Investigation on voltage loss in organic triplet photovoltaic devices based on Ir complexes†
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Voltage losses in singlet material-based organic photovoltaic devices (OPVs) have been intensively studied, whereas, only a few investigations on triplet material-based OPVs (T-OPVs) are reported. To investigate the voltage loss in T-OPVs, two homolectic iridium(III) complexes based on extended π-conjugated benzol[ghi]phthalazine ligands, Ir(Ftbpa)3 and Ir(FOtbpa)3, are synthesized as sole electron donors. T-OPVs are fabricated by mixing two donors with phenyl-C71-butyric acid methyl ester (PC71BM) as an electron acceptor. Insertion of oxygen-bridges as flexible inert δ-spacers in Ir(FOtbpa)3 has slightly elevated both the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital and the highest occupied molecular orbital levels compared to those of Ir(Ftbpa)3, which results in a lower charge transfer (CT) state energy (ECT) for Ir(FOtbpa)3-based devices. However, a higher Voc (0.88 V) is observed for Ir(FOtbpa)3-based devices than those of Ir(Ftbpa)3 (0.80 V). To understand the above result, the morphologies of the two blend films are studied, which excludes the influence of morphology. Furthermore, radiative and non-radiative recombination in two devices is quantitatively investigated, which suggests that a higher Voc can be attributed to reduced radiative and non-radiative recombination loss for the Ir(FOtbpa)3-based devices.

Introduction

Solar energy is considered to be a promising renewable energy source to address the increasing worldwide energy demands. In particular, solution processed bulk-heterojunction (BHJ) organic photovoltaic devices (OPVs) have been identified as promising candidates because of their potential in low-cost, large-area, lightweight and flexible productions. To date, power conversion efficiencies (PCE) over 15% have been achieved for single junction OPVs with the emergence of non-fullerene acceptors,1,2 which makes OPVs feasible for industrialization. The voltage losses in OPVs have been regarded as the major challenge remaining to further improve the PCE comparable with inorganic or hybrid perovskite PVs.

The open-circuit voltage (Voc) in OPVs is proportional to the energy of the charge transfer (CT) state (ECT) between the donor and acceptor.3 It has been found that the energetic difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the donor and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the acceptor is roughly equal to ECT.4–6 Therefore, many reports are focused on increasing the Voc through increasing ECT by minimizing the energetic offset between donors and acceptors.7–9 Increasing ECT will however lead to a small driving force (defined as the energy difference between optical gap of the neat donor or acceptor and ECT) for exciton dissociating to free charges. Generally, fullerene based OPVs tend to show low PCEs with small driving forces (< 0.3 eV), whereas, a reasonably high IQE (> 85%) was obtained for P3HT:PC71BM blends with a small driving force of 0.1 eV.10 Recently, non-fullerene based OPVs have exhibited efficient exciton dissociation despite a negligible driving force.11–14 Furthermore, the voltage loss between ECT/q to Voc is due to radiative and non-radiative recombination. An empirical relation of \( V_{oc} = \frac{E_{CT}}{q} - 0.6 \) V, has been found for fullerene based OPVs, of which radiative recombination at donor/acceptor interfaces via the CT state causes ~0.25 V loss and non-radiative recombination causes ~0.35 V loss.3,15 Thus, reducing recombination losses is another important strategy to obtain a high Voc.16 It was reported that decreasing the donor/acceptor interfacial area is an effective way to reduce voltage losses.17 Therefore, high Voc can be achieved for organic materials with long exciton diffusion lengths, which will enable a reduced optimum interfacial area. Furthermore, reducing non-radiative recombination losses (<0.3 V) enabled high Voc for materials with high photoluminescence (PL) yields, which have also been reported.18,19

