Glycerylphytate as an ionic crosslinker for 3D printing of multi-layered scaffolds with improved shape fidelity and biological features†
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The fabrication of intricate and long-term stable 3D polymeric scaffolds by a 3D printing technique is still a challenge. In the biomedical field, hydrogel materials are very frequently used because of their excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability, however the improvement of their processability and mechanical properties is still required. This paper reports the fabrication of dual crosslinked 3D scaffolds using a low concentrated (<10 wt%) ink of gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA)/chitosan and a novel crosslinking agent, glycerylphytate (G1Phy) to overcome the current limitations in the 3D printing field using hydrogels. The applied methodology consisted of a first ultraviolet light (UV) photopolymerization followed by a post-printing ionic crosslinking treatment with G1Phy. This crosslinker provides a robust framework and avoids the necessity of neutralization with strong bases. The blend ink showed shear-thinning behavior and excellent printability in the form of a straight and homogeneous filament. UV curing was undertaken simultaneously to 3D deposition, which enhanced precision and shape fidelity (resolution ≈150 μm), and prevented the collapse of the subsequent printed layers (up to 28 layers). In the second step, the novel G2Phy ionic crosslinker agent provided swelling and long term stability properties to the 3D scaffolds. The multi-layered printed scaffolds were mechanically stable under physiological conditions for at least one month. Preliminary in vitro assays using L929 fibroblasts showed very promising results in terms of adhesion, spreading, and proliferation in comparison to other phosphate-based traditional crosslinkers (i.e. TPP). We envision that the proposed combination of the blend ink and 3D printing approach can have widespread applications in the regeneration of soft tissues.

Introduction

Native organs and tissues are complex and highly organized structures. The fabrication of three-dimensional (3D) scaffolds which reproduce these intricate geometries is of great interest for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. Traditional fabrication techniques, such as freeze drying or solution casting, render random macro- and microstructures with poor control over the final architecture.1 Porosity is a key parameter in the development of tissue-like structures: the pore size and distribution determine the colonization by cells, and their distribution within the scaffold.2,3 3D printing has arisen as a promising tool for the development of scaffolds with complex and well defined geometries, as it allows layer-by-layer fabrication of 3D constructs with flexible selection of customized geometries, sizes and materials.4,5 Hydrogels are preferred materials for 3D printing because of their excellent biocompatibility and biodegradability. However, their high water content leads to poor processability in 3D printing methodologies.6 Moreover, their intrinsic softness is insufficient for self-supporting of the printed structures.7,8,9,10 A current trend to overcome these limitations is to combine different materials that can together fulfill the essential requirements for good printability.11–14 Such properties are: (i) shear-thinning behaviour while printing, (ii) mechanical stability for maintaining shape fidelity after printing, (iii) good structural integrity under physiological conditions, and (iv) cytocompatibility.15 Extensive efforts have been recently made in the field to develop crosslinking processes that can stabilize the scaffold immediately after printing, such as photocuring of methacrylated polymers.4,10,16
Gelatin hydrogels have been widely used for 3D printing in medical applications. Gelatin is a denatured form of collagen that has several advantages. Gelatin shows less antigenicity compared to collagen, but it maintains in the backbone the RGD peptide sequences for cell attachment, and the matrix metalloproteinase-sensitive degradation domains, typical of collagen. Gelatin is commonly used in the tissue engineering and regenerative medicine fields due to its low cost and easy processability. However, its gelation kinetics is too slow to be efficient for the printing process. Therefore, GelMA has been extensively used in the last few years. Methacrylation allows fast covalent crosslinking in the presence of a photo-initiator and light exposure. Methacrylation does not affect the RGD domains and allows the synthesis of materials with tunable mechanical properties. Chitosan is a natural polysaccharide that can promote tissue regeneration through the activation of inflammatory and fibroblast cells. Chitosan supports cell proliferation and differentiation better than alginate, the quintessential printable material. However, its use in 3D printing has been limited due to its weak mechanical properties. In the last few years, a few studies have reported the use of chitosan for 3D printing. Wu et al. studied different chitosan-based inks by dissolving the chitosan in a mixture of different acids. Gelation of printed scaffolds was achieved by post-printing immersion in NaOH solution, which neutralized the amine groups of chitosan and reduced its solubility. However, the authors did not assess the biological response of the printed structures. Demirtas et al. developed a bioprintable form of chitosan by adding β-glycerophosphate to the ink, which provided thermosensitivity to the system. In this case, the scaffold demonstrated favourable biological features, but the 3D printed structures showed poor shape fidelity. Therefore, the development of successful strategies to overcome current limitations in the 3D printing field using hydrogels is demanded. The present work proposes the use of the crosslinker glycerclyphytate (G1Phy) developed by our group in the fabrication of dual crosslinked 3D scaffolds using a low concentrated (~10 wt%) ink of GelMA/chitosan. Although other studies have been focused on the combination of gelatin with chitosan because of their ability to form together polyelectrolyte complexes, the blend ink composed of GelMA/chitosan has not been reported so far.

