


Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Materials for optical, magnetic and electronic devices

rsc.li/materials-c



Themed issue: Emerging Investigators 2019

ISSN 2050-7526



ROYAL SOCIETY
OF CHEMISTRY

Celebrating
IYPT 2019

PAPER

Wojciech Pisula, Klaus Müllen, Akimitsu Narita *et al.*
Synthesis and helical supramolecular organization of
discotic liquid crystalline dibenzol[*hi, st*]lovalene



Cite this: *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019,
7, 12898

Synthesis and helical supramolecular organization of discotic liquid crystalline dibenzo[*hi,st*]ovalene†

Qiang Chen,‡^a Wojciech Zajaczkowski,‡^a Johannes Seibel,‡^b Steven De Feyter,  ^b Wojciech Pisula,  ^{ac} Klaus Müllen^{ad} and Akimitsu Narita  ^{ae}

Dibenzo[*hi,st*]ovalene (DBOV) has emerged as a new polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) with intriguing optical properties with strong red emission. Nevertheless, DBOV derivatives thus far synthesized either had mesityl groups that hinder the $\pi-\pi$ stacking of the aromatic cores or showed low solubility, and the self-assembly of DBOVs has not been investigated. In this work, two 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl (TDOP) groups are introduced at the *meso*-positions of DBOV in order to enhance its solubility without compromising the intermolecular interactions. The obtained **DBOV-TDOP** forms at elevated temperatures a discotic liquid crystalline phase. Due to $\pi-\pi$ -stacking interactions as well as local phase separation between the aromatic cores and the flexible alkoxy side chains, the **DBOV-TDOP** molecules self-assemble into columnar stacks. In the low temperature phase, the space demanding TDOP substituents induce a rotation of the discs towards each other leading to an exceptionally long helical pitch within the columnar structures. Moreover, scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) elucidates intriguing self-assembly of **DBOV-TDOP** at the interface of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and 1-phenyloctane (PO). Individual molecules are visualized by STM, revealing that the alkyl chains protrude from the surface into the solution and suggesting that a self-assembled bilayer film structure is predominantly formed.

Received 21st June 2019,
Accepted 27th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9tc03350e

rsc.li/materials-c

Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are attractive molecules not only because of their intriguing (opto)electronic and photophysical properties, but also for their unique self-assembly behaviour induced by the large aromatic core structures. A wide range of applications has thus been proposed for PAHs, not only as semiconductor materials in organic field-effect transistors and light-emitting devices, but also as fluorescent dyes in bioimaging.^{1–14} The supramolecular organization of the disc-shaped PAH molecules is of particular interest, leading to various self-assembled structures such as

columns, nanotubes, and micelles.^{15–23} The combination of the rigid PAH cores with flexible aliphatic chains at the peripheral positions can induce a phase separation between these two components at the molecular level, resulting in the formation of columnar structures due to the strong intermolecular $\pi-\pi$ interactions, and discotic liquid crystalline (LC) properties.^{24–35} Triphenylene, perylene and hexa-*peri*-hexabenzocoronene (HBC) derivatives are representative examples, which have been extensively studied with a variety of peripheral substituents, demonstrating controllable self-assembly behaviour and high charge-carrier mobility along the column direction.^{21,36–38} Their intermolecular packing can be tuned by attaching soft chains to provide supramolecular structures with specific organization, such as columnar self-assemblies with controlled rotation angle as well as intra- and intercolumnar distances.^{21,32} PAHs larger than HBC, as well as non-planar bowl-shaped PAHs, such as corannulene and sumanene were demonstrated to be also applicable as discotic LC materials.^{23,39–42} Nevertheless, the LC behaviours of PAHs other than triphenylenes, HBCs and perylenes are still underexplored and there is so far only a limited number of PAHs that are known to show LC properties. In particular, LC PAHs with smaller HOMO-LUMO gaps are seldom reported, despite the interest in their longer-wavelength absorption and emission as well as potential to achieve higher charge-carrier mobilities.⁴³

^a Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128, Mainz, Germany. E-mail: pisula@mpip-mainz.mpg.de, muellen@mpip-mainz.mpg.de, narita@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

^b KU Leuven, Department of Chemistry, Division of Molecular Imaging and Photonics, Celestijnlaan 200F, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

^c Lodz University of Technology, Department of Molecular Physics, Faculty of Chemistry, Zeromskiego 116, 90-924, Lodz, Poland

^d Institute of Physical Chemistry, Johannes Gutenberg-University, Duesbergweg 10-14, 55128, Mainz, Germany

^e Organic and Carbon Nanomaterials Unit, Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University, Okinawa 904-0495, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9tc03350e


‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.



