. Bennett and D. M. Roddick, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2536.
- 41 (a) J. L. Mascareñas, I. Varela and F. López, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 465; (b) R. J. Felix, C. Munro-Leighton and M. R. Gagné, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 2319; (c) P. Y. Toullec and V. Michelet, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **14**, 1245; (d) A. M. Echavarren and C. Nevado, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 431.
- 42 N. Chatani, N. Furukawa, H. Sakurai and S. Murai, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 901.
- 43 A. Fürstner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 925.
- 44 W. D. Kerber, J. H. Koh and M. R. Gagné, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 3013.
- 45 J. A. Feducia, A. N. Campbell, M. Q. Doherty and M. R. Gagné, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 13290.
- 46 W. D. Kerber and M. R. Gagné, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 3379.
- 47 C. A. Mullen and M. R. Gagné, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 11880.
- 48 N. A. Cochrane, M. S. Brookhart and M. R. Gagné, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 2457.
- 49 C. A. Mullen, A. N. Campbell and M. R. Gagné, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6011.
- 50 M. J. Geier and M. R. Gagné, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 380.
- 51 (a) N. A. Cochrane, H. Nguyen and M. R. Gagné, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 628; (b) S.-B. Zhao, J. J. Becker and M. R. Gagné, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 3926.
- 52 O. Rivada-Wheelaghan, M. A. Ortuño, J. Díez, S. E. García-Garrido, C. Maya, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15261.
- 53 H. Nguyen and M. R. Gagné, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 855.
- 54 M. J. Geier and M. R. Gagné, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 3032.
- 55 C. H. McCulley, M. J. Geier, B. M. Hudson, M. R. Gagné and D. J. Tantillo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11158.
- 56 J. G. Sokol, N. A. Cochrane, J. J. Becker and M. R. Gagné, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 5046.
- 57 S. Oi, I. Tsukamoto, S. Miyano and Y. Inoue, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 3704.
- 58 S. Baumgarten, D. Lesage, V. Gandon, J.-P. Goddard, M. Malacria, J.-C. Tabet, Y. Gimbert and L. Fensterbank, *ChemCatChem*, 2009, **1**, 138.
- 59 A. Fürstner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 3208.
- 60 D. Brissy, M. Skander, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5782.
- 61 (a) A. Fürstner, F. Stelzer and H. Szillat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 11863; (b) A. Fürstner, F. Stelzer and H. Szillat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 6785.
- 62 D. Brissy, M. Skander, P. Retailleau, G. Frison and A. Marinetti, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 140.
- 63 (a) Y. Zhang, H. Jullien, D. Brissy, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, **5**, 2051; (b) H. Jullien, D. Brissy, R. Sylvain, P. Retailleau, J.-V. Naubron, S. Gladiali and A. Marinetti, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 1109; (c) D. Brissy, M. Skander, H. Jullien, P. Retailleau and A. Marinetti, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 2137.
- 64 (a) H. Kusama, E. Watanabe, K. Ishida and N. Iwasawa, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 2273; (b) K. Ishida, H. Kusama and N. Iwasawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8842.
- 65 C. Ferrer, M. Raducan, C. Nevado, C. K. Claverie and A. M. Echavarren, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 6306.
- 66 C. Nevado, C. Ferrer and A. M. Echavarren, *Org. Lett.*, 2004, **6**, 3191.
- 67 K. Fourmy, D. H. Nguyen, O. Dechy-Cabaret and M. Gouygou, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 4289.
- 68 K. Fourmy, S. Mallet-Ladeira, O. Dechy-Cabaret and M. Gouygou, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, **43**, 6728.
- 69 (a) D. You and F. P. Gabbai, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 485; (b) A. Amgounab and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 859.
- 70 D. You, H. Yang, S. Sen and F. P. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9644.
- 71 D. You and F. P. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 6843.
- 72 (a) Y. Canac, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2018, **13**, 1872; (b) M. Alcarazo, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **49**, 1797.
- 73 J. W. Dube, Y. Zheng, W. Thiel and M. Alcarazo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 6869.



- 74 J. Huo, G. He, W. Chen, X. Hu, Q. Deng and D. Chen, *BMC Chem.*, 2019, **13**, 89.
- 75 S. Hong and T. J. Marks, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, **37**, 673.