G1Phy plays a key role since it provides robust networks and avoids the necessity of neutralization and washing steps. The as-obtained 3D printed structures exhibit good printability, adequate mechanical properties and long-term stability. Thus, our approach involves a two-step crosslinking process that combined UV photopolymerization of GelMA followed by post-printing ionic crosslinking with G1Phy. Two-step 3D printing approaches, which usually consist of the combination of GelMA photopolymerization and ionic crosslinking processes, have been widely applied in the 3D printing field. In these studies, ionic crosslinking is commonly first applied followed by photocuring of GelMA. In the present work, simultaneous deposition and photopolymerization of the 3D structures has been performed. This approach improves resolution and shape fidelity without the necessity of using sacrificial polymers or template agents, which are common techniques applied to water-based ink solutions. This approach and the incorporation of a novel crosslinker such as G1Phy for subsequent ionic gelation not only provides appropriate processability properties to the scaffolds but also bioactive properties in comparison to traditional alginate–Ca2+ ionic crosslinking systems frequently applied in dual-step 3D printing technology.

In this work, 3D scaffolds printed with a pneumatic-based 3D printer show excellent shape fidelity (resolution ≈150 μm). Ionic post-treatment mediated by G1Phy, a hybrid derivative of phytic acid of reduced toxicity, provides a fast and homogeneous ionic crosslinking between phosphate groups present in G1Phy and amine groups of chitosan and GelMA which is crucial for long-term stability properties of the crosslinked polymeric networks. Since 3D printing technology aims to mimic intricate structures and geometries with high resolution, control over stability and swelling properties are essential for cell culture and tissue regeneration in the field of hydrogel 3D printing. Finally, preliminary in vitro results of the 3D printed scaffolds crosslinked with G1Phy using L929 fibroblasts display favourable biological performance in terms of biocompatibility, cell proliferation, and cytocompatibility.

### Experimental

#### Materials

Chitosan powder (with a degree of deacetylation of 90% and Mn = 300 kDa) was purchased from Altakitin (São Julião do Tojal, Portugal) and used as received. Gelatin from porcine skin (type A, ~300 bloom), methacrylic anhydride (MA), poly(ethylene glycol)dimethacrylate (PEGDMA, Mn = 20 kDa), Irgacure2959, sodium tripolyphosphate (TPP), Triton, and Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Bovine serum albumin (BSA) was purchased from PAN-Biotech and paraformaldehyde (PFA) from Electron Microscopy Sciences (Hatfield, PA, USA). The G1Phy crosslinker was synthesized in our lab as published elsewhere. The dispensing tips were purchased from VIEWEG GmbH Dosier- und Mischtechnik (Kranzberg, Germany) and dispensing Optimum® cartridges from Nordson (Erkrath, Germany).