Further, two-dimensional (2D) self-assembly of PAHs has been studied at the liquid–solid interface between an organic solvent and highly-oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG). For studies at the liquid–solid interface PAHs are often substituted with long or bulky aliphatic groups at the periphery to increase their solubility. For example, alkoxy-substituted extended triphenylenes were found to form ordered monolayers at the 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (TCB)/HOPG interface with a hexagonal structure.⁴⁴ A representative result with a larger PAH was reported in 1995, demonstrating that HBC substituted with six alkyl chains can form 2D self-assembled structures at the liquid–solid interface.⁴⁵ The HBC core extended with four additional K-regions has been shown to form a highly ordered monolayer at the TCB/HOPG interface, extending defect-free area over several hundred square nanometers, but with occasional molecular stacking on top of the first layer.⁴⁶ In contrast thereto, unsubstituted HBC has been shown to form complete bilayers at the TCB/HOPG interface.⁴⁷ Under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) conditions and at low temperatures, perchlorinated HBC has been studied on the Au(111) surface, revealing formation of islands of highly ordered supramolecular networks even at coverages lower than a full monolayer.⁴⁸ More recently, we have observed preferential bilayer formation of triply-fused nanographene–porphyrin–nanographene conjugates at the TCB/HOPG interface.⁴⁹ However, reported self-assemblies of PAHs at liquid–solid interfaces are predominantly monolayers, and formation of such self-assembled bilayers, where intermolecular interactions are stronger than the molecule–surface interactions, still remains rare.⁵⁰

We recently reported the synthesis of a new type of nanographene, namely dibenzo[*hi,st*]ovalene (DBOV) with both zigzag and armchair edge structures, showing intensive absorption and emission in the visible light region.^{51,52} The molecules also revealed stimulated emission in a polystyrene matrix, which is crucial for potential applications in organic lasers or optical amplifiers.⁵³ Moreover, this molecule has high chemical stability under ambient conditions, allowing easy processing and potentially long-term device application. However, derivatives of DBOV that have so far been synthesized were substituted with mesityl groups, which prevent the π – π stacking of the aromatic cores, or had low solubility.^{51,52,54,55} Packing mode in the solid state and self-assembly behaviour at solid/liquid interface of substituted DBOV derivatives with a new type of aromatic core remained so far unknown, although such information will be essential for further implementation of them in (opto)electronic devices.

Herein, we report a synthesis of a DBOV derivative functionalized with two 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl substituents (**DBOV-TDOP**, Scheme 1). TDOP groups were selected in order to enhance the solubility without significantly affecting the π – π interactions between the DBOV cores, in comparison to the mesityl group with two *ortho*-methyl groups. The structure of **DBOV-TDOP** was unambiguously characterized by a combination of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, high resolution matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass spectroscopy (MALDI-TOF MS), and the optoelectronic properties were studied by UV-vis absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy. The large rigid aromatic core has strong tendency to aggregate with the long *n*-dodecyl chains



Scheme 1 Synthetic route towards 6,14-bis{3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl}dibenzo-[*hi,st*]ovalene (**DBOV-TDOP**). Reaction conditions: (a) BBr₃, $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ to r.t., overnight, 98% yield; (b) C₁₂H₂₅Br, K₂CO₃, *N,N*-dimethylformamide, 80 $^{\circ}\text{C}$, 20 h, 63% yield; (c) Mg, I₂, tetrahydrofuran, reflux, overnight; (d) **4**, tetrahydrofuran, 4 h, room temperature; (e) BF₃·OEt₂, dichloromethane, room temperature, overnight, then *p*-chloranil, 2 h, 85% yield in three steps.



stretched to the periphery, enabling the formation of columnar self-assembly structures. The phase formation and self-assembly in the solid state was investigated by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), polarized optical microscopy (POM), and two-dimensional wide- and small-angle X-ray scattering (2D-WAXS and -SAXS), which clearly indicated its packing into helical stacks with an exceptionally long pitch. It has been proven that the helical packing can be well controlled by attaching side alkyl chains with a suitable steric demand, while a tight packing and good intra-columnar order were maintained. In addition, this molecule tends to form bilayer structures at the interface of HOPG and 1-phenyloctane (PO) due to strong intermolecular interactions.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of DBOV-TDOP

DBOV-TDOP was synthesized as shown in Scheme 1. First, 5-bromo-1,2,3-tris(dodecyloxy)benzene (**3**) was synthesized from 5-bromo-1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (**1**) through a sequence of demethylation with BBr_3 and three-fold nucleophilic substitution with 1-bromododecane. Then Grignard reagent **4** was prepared by refluxing **3** and magnesium turning in tetrahydrofuran with I_2 as initiator. 5,14-Diformylbenzo[*a*]dinaphtho[2,1,8-cde:1',2',3',4'-ghi]-perylene (**5**)^{52,56} was subsequently treated with Grignard reagent **4** at room temperature followed by quenching with NH_4Cl to give diol intermediate **6**, which was subjected to $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ promoted Friedel-Crafts reaction and oxidation by *p*-chloranil to afford

DBOV-TDOP with a high yield of 85% in three steps. This compound showed high solubility in dichloromethane, tetrahydrofuran or toluene, which allowed unambiguous characterization of its structure in solution.