At present, the photo-induced charges mainly originate from singlet exciton dissociation in high performance OPVs.
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Triplet excitons, which have longer lifetimes or diffusion lengths than singlets, may provide a favorable approach to increase the photocurrent of OPVs due to the forbidden nature of recombination from the triplet state. In addition, the long diffusion lengths are beneficial to have large domains with decreased interfaces, which will further improve $V_{oc}$. In general, the excitons generated by absorbing photons in organic materials are singlet due to the selection rule in the electronic dipole transition processes. The triplet excitons can be obtained by flipping the spin orientation of singlet excitons through the effective intersystem crossing (ISC) or by bimolecular singlet fission. Enlarging spin–orbit coupling (SOC) by chemically or physically introducing heavy atoms into the conjugated materials has been proposed to enhance ISC rate. So far, some research studies have been done on triplet material-based OPVs (T-OPVs) in and the highest PCE for small-molecule Ir complexes is 3.81%. However, the voltage losses in T-OPVs were rarely investigated.

In terms of recombination losses, the long exciton diffusion lengths and high emissive properties of triplet materials are beneficial for large $V_{oc}$.

Here, we therefore investigate the voltage losses in T-OPVs via radiative and non-radiative recombination losses by employing highly sensitive external quantum efficiency and electroluminescence (EL) measurements. Two homoletic iridium (Ir) complexes, $\text{Ir(FObpa)}_3$ and tris(1-(2,4-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine) Ir(III)( (I r (Ft b p a)3) and tris(1-(2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine) Ir(III), are designed as electron donors and phenyl-C$_{71}$-butyric acid methyl ester (PC$_{71}$BM) is used as the electron acceptor. OPVs based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ donors exhibit PCEs of 3.17% and 3.56%, which are decent performances regarding the studies on T-OPVs to date, and also showed great enhancement compared to poor photovoltaic performance of the 1-chloro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine (Ftbpa) (0.001%) and 1-(2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenox)-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine (FOtbpa) (0.007%) ligands as donors. More importantly, a higher $V_{oc}$ is achieved for Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices despite a lower $E_{CT}$, which is attributed to the reduced radiative and non-radiative recombination loss.

**Experimental section**

**Synthesis and characterization**

All commercially available reagents and chemicals were used without further purification. All reactions involving air-sensitive reagents were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen.

1-Chloro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine, Ftbpa and Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ was synthesized according to the literature reports.

**Synthesis of Ftbpa.** To a 50 mL round-bottom flask, 1-chloro-4-(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[g]phthalazine (1.184 g, 4 mmol), 2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenol (1.20 g, 5.2 mmol), potassium carbonate (1.79 g, 13 mmol), and N,N-dimethyleformamide (20 mL) were added. The mixture was heated to 110 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the mixture was poured into 100 mL water. The precipitate was then collected by filtration, and washed with water and dried in a vacuum. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane/dichloromethane = 1:1, v/v). Then, the crude product was recrystallized from dichloromethane/hexane to give Ftbpa as a yellow solid. Yield: 82%. $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.10 (s, 1H), 9.07 (s, 1H), 8.27 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 8.20 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.91 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.86 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.82–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.67 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.64 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (t, $J = 4.3$ Hz, 1H). HRMS (ESI$^+$) m/z: c a l c d for C$_{24}$H$_{16}$F$_6$N$_4$O$_5$ + [M + H]$^+$: 491.0653, found: 491.0692.

**Synthesis of Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$.** A mixture of Ftbpa (1.62 g, 3.3 mmol), IrCl$_3$ 3H$_2$O (0.35 g, 1 mmol), 2-methoxyethanol (30 mL) and distilled water (10 mL) was stirred at 110 °C for 24 h under nitrogen. After cooling to room temperature, 50 mL of distilled water was added and the precipitate was filtered off and washed with water, ethanol and hexane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography over aluminum oxide using hexane/dichloromethane (2:1, v/v) as the eluent to give Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ as a black solid. Yield: 25%. $^1$H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 9.16 (s, 3H), 8.69 (s, 3H), 8.28 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 3H), 8.17 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 3H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 3H), 7.78–7.73 (m, 3H), 7.34 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 3H), 7.12 (s, 3H), 6.64 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 3H), 6.54 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 3H), 5.71 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 3H). HRMS (MALDI-TOF) m/z: c a l c d for C$_{72}$H$_{33}$F$_{18}$IrN$_6$O$_3$S$_3$ + [M]$^+$: 1660.1118, found: 1660.2736. Elemental analysis c a l c d for C$_{72}$H$_{33}$F$_{18}$IrN$_6$O$_3$S$_3$: C, 52.08; H, 2.00; N, 5.06; found: C, 52.08; H, 2.28; N, 5.19.