#### Ink design and preparation

GelMA was synthesized by adapting a previously reported method. 5 g of gelatin was dissolved in 50 mL of DPBS at 50 °C and stirred for 30 min until completely dissolved. 8 mL of MA were added gradually to the solution and the reaction was allowed to proceed for 3 h at 50 °C. The reaction was stopped by adding 150 mL of DPBS. The final solution was dialyzed against distilled water (MWCO 3.5 kDa) at 40 °C for 7 days. The resulting product was freeze-dried and stored at 4 °C in a dark container. The degree of methacrylation (70%) was...
were adjusted to 5 mm s\(^{-1}\), 150 µm and 200 µm were used. Printing speed and air pressure were adjusted depending on the needle used. For comparison, printed scaffolds were also crosslinked with TPP crosslinker solution (15 mg mL\(^{-1}\), 40.7 mM).

### 3D scaffold characterization

**Chemical composition and morphology.** The phosphorus (P) content of the 3D printed scaffolds was studied by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES). The scaffolds were weighed and digested at 65 °C with 65% v/v HNO\(_3\). Then, the samples were diluted with pure water in a ratio 1 : 10. The quantification of P was performed with an ICP-OES Ultima 2 (HORIBA, Kyoto, Japan). A conical nebulizer at 2.15 bar and 0.81 l min\(^{-1}\) flow was used, and the analytical wavelength for P was 214.914 nm. The measurements were conducted in triplicate for each sample and the data obtained were expressed as mean values ± standard deviations (SD).

Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis of P, C, N and O contents was performed with a Bruker XFlash detector. P maps were recorded for all fabricated samples.

Light microscopy characterization of the samples was done using an Olympus (Hamburg, Germany) SZX16 stereomicroscope under opaque illumination.

For cryo-scanning electron microscopy (SEM) evaluation, the samples were cut in 1 cm × 2 cm pieces and dried carefully with a Kimtech Science (Kimberly-Clark, Koblenz, Germany) tissue before plunging in liquid ethane at −165 °C using a Gatan (Pleasanton, CA, USA) CP3 cryo plunger. The samples were transferred under liquid nitrogen to a self-made cryo-SEM holder and placed onto the stage of a FEI (Hillsboro, OR, USA) Quanta 400 FEG SEM. Cryo-SEM observation was performed under high vacuum conditions using accelerating voltage between 1.5 and 5 kV. Depending on the amount of ice generated at the sample surface during the preparation process, the samples were freeze-dried carefully inside the...
SEM before taking secondary electron images using an Everhart-Thornley detector (ETD).

**Physicochemical properties.** Swelling of the printed scaffolds was evaluated by measuring the strand widths at different times of incubation in PBS at 37 °C (2, 4, 7, and 10 days) by imaging with a light microscope (stereomicroscope SMZ 800N with episcopic illumination, Nikon, Germany). The measurements were conducted in triplicate for three different samples.

The degradation rate was calculated gravimetrically by drying and weighing the scaffolds after incubation in PBS at 37 °C for different time points (2, 4, 7, 10, 20 and 30 days). The measurements were conducted in triplicate for each sample and the data obtained were expressed as mean values ± standard deviations (SD).

Crosslinker release was followed by measuring the content of P in the supernatant after incubating the scaffolds in medium at increasing times. G1Phy and TPP samples were incubated in Tris-HCl buffer at pH 7.4 and 37 °C in order to avoid interference between P in the sample and in PBS. Aliquots were taken at 2, 4, 7, 10 and 14 days of incubation and the P content was determined by ICP-OES. The measurements were conducted in triplicate for each sample and the data obtained were expressed as mean values ± standard deviations (SD).

**Mechanical properties.** Viscoelastic properties of the 3D printed scaffolds were evaluated in a rotational rheometer (DHR3, TA Instruments, USA) in oscillatory mode at frequency 1 Hz and strain 1%. 4 wt%/4 wt% GelMA/chitosan solutions were photocrosslinked in 24 well-plates by illuminating for 5 min at 50 mW cm⁻², and incubated in a 15 mg mL⁻¹ solution of G1Phy or TPP for 5 min. Their storage and loss moduli were determined by MTT assay. The corresponding scaffolds were sterilized with ethanol and UV light for 1 h and 30 min, respectively. The cells were seeded at a density of 10⁵ cells per mL in 96 well-plates and incubated to confluence. After 24 h of incubation in humidified air with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Cellular viability (%) was calculated for each sample with respect to the control at each time of incubation. The data were obtained from 3 independent series of experiments in triplicate for each sample and they were expressed as mean values ± SD. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the results for G1Phy and TPP samples was performed with respect to the control plate at each time at a significance level of ***p < 0.001, and for G1Phy samples with respect to the TPP sample at each time at a significance level of ****p < 0.0001.