As shown in Fig. 1a, well-resolved sharp peaks are observed in its ^1H NMR spectrum, which could be assigned with two-dimensional NMR techniques (see Fig. S1 and S2, ESI[†]). High-resolution (HR) MALDI-TOF MS spectra (Fig. 1b) exhibited one intense peak with *m/z* of 1729.2822, in very good agreement with the calculated value of 1729.2835. The UV-vis absorption was measured in toluene at a concentration of 10^{-6} M (Fig. 1c). Similar to other DBOV derivatives,^{51,52,54,55} the maximum absorption wavelength (λ_{max}) is located at 609 nm with very high extinction coefficient of $3.85 \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Three characteristic shoulder peaks are observed at shorter wavelength, arising from the vibronic coupling involving $\text{C}=\text{C}$ stretch. The solution showed very strong red fluorescence with maximum emission peak wavelength at 615 nm. Small Stokes shift of only 6 nm indicates its rigid core structure and minor energy loss in the excited state. Moreover, high relative fluorescence quantum yield (Φ) of 0.89 was measured with Nile blue A perchlorate as standard,⁵⁷ indicating the potential of **DBOV-TDOP** for optoelectronic applications.

Solid-state self-assembly into helical columns

The thermal stability of **DBOV-TDOP** was investigated by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), which showed a high decomposition temperature of up to 365 °C (5% weight loss, Fig. S3, ESI[†]). The attachment of the six dodecyloxy chains induced distinct




Fig. 1 (a) ^1H NMR spectra of **DBOV-TDOP** in $[\text{D}_8]\text{THF} : \text{CS}_2 = 2 : 1$; (b) HR MALDI-TOF MS spectrum of **DBOV-TDOP**, inset: magnified spectrum showing isotopic distribution pattern in comparison with theoretical calculation; (c) UV-vis absorption and fluorescence spectra of **DBOV-TDOP** measured in toluene solution with concentration of 10^{-6} M, inset shows pictures of toluene solution under room light (left) and UV light (right).



thermotropic properties which were studied by DSC and POM. The 2nd DSC heating scan showed two prominent peaks (Fig. 2a). The first peak at 145 °C was attributed to the reorganization of the side chains, while the second at 158 °C was related to the melting into the liquid crystalline phase. The clearing temperature for the compound was found at 252 °C and was confirmed by POM. Cooling the compound between two glass slides under cross-polarized microscopy from its isotropic into the liquid crystalline phase resulted in a characteristic birefringent fan-shaped focal conic texture (Fig. 2b). A typical supramolecular liquid crystalline organization was also found in the liquid crystalline phase by 2D-WAXS and -SAXS on extruded fibers. The hexagonal liquid crystalline phase typically leads to π -stacking reflections in the wide-angle range on the meridional plane of the 2DWAXS pattern and to equatorial reflections in the small angle region that are related to the hexagonal intercolumnar arrangement.⁵⁸ As derived from the positions at the ratio of $1:\sqrt{3}:2$ of the equatorial scattering intensities (Fig. 3a and c), the **DBOV-TDOP** self-assembled into columnar stacks arranged in a hexagonal lattice. The equatorial reflections were indexed according to a hexagonal lattice parameter of $a_{\text{hex}} = 3.07$ nm (Fig. 3e). The meridional reflections were assigned to the intracolumnar π -stacking distance of 0.36 nm. In the liquid crystalline phase, the molecular dynamics are high and the aromatic discs can perform an axial motion around the column axes. As the thermal energy decreases at lower temperature, this molecular motion is reduced leading to higher intracolumnar order and the formation of a more complex organization.⁵⁹ Cooling the sample to the crystalline phase at 30 °C changed the supramolecular organization of **DBOV-TDOP** as indicated by the complex pattern in Fig. 3b. The large number of new scattering intensities confirmed the higher long-range order in the crystalline phase. The π -stacking distance slightly increased to 0.37 nm, while the intercolumnar arrangement turned into a square lattice with $a_{\text{sq}} = 5.35$ nm. The reflections with mixed indices out of the equator and of the meridian located on scattering lines was characteristic for helical packing within the stacks.^{60–62} Additional off-meridional reflections in the small-angle region implied a helical intracolumnar




Fig. 3 2D-WAXS and -SAXS patterns of **DBOV-TDOP** obtained at (a), (c) 200 °C and (b), (d) 30 °C (* indicates remaining reflection from the crystalline phase; white arrows assign reflections of the first scattering line) and (e) equatorial integration for both measurements (reflections are indexed by Miller indices).




Fig. 2 (a) Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) scans (1st cooling and 2nd heating at $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$) and (b) polarized optical microscopy (POM) photograph at $150\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ of **DBOV-TDOP**.




