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Dorotheé Ganz, Dennis Harijan and Hans-Achim Wagenknecht

Labelling of nucleic acids as biologically important cellular components is a crucial prerequisite for the visualization and understanding of biological processes. Efficient bioorthogonal chemistry and in particular cycloadditions fulfill the requirements for cellular applications. The broadly applied Cu(I)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC), however, is limited to labellings in vitro and in fixed cells due to the cytotoxicity of copper salts. Currently, there are three types of copper-free cycloadditions used for nucleic acid labelling in the cellular environment: (i) the ring-strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC), (ii) the “photoclick” 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions, and (iii) the Diels–Alder reactions with inverse electron demand (iEDDA). We review only those building blocks for chemical synthesis on solid phase of DNA and RNA and for enzymatic DNA and RNA preparation, which were applied for labelling of DNA and RNA in situ or in vivo, i.e. in the cellular environment, in fixed or in living cells, by the use of bioorthogonal cycloaddition chemistry. Additionally, we review the current status of orthogonal dual and triple labelling of DNA and RNA in vitro to demonstrate their potential for future applications in situ or in vivo.

Introduction

Labelling of cellular components is a crucial prerequisite for the visualization and understanding of biological processes. Among the different cellular components, proteins represent
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the main carrier of biological functions. Hence, it is not surprising that the chemical toolbox for protein labelling is well developed. Among the non-proteinaceous biomolecules, nucleic acids play a central role for cellular functions and regulations. DNA is the carrier of genetic information and is regulated by epigenetic modifications. RNA is central for transcription and translation of the genetic information into the protein function. Moreover, the function of non-coding RNAs, such as miRNA, IncRNA, and eRNA, is currently an increasingly important topic of research, and their imaging and detection inside cells are challenges for future research. It is of utmost importance to label and image those biomolecules “beyond the proteome”, inside their natural cellular environment. This requires the use of bioorthogonal chemistry, which was developed mainly for proteins and carbohydrates, and has been very recently applied for nucleic acids, too. However, DNA and RNA chemistries are different from protein and carbohydrate chemistry, and bioorthogonal labelling chemistry cannot be simply transferred from proteins over carbohydrates to DNA and RNA.

“Click” chemistry is considered to be biocompatible, if the reactions do not require toxic catalysts, organic solvents, high temperatures or high pressure. Cycloadditions in general, and azide–alkyne cycloadditions in particular, are intensively used for nucleic acid labelling. One major reason is the advantage that both functional groups, azides and alkynes, are bioorthogonal and react chemoselectively with each other. Since the early discovery by Huisgen, the azide–alkyne cycloaddition, however, can only be used for biolabelling in the presence of a Cu(i) catalyst that accelerates this reaction such that it efficiently works at biological temperature. Chelating Cu(i) ligands, like tris[benzyltriazolylmethyl]amine and water-soluble derivatives, suppress Cu(i)-induced oxidative DNA and RNA damages. The cytotoxicity of Cu(i) salts is, however, a principal problem for labellings in the cellular environment. This limits the applicability of this reaction to fixed cells (vide infra). There are rare examples of protein labellings in living cells; to the best of our knowledge, there is no published example of a Cu(i)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) for nucleic acid labelling in living cells. Among the copper-free click-type reactions, there are currently three main reactions used for nucleic acid labelling: (i) the ring-strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloadditions (SPAAC), (ii) the “photoclick” 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions (PC), and (iii) the Diels–Alder reactions with inverse electron demand (iEDDA). According to the literature, these three reaction types are considered to be bioorthogonal. The available building blocks for these reactions were summarized recently by us and others. Herein, we review the labelling of DNA and RNA in the cellular environment of fixed (in situ) or living cells (in vivo) by the use of bioorthogonal cycloaddition chemistry. Additionally, we review the current status of orthogonal dual and triple labelling of DNA and RNA in the test tube (in vitro) to demonstrate their potential for future applications in situ or in vivo.