**2D printability and rheological evaluation of GelMA/chitosan polymeric inks**

The printability, *i.e.* the extrusion of a continuous thread through the printer needle, of GelMA/chitosan mixtures with

---

**Immunohistochemistry confocal staining.** The cells were fixed with PFA 3.7% w/v for 10 min, permeabilized with 0.5% w/v Triton-X 100 (TX) for 10 min, and blocked with 0.1% TX and 5% w/v BSA for 20 min. The samples were incubated in 1:1000 vinculin rabbit antibody (Thermo Fisher) solution for cytoskeleton labelling and 1:200 Alexa fluor-546 phalloidin (Thermo Fisher) solution for focal adhesion staining in red color for ~1 h. Then, they were rinsed twice with PBS and incubated with secondary antibody (Alexa flour-488 goat antirabbit, Thermo Fisher) 1:500 solution to visualize the cytoskeleton in green. Finally, the samples were stained with 1:1000 DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole, dihydrochloride, Thermo Fisher) solution for nuclei visualization in blue color and washed with PBS (Sigma). Imaging was performed using a Nikon Ti-Eclipse (Nikon Instruments Europe B.V., Germany) with a Sola SE 365 II (Lumencor Inc., Beaverton, USA) solid state illumination device and an Andor Clara CCD camera for detection.

**Cytotoxicity.** The evaluation of cytotoxicity of the scaffolds was determined by MTT assay. The corresponding scaffold was set in cell culture medium. Then, extracts of the medium were removed at different time periods (2, 4, 7 and 10 days) and replaced with fresh medium. The extracts were filtered and used for cytotoxicity assays. Thermox® (TMX, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) discs were used as the negative control. First, the cells were seeded at a density of 9 × 10³ cells per mL in 96 well-plates and incubated to confluence. After 24 h of incubation the medium was replaced with the corresponding extract and incubated at 37 °C in humidified air with 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Cell viability (%) was calculated for each sample with respect to the control at each time of incubation. The data were obtained from 3 independent series of experiments in triplicate for each sample and they were expressed as mean values ± SD. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) of the results for G1Phy and TPP samples was performed with respect to the control plate at each time at a significance level of ***p < 0.001, and for G1Phy samples with respect to the TPP sample at each time at a significance level of ****p < 0.0001.

**Results**

**2D printability and rheological evaluation of GelMA/chitosan polymeric inks**

The printability, *i.e.* the extrusion of a continuous thread through the printer needle, of GelMA/chitosan mixtures with
Optimization of printing parameters for improved shape fidelity and 3D printing

A dual-step crosslinking approach (Fig. 1) was used for printing the 4/4 w/w% GelMA/chitosan mixtures. The first crosslinking involves the radical polymerization of the acrylate groups and it occurs during ink deposition upon exposure to UV light. The light dose is a relevant parameter that determines the kinetics of the gelation process and the stability of the filament after extrusion. Using a power density of 50 mW cm$^{-2}$, a stable filament that retained its shape was obtained, and no obstruction of the tip occurred during printing. Lower exposure doses led to widespread strands and collapse between consecutive printed layers. A parallel rheological study of the UV-crosslinked solution indicated that the shear modulus of the UV-crosslinked mixture was $G' = 20$ kPa after full exposure (Fig. S2†). Adjustment of the printing parameters was necessary for each tip diameter. 150 and 200 µm metallic needles required printing speed and air pressure adjusted to 5 mm s$^{-1}$ and 500 kPa, and 8 mm s$^{-1}$ and 400 kPa, respectively. Under these conditions, good shape fidelity and resolution were achieved during printing and the fabrication of scaffolds with several superposed layers was possible. It is worth pointing out that adherence between layers can be compromised when consecutive printed layers are fully photopolymerized. The optimization of printing height allowed better contact between consecutively printed layers and delamination was avoided. Layer heights of 80 and 100 µm were used when printing with 150 and 200 µm needles, respectively. Scaffolds of up to 28 layers were obtained without collapse or delamination.