Fig. 4 Schematic illustration of the helical organization of **DBOV-TDOP** at 30 °C. Due to π -stacking interactions, the molecules self-assemble in columnar structures that are arranged in a hexagonal fashion. In the stacks, the molecules are rotated by 12° with respect to each other and form a helix. Alkoxy side chains are omitted for clarity.

packing of the molecules. The helical pitch of 5.55 nm was determined from the first scattering line (Fig. 3d) and corresponded to 15 molecules per helical pitch including a 180° rotation (Fig. 4). This exceptionally long helical pitch, in comparison to the typical pitch of around 1 nm for other PAHs, was related to good intracolumnar packing of the molecules.⁶³

A rotation of 12° between adjacent molecules in the stack was induced to overcome the steric hindrance of the out-of-plane arranged TDOP group in the periphery of the aromatic DBOV core. The strategy to control the helical organization in supramolecular columns of disc-shaped molecules by employing sterically demanding substituents has been also realized for other nanographenes,^{35,38,64} but for the first time for a dibenzo[*hi,st*]ovalene of this aromatic shape. Interestingly, this concept could be applied for a DBOV core by attaching only two bulky substituents as proven in this work. Comparison to other core extended discotic PAHs, we confirmed the exceptionally long helical pitch of the DBOV molecules.⁶³ Since the corresponding reflections of mixed indices are distinct and well defined, the molecular ordering in the stacks is pronounced and the helical twist between neighboring discs is well defined. Fluctuations in the twist angle would lead to poor or none reflections related to the helical packing. The high intracolumnar order of the helical structure with such long pitch is attributed to good intermolecular π -interactions.

STM characterization of DBOV-TDOP

Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) was used to study the 2D self-assembly behaviour of **DBOV-TDOP** at the interface between PO and HOPG. A solution of **DBOV-TDOP** in PO was




Fig. 5 STM characterization of **DBOV-TDOP** at the PO/HOPG interface. (a) Large-scale STM image showing the long-range order with few single-molecule defects (red circles) and non-covered areas ($c = 6 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$). The line profile across a non-covered area reveals an apparent layer height of approximately 0.7 nm (see inset). (b) Large-scale STM image at lower concentration ($c = 6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$) showing an increase of disordered areas and the same layer height (see inset). (c) STM image revealing double layer formation with an apparent height of about 0.35 nm for each layer. (d) High-resolution STM images superimposed with a tentative model of the molecular assembly. (e) High-resolution STM image and tentative model of the molecular assembly in the lower layer. The alkyl chains are presumably desorbed from the surface and omitted in the model for clarity. (f) Superposition of the tentative molecular models for the first and second layer. Imaging parameters: (a) $V_{\text{bias}} = -1.1 \text{ V}$, $I_{\text{set}} = 80 \text{ pA}$, (b) $V_{\text{bias}} = -1.2 \text{ V}$, $I_{\text{set}} = 60 \text{ pA}$, (c) $V_{\text{bias}} = -1.1 \text{ V}$, $I_{\text{set}} = 60 \text{ pA}$, (d) $V_{\text{bias}} = -1.1 \text{ V}$, $I_{\text{set}} = 230 \text{ pA}$, (e) $V_{\text{bias}} = -0.9 \text{ V}$, $I_{\text{set}} = 50 \text{ pA}$.



drop-casted on freshly-cleaved HOPG and subsequently imaged by STM at room temperature, at the liquid–solid interface. Already at a concentration of $c = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L⁻¹ the HOPG surface was found to be covered by a molecular layer, appearing as a row-like structure, with only a few single-molecule defects and small non-covered areas (Fig. 5a). The apparent height of the layer was found to be approximately 0.7 nm (see inset in Fig. 5a). The unit cell ($a = 2.32 \pm 0.05$ nm, $b = 1.49 \pm 0.04$ nm, $\alpha = 74.8 \pm 2.0^\circ$) was determined with several drift-corrected high-resolution STM images, *i.e.* by imaging first the molecular layer and subsequently the HOPG surface underneath by changing the tunnelling parameters (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). A tentative model of the monolayer structure, based on high-resolution STM images and unit cell dimensions, is shown in Fig. 5d. The molecules assemble with the DBOV cores aligned in a row-like fashion with the long molecular axes oriented perpendicular to the row direction. Adjacent rows are shifted half a molecular width, so that interdigitation of the peripheral phenyl groups allows close-packing. Single-molecule defects thereby help to identify the position in the assembly (Fig. 5d and Fig. S5, ESI[†]). The unit cell parameters indicate that the space between adjacent aromatic cores is not sufficient for the adsorption of the alkyl-chains. Thus, they are most likely desorbed from the surface and back-folded in the supernatant solution. More dilute solutions ($c = 6 \times 10^{-6}$ mol L⁻¹) lead to the formation of similar molecular assemblies, albeit with much lower surface coverage, while maintaining the same apparent height (~ 0.7 nm) (Fig. 5b and Fig. S6, ESI[†]).

Closer inspection of the molecular layers adjacent to the uncovered areas revealed that the molecules assemble in a bilayer instead of a monolayer as evidenced by the STM image provided in Fig. 5c, which clearly shows a lower molecular layer that extends beneath the second layer. Furthermore, high-resolution images of this lower layer show a different molecular orientation, *i.e.* the long axes of the DBOV cores are not perpendicular, but instead rotated by approximately 40° with respect to the row propagation (Fig. 5e). A superposition of the tentative molecular assembly of the first and second layer is shown in Fig. 5f. The DBOV cores of the molecules in the first and second layer are proposed to be at the same positions, while the long molecular axes are rotated in a way that the peripheral aryl moieties, which are assumed to be out-of-plane compared to the DBOV core, are not on top of each other, allowing a closer distance between the cores and thus increased π – π interactions. The observed preferential double layer formation even at low concentrations indicates that the π – π interactions between the DBOV cores are stronger than the DBOV–HOPG interactions. Note that additional layers above the double layer may form, which, however, could be removed by the STM tip during the imaging process.⁶⁵ Additional layers would decrease the tunnelling current and thus the STM tip would have to move closer to the surface to maintain the current setpoint, thereby removing the additional layers again while scanning. In the liquid crystalline phase, these strong π – π interactions lead to the observed columnar structures. The apparent layer height of about 0.35 nm is also comparable with the π -stacking distance of

