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Xiaohua Li, a Wouter Monnens,b Zheng Li,a Jan Fransaerb and Koen Binnemans a

Extraction of copper from sulfidic ores, either by pyrometallurgy or hydrometallurgy, has various limitations. In this study, a solvometallurgical process for the extraction of copper from sulfidic ore minerals (chalcopyrite, bornite, chalcocite and digenite) was developed by using an organic lixiviant (FeCl3 as oxidizing agent and ethylene glycol (EG) as organic solvent). All the studied copper sulfide minerals could be leached efficiently with a FeCl3–EG solution. Other lixiviant systems, namely CuCl2–EG, FeCl3–ethanol and FeCl3–propylene glycol could also extract copper, but they did not perform as well as the FeCl3–EG solutions. The mechanistic study of chalcopyrite leaching in FeCl3–EG solutions confirmed that the leaching products of chalcopyrite were FeCl2, CuCl and solid elemental sulfur, where the Fe(II) and Cu(I) were quantified by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy and solid sulfur was identified by powder XRD. A kinetic study showed that the leaching process was a surface chemical controlled process and the apparent activation energy was calculated to be 60.1 kJ mol⁻¹. Subsequently, electrodeposition of copper from the pregnant leachate was investigated, and SEM-EDX analysis showed that uniform cubic crystalline deposits of pure copper were produced. Meanwhile, the Fe(III) was regenerated by oxidizing Fe(II) at the anode, with a Morgane membrane in between two electrode compartments to prevent the transfer of Fe(III) to the cathode. Finally, a closed-loop solvometallurgical process was designed with three operational steps: leaching, electrodeposition and removal of Fe(II). The regeneration of the FeCl3–EG solution and the use of EG contribute to the sustainability and the greenness of the process.

Introduction

Copper can be extracted from various types of ores, most of which belong to the sulfidic ores such as chalcopyrite, bornite, covellite, digenite and chalcocite. Chalcopyrite is the most common copper-bearing mineral and accounts for approximately 70% of the copper deposits in the world. At present, the main method for copper production from chalcopyrite is via pyrometallurgy, but it is only economically feasible for copper-rich feeds. Moreover, SO2 emissions can cause environmental problems. Hydrometallurgy is an alternative method for copper extraction from chalcopyrite, which can be applied to low grade copper ores due to the lower operating costs.

Many works have been reported for hydrometallurgical leaching of chalcopyrite. The most often used lixiviants are acidic chloride media, acidic sulfate media and basic ammonia solutions, together with various oxidizing agents. The most often used oxidizing agents are oxygen, ferric sulfate, ferric chloride or cupric chloride. Various other oxidizing agents were investigated as well, such as hydrogen peroxide, ammonium persulfate, hypochlorite and ozone. All these studied processes can leach copper to some extent, but there are some disadvantages. In sulfate media, the leaching kinetics are generally slow (several months) and the leaching of copper is difficult to be complete, due to the formation of passivation layers on the surface of chalcopyrite, such as solid sulfur and iron precipitate (e.g. jarosite). In chloride media, the leaching rate is faster than that in sulfate media, due to the high solubility of salts in chloride media and the fact that the cuprous ions can be stabilized in concentrated chloride solutions, so that the Cu(I)/Cu(II) redox couple could contribute to the oxidation of chalcopyrite. Moreover, the oxidation...
product of $S^{2-}$ is likely elemental sulfur in chloride media instead of sulfate, which is an advantage because solid elemental sulfur is easier to store and less neutralizing agent is needed in the downstream processing. However, the disadvantages of chloride media are their corrosivity and the difficulty of electrowinning high-grade copper from chloride media. Bioleaching has been studied for copper leaching from chalcopyrite as well, but the leaching rates are very slow. It can take months to reach the maximum leaching yield. In acidic leaching, high concentrations of acid are needed to avoid the formation of a passivation layer of iron precipitate, when ferric oxidizing agents are used. To overcome the problem of low extraction rate, leaching of chalcopyrite is typically done at high temperatures and high pressures in an autoclave at industrial scale, but this requires a high capital investment and high energy consumption. In summary, pyrometallurgy, hydrometallurgy and bioleaching all have their limitations, and hence it is important to develop new green and sustainable metallurgy technologies to improve the efficiency of copper extraction from copper sulfide ores.