The second crosslinking step involves ionic interactions and is performed after printing. The 3D scaffolds were immersed in a 15 mg mL$^{-1}$ solution of G$_4$Phy crosslinker. The phosphate groups of G$_4$Phy are expected to form ionic crosslinks with the amine groups of chitosan and GelMA. This ionic crosslink forms instantaneously and permits fast gel formation and consolidation of structural integrity. In addition, this step provides long-term stability and allows the tuning of the degradation kinetics of the 3D hydrogel structures. Fig. 3

![3D printed scaffold](image)

Fig. 3 Visual examination and structural integrity changes that a photochemically 3D printed scaffold (four layers) immediately experienced after immersion in distilled water containing or not the G$_4$Phy or TPP. Scale bar is 0.5 cm.
shows two 3D printed scaffolds: with and without ionic treatment. The dual crosslinked scaffold shows higher shape fidelity and controlled swelling, which were lost when the ionic post-treatment was skipped. This result indicates that both crosslinking processes, photopolymerization and ionic crosslinking, contribute to print 3D scaffolds with structural integrity and long-term stability. This methodology allowed fast printing without using supporting templates \(^{21,36,41–45}\) or neutralization and washing steps. \(^{5,7,24,28,30,46}\) The as-printed scaffolds were ready to be used for biological tests.

The resolution of the 3D printed scaffolds fabricated with GelMA/chitosan 4/4 w/w% composition was characterized by microscopy. The grid structures showed regular edges and corners (Fig. 4a–d), threads with uniform width (~150 µm), very close to the inner diameter of the used needle (~150 µm) (Fig. 4b). It is important to note that only a few studies in the last few years have reported strand diameters smaller than 200 µm for 3D printed hydrogel-based materials.\(^{14}\) Cryo-SEM evaluation confirmed the structural integrity of the printed scaffolds and the interconnectivity between pores without internal collapse of the 3D structures (Fig. 4e–g). A maximum of 28 consecutive layer scaffolds was printed with self-standing properties and good adherence between layers (Fig. 4f). Finally, the 3D polymeric scaffolds showed inherent porosity in their microstructure (Fig. 4h).

### 3D scaffold characterization

**Crosslinker release, swelling, degradation, and mechanical properties of the scaffolds during incubation.** In further studies, the responses of our G1Phy crosslinked 3D systems were compared with the those of the scaffolds crosslinked with the traditional phosphate-based crosslinkers, TPP, as a control. The 3D printed structures crosslinked with G1Phy solution will be referred further as “G1Phy scaffolds”, and scaffolds crosslinked with TPP solution will be named “TPP scaffolds”. The amount of G1Phy incorporated into the scaffolds after incubation with the corresponding crosslinker solution was quantified by ICP-OES. Thus, 0.53 ± 0.03 mg of G1Phy were detected in the scaffolds. The final TPP amount incorporated into the TPP scaffolds was 0.13 ± 0.02 mg. EDX maps (Fig. 5a) showed a rather similar P distribution for both scaffolds.
crosslinkers on the surface of the scaffolds but the distribution seemed to be somewhat more inhomogeneous in G1Phy scaffolds than in TPP ones. P is accumulated in some areas of the former, while less P in the centre of the fibre crossings than in the borders is observed in the latter.

The crosslinker release of the scaffold under physiological conditions (pH 7.4, 37 °C) was studied (Fig. 5b). G1Phy showed a fast release (7.3%, which corresponded to 10.32 µM) during the first 2 days of incubation, reaching a plateau after 4 days (11.2%, which corresponded to 16.03 µM), which was maintained until 30 days of incubation. TPP showed a burst release (16.4%, which corresponded to 14.74 µM) at 2 days. A plateau was achieved at 4 days (18.8%, which corresponded to 16.31 µM), which was sustained until the end of the incubation period. This different performance of the release crosslinkers can be associated with the differences in the distribution of the crosslinkers in the scaffold, and different ionic interactions of the crosslinkers with the polymeric backbones.