**Characterization**

$^1$H NMR spectra were measured using a JEOL-LAL-600 MHz spectrometer at ambient temperature. High resolution mass spectra were recorded using a Thermo- Electron Corporation Finnigan LTQ mass spectrometer (ESI-MS) and LCMS-IT/TOF (HRMS). The laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (LDI-TOF-MS) data were obtained using a Shimadzu AXIMA Performance MALDI-TOF instrument in both positive and negative detection modes with an applied voltage of 25 kV between the target and the aperture of the time-of-flight analyzer. Elemental analysis was performed using a flash EA 1112 spectrometer. The single crystal of Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ was obtained from the diffusion of a chloroform/hexane mixture. The low temperature (104.6 K) single-crystals X-ray experiments were performed using a Rigaku RAXIS-SPIDER II diffractometer with graphite-monochromatized MoK$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Data collection and reduction, cell refinement, and experiential absorption correction were performed with the Rigaku RAPID AUTO software package (Rigaku, 1998, Version 2.30). CCDCC 1916919.† Electrochemical measurement was performed with a Potentiostat/Galvanostat Model 283 (Princeton Applied Research) electrochemical workstation, using Pt as the working electrode, platinum wire as the auxiliary electrode, and a Ag wire as the reference electrode standardized against ferrocene/ferrocenium. The reduction/oxidation potentials were measured in anhydrous DMF solution containing 0.1 M n-Bu$_4$NPF$_6$ as the supporting electrolyte at a scan rate of 150 mV s$^{-1}$.

**Device fabrication and characterization**

The OPVs were fabricated with the structure of ITO/poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) doped with poly(styrene-sulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS)/active layer/LiF/Al. The ITO substrates were cleaned...
with detergent and TL-1 (NH₃·H₂O₂·H₂O = 1:1:5) for 30 min. PEDOT:PSS was spin-coated on the cleaned ITO substrates followed by annealing at 150 °C for 15 min. The active layers (total 20 mg mL⁻¹) were spin-coated from chloroform (CF) solutions on top of the PEDOT:PSS at 2000 rpm for 40 s in a glovebox filled with N₂. The substrates were moved into a vacuum chamber where 0.6 nm LiF and 90 nm Al were thermally evaporated at a pressure less than 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ Pa with a shadow mask to define the active area to be 0.047 cm². Hole only devices were fabricated with the structure of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/MoO₃/Al. Electron only devices were fabricated with the structure of ITO/ZnO/active layer/LiF/Al. The hole or electron mobilities of the BHJ blends were measured using the space-charge-limiting current (SCLC) method according to the Murgatroyd law and using eqn (1) to fit the trap-free regions of the dark J-V curves from the hole or electron only devices.

\[ J = \frac{9}{8\mu_0\varepsilon_0}\frac{(V - V_{bi})^2}{L^3}\exp\left(\frac{0.89}{kT}\sqrt{\frac{V - V_{bi}}{L}}\right) \]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the relative dielectric constant of the blend (3.6), \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the vacuum permittivity, \( \mu_0 \) is the zero-field mobility, \( L \) is the thickness of the active layer, \( k \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T \) is the absolute temperature, and \( \gamma \) is the field enhancement factor.