0.36 nm found in the columnar stacks as is the relative orientation of the molecules in the first and second layer.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we reported the synthesis of the discotic dibenz[*hi, st*]ovalene molecule functionalized with two 3,4,5-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl groups at the *meso*-positions. The self-assembly behavior of this molecule was extensively investigated. The attachment of 1,2,3-tris(dodecyloxy)phenyl substituents induced thermotropic properties and self-assembly into columnar structures of **DBOV-TDOP**. Due to the distinct steric demand of the side groups, the molecules arranged in a helical fashion in the stacks. Interestingly, an especially long helical pitch is found indicating a tight molecular packing and high intracolumnar order despite the pronounced steric hindrance of the substituents. A well-defined rotation of the aromatic cores together with long-range ordering in the columnar stacks are prerequisites for an unhindered intrinsic charge carrier transport in discotic liquid crystals. Additionally, at the liquid–solid interface, large-area bilayer film formation was observed even at low concentrations as a result of the strong intermolecular π – π interactions. The tendency to form self-organized and well-defined supramolecular structures, both columns and film, makes **DBOV-TDOP** a promising candidate for fabricating electronic devices.

Experimental methods

General

All reactions working with air- or moisture-sensitive compounds were carried out under argon atmosphere using standard Schlenk line techniques. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was done on silica gel coated aluminum sheets with F254 indicator and column chromatography separation was performed with silica gel (particle size 0.063–0.200 mm). Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using Bruker DPX 300 MHz NMR spectrometers. Chemical shifts (δ) were expressed in ppm relative to the residual of solvents (CD_2Cl_2 , ¹H: 5.32 ppm, ¹³C: 53.84 ppm; THF-*d*₈, ¹H: 3.58 ppm, ¹³C: 67.57 ppm). Coupling constants (J) were recorded in Hertz. High resolution mass spectra (HR MS) were recorded on a Bruker Reflex II-TOF spectrometer by matrix-assisted laser decomposition/ionization (MALDI) using 7,7,8,8-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) as matrix and calibrated against poly(ethylene glycol). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was measured on a Mettler Toledo TGA-851 system with a heating speed of 10 K min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was recorded on a Mettler DSC 30 instrument with a heating speed of 10 K min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere. UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 spectrometer at room temperature using a 10 mm quartz cell. Photoluminescence spectra were recorded on a J&MTIDAS spectrofluorometer. The fluorescence quantum yield (Φ) was measured using nile blue A perchlorate (in ethanol under air, $\Phi = 0.27$) as a reference.⁵⁷



Materials

Unless otherwise noted, all starting materials and reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. The synthesis of 5,14-diformylbenzo[*a*]-dinaphtho[2,1,8-*cde*:1',2',3',4'-*ghi*]perylene (5) is described in our previously report,⁵² and we also used an improved synthetic route, which we have more recently developed.⁵⁶

Synthesis of 5-bromo-1,2,3-tris(dodecyloxy)benzene (3)

5-Bromo-1,2,3-trimethoxybenzene (1) (2.5 g, 10 mmol) was dissolved in dry dichloromethane (30 mL), and the solution was cooled down to -78°C . BBr_3 (8.26 g, 33.0 mmol) was added dropwise to the solution then the reaction mixture was gradually warmed up to room temperature and stirred overnight. After completion of reaction, the mixture was poured into 100 mL of ice water and extracted with ethyl acetate (100 mL) for three times. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine (100 mL) and dried over Na_2SO_4 . After evaporation of the solvent and drying under reduced pressure, a crude product of 5-bromobenzene-1,2,3-triol (2) (2.0 g, 98%) was obtained as white solid, which was used directly for the next step without further purification. To a 100 mL Schlenk flask was added the crude product of 5-bromobenzene-1,2,3-triol (2) (2.0 g, 9.8 mmol), 1-bromododecyl (9.92 g, 40.0 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (5.52 g, 40.0 mmol). The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon for three times before *N,N*-dimethylformamide (50 mL) was added. After stirring at 80°C for 20 h, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature and diluted with ethyl acetate (200 mL), washed with water (50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4 and evaporated. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: *n*-hexane) and recrystallized with ethanol to give 5-bromo-1,2,3-tris(dodecyloxy)-benzene (3) (4.5 g, 63% yield over two steps) as white solid. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, methylene chloride-*d*₂) δ 6.68 (s, 2H), 3.97–3.83 (m, 6H), 1.85–1.72 (m, 4H), 1.72–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.51–1.39 (m, 6H), 1.39–1.22 (m, 48H), 0.94–0.82 (m, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, methylene chloride-*d*₂) δ 154.3, 137.8, 115.8, 110.3, 73.8, 69.7, 32.4, 30.7, 30.2, 30.1, 30.1, 30.1, 30.0, 29.8, 29.8, 29.7, 26.5, 26.5, 23.1, 14.3; HRMS (MALDI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for $\text{C}_{42}\text{H}_{77}\text{BrO}_3$: 708.5056 [M]⁺, found: 708.5005.