Swelling behaviour of the scaffolds was examined by measuring the strand widths by light microscopy (Fig. S3). No swelling was observed for printed G1Phy nor TPP crosslinked scaffolds after incubation in PBS under physiological conditions for 10 days. This indicated that the structures achieved their maximum water absorption after ionic crosslinking. The stability of the scaffolds was followed gravimetrically by measuring the weight loss after different incubation times (Fig. 5c). G1Phy crosslinked scaffolds showed a weight loss of 1.2 ± 0.6% during the first 2 days. The scaffolds remained stable for the following 10 days and degraded slowly up to 2.8 ± 0.9% during the next 20 days. At short time points (2 days), TPP crosslinked scaffolds showed a relatively higher degradation rate (2.0 ± 0.2%) than G1Phy scaffolds and degradation progressively increased until 10 days (2.5 ± 0.3%), to remain stable up to the end of the experiment (30 days). At the final time no significant (p < 0.5) differences between G1Phy and TPP samples were observed.

Rheological characterization of UV cured GelMA/chitosan discs after crosslinking with G1Phy or TPP was performed to compare the viscoelastic properties of the 3D printed structures as a function of the type of ionic crosslinker. Fig. 5d shows the shear and loss moduli for crosslinked discs. G1Phy crosslinked discs exhibited lower storage modulus than TPP crosslinked discs and GelMA/Ch photocrosslinked gel. The storage modulus values of TPP crosslinked scaffolds approached those of GelMA/Ch photocrosslinked gel but with higher loss modulus than this last one.

**Cytocompatibility results.** The performance of G1Phy scaffolds in biological experiments was assessed in vitro using L929 fibroblasts which were seeded on the top of the printed scaffolds. For comparison, TPP scaffolds were evaluated in a similar way. Live/dead staining showed high cellular viability and cell density attachment on the G1Phy scaffolds after 1 day culture (Fig. 6a), indicating no visible toxicity of the materials. In contrast, live/dead staining of cells seeded on the TPP scaffolds showed that almost no cells attached to the 3D structure (Fig. 6b), which migrated to the well-plate.

Immunostaining results confirmed high cell attachment on G1Phy scaffolds. The attached cells showed a spread morphology and formed focal adhesions stained in red (Fig. 6c). TPP scaffolds showed fewer cells attached on their surface. The cells maintained a rounded morphology characteristic of weak cell–material interaction with the scaffold surface, coherent with the results of the live/dead assay (Fig. 6d).

The proliferation of L929 fibroblasts on the scaffolds was quantified using the Alamar Blue assay at days 2, 4, 7 and 10 of incubation. L929 proliferation on G1Phy scaffolds increased over time and the proliferation level was at any time higher than that on TPP scaffolds (Fig. 7a). Finally, the possible cytotoxic effects caused by the release of crosslinkers or low molecular weight residues from the 3D printed scaffolds was examined by incubating L929 fibroblasts with the supernatants from the scaffolds after 2, 4, 7 and 10 days of soaking in cell culture medium. No cytotoxic effects were observed from any of the 3D printed scaffolds (Fig. 7b).

**Discussion**

Among all requirements that an ink must fulfil to allow successful printing homogeneity and deposition as a uniform filament, shear-thinning behaviour, and appropriate viscosity are key features. In addition, the 3D printed structures should be biocompatible and maintain structural integrity under physiological conditions, avoiding delamination during and after printing. Blend inks that combine different types of polymers are commonly used to fulfil all the mechanical and biological requirements. We decided to work with a mixture of polysaccharide (chitosan) and protein (GelMA) hydrogel. We expected that the GelMA/chitosan combination provides a printing ink with the biological advantages of both polymers,
and this mixture overcomes the weak mechanical properties of chitosan. Previous studies have reported the use of gel/chitosan blend inks. However, the mixture of chitosan with GelMA, which would result in superior biological properties and mechanical stability, has not been explored before.