Current density–voltage (J-V) curves are measured by using a Keithley 2400 Source Meter under an illumination of AM 1.5 simulated by a solar simulator (LSH-7320 LED Solar Simulator, Newport). External quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra were obtained using a QE-R system (Enli Technology Co. Ltd, Taiwan). UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded using a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 spectrometer. Photoluminescence (PL) and EL spectra were recorded using an Andor spectrometer (Shamrock SR-303i-B, coupled to a Newton EMCCD silicon detector cooled to -60 °C). For the EL measurements, a Keithley 2400 Source Meter was utilized for applying an external electric field. EQE_EL was measured using a homebuilt system using a calibrated large area Si photodiode 1010B from Oriel, a Keithley 2400 Source Meter was used for applying an external electric field. A low noise current amplifier (SR570) was used to amplify the photocurrent produced upon illumination of the devices with light modulated by the FTIR. The output voltage of the current amplifier was fed back into the external detector port of the FTIR. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was performed using a Dimension 3100 system (Digital Instruments/Veeco) with antimony (n) doped silicon cantilevers (SCM-PIT, Veeco) in tapping mode. The active layer thickness was determined using a Veeco Dektak 6M Stylus profilometer.

**Results and discussions**

The incorporation of the heavy-atom Ir in the organic framework could largely enhance the SOC and lead to an effective ISC rate. As a near-infrared (NIR) phosphorescent material, Ir(Ftbpa)₃ possesses UV-vis-NIR absorption with edge over 750 nm, long phosphorescent lifetime and good solubility, which makes it a promising donor material for T-OPVs. Although a long excited-state lifetime could be obtained in these noble-metal based dyes, the notorious bimolecular triplet–triplet annihilation between dyes, along with aggregation caused quenching (ACQ) in solid films would enhance the non-radiative rate and thus reduce the excited-state lifetime, which would shorten the exciton diffusion length. The usage of inert substituents could protect and isolate the excitons in the aggregation state and alleviate ACQ. Based on Ir(Ftbpa)₃, insertion of oxygen-bridges between the benzog[f]phthalazine moiety and bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl group generate bis(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy groups as flexible inert δ-spacers to protect the exciton, and thus alleviate the ACQ and maintain long phosphorescent lifetimes for the aggregation states. As a result, Ir(FOtbpa)₃ (Fig. 1a) was designed and synthesized with the structure fully characterized by ¹H NMR, high-resolution mass spectrometry, elemental analysis and single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurements.

The single crystals of Ir(FOtbpa)₃ were readily grown from a chloroform/methanol mixture. As shown in Fig. 1b, the single-crystal X-ray diffraction measurement verified that Ir(FOtbpa)₃ possesses a facial configuration around the Ir center. The average...
C–O–C angles and the dihedral angles between the bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl groups and the benzo[g]phthalazine cores are 117° and 86°. Consequently, the bis(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy groups could protect the benzo[g]phthalazine moieties and Ir center at one side.

The energy levels of Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃, were estimated by cyclic voltammogram (CV) measurements (Fig. S1, ESI†). The LUMO/HOMO energy levels of Ir(Ftbpa)₃, Ir(FOtbpa)₃, and PC71BM are calculated to be −3.04/−5.20, −2.97/−5.13, and −3.75/−5.78 eV (Fig. 1c), respectively. It indicates that insertion of an oxygen-bridge has no obvious effect on the electrochemical LUMO–HOMO gap while both LUMO and HOMO levels are elevated slightly.

To give readers an intuitive understanding of the charge generation process in T-OPVs, the energetic states of the Ir(Ftbpa)₃:PC71BM blend is presented in Fig. 1d where the singlet and triplet states of Ir(Ftbpa)₃ were calculated in a previous report, and the energies of the CT states is obtained from the FTPS-EQE measurement. In the charge generation process of the singlet system, the CT states are formed directly from the S₁ before being separated into free charges. While in the Ir(Ftbpa)₃:PC71BM system, excitons go through a fast ISC from S₁ to T₁ (blue arrow in Fig. 1d). The energy offset between T₁ and S₁ may be beneficial for triplet excitons to form 3CT and then dissociate into free charges (red arrow). However, this is also a possibility even in the triplet system, CT excitons might generate from S₁ without going through T₁ (green line).