Solvometallurgy is an emerging technology for metal processing, where little or no water is involved in the process. It is complementary to hydrometallurgy and pyrometallurgy. The examples of solvometallurgy are solvoleaching, non-aqueous solvent extraction and non-aqueous electrodeposition. If ionic liquids or deep-eutectic solvents are employed, one also speaks of ionic metallurgy. Solvoleaching is in some cases more selective than acidic aqueous leaching. Deep-eutectic solvents containing iodine can be used for dissolution of sulfide minerals. Copper-containing sulfide gold ores have been leached in iodine liquids. Different authors have investigated the leaching of copper from the sulfidic copper ore chalcopyrite using ionic liquids. The addition of polar organic solvents such as alcohols to the aqueous acidic solutions can increase the copper extractions. Methanesulfonic acid, an organic acid, was used as an alternative for mineral acid for extraction of copper from chalcopyrite. However, these systems were in general water-rich processes.

In this paper, we describe a green solvometallurgical process for the extraction of copper from sulfidic ore minerals, with the emphasis on chalcopyrite. The preferred organic solvent is ethylene glycol with FeCl$_3$ as the oxidizing agent. Ethylene glycol (EG) is a recommended green solvent according to the CHEM21 selection guide and the Global Harmonized System (GHS). Moreover, it can be produced from renewable sources such as cellulose. To have a sustainable process, electrodeposition of copper directly from the pregnant leachate is studied to remove copper and to regenerate simultaneously the oxidizing agent FeCl$_3$. Finally, a closed-loop process flow sheet is proposed.

**Experimental**

**Materials**

Ethylene glycol (99.5%), iron(III) chloride (98%), copper(II) chloride (99%), 1,10-phenanthroline (>99%) and ethanol (99.5%) were ordered from Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium). Propylene glycol (99.5%), nitric acid (65 wt%), potassium chloride (99.9%) and ascorbic acid (99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Diegem, Belgium). Lithium chloride (>98.5%), 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (neocuproine, 99%) were ordered from Fisher Scientific (Merelbeke, Belgium). Iron, copper and indium standard solutions (1000 ± 10 mg L$^{-1}$) were purchased from Chem-Lab (Zedelgem, Belgium).

Four sulfidic ore minerals, chalcopyrite from Bad Grund (Germany), chalcocite and bornite from Kesebol (Sweden), and digenite from Repparford (Norway) were purchased from M. Jentsch Mineralien und Rohsteine (Germany). Chalcopyrite from Morocco and chalcocite from Mexico were purchased from Northern Geological Supplies Ltd (UK). The received minerals were homogenized by grinding with a disc mill (Fritsch Pulverisette 13), after which the entire sample was sieved to get a particle size of <500 μm. Automatic shaking sieves, Analysette 3 Spartan by Fritsch, were used to separate the powders to two fractions. The fractions with particle size <250 μm were used in this work.

**Leaching procedures**

Leaching of the sulfidic ore minerals was performed in 4 mL glass vials and all the leaching solutions were stirred with magnetic stirring bars at 800 rpm for 24 h. Samples of the sulfidic ore minerals (50 mg) were mixed with 1 mL of FeCl$_3$ in ethylene glycol (0.5 mol L$^{-1}$) at 90 °C. The parameters for leaching of chalcopyrite were optimized by varying the temperature (22, 60 and 90 °C), the concentration of FeCl$_3$ (0.1–1 mol L$^{-1}$) and the solid-to-liquid ratio (30–150 g L$^{-1}$). The effect of different oxidizing agents was studied by mixing 50 mg of chalcopyrite with 1 mL of EG containing 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ of oxidizing agent at 60 °C. The tested oxidizing agents include iron(III) chloride and copper(II) chloride. The solvent effect was studied at similar conditions where 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ of FeCl$_3$ was dissolved in ethylene glycol, propylene glycol or ethanol.

The leaching kinetics of chalcopyrite with 0.5 mol L$^{-1}$ of FeCl$_3$ in ethylene glycol were studied at different temperatures, where 500 mg of solid was mixed with 10 mL of leaching agent. Aliquots of leachate were taken out for analysis at given time.