Synthesis of DBOV-TDOP

To a 25 mL Schlenk tube was added magnesium turnings (27 mg, 1.1 mmol), I_2 (3 mg, 0.01 mmol) and tetrahydrofuran (1 mL). The mixture was gently heated while approximately 1 mL of 5-bromo-1,2,3-tris(dodecyloxy)benzene (3) (568 mg, 0.800 mmol) dissolved in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (3 mL) was added. As soon as the solution became colorless, the remaining solution was added dropwise under mild reflux and stirring was continued overnight. The obtained solution of Grignard reagent (4 mL) was transferred to a solution of 5,14-diformylbenzo[*a*]-dinaphtho[2,1,8-*cde*:1',2',3',4'-*ghi*]perylene (5) (25 mg, 0.049 mmol) in dry THF (30 mL). After stirring at room temperature for 4 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated NH_4Cl solution (15 mL). After stirring for 15 min, the solution was extracted with ethyl

acetate (50 mL) for three times. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with Na_2SO_4 and evaporated. The residue was dried under vacuum for 2 h and dissolved in anhydrous dichloromethane (30 mL). After bubbling with argon saturated with dichloromethane vapour for 15 min, $\text{BF}_3\text{-OEt}_2$ (0.1 mL) was added and the stirring was continued overnight. After quenching with methanol (2 mL), *p*-chloranil (12 mg, 0.049 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: *n*-hexane : dichloromethane = 3 : 1 to 0 : 1) to give the title compound (72 mg, 85% yield) as blue solid. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, THF-*d*₈) δ 9.27 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 9.02 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.36 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.10 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 4H), 7.89 (d, *J* = 9.3 Hz, 2H), 6.87 (s, 4H), 4.16 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 4H), 4.05 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 8H), 1.97–1.79 (m, 15H), 1.69–1.61 (m, 4H), 1.61–1.15 (m, 11H), 0.97–0.80 (m, 18H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, THF-*d*₈) δ 139.1, 137.5, 134.9, 132.7, 132.5, 131.3, 130.3, 130.1, 128.9, 127.9, 127.5, 127.3, 126.5, 125.5, 125.1, 124.7, 124.3, 123.6, 123.4, 122.1, 121.4, 111.0, 74.0, 70.0, 33.2, 33.1, 31.9, 31.1, 31.1, 31.1, 31.0, 30.9, 30.9, 30.8, 30.7, 30.7, 30.6, 27.6, 27.4, 23.9, 23.9, 14.9, 14.9; HRMS (MALDI-TOF): *m/z* calcd for $\text{C}_{122}\text{H}_{168}\text{O}_6$: 1729.2841 [M]⁺, found: 1729.2822.

POM

The optical textures of the compound were investigated using a Zeiss microscope with polarizing filters equipped with a Hitachi KP-D50 colour digital CCD camera. The samples were sandwiched between two glass slides and thermally treated on a Linkam hot stage regulated with a Linkam TMS 91 temperature controller.

2DWAXS and -SAXS

2DWAXS and -SAXS measurements were performed using a setup consisting of copper solid-anode X-ray tube (Bruker AXS Krystalloflex 760, operated at 35 kV and 30 mA), Osmic confocal MaxFlux optics and a three pin-hole collimation system. The fiber samples for the measurements were prepared by extrusion at 25°C and were positioned perpendicular to the incident X-ray beam and vertical to the 2D detector. The scattering intensity was detected on a 2-D image plate (MAR-345) with a pixel size of 100 μm (2345 \times 2345 pixels). Scattering data are expressed as a function of the scattering vector: $q = 4\pi/\lambda \sin(\Theta)$, where Θ is a half the scattering angle and $\lambda = 0.154 \text{ \AA}$ is the wavelength of the incident radiation. All X-ray scattering measurements were performed under vacuum ($\sim 20 \text{ mbar}$) to reduce air scattering and beam damage to the sample. All 2DWAXS data processing and analysis was performed by using the software package Datasqueeze (<http://www.datasqueeze.com>).

