Journal of Materials Chemistry A

PAPER

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 24847

Received 12th June 2019 Accepted 30th September 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ta06290d

rsc.li/materials-a

Introduction

Global water shortages due to rapidly shrinking clean water reserves, increasing industrial activity, and growing world population, is one of humanity's biggest challenges that requires immediate attention.¹ Water pollution caused by the discharge of toxic organic pollutants such as, antibiotics, pesticides, herbicides, estrogens, synthetic pigments, pharmaceuticals and diagnostic agents into effluents is further exacerbating the water shortage crisis.^{2,3} Many of these substances are highly bio-active and tend to bio-accumulate over time, even when present at very low concentrations (ng L^{-1} to $\mu\text{g}\,\,\text{L}^{-1}$). 4 This renders these problematic pollutants extremely harmful because of their carcinogenic and mutagenic nature.⁵⁻⁷ Being highly persistent and non-biodegradable, most of these organic pollutants easily escape conventional water treatment methods

"Multi-Scale Robotics Lab (MSRL), Institute of Robotics and Intelligent Systems (IRIS), ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: fmushtaq@ethz.ch; chenxian@ ethz.ch

b Micro and Nanosystems, ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

On-the-fly catalytic degradation of organic pollutants using magneto-photoresponsive bacteria-templated microcleaners†

Fajer Mushtaq[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2294-7487) *a Xiangzhong Chen, D *a Silvan Staufert, ^b Harun Torl[akc](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5167-5524)ik, ^a Xiaopu Wang[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6257-6505) \mathbf{D}^{a} Marcus Hoop,^a Ann Gerber,^a Xinghao Li,^c Jun Cai, \mathbf{D}^{c} Bradley J. Nelson^a and Salvador Pané^a

Increasing accumulation of highly persistent and non-biodegradable organic pollutants in our fresh water sources imposes a threat to human health. Designing novel catalytic materials that can efficiently harness energy from their surroundings to degrade such problematic pollutants is essential. In this work, we fabricated core–shell microhelical robots composed of iron oxide@titanium dioxide (Fe₃O₄@TiO₂) for UV-visible light driven degradation of organic pollutants in a cost-effective manner. Bio-templating and sol-gel synthesis were employed for a simplified approach to batch-fabricate magnetic photocatalysts. These hybrid microrobots removed 97% of RhB dye from contaminated water in 75 minutes using UVvisible light (k-value of 0.047 min⁻¹). Furthermore, when photocatalytic degradation was performed under continuous magnetic field driven propulsion, 99% of RhB dye degraded in 40 minutes with a k value of 0.108 min^{-1} . We also observed a strong correlation between the hybrid microhelices' swimming characteristics and their subsequent photocatalytic degradation efficiency. These results were further corroborated using COMSOL simulations. PAPER
 (A) Check for updates
 (A) Check for updates
 COINTIES CONTROVIDENTS

CHECK CONTEXTS AND CHECK CONTECTS TO CONTROVER CONTROVERS (2014)

2014-11 And Check for Company 2019, 2014

2014-12 And Check for Contract

and are discharged into our surface and groundwater.8,9 An advanced oxidation process (AOP) to use highly reactive oxygen species, including hydroxyl and superoxide radicals, is one of the most extensively studied approaches to degrade toxic contaminants into harmless products such as $CO₂$ and $H₂O₁₀₋₁₂$ Among the various approaches to initiate AOPs, photocatalysis is one of the most promising, where a semiconductor with a suitable bandgap can efficiently absorb light to photogenerate electron–hole pairs. These electrons and holes can then migrate to the surface of the photocatalyst and initiate a series of oxidative and reductive reactions, resulting in the degradation of organic pollutants.¹³–¹⁵ The practical use of these catalysts is limited by their high electron–hole recombination rate, which ultimately lowers their photocatalytic efficiency.^{16,17} To overcome these challenges, wide bandgap semiconductors such as titanium dioxide $(TiO₂)$ are extensively used. However, having a wide bandgap limits the light absorption of these materials to the UV region.¹⁸ Hence, various strategies have been investigated including chemically modifying the wide bandgap semiconductors with co-catalysts and doping with non-metals, rareearth, and transition metals.¹⁹⁻²¹ Although such approaches have shown improved photocatalytic efficiency, they rely heavily on rare and expensive catalysts and suffer from complicated fabrication processes.^{22,23} Developing photocatalysts with a core–shell architecture composed of a wide bandgap and a small bandgap semiconductor is yet another approach towards tuning the bandgap of a wide bandgap semiconductor