The metal concentrations in all the leachate samples were measured with inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). The leaching percentage of metals were calculated according to eqn (1):

$$\text{Leaching percentage} \% = \frac{x_{\text{metal}} \cdot V_{\text{leachate}}}{m_{\text{solid}} \cdot V_{\text{comp}}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

where $x_{\text{metal}}$ is the metal concentration in the leachate and $V_{\text{leachate}}$ is the volume of the leachate, $m_{\text{solid}}$ is the initial solid mass used in the leaching experiment and $V_{\text{comp}}$ is the metal concentration in the initial solid.

**Electrochemistry**

Electrochemical experiments were performed using a three-electrode setup. The cell (PermeGear, USA) consisted of two
compartments, separated by a Morgane membrane (Solvay, Belgium) in which the working electrode (WE) and the reference electrode (RE) were submersed in one compartment and the counter electrode (CE) was submersed in the second compartment. The WE consisted of a platinum-coated silicon wafer plate (Pt layer of 100 nm) and the CE was a coiled platinum wire whose surface area was at least five times larger than that of the WE. Preceding the experiments, the WE and CE were washed with hydrochloric acid (37% HCl) to remove possible impurities and were subsequently rinsed with demineralized water and acetone, and dried in a hot air flow. The RE was a silver/silver chloride (Ag/AgCl) electrode that consisted of a glass tube with frit, filled with a solution of 1 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} of KCl in ethylene glycol, in which an Ag/AgCl wire was submersed. The Ag/AgCl wire was prepared by the following procedure: an Ag wire was degreased using acetone, and eroded in 3 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} nitric acid. Next, the wire was rinsed using demineralized water and dried. After the aforementioned cleaning steps, the wire was anodized in 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} HCl by applying a current density of 0.1 A dm\textsuperscript{-2} for 15 min, leading the formation of a AgCl paste on the surface of the wire. For this anodization, a platinum substrate was used as cathode. All electrochemical measurements were performed using an Autolab PGSTAT 302N electrochemical interface controlled by a computer with NOVA software. Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were measured in stationary conditions whereas electrochemical depositions were measured whilst stirring at 400 rpm. All measurements were performed at room temperature and in ambient atmosphere.

**Analytical techniques**

The mineralogical composition of the chalcopyrite powder and solid residues were characterized by a X-ray diffraction analysis (D2 Phaser, Bruker, Germany) with Cu Kα radiation (30 kV, 10 mA in the measurement range 2θ of 20–70°). Data processing was performed with the X’Pert HighScore software.

A Speedwave Xpert microwave digester, (Berghof, Germany) was used to dissolve the mineral solids in aqueous solutions by mixing 50 mg of solid with 7 mL of 65 wt% HNO\textsubscript{3} in a DAP-40 pressure vessel at high temperature and high pressure. The temperature and pressure setting of the digestion program are given in Table S1 in the ESL.

Inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, Optima 8300, PerkinElmer) was used to measure the metal contents in the leachates and digested samples. A series of standard solutions containing iron(III) and copper(II) ions were analyzed to obtain calibration curves for sample analysis with indium as the internal standard.

UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured on a Varian Cary 5000 spectrophotometer with a wavelength resolution of 1.0 nm to quantify the concentration of copper(I) and iron(II) in the leachates. Neocuproine was used as colorimetric agent for copper(I). A 40 µL aliquot of the leachate was diluted in 2 mL of ethylene glycol, then 100 µL of the above solution was mixed with 1 mL of neocuproine solution (0.12 wt%), 1 mL of buffer solution and 3 mL of milliQ water. The sodium acetate buffer solution was prepared by mixing equal volumes of 6 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} of acetic acid and 5 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} of sodium hydroxide. The standard solutions of CuCl\textsubscript{2} were prepared from CuCl\textsubscript{2} in ethylene glycol solution which was reduced quantitatively by ascorbic acid. Lithium chloride was added to increase the solubility of CuCl\textsubscript{2} in ethylene glycol.