STM

STM measurements were performed with a Molecular Imaging or Agilent PicoLE system in constant-current mode (PicoSPM) at the 1-phenyloctane/highly-oriented pyrolytic graphite (PO/HOPG) interface at room temperature ($20\text{--}22^{\circ}\text{C}$). The imaging parameters I_{set} (tunneling current) and V_{bias} (substrate bias) are indicated in the figure captions. STM tips were obtained by



mechanical cutting of a Pt/Ir wire (80%/20%, diameter 0.25 mm). Immediately before use, the HOPG substrate (grade ZYB, Advanced Ceramics) was freshly cleaved using adhesive tape. The solvent, 1-phenyloctane (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), was used without further purification. Scanning Probe Imaging Processor (SPIP 6.5.1) software from Image Metrology ApS was used for STM image processing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the financial support from the Max Planck Society and the Fund of Scientific Research Flanders (FWO) under EOS 30489208. J. S. acknowledges financial support through a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellowship (EU project 789865 – EnSurf). Open Access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

References

- 1 M. D. Watson, A. Fechtenkötter and K. Müllen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 1267–1300.
- 2 A. Narita, X. Y. Wang, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6616–6643.
- 3 W. Pisula, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 554–567.
- 4 Q. Ye and C. Chi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 4046–4056.
- 5 J. Li and Q. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 28049–28062.
- 6 L. Zhang, Y. Cao, N. S. Colella, Y. Liang, J. L. Bredas, K. N. Houk and A. L. Briseno, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 500–509.
- 7 M. Ball, Y. Zhong, Y. Wu, C. Schenck, F. Ng, M. Steigerwald, S. Xiao and C. Nuckolls, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 267–276.
- 8 R. K. Gupta and A. A. Sudhakar, *Langmuir*, 2019, **35**, 2455–2479.
- 9 D. Meng, G. Liu, C. Xiao, Y. Shi, L. Zhang, L. Jiang, K. K. Baldridge, Y. Li, J. S. Siegel and Z. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5402–5408.
- 10 X. Liu, M. Chen, C. Xiao, N. Xue and L. Zhang, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 4512–4515.
- 11 X. Cui, C. Xiao, T. Winands, T. Koch, Y. Li, L. Zhang, N. L. Doltsinis and Z. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 12175–12180.
- 12 J. Li, K. Zhou, J. Liu, Y. Zhen, L. Liu, J. Zhang, H. Dong, X. Zhang, L. Jiang and W. Hu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17261–17264.
- 13 C. Ruiz, U. K. Pandey, R. Termine, E. M. Garcia-Frutos, G. Lopez-Espejo, R. P. Ortiz, W. Huang, T. J. Marks, A. Facchetti, M. C. Ruiz Delgado, A. Golemme and B. Gomez-Lor, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 26964–26971.
- 14 H. A. Lin, Y. Sato, Y. Segawa, T. Nishihara, N. Sugimoto, L. T. Scott, T. Higashiyama and K. Itami, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2874–2878.
- 15 B. R. Kaafarani, *Chem. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 378–396.
- 16 S. Xiao, M. Myers, Q. Miao, S. Sanaur, K. Pang, M. L. Steigerwald and C. Nuckolls, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 7390–7394.
- 17 J. P. Hill, W. Jin, A. Kosaka, T. Fukushima, H. Ichihara, T. Shimomura, K. Ito, T. Hashizume, N. Ishii and T. Aida, *Science*, 2004, **304**, 1481–1483.
- 18 S. Xiao, J. Tang, T. Beetz, X. Guo, N. Tremblay, T. Siegrist, Y. Zhu, M. Steigerwald and C. Nuckolls, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 10700–10701.
- 19 Z. Chen, A. Lohr, C. R. Saha-Moller and F. Wurthner, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 564–584.
- 20 E. Moulin, J. J. Cid and N. Giuseppone, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 477–487.
- 21 W. Jin, Y. Yamamoto, T. Fukushima, N. Ishii, J. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata and T. Aida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 9434–9440.
- 22 L. Chen, S. R. Puniredd, Y. Z. Tan, M. Baumgarten, U. Zschieschang, V. Enkelmann, W. Pisula, X. Feng, H. Klauk and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 17869–17872.
- 23 W. Yuan, X. K. Ren, M. Li, H. Guo, Y. Han, M. Wu, Q. Wang, M. Li and Y. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6161–6165.
- 24 S. Laschat, A. Baro, N. Steinke, F. Giesselmann, C. Hagele, G. Scalia, R. Judele, E. Kapatsina, S. Sauer, A. Schreivogel and M. Tosoni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4832–4887.
- 25 S. K. Pal, S. Setia, B. S. Avinash and S. Kumar, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2013, **40**, 1769–1816.
- 26 S. Sergeyev, W. Pisula and Y. H. Geerts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 1902–1929.
- 27 N. Boden, R. J. Bushby, J. Clements and B. Movaghfar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 1999, **9**, 2081–2086.
- 28 S. Kumar, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 83–109.
- 29 T. Wohrle, I. Wurzbach, J. Kirres, A. Kostidou, N. Kapernaum, J. Litterscheidt, J. C. Haenle, P. Staffeld, A. Baro, F. Giesselmann and S. Laschat, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 1139–1241.
- 30 W. Pisula, M. Zorn, J. Y. Chang, K. Müllen and R. Zentel, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2009, **30**, 1179–1202.
- 31 J. M. Warman, J. Piris, W. Pisula, M. Kastler, D. Wasserfallen and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 14257–14262.
- 32 D. Adam, P. Schuhmacher, J. Simmerer, L. Häussling, K. Siemensmeyer, K. H. Etzbachi, H. Ringsdorf and D. Haarer, *Nature*, 1994, **371**, 141–143.
- 33 R. J. Bushby and O. R. Lozman, *Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2002, **7**, 343–354.
- 34 M. Kastler, W. Pisula, F. Laquai, A. Kumar, R. J. Davies, S. Baluschev, M. C. Garcia-Gutiérrez, D. Wasserfallen, H. J. Butt, C. Riekel, G. Wegner and K. Müllen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 2255–2259.
- 35 L. Chen, K. S. Mali, S. R. Puniredd, M. Baumgarten, K. Parvez, W. Pisula, S. De Feyter and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13531–13537.
- 36 A. M. van de Craats, J. M. Warman, A. Fechtenkötter, J. D. Brand, M. A. Harbison and K. Müllen, *Adv. Mater.*, 1999, **11**, 1469–1472.
- 37 X. Feng, W. Pisula, T. Kudernac, D. Wu, L. Zhi, S. De Feyter and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 4439–4448.