The UV-vis absorption spectra of Ftbpa and FOtbpa ligands showed absorption bands below 450 nm (Fig. S2a, ESI†), which could be ascribed to the π−π* transition. Ir complexes, Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃, exhibited significantly enhanced and broadened absorption compared to Ftbpa and FOtbpa ligands shown in Fig. 2a. The bands below 450 nm are attributed to the ligands’ absorption, while the absorption bands at 450–700 nm correspond to the mixed transitions of 1MLCT (metal-to-ligand charge transfer) and 3MLCT. The weak absorption band extending over 700 nm could be the excitation from the ground states to the lowest triplet state (S₀ → T₃). After blending with PC71BM, the blend films with a weight ratio of 1:1.5 showed similar absorption spectra to the overlapped absorptions between Ir complexes and PC71BM. Compared with Ir(Ftbpa)₃, Ir(FOtbpa)₃ displayed similar NIR phosphorescence with an emission peak at 767 nm, but a lower PL quantum yield (Φₚ) of 10.8% and a shorter phosphorescent lifetime (τₚ) of 489 ns in degassed CH₂Cl₂ (Table S1 and Fig. S2b, ESI†), which are attributed to its slightly enlarged radiative transition rate constant (κₑ = 2.2 × 10⁷ s⁻¹) and significantly increased non-radiative transition rate constant (κₙᵣ = 1.8 × 10⁸ s⁻¹). The significantly increased κₙᵣ of Ir(FOtbpa)₃ could be ascribed to the rotation of pendent bis(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy groups in the solution.

In neat films, the Ir(Ftbpa)₃ complex showed slightly red-shifted emissions with peaks at 784 nm compared to that of Ir(FOtbpa)₃ with peaks at 780 nm (Fig. S2c, ESI†), which should correspond to phosphorescence characteristics of the triplet excited states. Accordingly, the energies of T₁ were estimated, by the highest energy vibronic band of the phosphorescence spectrum, to be 1.58 eV and 1.59 eV for Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃, respectively. The complete elimination of the ligand fluorescence emissions indicated the strong SOC and efficient ISC rate from S₁ to T₁. The Φₚ of Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃ reduced to 2.4% and 2.6% (Table S1, ESI†), respectively, which could be ascribed to the ACQ with enlarged κₙᵣ caused by the interactions of triplet excitons such as triplet–triplet annihilation. Also, the τₚ of Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃ reduced to 49 ns and 19 ns, respectively (Fig. 2b). The κₙᵣ of Ir(FOtbpa)₃ and Ir(Ftbpa)₃ were calculated to be 2.0 × 10⁷ s⁻¹ and 5.1 × 10⁶ s⁻¹ in neat films, respectively, which are about 11 times and 43 times larger than their κₙᵣ in degassed CH₂Cl₂. The values of κₑ were calculated to be 4.9 × 10⁷ s⁻¹ and 1.4 × 10⁶ s⁻¹ for Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃ neat films, respectively. Since the only difference of Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃ molecules is the pendent group, the much smaller enhancement of κₑ for Ir(FOtbpa)₃ is ascribed to the usage of the bis(trifluoromethyl)phenoxy groups as δ-spacers, which hamper the interactions of triplet excitons in aggregated state and alleviate the reductions of Φₚ and τₚ. Thus, Ir(Ftbpa)₃ displays longer τₚ in the pristine film, which is beneficial for the exciton diffusion.

To study the voltage losses in T-OPVs, the Ir complexes were evaluated using PC71BM as the electron acceptor with weight ratios of 2:1, 1:1.5 and 1:3. Photovoltaic parameters of the T-OPVs based on Ir(Ftbpa)₃ and Ir(FOtbpa)₃ are summarized in Table 1. For Ir(Ftbpa)₃:PC71BM devices, a PCE of 3.17% with a short-circuit current density (Jₘ) of 8.70 mA cm⁻², Voc of 0.80 V, and fill factor (FF) of 0.46 is obtained at a weight ratio of 1:1.5. For Ir(FOtbpa)₃:PC71BM devices, the best PCE increases to 3.56% with a Voc of 0.88 V, Jₘ of 8.58 mA cm⁻², and FF of 0.47 at the same weight ratio (1:1.5). On the other hand, the Ftbpa and FOtbpa ligands showed very poor performance with very low PCEs of 0.001% and 0.007% in similar device structures (Table S2, ESI†), which confirms the significant contribution of Ir to the performance of corresponding T-OPVs. The typical J–V and EQE curves for Ir complex-based devices with a weight ratio of 1:1.5 are shown in Fig. 3a and b. The EQE curves of these Ir complex-based devices showed a spectral response from both donor and acceptor absorption regions (300 to 700 nm). The integrated Jₘ values from the EQE curves are 8.26 and 8.11 mA cm⁻² for Ir(Ftbpa)₃:PC71BM and Ir(FOtbpa)₃:PC71BM devices, respectively, which are consistent with the values from J–V measurement. The J–V characteristics of the hole-only and electron-only devices are shown in Fig. S3a and b (ESI†).
The hole and electron mobilities are $6.6 \times 10^{-7}$ and $1.76 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ for Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ blends (ratio 1 : 1.5) and $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2\text{ V}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$ for Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ blends (ratio 1 : 1.5), as found through the SCLC measurements. The lower hole mobilities than the singlet materials resulted in unbalanced mobilities and the smaller FFs here.