1,10-Phenanthroline was used as colorimetric agent for quantification of iron(II) in the leachate solutions by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. The samples were prepared by mixing 100 µL of 50 times diluted leachate with 1 mL of 1,10-phenanthroline solution (0.12 wt%), 1 mL of buffer solution and 7.9 mL of milliQ water. The buffer solution was made with the same method as above mentioned. The standard solutions were prepared with different concentrations of iron(II) chloride.

The morphology and elemental composition of the films were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Phillips XL-30 FEG) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS; Octane elite super silicon drift detector, Ametek EDAX).

**Results and discussion**

**Leaching of sulfide minerals**

The leaching behavior of six different copper sulfide ore minerals has been studied: chalcopyrite from Bad Grund (Germany), chalcocite and bornite from Kesebol (Sweden), and digenite from Repparfjord (Norway), as well as chalcopyrite from Marocco (unspecified mine) and chalcocite from Mexico (unspecified mine). The metal contents in the studied sulfide minerals were determined with ICP-OES, after being digested with 65% nitric acid at high temperatures and high pressures in a microwave digestion instrument (Table 1). In all mineral samples, the copper contents were lower than the theoretic maximum value, indicating some gangue materials were also present. In some mineral samples, other metal impurities such as lead, zinc, magnesium, calcium were present as well.

The leaching percentages of copper in 0.5 mol L\textsuperscript{-1} of FeCl\textsubscript{3} in ethylene glycol for the different sulfidic ore minerals are presented in Fig. 1. Copper could be extracted completely from all copper mineral investigated, except from chalcocite. The leaching percentages of Cu from some minerals were higher than 100%, which results from the analytical error and inhomogeneous solid samples. Copper in chalcocite (Mexico) was not 100% leached, probably due to the insufficient amount of FeCl\textsubscript{3} oxidizing agent, because the copper content in this mineral is very high (58.0 wt%). In other words, copper in chalcocite could be extracted quantitatively if higher concentrations of FeCl\textsubscript{3} in ethylene glycol were used as leaching agent. Among the sulfidic copper minerals, chalcopyrite is the most difficult one for extraction of copper. The chalcopyrite sample of Germany contains a higher copper content and a lower iron content than the sample of Morocco. Therefore, chalcopyrite (Germany) was selected for further study of the leaching parameters. Note that the leaching behaviour of the impurities needs to be studied as well for some minerals such as chalcocite and bornite from Sweden.
Leaching of chalcopyrite was first studied with different solvents and oxidizing agents at 60 °C. The following organic solvents were used for chalcopyrite leaching: ethanol (EtOH), propylene glycol (PG) and ethylene glycol (EG) (Fig. 2). The solvent has a significant effect on the leaching efficiency of iron and copper, when the same concentration of FeCl₃ was used as oxidizing agent. Among all three solvents, EG performed the best in terms of leaching efficiency. The lowest leaching efficiency in ethanol as solvent is probably due to its poor solvating property for the produced metal ions. PG and EG both have two symmetric hydroxyl functional groups per molecule which can coordinate bidentately to metal ions. However, PG has a much higher viscosity than EG, which could cause the slow leaching rate. Therefore, EG was selected as solvent for further study.

Subsequently, the oxidizing agent CuCl₂ was compared to FeCl₃ for its leaching performance, both dissolving in ethylene glycol (Fig. 2). Whereas it was observed in hydrometallurgical leaching processes that CuCl₂ shows faster leaching kinetics than FeCl₃,⁴,⁸ this is not the case in our solvometallurgical process. The slow kinetics of CuCl₂ in organic solvents are probably due to the lower oxidation potential of cupric ion in organic solvent. Therefore, FeCl₃ in EG was selected as the leaching agent for further study.

The effect of temperature on the leaching of chalcopyrite was investigated at room temperature (22 °C), 60 °C and 90 °C. The results in Fig. 3 show that at room temperature nearly no metal was leached. When increasing the temperature to 60 °C, iron and copper were leached linearly and after 24 h reached 57% and 40% respectively. When increasing to 90 °C, leaching of copper reached 90% after about 10 h and leaching of iron reached 100% after about 7 h. Clearly, the temperature has a significant influence on the metal extraction. However, not 100% of copper was leached even at 90 °C after 24 h. This might be due to the lack of a sufficient amount of oxidizing agent, as shown in the next section. Moreover, the EG–FeCl₃ system has higher leaching rate of copper than the conventional H₂O–FeCl₃ system under the same experimental conditions. For example, less than 25% of copper was extracted from chalcopyrite crystal (<38 µm) with 0.5 mol L⁻¹ FeCl₃ in acidic aqueous solutions after 3 h at 90 °C,⁸ while almost 60% of copper was extracted from chalcopyrite powders (<250 µm) with EG–FeCl₃ system under the same conditions (temperature, time and concentration of FeCl₃).