38 W. Pisula, Z. Tomovic, M. D. Watson, K. Müllen, J. Kussmann, C. Ochsenfeld, T. Metzroth and J. Gauss, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 7481–7487.

39 T. Nagano, K. Nakamura, Y. Tokimaru, S. Ito, D. Miyajima, T. Aida and K. Nozaki, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 14075–14078.

40 Y. Shoji, T. Kajitani, F. Ishiwari, Q. Ding, H. Sato, H. Anetai, T. Akutagawa, H. Sakurai and T. Fukushima, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 8405–8410.

41 D. Miyajima, K. Tashiro, F. Araoka, H. Takezoe, J. Kim, K. Kato, M. Takata and T. Aida, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 44–45.

42 A. Idelson, C. Sterzenbach, S. S. Jester, C. Tschierske, U. Baumeister and S. Hoger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4429–4434.

43 C. Aumaitre and J. F. Morin, *Chem. Rec.*, 2019, **19**, 1142–1154.

44 T. Yatabe, M. A. Harbison, J. D. Brand, M. Wagner, K. Müllen, P. Samorí and J. P. Rabe, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2000, **10**, 1519–1525.

45 A. Stabel, P. Herwig, K. Müllen and J. P. Rabe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 1609–1611.

46 T. Dumslaff, B. Yang, A. Maghsoumi, G. Velpula, K. S. Mali, C. Castiglioni, S. De Feyter, M. Tommasini, A. Narita, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 4726–4729.

47 P. Samorí, N. Severin, C. D. Simpson, K. Müllen and J. P. Rabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 9454–9457.

48 Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, G. Li, J. Lu, X. Lin, Y. Tan, X. Feng, S. Du, K. Müllen and H. J. Gao, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **142**, 101911.

49 Q. Chen, L. Brambilla, L. Daukiya, K. S. Mali, S. De Feyter, M. Tommasini, K. Müllen and A. Narita, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 11233–11237.

50 P. Samorí, A. Fechtenkötter, F. Jäckel, T. Böhme, K. Müllen and J. P. Rabe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 11462–11467.

51 G. M. Paterno, Q. Chen, X. Y. Wang, J. Liu, S. G. Motti, A. Petrozza, X. Feng, G. Lanzani, K. Müllen, A. Narita and F. Scognella, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 6753–6757.

52 D. M. Coles, Q. Chen, L. C. Flatten, J. M. Smith, K. Müllen, A. Narita and D. G. Lidzey, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 5521–5525.

53 A. J. Kuehne and M. C. Gather, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 12823–12864.

54 Q. Chen, D. Wang, M. Baumgarten, D. Schollmeyer, K. Müllen and A. Narita, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2019, **14**, 1703–1707.

55 G. M. Paternò, L. Nicoli, Q. Chen, K. Müllen, A. Narita, G. Lanzani and F. Scognella, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 25007–25013.

56 Q. Chen, S. Thoms, S. Stöttinger, D. Schollmeyer, K. Müllen, A. Narita and T. Basché, 2019, under review.

57 R. Sens and K. H. Drexhage, *J. Lumin.*, 1981, **24–25**, 709–712.

58 K. Ban, K. Nishizawa, K. Ohta, A. M. van de Craats, J. M. Warman, I. Yamamoto and H. Shirai, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2001, **11**, 321–331.

59 I. Fischbach, T. Pakula, P. Minkin, A. Fechtenkötter, K. Müllen, H. W. Spiess and K. Saalwächter, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2002, **106**, 6408–6418.

60 R. Martín-Rapún, D. Byelov, A. R. A. Palmans, W. H. de Jeu and E. W. Meijer, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 8794–8801.

61 E. Beltran, E. Cavero, J. Barbera, J. L. Serrano, A. Elduque and R. Gimenez, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9017–9023.

62 M. Peterca, M. R. Imam, C. H. Ahn, V. S. Balagurusamy, D. A. Wilson, B. M. Rosen and V. Percec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 2311–2328.

63 W. Pisula, X. Feng and K. Müllen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3634–3649.

64 X. Feng, W. Pisula and K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 14116–14117.

65 W. Azzam, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2016, **371**, 562–570.