**Discussion**

**Labelling of presynthesized reactive oligonucleotides inside cells**

Postsynthetic labellings of reactive oligonucleotides are performed inside cells to check if the applied bioconjugation chemistry is indeed bioorthogonal. Such reactive nucleic acids were prepared by two major strategies (Fig. 1). (i) DNA and RNA was synthesized on solid phase (SPS) using a synthesizer and phosphoramidites with reactive groups (RG) as building blocks. For instance, tetrazines are highly reactive salts in, however, a principal problem for labellings in the cellular environment. This limits the applicability of this reaction to fixed cells (vide infra). There are rare examples of protein labellings in living cells; to the best of our knowledge, there is no published example of a Cu(i)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) for nucleic acid labelling in living cells. Among the copper-free click-type reactions, there are currently three main reactions used for nucleic acid labelling: (i) the ring-strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloadditions (SPAAC), (ii) the “photoclick” 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions (PC), and (iii) the Diels–Alder reactions with inverse electron demand (iEDDA). According to the literature, these three reaction types are considered to be bioorthogonal. The available building blocks for these reactions were summarized recently by us and others. Herein, we review the labelling of DNA and RNA in the cellular environment of fixed (in situ) or living cells (in vivo) by the use of bioorthogonal cycloaddition chemistry. Additionally, we review the current status of orthogonal dual and triple labelling of DNA and RNA in the test tube (in vitro) to demonstrate their potential for future applications in situ or in vivo.
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**Labelling of presynthesized reactive oligonucleotides inside cells**

Postsynthetic labellings of reactive oligonucleotides are performed inside cells to check if the applied bioconjugation chemistry is indeed bioorthogonal. Such reactive nucleic acids were prepared by two major strategies (Fig. 1). (i) DNA and RNA was synthesized on solid phase (SPS) using a synthesizer and phosphoramidites with reactive groups (RG) as building blocks. For instance, tetrazines are highly reactive salts in, however, a principal problem for labellings in the cellular environment. This limits the applicability of this reaction to fixed cells (vide infra). There are rare examples of protein labellings in living cells; to the best of our knowledge, there is no published example of a Cu(i)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) for nucleic acid labelling in living cells. Among the copper-free click-type reactions, there are currently three main reactions used for nucleic acid labelling: (i) the ring-strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloadditions (SPAAC), (ii) the “photoclick” 1,3-dipolar cycloadditions (PC), and (iii) the Diels–Alder reactions with inverse electron demand (iEDDA). According to the literature, these three reaction types are considered to be bioorthogonal. The available building blocks for these reactions were summarized recently by us and others. Herein, we review the labelling of DNA and RNA in the cellular environment of fixed (in situ) or living cells (in vivo) by the use of bioorthogonal cycloaddition chemistry. Additionally, we review the current status of orthogonal dual and triple labelling of DNA and RNA in the test tube (in vitro) to demonstrate their potential for future applications in situ or in vivo.
and RNA preparation due to its inhibitory effect on polymerases and the lack of control on the locations.

The SPAAC is an important alternative for the copper(I)-catalyzed reaction \( (k_2 = 10^{-200} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \), although the second rate order constants for conventional cyclooctynes are significantly lower \( (k_2 = 0.0012 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \). The reaction kinetics were improved by increased ring strain. One of the most reactive cyclooctynes is biarylazacyclooctyne (BARAC, \( k_2 = 0.96 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \)), but it is also quite lipophilic. The carboxymethylmonobenzocyclooctyne (COMBO) is an alternative and very promising bioorthogonally reactive cyclooctyne that was invented by Kele et al. It is much smaller than the dibenzoannulated cyclooctynes, like BARAC, and thus less lipophilic, but shows similar reactivity \( (k_2 = 0.8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}) \). We synthesized 2'-deoxyuridines as new DNA building blocks with the COMBO moiety attached to the 5-position using a rigid ethynyl linker or the flexible propyl linker. The DNA building block 1 (Fig. 2) was chemically incorporated into oligonucleotides using SPS. HeLa cells were transfected with the synthetic DNA modified with 1 and were treated by azide-modified dyes. The azide group quenches the fluorescence of the coumarin chromophore.