The concentration of iron(III) in the leaching solutions was further varied to study the influence of the amount of oxidizing agent on the leaching efficiency. Fig. 4 shows that hardly was any copper leached with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ of FeCl₃ in EG. With more FeCl₃ present in the leaching solution, more copper and

### Table 1  Metal contents in the studied copper sulfide minerals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Composition main phase</th>
<th>max. Cu content (wt%)</th>
<th>Cu (wt%)</th>
<th>Fe (wt%)</th>
<th>Other (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite Germany</td>
<td>CuFeS₂</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>—³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcopyrite Morocco</td>
<td>CuFeS₂</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>Pb (3.9); Zn (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcocite Sweden</td>
<td>Cu₂S</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Mn (6.6); Ca (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalcocite Mexico</td>
<td>Cu₂S</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digenite Norway</td>
<td>Cu₉S₅</td>
<td>78.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bornite Sweden</td>
<td>Cu₉FeS₄</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Mn (3.1); Ca(2.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

³ No other metals were detected.

---

Fig. 1  Leaching percentage of Cu with 0.5 mol L⁻¹ of FeCl₃ in ethylene glycol for different mineral powders at a solid-to-liquid ratio of 50 g L⁻¹ at 90 °C for 24 h.

Fig. 2  Effect of solvent and oxidizing agent on the leaching efficiency of copper and iron from chalcopyrite. The leaching conditions were 60 °C, 800 rpm, 24 h, solid-to-liquid ratio of 50 g L⁻¹ and the concentration of the oxidizing agent was 0.5 mol L⁻¹.
iron were dissolved. The leaching percentage of copper increased to 80% with 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) of FeCl\(_3\). In other words, 1 mL of 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) of FeCl\(_3\) in EG could extract copper from 40 mg of chalcopyrite quantitatively. When further increasing the FeCl\(_3\) concentration to 1 mol L\(^{-1}\), all the copper and iron were extracted from the chalcopyrite sample. As expected, the concentration of the oxidizing agent plays an important role in the leaching process.

When varying the solid-to-liquid ratio (Fig. 5), it was observed that 100% of copper and iron could be extracted with 1 mL of 0.5 mol L\(^{-1}\) of FeCl\(_3\) in EG, when 30 or 40 mg of solids were added. This is in agreement with the observations from Fig. 4. With more added solid, the leaching efficiency further decreased. The decrease of the leaching efficiency of Cu was worse than that of Fe from chalcopyrite is probably due to the poorer solubility of the oxidizing product CuCl than that of FeCl\(_2\) in the organic leachate solutions. Both Fig. 4 and 5 confirmed that all the copper can be extracted from chalcopyrite as long as the amount of FeCl\(_3\) oxidizing agent is sufficient. Moreover, the observed complete copper extraction confirms that the solid surface was not passivated.

**Leaching mechanism**

Chalcopyrite is most often considered to be Cu\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{3+}\)(S\(^{2-}\))\(_2\), rather than in the Cu\(^{2+}\)Fe\(^{2+}\)(S\(^{2-}\))\(_2\) valence state.\(^1\) In most of the hydrometallurgical processes, iron and copper are leached in the aqueous solutions in the form of Fe(II) and Cu(II) ions. The sulfide ions in chalcopyrite are likely oxidized to elemental sulfur in acidic media. The elemental sulfur may be further oxidized to sulfate with excess oxidant at a slower reaction rate.\(^47\) In this work, the leaching reaction mechanism, i.e. the oxidation states of iron, copper and sulfur in the pregnant leachate solutions was investigated.