Comparing the devices based on these two Ir complexes with different weight ratios, we find that the $V_{oc}$ increases with increasing content of the Ir complexes. Similar phenomena have been reported and attributed to the changes in the surface morphology, the phase separation of the whole active layer could be investigated by PL measurement. Steady state PL spectra of the pristine Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ films are compared with their corresponding blends with different weight ratios (Fig. S5, ESI†). The PL intensities from Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ triplet excitons are strongly quenched by PC$_{71}$BM in all blends, indicating efficient excitons dissociation and charge transfer between the two Ir complex donors and PC$_{71}$BM acceptor with highly mixed donors and acceptors. The CT state PL from 2 : 1, 1 : 1.5, and 1 : 3 Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ : PC$_{71}$BM blend films are presented in Fig. 4a. The interfacial CT state emission is observed at $\sim$ 950 nm, which is clearly red-shifted compared to Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ exciton emission at 784 nm. Furthermore, it shows a clear trend of suppressed CT PL from the films with a higher PC$_{71}$BM content. Similar results have also been found in Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ : PC$_{71}$BM blends (Fig. 4b). Since the CT PL intensities are generally very low, EL measurement is a much more sensitive method to determine the $E_{CT}$. Therefore, the EL emission from devices based on pristine Ir complexes and their blends are also recorded. As shown in Fig. 4c and d, these electrically generated CT state EL emissions are consistent with the CT state PL emissions generated by photoexcitation. The Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ : PC$_{71}$BM blend films showed red-shift EL emissions at around 950 nm compared to 780 nm for the pristine Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ devices (Fig. 4c). Similar red-shift EL emissions are observed in the Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ : PC$_{71}$BM blends (Fig. 4d) at around 973 nm. These indicate that the triplet energy of Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ are much higher than the $E_{CT}$ in the blends, which confirms the effective utilization of triplet excitons in the charge generation process.

More specifically, the $E_{CT}$ can be determined through fitting the FTPS-EQE spectra according to the model developed by Vandewal based on Marcus theory.

$$\text{EQE}_{\text{PV}}(E) = \frac{f}{E^4 \sqrt{4\pi K T}} \exp \left( \frac{- (E_{CT} + \lambda - E)^2}{4\lambda K T} \right)$$

where $f$ is proportional to the absorption strength of the CT state, $K$ is the Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the absolute temperature and $\lambda$ is the reorganization energy. FTPS-EQE spectra and corresponding fits by eqn (2) of these two Ir complex blends are