The azide moiety gets converted into the triazoyl moiety by the SPAAC with the modified DNA inside the living HeLa cells, and thus the fluorescence of the dyes lights up. Confocal microscopy revealed specific DNA staining in the nuclei. In a different approach, Rentmeister et al. modified the 5'-cap of the eGFP mRNA in vitro with the azide–RNA building block 2 using the corresponding nucleoside triphosphate and the methyltransferase Ecmt1. Mammalian cells were transfected with these azide-modified RNA and then reacted with BARAC-modified labels. After the in-cell SPAAC, the cells were fixed for better imaging. Alternatively, the poly(A) tail of mRNA could be labelled in a chemoenzymatic extension approach using the triphosphate of RNA building block 3 and yeast poly(A) polymerase. In contrast to the previous approach with the 5'-cap, the enzyme installs multiple building blocks 3 to the eGFP mRNA. Confocal imaging of HeLa cells revealed that such poly(A) tail-modified mRNA is active for translation. Taken together these tools for intracellular labelling of mRNA are extremely important for studying subcellular localization and dynamic processes of target mRNAs in the future.

The iEDDA reactions between 1,2,4,5-tetrazines and strained alkenes or alkynes are currently the fastest copper-free alternatives for bioorthogonal labelling. Another advantage of this type of reactions is that the first cycloaddition is followed by an irreversible nitrogen release in a retro-Diels–Alder...
reaction of the bicyclic intermediates to dihydropyridazines (with alkenes) or pyridazines (with alkynes). The iEDDA reactivity strongly depends on the substituents at the 1,2,4,5-tetrazines.59–62 The major problem for nucleic acid labelling by using 1,2,4,5-tetrazines as reactive groups, however, is the general instability towards the harsh and, in particular, basic conditions of the SPS,43 but also towards the enzymatic DNA preparation by PEX.20 There are only very few examples of 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-modified DNA and RNA in literature.20,43,63 To avoid this general problem, the alternative strategy is to incorporate the strained alkenes and alkynes into nucleic acids. In particular norbornenes,64 bicyclo[6,1.0]-nonynes (BCN),65 trans-cyclooctenes (TCO)66 and 1-methycyclopropenes (MCp)67 were established as functional groups for the iEDDA labelling of biomolecules, at first in proteins and later also in nucleic acids. However, there are only a few examples in literature for this type of labelling with presynthesized DNA or RNA in the cellular environment. Kath-Schorr et al. prepared norbornene-modified RNA.68 The RNA building block 4 (Fig. 3) was incorporated at defined positions in predefined sequences by SPS. Mammalian cells (NCI-H460) were transfected with this presynthesized siRNA and treated with various 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-fluorophore conjugates. Confocal microscopy revealed that the ATTO647-labelled antisense strand of the siRNA duplex colocalizes with the iEDDA reaction product of the sense strand. We applied the DNA building block 5 with the small cyclopropene group attached to the 7-position of the 7-deaza-2′-deoxyadenosine core for primer extension experiments with standard DNA polymerases.69 This enzymatically prepared DNA strands were transfected into HeLa cells and reacted with a 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-TAMRA dye. Similar to the previous approach, the successful iEDDA reaction inside living cells was demonstrated by the colocalization of the TAMRA dye with the fluorescein label that was attached to the primer. These results demonstrate that strained alkenes represent an important chemical tool for the detection and investigation of RNA and DNA functions in cells, because they minimize perturbations of RNA functions by a large fluorophore and postpone the labelling to the final imaging of the living cells. Devaraj et al. used a template-dependent chemical ligation of fluorogenic probes for the detection of nucleic acids. Two different DNA pieces were presynthesized; the first piece of DNA was labelled by a quenched 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-fluorophore at the 5′-end and the second one by the MCp-modified building block 6 at the 3′-end. The ligation of the two modified oligonucleotides and the subsequent fluorogenic turn-on took place exclusively in the presence of the complementary template, compared to just minimal background reactions in the absence of the template. The enforced proximity of both reaction partners for the iEDDA reaction increases the effective molarity to a 50–120 mM range, depending on the linkers. This system was used for the detection of DNA in mammalian cells.70 In another approach, the dienophile was replaced by the 7-azabenzonorbornadiene building block 7 and the tetrazine by a fluorogenic tetrazine–BODIPY, which led to an increase in turnover amplification after template-dependent ligation. The modified probes were used for the detection of the miRNA template mir-21 inside human cancer cell lines.63