The oxidation state of iron in the leachates was identified with UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy. 1,10-Phenanthroline (phen) was used as a chelating colorimetric agent for Fe(II) cations (Scheme 1).\(^46\)

The formed complex [Fe(phen)]\(^{2+}\) gives an orange color and an absorption maximum at 511 nm in acidic aqueous solutions when the pH is in the range of 4–7 (see Fig. S1†). The concentration of Fe(II) in the solution was quantified by measuring the absorbance of the solution. The concentration of Fe(II) was measured in four leachates, which were obtained...
by leaching chalcopyrite with four different FeCl₃ concentrations at a solid-to-liquid ratio of 50 g L⁻¹. The UV-Vis absorption spectra are shown in Fig. S1.† The amount of Fe(II) was compared with the total amount of iron in the leachates that was determined by ICP-OES (Fig. 6). When FeCl₃ in the initial leaching agent was ≤0.5 mol L⁻¹, the amount of iron in the leachates determined by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy was nearly the same as that determined by ICP-OES, suggesting all Fe(III) has involved in the oxidative leaching and been reduced to Fe(II). This result confirms that the incomplete leaching of chalcopyrite is due to an insufficient amount of FeCl₃ oxidizing agent. When sufficient FeCl₃ was present (e.g. 1 mol L⁻¹), complete leaching of Cu and Fe was achieved (Fig. 4), and the amount of total Fe was higher than that of Fe(II), indicating that an excess of Fe(III) remained in the leachate and more solids could be leached. Therefore, it can be concluded that the reduction product of Fe(III) in the leaching process of chalcopyrite is Fe(II) and the leaching efficiencies of copper and iron depend on the amount of FeCl₃ oxidizing agent.

A similar analysis was performed for determination of Cu(I) in EG leachates, but 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (neocuproine) was used as chelating colorometric agent for Cu(I) (Scheme 2).⁴⁵ The formed complex has an absorption maximum at 456 nm in acidic solutions with pH 4–7 (see Fig. S2†). It was confirmed by UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy that neocuproine does not complex with other ions, such as Fe(II), Fe(III) and Cu(II) (see Fig. S2†). The same leachates were used for Cu(I) determination as that for Fe(II) determination. Fig. 7 shows that the amount of Cu(I) determined via UV-Vis absorption spectroscopy was the same as that of the amount of copper determined with ICP-OES. The slight difference between two methods is likely due to an analytical error. Thus, all the copper produced by leaching of chalcopyrite was in the form of Cu(I). Based on the fact that 3 mol of FeCl₃ is required to quantitatively leach 1 mol of chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂) to the leachate in the form of Fe(II) and Cu(I), the theoretical maximum Cu contents in the leachate could be calculated with different initial FeCl₃ concentrations, shown as purple bars in Fig. 7. It is clearly seen that the molar efficiency of the reaction reached the highest (87%) when the concentration of FeCl₃ in the leaching agent is 0.50 mol L⁻¹.

The mineralogical compositions of chalcopyrite and the solid residue after leaching were studied by powder XRD. The X-ray diffractogram of chalcopyrite showed that in the chalcopyrite mineral sample the main component was CuFeS₂ with some quartz gangue materials (Fig. 8). After leaching, the diffraction peaks of CuFeS₂ diminished and new peaks of elemental sulfur appeared, indicating the leaching product of sulfidic ions was solid elemental sulfur. The sulfur in the leachate was analyzed with ICP-OES, but it was found to be below the detected limit, which indicates that an negligible amount of sulfur was further oxidized to sulfate and turned into a soluble form. From the above UV-Vis absorption measurements and characterization by XRD, it can be con-
included that the leaching mechanism of chalcopyrite in FeCl₃–EG solutions is:

$$\text{CuFeS}_2 + 3\text{FeCl}_3 \rightarrow 4\text{FeCl}_2 + \text{CuCl} + 2\text{S} \quad (2)$$

The fact that the oxidation product is elemental solid sulfur instead of sulfate ions (in the form of sulfuric acid) makes the process greener, because the sulfur can be easily collected, whereas the sulfuric acid needs to be neutralized with base before discarding to the waste water stream.