Parameter optimization was performed to identify suitable printability windows for the GelMA/chitosan ink. Wu et al. reported that 3D printing of chitosan using polymer concentrations below 10 wt% was difficult because of the low viscosity of the polymer solution. On the other hand, other studies reported that it is possible to print scaffolds using a low polymeric content, even with good cytocompatibility. For example, Yin et al. reduced the total polymer concentration of the ink to 8/5 w/w% GelMA/gel mixture, and claimed that the obtained shape fidelity was similar to those found with 30 wt% GelMA solutions. In this work, we have developed a chitosan-based ink with low polymer concentration to favour cell growth, but with appropriate viscosity for free-standing filament dispensing. Among the tested formulations (green box Fig. 2a), the GelMA/chitosan 4/4 wt% mixture showed the optimal property combination of low polymer content and easy printability as a uniform straight filament. The 8 wt% polymer content falls within the range of published studies using gel/chitosan blends, but since GelMA is used in our work, full comparison cannot be performed. Finally, scaffolds fabricated with this composition could be printed with high shape fidelity and maintain structural integrity after printing (Fig. 4). Briefly, the selected composition of chitosan and GelMA polymers had a synergistic effect, leading to better printability in comparison to each of the monocomponent polymers and made possible the deposition of a printed ink hydrogel with superior shape fidelity.

The optimization of printing parameters was done hand-in-hand with the formulation of the ink and the adjustment of the crosslinking parameters. A dual crosslinking process was applied (Fig. 1): a first step based on covalent UV crosslinking while printing and a second step based on ionic crosslinking after material deposition. The dual-step approach is commonly used for processing inks at low polymer concentrations. The GelMA/chitosan 4/4 w/w% ink showed shear-thinning behaviour, which is a key property for successful deposition using extrusion-based 3D printing. In addition, the photopolymerization kinetics of the ink was suitable for the fabrication of 3D structures at a speed of 5–8 mm s⁻¹ depending on the used tip, with high printing fidelity and without the collapse of consecutive layers. Rheological tests of the crosslinked material demonstrated the viscoelastic properties and hydrogel formation, because storage modulus was constant and higher than loss modulus (Fig. 5d).

The simultaneous photocrosslinking and 3D deposition allowed the direct photocrosslinking of individual layers, avoiding common complications associated with post-printing photocrosslinking as a consequence of low light penetration into the 3D structure. It should be noted that simultaneous crosslinking might lead to a gradient in exposure dose across the layers. However, the post-printing characterization did not show differences in dimensions or swelling between individual layers. To date, a high number of 3D printing reports use post-printing photopolymerization, while only a few studies have used simultaneous UV curing during printing. Yin et al., who performed a detailed study of GelMA/gel ink printability, also used the simultaneous photopolymerization and 3D deposition approach applying the same UV light intensity (50 mW cm⁻²) which is applied in this work. Although comparisons are difficult since 3D printing approaches and ink composition differed somewhat, in our work a lower total polymer content (8 vs. 13 wt%) can be printed with better filament resolution (150 vs. 260 μm). Nevertheless, it is important to consider that a rigorous comparison of these results depends deeply on 3D printing parameters and used polymers.

The second crosslinking step took place after the immersion of the 3D printed scaffolds in G₁Phy as the ionic crosslinker. G₁Phy crosslinked scaffolds exhibited better biocompatibility than commonly used ionic crosslinking agents (i.e. TPP). In addition, the organic segment incorporated into the phytic acid structure favours interaction with cells. This second crosslinking step contributed to maintained shape...
fidelity and positively influenced swelling and long-term stability of the scaffolds (Fig. 4b). We speculate that the cross-linking of the amine groups of the chitosan and GelMA chains with the anionic crosslinker is essential to control the water uptake of the printed structures. This stabilization step did not require neutralization or washing steps, which is the common approach used for 3D printing of chitosan-based inks. For example, Wu et al. printed 10 wt% chitosan solutions obtaining 3D structures with high resolution (~30 µm) and intricate shapes, but neutralization with 1 M NaOH solution for 4 h was required. Elviri et al. were able to print lower chitosan solutions (6 wt%) in a cryogenic chamber followed by the subsequent coagulation in a KOH (8 w/v%) bath. Moreover, the described manufacturing protocols could potentially lead to shrinking and shape deformation of the scaffolds, a post-printing phenomenon that it was not observed in our scaffolds after ionic crosslinking (Fig. S4f). Our polymer concentration is among the range of published ones (±2 wt%), while it avoids such sophisticated post-processing techniques, being more similar to the traditional alginate–CaCl2 system widely applied in 3D printing methodologies.