**Metabolic labelling with reactive nucleosides and nucleotides**

Metabolic labelling (ML) of nucleic acids focuses on the uptake of reactive nucleosides71,72 as well as nucleoside mono-,73 or triphosphates74 by living cells. After endogenous, enzymatic conversion into active nucleotides, they can be incorporated into nascent nucleic acids by DNA or RNA polymerases through successive rounds of replication or transcription, respectively. The provided label can postsynthetically be detected via a bioorthogonal reaction with a fluorescent reporter molecule (Fig. 4).

The incorporation of modified nucleosides into DNA and RNA is restricted by the acceptance of the nucleoside kinases. These enzymes are highly selective for the natural substrates due to the specific structures of active centres and the binding contacts. This initial phosphorylation step to the nucleoside monophosphates yield the building blocks for DNA and RNA polymerases. There are some approaches to overcome this limitation. The crystal structure of the uridine–cytidine kinase revealed an open structure at the C5 position.72 Likewise, the adenosine kinase showed cavernous open spaces at the C2, N6 and N7 positions.72 A modification at one of these sites can...
increase the acceptance of the nucleoside.\textsuperscript{72,75} Luedtke \textit{et al.} worked with genetically modified cells that expressed a kinase of the herpes simplex virus that has reduced selectivity.\textsuperscript{76} Another and less intrusive method is the use of so-called pronucleotides. These are nucleoside monophosphates in which the phosphate group is masked by two organic ester or amide groups to increase hydrophobicity and allows cell penetration. Once inside the cell, the chemical masks are cleaved by endogenous enzymes and the active nucleoside monophosphate is released.\textsuperscript{73,77} Common are the phosphoramidites of 5-bis(pivaloyloxymethyl) (POM) and 5-bis(4-acetoxybenzyl) (AB),\textsuperscript{73} the phosphoramidites that are used for the “ProTide” protection groups\textsuperscript{77} and cyclosal triesters.\textsuperscript{78} To the best of our knowledge, there have been no publications on the introduction of nucleoside diphosphates into living cells, probably due to the inherent instability of the protected phosphate groups.\textsuperscript{79} Also for nucleoside triphosphates there is a single publication by Zawada and Kraus, where a synthetic transporter complex is used for translocate nucleotides across the plasma membrane and release the active nucleotide into the cytoplasm.\textsuperscript{74}

It may be mentioned at this point that DNA and RNA polymerases also exhibit a certain substrate selectivity. This limitation is mainly explored for \textit{in vitro} experiments to guide the incorporation of new nucleoside building blocks into DNA and RNA.\textsuperscript{80–82} Polymerase engineering yields advanced polymerases with improved functions by design, screening and targeted evolution. The intention is to improve their ability to incorporate, extend and replicate a wide variety of modified nucleotide analogues.\textsuperscript{83} Recently, Spitale’s group published the metabolic incorporation of exogenous uracil and uracil analogues into cellular RNA after specifically identifying that the uridine mono-phosphate synthase (UMPS) pathway and uracil phosphoribosyltransferase (UPRT) pathway yields the respective nucleotides. This provided a more complex understanding of the metabolic pathways responsible for the incorporation of bioorthogonal reporter molecules.\textsuperscript{84}

Subsequent to introducing the nucleoside into the cell and converting it to the active nucleotide, several strategies are now available to post-synthetically detect the labelled nucleic acids in the cellular environment. Due to the toxicity of some reactive nucleosides,\textsuperscript{76,85} the cytotoxic reaction conditions\textsuperscript{86} and the cell preparations for labelling,\textsuperscript{71} as well as the limited membrane permeability of fluorescent reporter molecules,\textsuperscript{87} postsynthetic labelling of nucleic acids was performed predominantly in fixed cells.