**Leaching kinetics**

The kinetics of chalcopyrite leaching in solvometallurgy were assessed on the basis of the shrinking-sphere model, because it was observed that the particles got smaller after leaching. Since temperature had a major influence on the leaching rate, it was considered that the leaching process was controlled by surface chemical reaction. The dissolution of copper was fitted with linear kinetics according to eqn (3):

$$k_c t = 1 - (1 - X)^{1/3} \quad (3)$$

where $X$ is the fraction of reacted copper at time $t$ (h), and $k_c$ is the apparent rate constant ($1/h$). The apparent activation energy ($E_a$) was determined by Arrhenius’s law (eqn (4)):

$$\ln k_c = -\frac{E_a}{R} \left(\frac{1}{T}\right) + \ln A \quad (4)$$

where $T$ is leaching temperature (K), $R$ is the universal gas constant. A plot of $1 - (1 - X)^{1/3}$ versus $t$ allows for the calculation of $k_c$ (Fig. S3†) and a plot of ln $k_c$ versus $1/T$ allows for the apparent activation energy to be calculated (Fig. S4†). The calculated $E_a$ was 60.1 kJ mol⁻¹. This value is in the same range as those reported for hydrometallurgical leaching of chalcopyrite.⁴⁷ The linear fitting confirms that the copper leaching in our solvometallurgical process is indeed controlled by the surface chemical reaction.

**Electrodeposition of copper**

Electrodeposition of copper was studied from both aqueous solutions and ionic liquids.⁴⁸⁻⁵₂ In this section, the feasibility of electrodeposition of copper from ethylene glycol solutions (the pregnant leachate) was investigated. CVs (second cycle) of the synthetic solutions of 0.68 mol L⁻¹ of FeCl₃ in EG, of 0.17 mol L⁻¹ of CuCl in EG, and of the real pregnant leachate containing 0.68 mol L⁻¹ of FeCl₃ and 0.15 mol L⁻¹ of CuCl in EG are shown in Fig. 9. The measurements were performed at room temperature at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ with the reference electrode Ag/AgCl. The CV of the synthetic FeCl₃ solution shows several cathodic and anodic current peaks or waves at various potentials. In the forward scan, the increase in cathodic current at ~0.70 V vs. Ag/AgCl is ascribed to the reduction of iron(II) to iron(0). In the backward scan, the anodic current wave at ~0.50 V vs. Ag/AgCl is ascribed to the stripping of deposited iron to iron(II). The successive current increase, initiating at ~0.30 V vs. Ag/AgCl is attributed to oxidation of iron(II) to iron(III). Furthermore, after passing the vertex potential of +0.50 V vs. Ag/AgCl, a cathodic peak is observed, and attributed to reduction of the formed iron(III) to iron(II). The observed electrochemical behavior of FeCl₃ in EG is in good agreement to that described by Panzeri et al.⁵³ The CV of the synthetic CuCl solution only shows one distinct feature; a cathodic current increase at 0.00 V vs. Ag/AgCl in the forward scan. The corresponding process is the reduction of copper(I) to copper. Notably, electrodeposition of copper from the prepared ethylene glycol solution is irreversible, as no stripping peak is observed. In the CV of the leachate, in the forward scan, the increase in cathodic current at ~0.48 V vs. Ag/AgCl and the cathodic current wave, initiating at approximately ~0.70 V vs. Ag/AgCl are ascribed to the reduction of copper(I) species to copper(0) and the reduction of iron(II) species to iron(0), respectively. The anodic peak in the backward scan, initiating at ~0.30 V vs. Ag/AgCl likely involves stripping of deposited iron to iron(II), whereas the anodic peak and +0.30 V vs. Ag/AgCl may be ascribed to the further oxidation of iron(II) to iron(III).
iron(III). The subsequent cathodic peak current at +0.75 V vs. Ag/AgCl may be ascribed to reduction of iron(III) to iron(II). Assignment of these cathodic and anodic features to their corresponding reduction and oxidation processes was done on the basis of CVs of the synthetic solutions.