The dual step approach followed in our work also avoided the use of sacrificial or template materials, which is a widely used strategy to improve printing resolution with hydrogels. G1Phy crosslinking led to improved mechanical properties of the scaffolds compared to TPP crosslinking. We expect G1Phy to form less compact and softer networks because of the two main reasons: (i) the organic content of this crosslinker provides some viscoelasticity to the network, and (ii) its higher molecular weight with respect to TPP provides less dense frameworks. All these features together contributed to obtaining softer and viscoelastic gels with long-term stability and controlled swelling, which are essential characteristics for tissue engineering applications. To the best of our knowledge, crosslinkers derived from phytic acid have not been used for the development of 3D printed scaffolds so far.

The 3D structures demonstrated excellent shape fidelity. The ink did not accumulate in the edges or in the corners of the scaffolds, where printing speeds and direction change abruptly. The scaffolds showed smooth surfaces and constant widths. Moreover, printing of multiple consecutive layers without collapsing was possible, leading to the structures of up to 28 layers height. The best reported line width for hydrogel printing was approximately 100–200 µm, which is among the best resolution degree that can be achieved with hydrogel inks. This resolution is highly dependent on the diameter of the needle, and could be potentially improved using narrower tips. Porous and interconnected structures were printed, which are interesting geometries for tissue engineering applications. Finally, the inherent microstructure (Fig. 4h) of the polymeric scaffolds is also a decisive property for the correct distribution and diffusion of oxygen and nutrients of the ingrowth tissues. Summarizing, the developed GelMA/chitosan hydrogel with a dual crosslinking mechanism allows the printing of 3D structures with complex designs at high resolution.

Cytocompatibility studies indicated that G1Phy scaffolds supported better cell attachment and proliferation than TPP crosslinked scaffolds, which could be due to the chemical composition as well as morphological properties of these scaffolds. Nevertheless, since the initial composition (GelMA/chitosan) is the same for both crosslinked scaffolds and assuming that the chitosan surface exposure is rather similar in both types of scaffolds according to P distribution (Fig. 5a), for short time periods, the different biological responses should only be due to the incorporation of G1Phy or TPP into their structures. G1Phy has demonstrated to be a highly bio-compatible crosslinker which exhibits an organic composition that can enhance cellular interaction. In addition, the different molecular weights of G1Phy and TPP could play a role in the final mechanical properties of the ionically crosslinked networks. In fact, the rheological behaviour showed that the G1Phy crosslinked polymer network was softer than the TPP crosslinked one. All this together with the sustained release of G1Phy and the slower degradation of this scaffold can favourably contribute to the higher cell proliferation of the samples in long-term periods.

Conclusions

In summary, this work shows the implementation and optimization of a 3D printing methodology using the novel G1Phy crosslinker. The methodology consisted of a dual-step cross-linking that allows the 3D printing of low concentrated GelMA/chitosan based-ink (total polymer concentration <10 wt%). This approach permitted the fabrication of 3D hydrogel scaffolds with excellent shape fidelity and resolution. The 3D printed scaffolds displayed long-term stability and excellent properties regarding swelling behaviour, and mechanical and biological properties. In particular, the use of the G1Phy crosslinker enhanced cell adhesion and proliferation on the 3D scaffolds in comparison to TPP, widely used as a traditional ionic crosslinking agent. These results open a door for the extrusion of hydrogel-based inks employing phytic acid derived crosslinkers for the fabrication of complex structures with excellent biological properties that can be used in soft tissue engineering applications.
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