In 2008, Salic and Mitchison firstly published an \textit{in vivo} strategy for the metabolic labelling of DNA using copper(I)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC). The incorporation of 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine 8 (Fig. 5) and its postsynthetic detection \textit{via} reaction with fluorescent azides was a major breakthrough in the field of nascent DNA imaging, which was previously been done only by use of antibody staining.\textsuperscript{88,89} Despite the frequent use, 8 has been shown to be a highly toxic antimetabolite that can cause DNA strand damage leading to cell death.\textsuperscript{90} Hence, further terminal alkyne-modified nucleosides were introduced, which had less toxic properties.\textsuperscript{91}

In particular, the purine derivatives 7-deaza-7-ethynyl-2′-deoxyadenosine 9 and 7-deaza-7-ethyl-2′-deoxyguanosine 10\textsuperscript{92} as well as the arabinosyl derivative 11 of 5-ethyl-2′-deoxyuridine published by Luedtke \textit{et al.} showed lower toxicity in cell experiments.\textsuperscript{93} Thus, these analogues were better adapted for long-term \textit{in vivo} incubation. As an azide modified nucleoside, 5-(azidomethyl)-2′-deoxyuridine 12 was shown to be a viable alternative for post-synthetic labelling by CuAAC.\textsuperscript{76}

A copper-free alternative was recently published by Luedtke \textit{et al.}, which was also the first time ever that a SPAAC was
applied for metabolic labelling of nucleic acids and as an in vitro experiment. Before this approach, SPAACs were restricted to in vitro experiments due to the limited water solubility and poor uptake of the reactants. Prior to this study, Luedtke’s three-component “double click” strategy used a cationic Sondheimer diyne derivative for noncovalent intercalation into double-stranded DNA. This creates a spatial proximity to the previously metabolically incorporated azide-modified nucleosides and yields efficient SPAACs. The resulting highly strained alkyne products then react in a second SPAAC with an azide, tagged to a fluorescent dye.

Another copper-free method introduced by Luedtke’s group is based on iEDDA chemistry of 1,2,4,5-tetrazines and electron-rich dienophils. The reaction offers some major advantages: (i) it does not require a catalyst, (ii) it is irreversible due to the release of nitrogen, and (iii) it is compatible with cell media and therefore qualified for biological applications. 5-Vinyl-2'-deoxyuridine was applied for metabolic labelling because it could successfully be incorporated into nascent DNA and detected by the reaction with a tetrazine-modified TAMRA dye. Based on this strategy, the incorporation of other vinyl-modified nucleosides, including 7-deaza-7-vinyl-adenosine and 2-vinyl-adenosine, was also demonstrated for the metabolic labelling of RNA.

However, only a few of the above described methods for metabolic labelling of nucleic acids have the potential for labelling and detection in living cells. Imaging in fixed cells only provides information by a snapshot of living cells and is additionally affected by artefacts often caused by the fixation process. In contrast, live cell imaging allows the monitoring of many dynamic intracellular processes in real time, which results in advanced kinetic and spatial information.

The CuAAC is highly restricted for the use in living systems by the intrinsic cytotoxicity of copper, causing oxidative DNA damage resulting in cell death in a short time. Where copper is no longer a problem in the SPAAC reaction, the restriction here is the limited cell permeability of the reaction partners. Due to the very bulky modifications, the acceptance of the kinases is an additional impediment for this reaction type. These limitations do not apply for metabolic labelling by iEDDA reaction. The vinyl-modified nucleosides are small enough to be taken up by the cell. They are accepted by the kinases, incorporated into nascent nucleic acids and do not show any significant cytotoxic properties. The post-synthetic labelling reaction takes place under physiological conditions. The major limiting factor is the essential denaturation of the DNA with 2 M HCl to allow reaction with the fluorescent tetrazine–dye. This prevents the detection of native DNA in the well preserved cellular environment, as well as the application in living systems. With regard to these issues, the following approach is promising: not an iEDDA reaction, but a hetero-Diels–Alder reaction was used by Tang et al. for labelling DNA. They demonstrated the metabolic incorporation of vinyl thioether thymidine as dienophile to enable hetero-Diels–Alder cycloaddition with o-quinolimine quinone methide (oQQF) under physiological conditions. The major advantage of this concept is that there is no need to denature the cellular DNA by HCl. However, labelling with the fluorescent oQQF was only performed in fixed cells.