A deposition experiment was performed at potential of −0.30 V vs. Ag/AgCl for 2 hours. At this potential, copper(I) reduction is under kinetic control. The solution was stirred, attaining a diffusion layer with constant thickness, therefore counteracting depletion of copper(I) species in the vicinity of the electrode. The deposit exhibits a distinct copper color (Fig. S5†). The morphology and elemental composition of the deposit were analyzed using SEM-EDS. The SEM images in Fig. 10 show uniform cubic crystalline deposits, fully covering the surface of the platinum substrate. The EDS spectrum in Fig. S6† indicates that the deposits comprise fully of copper. Very small peaks of C, O, Cl and Fe are detected, which are attributed to residual leachate, present on the deposit. It can be concluded that electrodeposition of copper directly from organic ethylene glycol solutions is feasible and the impure iron deposit can be completely avoided.

The faradaic efficiency of the Cu electrodeposition is 37%. As the applied overpotential is within the electrochemical window of EG, and the obtained deposits are comprised of pure copper, the second occurring process, consuming approximately 63% of the charge, is assumed to be the reduction of iron(III) to iron(II). This iron(III) may originate from two different “sources”. After leaching, a small amount of unreacted iron(III) is likely present in the solution. Furthermore, it might be possible that iron(III), presumably formed at the anode as the counter electrode reaction (Fe(II) oxidation to Fe(III)), migrates through the anion-exchange membrane towards the cathode. Hence, to increase the faradaic efficiency, it is necessary to make sure that no Fe(III) can be reduced at the working electrode. Removal of all unreacted iron(III) and selection of a by iron(III) impermeable membrane is required.

Conceptual process flow sheet

A conceptual closed-loop process for the extraction of copper from chalcopyrite is proposed (Fig. 11). As the above experimental results have shown, copper and iron in chalcopyrite can be extracted quantitatively with the lixiviant FeCl₃–EG and sulfur can be removed as solid elemental sulfur. The metallic copper can be produced by direct electrodeposition from the pregnant leachate without any other competing electrochemical reactions. Meanwhile, Fe(III) can be regenerated for reusing in the next cycle by oxidizing the Fe(II) in the anode. However, due to the fact that the concentration of Fe(II) is higher than Cu(I) in the pregnant leachate, not all Fe(II) can be oxidized when all Cu(I) was reduced to Cu(0). Thus the Fe(II) in the Cu-depleted leachate needs to be removed before the recycling of FeCl₃–EG solutions. This can be achieved by solvent extraction since Fe(II) and Fe(III) have very different complexing behavior.54

The designed solvometallurgical process is green as it is in line with the principles of green chemistry. The process is atom economic, as the reaction efficiency of FeCl₃ with chalcopyrite can reach 87%, which can be even higher after optimization of the process. Ethylene glycol instead of the corrosive acidic aqueous solutions in hydrometallurgical processes is employed and it is a recommended green solvent according to the CHEM21 selection guide and the Global Harmonized System (GHS), which ensures the greenness of our process.43 It is safer and the potential for explosion is low, because the leaching can be operated at atmospheric pressure even at
above 100 °C due to the high boiling point of the solvent, whereas in hydrometallurgical process at temperatures above 100 °C, it is often operated at high pressure and autoclave is required. Moreover, the closed-loop process can prevent waste or at least minimize the amount of the waste, and the regeneration of the oxidizing agent FeCl₃ while electrodepositing of Cu can ensure the sustainability of our developed process. The fast and efficient leaching, direct production of metallic copper and simultaneous regeneration the oxidizing agent FeCl₃ make up a sustainable closed-loop solvometallurgical process, which could represent a key step forward towards greener of copper metallurgy.

Conclusions

The developed closed-loop solvometallurgical process could effectively extract copper from different copper sulfidic minerals, with FeCl₃ in ethylene glycol used as lixiviant at elevated temperature and at atmospheric pressure. Unlike the hydrometallurgical process, no passivation layer was formed in our solvometallurgical process, which ensures the quantitative extraction of copper in relatively short time. The mechanistic study of leaching confirmed that the leaching products were ferrous chloride, cuprous chloride and solid elemental sulfur. The production of elemental sulfur instead of sulfuric acid makes the downstream processing easier and can avoid the acid drainage problem. Copper can be directly electrodeposited from the pregnant leachate without the contamination of iron. This simplifies the process and minimizes the operation steps. The regeneration of FeCl₃ during the electrochemical process ensures the sustainability of the developed process. The closed-loop solvometallurgical process is a key step forward towards a greener process of copper-bearing ore minerals.
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