### Table 1

Summary of photovoltaic parameters of T-OPVs based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ with different ratios. The average values were obtained from over 20 devices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$</td>
<td>2 : 1</td>
<td>0.85 (0.85 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>6.43 (6.47 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>0.39 (0.38 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>2.13 (2.07 ± 0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 : 1.5</td>
<td>0.80 (0.80 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>8.70 (8.72 ± 0.19)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.43 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>3.17 (3.01 ± 0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>0.78 (0.78 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>8.62 (8.58 ± 0.07)</td>
<td>0.42 (0.41 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>2.97 (2.71 ± 0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$</td>
<td>2 : 1</td>
<td>0.93 (0.93 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>5.07 (4.67 ± 0.23)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.31 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>1.51 (1.34 ± 0.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 : 1.5</td>
<td>0.88 (0.88 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>8.58 (8.41 ± 0.51)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.45 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>3.56 (3.30 ± 0.26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 : 3</td>
<td>0.85 (0.85 ± 0.02)</td>
<td>8.11 (8.14 ± 0.44)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.41 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>3.15 (2.80 ± 0.23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
shown in Fig. 5a and b, respectively. For the Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$-based devices, $E_{\text{CT}}$ values of 1.46 eV, 1.47 eV, and 1.48 eV are obtained for the 2:1, 1:1.5, and 1:3 blends. For the Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices, $E_{\text{CT}}$ values of 1.41 eV, 1.38 eV, and 1.38 eV are obtained for the 2:1, 1:1.5, and 1:3 blends.

As shown in Table 1, the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of the OPVs based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ are in the range of 0.85–0.78 V and the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of the OPVs based on Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ are in the range of 0.93–0.85 V. The contradiction between $E_{\text{CT}}$ and $V_{\text{oc}}$ for different blend ratios motivates us to further understand the voltage losses. Considering the detailed balance theory, the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of OPVs is then determined by eqn (3), where radiative ($q_{\text{rad}}V_{\text{rad}}$) and non-radiative ($q_{\text{non-rad}}V_{\text{non-rad}}$) recombination losses can be experimentally determined by the fitting parameters and measured EQE$_{\text{EL}}$.

$$V_{\text{oc}} = \frac{E_{\text{CT}}}{q} - q\Delta V_{\text{rad}} - q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$$

$$= \frac{E_{\text{CT}}}{q} + kT\ln\left(\frac{J_{\text{SC}}h^2e^2}{4q^2\pi(E_{\text{CT}} - \lambda)}\right) + kT\ln(Q_{\text{EL}})$$

where EQE$_{\text{EL}}$ is the external quantum efficiency of the EL of the device.

The $q\Delta V_{\text{rad}}$ and $q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$ for blends with different ratios were calculated (Table 2). The $q\Delta V_{\text{rad}}$ for both Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices is independent with blend ratios. From the EQE$_{\text{EL}}$ measurements (Fig. 5c, d and Table 2), the EQE$_{\text{EL}}$ of the Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices decreased with increasing content of PC$_{71}$BM. These lead to low $q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$ for both Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices resulting in a higher $V_{\text{oc}}$ with a low PC$_{71}$BM content.

For the best device performances based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ blends (1:1.5), as shown in Table 1, the difference in the PCEs is mainly due to the difference in $V_{\text{oc}}$s. When we compare the energy levels of these two donors, the HOMO level of Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ is lower than that of Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ (Fig. 1b), which indicates that the Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ blend may have a higher $V_{\text{oc}}$. However, the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$-based devices is 0.08 V lower than that of the Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices. The Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$-based devices have a higher $E_{\text{CT}}$ of 1.47 eV compared with the value of 1.38 eV for the Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$-based devices, which is consistent with $E_{\text{CT}}$ values.

**Table 2** Summary of fitting parameters and calculated $q\Delta V_{\text{rad}}$ and $q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$ values for T-OPVs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>$qV_{\text{oc}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$f_1$ ($e^2$)</th>
<th>$E_{\text{CT}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\lambda$ (eV)</th>
<th>$q\Delta V_{\text{rad}}$ (eV)</th>
<th>EQE$_{\text{EL}}$ (%)</th>
<th>$q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>6 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1.5</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>6 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>9 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>5 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>9 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1.5</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>6 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>7 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>3 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 1, the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of the OPVs based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_3$ are in the range of 0.85–0.78 V and the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of the OPVs based on Ir(FOtbpa)$_3$ are in the range of 0.93–0.85 V. The contradiction between $E_{\text{CT}}$ and $V_{\text{oc}}$ for different blend ratios motivates us to further understand the voltage losses. Considering the detailed balance theory, the $V_{\text{oc}}$ of OPVs is then determined by eqn (3), where radiative ($q_{\text{rad}}V_{\text{rad}}$) and non-radiative ($q_{\text{non-rad}}V_{\text{non-rad}}$) recombination losses can be experimentally determined by the fitting parameters and measured EQE$_{\text{EL}}$.