Due to these non-biocompatible reaction conditions and preparations, the detection of labelled nucleic acids is still lacking usability in living systems. Another factor is the fluorescent reporter molecule which is used. While there is no problem with the availability of cell permeable “clickable” fluorescent dyes, which are most organic fluorophores that can be synthesised or purchased, it is more a problem to remove unbound dye from the inside of cells. While in fixed cells unbound dye can simply be washed out, this is a major challenge in living cells where it accumulates and causing fluorescent background signal. This problem may be solved by fluorogenic probes. In particular azides and tetrazines functions quench the fluorescence of dyes.

To the best of our knowledge, there are so far two publications reporting the detection of nucleic acids in living cells and even in living mice. (i) Last year, Xu et al. described the metabolic labelling of RNA with 5-vinyluridine and the subsequent iEDDA reaction with a functionalized tetrazine linked to a biotin moiety. Detection is followed by fluorescent streptavidin-Alexa 488 reporter. Due to the high affinity of biotin and streptavidin, can be linked to other molecules carrying streptavidin. This method may be paving the way to experiments that explore the dynamic interactions of RNA with other molecules. In a further step, was used to visualize tumors in living mice. For this approach, a light-up strategy of the fluorescence reporter was used to eliminate the fluorescent background, since in living systems the unbound dye cannot be removed. The BODIPY-tetrazine derivative developed for this purpose is the profluorophore, which only develops a strong fluorescence signal through the iEDDA reaction with the vinyl function of the nucleoside. The injected modified nucleoside was able to accumulate in tumour tissue due to the increased metabolic activity of the tumour cells. The detection was subsequently performed with a light-up strategy using the fluorogenic BODIPY–tetrazine dye, which could be specifically detected in living mice. (ii) The second example applies the PC reaction which is the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between nitrile imines, that are generated by photolysis of tetrazoles, and olefines. This type of photoreaction was discovered by Huisgen. However, high temperatures or alternatively the use of light in the UV-B range do not meet the criteria of bioorthogonal labelling. The group of Lin et al. synthesized modified diaryltetrazoles for protein labelling which can be photolysed by light in the UV-A range. In principle, PC-type modifications of nucleic acids should now be applicable even to living cells. To the best of our knowledge, however, there is only one example published by Zhang et al. The group applied 5-vinyl-2'-deoxyuridine as building block for metabolic labelling of DNA. Live cell labelling of DNA was reported to be efficient and fluorogenic with a water-soluble tetrazole and upon irradiation by 350 nm light.