- 76 M. Arrowsmith, M. R. Crimmin, A. G. M. Barrett, M. S. Hill, G. Kociok-Köhn and P. A. Procopiu, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 1493 and references therein.
- 77 E. Chong, S. Qayyum, L. L. Schafer and R. Kempe, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 1858 and references therein.
- 78 For some representative examples, see: (a) Z. Liu and J. F. Hartwig, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 1570; (b) X. Shen and S. L. Buchwald, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 564; (c) K. D. Hesp, S. Tobisch and M. Stradiotto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 413. See also ref. 74 for more examples.
- 79 H. M. Senn, P. E. Blöchl and A. Togni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 4098.
- 80 (a) J.-J. Brunet, N.-C. Chu and M. Rodriguez-Zubiri, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 4711; (b) M. Rodriguez-Zubiri, S. Anguille, J.-J. Brunet and J.-C. Daran, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **379**, 103.
- 81 (a) X. Wang and R. A. Widenhoefer, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 1649; (b) C. F. Bender and R. A. Widenhoefer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 1070; (c) C. F. Bender, W. B. Hudson and R. A. Widenhoefer, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 2356; (d) C. F. Bender, T. J. Brown and R. A. Widenhoefer, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 113.
- 82 H. Qian and R. A. Widenhoefer, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 2635.
- 83 D. Karshedt, A. T. Bell and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12640.
- 84 J. L. McBee, A. T. Bell and T. D. Tilley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 16562.
- 85 K. L. Toups and R. A. Widenhoefer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1712.
- 86 P. Cao, J. Cabrera, R. Padilla, D. Serra, F. Rominger and M. Limbach, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 921.
- 87 H. K. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**, 5441.
- 88 C. B. Lavery, M. J. Ferguson and M. Stradiotto, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 6125.
- 89 R. Zhang, Q. Xu, L.-Y. Mei, S.-K. Li and M. Shi, *Tetrahedron*, 2012, **68**, 3172.
- 90 J. M. Hoover, A. DiPasquale, J. M. Mayer and F. E. Michael, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 5043.
- 91 (a) M. A. Ortuño, P. Vidossich, S. Conejero and A. Lledós, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14158; (b) M. Roselló-Merino, O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, M. A. Ortuño, P. Vidossich, J. Díez, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 3746; (c) O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, M. Roselló-Merino, J. Díez, C. Maya, J. López-Serrano and S. Conejero, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 5944; (d) O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, M. Roselló-Merino, M. A. Ortuño, P. Vidossich, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 4257; (e) O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, B. Donnadieu, C. Maya and S. Conejero, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 10323.
- 92 M. Roselló-Merino, J. López-Serrano and S. Conejero, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10910.
- 93 M. Roselló-Merino, R. J. Rama, J. Díez and S. Conejero, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 8389.
- 94 P. Ríos, M. Roselló-Merino, O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, J. Borge, J. López-Serrano and S. Conejero, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 619.
- 95 M. C. Lipke, A. L. Liberman-Martin and T. D. Tilley, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 2260.
- 96 J. Yang, P. S. White, C. K. Schauer and M. Brookhart, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4141.
- 97 P. Ríos, J. Díez, J. López-Serrano, A. Rodríguez and S. Conejero, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 16791.
- 98 (a) P. Ríos, H. Fouilloux, P. Vidossich, J. Díez, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 3217; (b) P. Ríos, H. Fouilloux, J. Díez, P. Vidossich, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 11346.
- 99 S. J. Milton and L. Turculet, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 15258.
- 100 (a) Y. Kratish, A. Kostenko, A. Kaushansky, B. Tumanskii, D. Bravo-Zhivotovskii and Y. Apeloig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8275; (b) M. C. MacInnis, J. C. DeMott, E. M. Zolnhofer, J. Zhou, K. Meyer, R. P. Hughes and O. V. Ozerov, *Chem*, 2016, **1**, 902; (c) T. Troadec, S.-Y. Tan, C. J. Wedge, J. P. Rourke, P. R. Unwin and A. B. Chaplin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3754.
- 101 See: O. Rivada-Wheellaghan, M. A. Ortuño, S. E. García-Garrido, J. Díez, P. J. Alonso, A. Lledós and S. Conejero, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 1299 and references therein.