$$V_{\text{oc}} = \frac{E_{\text{CT}}}{q} - q\Delta V_{\text{rad}} - q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$$

$$= \frac{E_{\text{CT}}}{q} + kT\ln\left(\frac{J_{\text{SC}}h^2e^2}{4q^2\pi(E_{\text{CT}} - \lambda)}\right) + kT\ln(Q_{\text{EL}})$$

where EQE$_{\text{EL}}$ is the external quantum efficiency of the EL of the device.
with the HOMO level difference. The $q\Delta V_{\text{rad}}$ for Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices is 0.25 eV, which is higher than the value of 0.19 eV for the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices. The EQE$_{\text{ri}}$ of the device based on Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$ is more than one order of magnitude higher than that of the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$. This leads to a calculated $q\Delta V_{\text{non-rad}}$ of 0.31 eV for the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices, about 0.11 eV lower than that of the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices. Both radiative and non-radiative recombinations for the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices are lower than those of the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices, which results in a higher $V_{oc}$ for the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices. The calculated data fit well with $V_{oc}$ in these two blends.

Contrary to the energy gap law (the non-radiative decay rate is exponentially increasing with decreasing energy difference between the excited and ground states), the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based device has a lower $E_C$ than a higher EQE$_{\text{ri}}$. Considering the photophysical properties of the two Ir complexes, the larger $k_r (1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1})$ of Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$ than that of Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3} (k_r = 4.9 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1})$ in solid state may correlate with the larger radiative recombination loss in Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices. The longer excited lifetime ($\tau = 49 \text{ ns}$) and much smaller $k_{nr} (2.0 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1})$ compared with those of Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$ ($\tau = 19 \text{ ns}$ and $k_{nr} = 5.1 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$) in pristine films due to the flexible inert $\delta$-spacer may decrease the non-radiative recombination loss in Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices. In addition to the above reasons, some other charge carrier loss mechanisms may coexist in the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices.

The recombination mechanism was further studied by measuring the light intensity dependencies of $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ (Fig. S6, ESI†). The Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$ and Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices (1 : 1.5) show figure-of-merit ($x$) values of 0.93 and 0.92, respectively, indicating that bimolecular recombination occurs in both systems at short circuit conditions. At open circuit conditions, a slope of 2 $k_{\text{br}}/q$ for monomolecular (trap-assisted) recombination and a slope of 1 $k_{\text{br}}/q$ for bimolecular recombination exist. In some cases, surface recombination would make the slope less than 1 $k_{\text{br}}/q$. The Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices (1 : 1.5) show a slope of 1.03 $k_{\text{br}}/q$, while the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices (1 : 1.5) show a slope less than 1 $k_{\text{br}}/q (0.95 k_{\text{br}}/q)$. Thus, the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices (1 : 1.5) is more dominated by surface recombination than the Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$-based devices (1 : 1.5), which is consistent with the non-radiative recombination losses from EQE$_{\text{ri}}$ calculations.

**Conclusions**

In summary, the voltage losses in T-OPVs based on two Ir complexes and PC$_{71}$BM are studied from the aspects of radiative and non-radiative recombination. Firstly, significantly increased PCE from 0.007% (devices based on ligands) to 3.56% (the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices) was observed, which confirms the major contribution by introducing Ir. Secondly, a trend of increasing $V_{oc}$ with increasing donor contents was found in two Ir complex systems by varying the weight ratios between the donors and acceptors. Thirdly, T-OPVs based on Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$ exhibited a higher $V_{oc}$ compared to Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$, which could be attributed to suppressed non-radiative recombination losses due to the relatively small $k_{nr}$ for Ir(FOtbpa)$_{3}$. Furthermore, the additional surface recombination in the Ir(Ftbpa)$_{3}$-based devices also has an impact on the non-radiative recombination losses, which results in a lower $V_{oc}$.
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