Orthogonal dual and triple labelling in vitro
The field of dual or even triple bioorthogonality is particularly challenging, but highly desired for investigations of structure changes and dynamics, in particular with FRET-based imaging probes. To achieve selective simultaneous labelling, a mutual
orthogonality of the reactions must be given. Hildebrand et al. demonstrated for protein chemistry that TCO reacts with a 1,2,4,5-tetrazine in an iEDDA cycloaddition and an azide reacts with a dibenzocyclooctyne in a SPAAC and cross reactivity was excluded.116 iEDDA reactions117 with strained alkenes, in particular TCO and MCP, are orthogonal to SPAAC, but BCNs show cross reactivity.17,118 While some dual labelling schemes have been investigated and established for proteins119–122 and carbohydrates,123 also a few can be found for nucleic acids (Fig. 6 and 7).124–126 Luedtke et al. synthesized the 5-vinyl-2'-deoxyuridine building block 13 for metabolic labelling by iEDDA cycloaddition and the 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine building block 8 by CuAAC labelling and evidenced their chemical orthogonality on fixed HeLa cells using two different fluorescent dyes.71 Jäschke et al. introduced the more reactive
dienophile modifications into DNA. The TCO-bearing phosphoramidites 17–19 were successfully attached to the 5'-end of DNA by SPS, and react with dansyl-modified 1,2,4,5-tetrazines.124 Together with the alkyn-bearing building block 20 and an azide as reactive partner for the CuAAC dual labelling of DNA was realized in a one-pot reaction. This reaction combination was extended in another approach for efficient triple labelling of DNA and RNA by using the SPAAC for an additional level of orthogonality.125 For the iEDDA cycloaddition the norbornene-modified building block 4 was attached at the 5'-end of the oligonucleotides. Applications with comparatively much more reactive TCO- and BCN-modifications showed high yields of by-product, which were probably caused by the usually disfavored reactions between azides and these dyes.

For the CuAAC reaction, building blocks 20 and 21 were incorporated by SPS into DNA or RNA, respectively, while the 2'-azide-modified guanosine building block 22 was used for SPAAC. This approach could allow to study individual molecules by single molecule FRET (smFRET) and offer valuable insights into folding dynamics and presence of multiple folding states.125

Our group managed to incorporate the MCP-bearing 7-deaza-2'-deoxyadenosine building block 5 and the 1,2,4-triazine-modified 2'-deoxyuridine building block 23 simultaneously into DNA strands by PEX.127 For the dual labelling, two different iEDDA reactions were used, which reacted in an orthogonal fashion with each other. As reactive partner for the 1,2,4-triazine group of 23 a BCN-modified dye and for the MCP group of 5 a 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-modified dye was chosen. Careful gel electrophoretic analysis revealed a dual and orthogonal labelling of the PEX DNA products. In the meantime, this mutual orthogonality of iEDDA reactions with 1,2,4-triazines and 1,2,4,5-tetrazines was also confirmed by Prescher et al.128 Although so far only performed in the test tube, such multiple position-selective postsynthetic modifications of nucleic acids offer a useful tool for bioorthogonal labelling and enables multi-component nucleic acid imaging in cells in the future.

Conclusions

Bioorthogonal cycloaddition chemistry requires reactive groups incorporated into DNA or RNA. This can be achieved either as presynthesized oligonucleotides with reactive groups by solid-phase chemistry, by polymerase-assisted preparation methods, such as primer extension (and subsequent intracellular transport), or by metabolic labelling of nucleosides with reactive groups. Recently, three main “click”-type reactions were explored in the cellular environment. The Cu(i)-catalyzed azide–alkyne cycloaddition (CuAAC) is limited to its use in fixed cells and has been extensively used in the context of metabolic labelling. The ring-strain promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition (SPAAC) and the Diels–Alder reactions with inverse electron demand (iEDDA) are considered to be bioorthogonal and there are many available building blocks. Both reactions were explored as postsynthetic labelling methods in the cellular environment by presynthesized oligonucleotides or by metabolic incorporation into nascent
DNA or RNA. The PC reaction is currently quite undeveloped with respect to potential for in vivo labelling. In particular, the spatiotemporal resolution that is associated in general with the use of light, could be a significant advantage for cellular applications in comparison to the more broadly applied SPAAC and iEDDA reactions. However, to ensure further promising and advanced approaches in particular for in vivo metabolic labelling of nucleic acids, the current limitations for bioorthogonal ligation have to be overcome, as they are not entirely suitable for living systems. Therefore, there is still a need to develop more flexible and biocompatible strategies to continuously expand the bioorthogonal toolbox for DNA and RNA labelling. Although multiple position-selective postsynthetic modification of nucleic acids can be performed in the test tube, it must be developed as a tool for multibioorthogonal labelling. In particular, the metabolic labelling of nucleic acids will offer important biological applications for imaging that have not yet been fully exploited.
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