c School of Mechanical Engineering and Automation, Beihang University, No. 37 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: SEM characterisation of bio-template coated photocatalysts, XRD data, photocatalytic reusability plot, magnetic characterisation of hybrids using VSM, time-lapse images of hybrids under manipulation and COMSOL simulation results, and videos of magnetic manipulation, and COMSOL results. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ta06290d

in a simplified and cost-effective manner. This approach allows the utilization of a broader solar spectrum and dramatically reduces the electron–hole recombination rate by promoting their separation and, thus, increasing their lifetime.^{20,24-26}

In order to develop photocatalysts for practical applications it is important to utilize cost-effective materials that can be easily employed in a simple batch-fabrication process. In addition, it is important to design photocatalysts with a high surface area to enhance the surface-driven catalytic reactions.27,28 Efficient photocatalysts should possess additional functionalities that allow their complete removal after water treatment to avoid secondary contamination due to their loss.19,20,29 This would also make them reusable for multiple treatments and add to their cost-effectiveness.³⁰

In this work, we fabricated our photocatalysts using a biotemplating approach by taking advantage of the helical shape of the microalgae sub-species, Spirulina platensis. A simple batch-fabrication synthesis was developed by dip-coating the bio-template with small bandgap iron oxide $(Fe₃O₄)$ and wide bandgap titanium dioxide $(TiO₂)$ in two sequential coating steps. Using this approach, core–shell $Fe₃O₄(@TiO₂ microbial)$ structures were developed for photocatalytic water remediation. These hybrid microhelices were used to degrade a model organic pollutant, rhodamine B (RhB), under UV-visible light and natural sunlight. An $Fe₃O₄$ core provides these microstructures with magnetic properties for actuation in a corkscrew motion in 3D, and further allows for their re-collection. We also investigated the relationship between the hybrid microstructures' swimming behavior and their photocatalytic efficiency. The development of these innovative multicomponent hybrid microstructures provides a cost-effective, simple approach towards batch-fabrication of biocompatible magnetic microrobots for enhanced environmental remediation and future biomedical applications. Journal of Meterials Chemistry Article. Published and cost-effective manner. This approach allows economic article is line and cost of the published on 31 and the manner of a bosonic published and cost of the components an

Experimental

Synthesis of $Fe₃O₄$ NP suspension

The $Fe₃O₄$ NP suspension was prepared using a co-precipitation method. An aqueous solution of 8 mM FeCl₃ and 16 mM FeCl₂ was prepared in DI water at 50 °C. A 0.5 M NaOH solution was added dropwise to this mixture, resulting in the formation of a black suspension. This mixture was sonicated for 60 minutes, centrifuged and dispersed in 50 mL of DI water five times. Next, 100 µL of a 5 M HCl solution was added to the above $Fe₃O₄$ NP mixture to bring its pH to 1.8, followed by sonication for another 60 minutes.

Deposition of $Fe₃O₄$ NPs on *Spirulina platensis* bio-templates

 $Fe₃O₄$ NPs were deposited on the biological templates using a dip-coating method under ambient temperature and pressure. Before coating, the bio-templates were sonicated in DI water for 5 minutes to reduce their body length to 3–5 pitches. Next, 1 g of sonicated Spirulina was added to 50 mL of the $Fe₃O₄$ NP suspension and placed on an orbital shaker (Heidolph Rotamax 120, 100 rpm) for 48 hours to avoid agglomeration of the bio-

templates during the coating process. The $Fe₃O₄$ coated biotemplates were then collected using centrifugation and washed several times with DI-water.

Fabrication of $Fe₃O₄(Q)$ bio-templates

 $TiO₂$ was deposited on the Fe₃O₄ coated bio-templates by a sol– gel process. 0.5 g of $Fe₃O₄$ coated bio-templates were dispersed in a mixed solvent containing 90 mL of ethanol, 30 mL of acetonitrile and 0.5 mL of concentrated ammonia solution. This mixture was placed on an orbital shaker and mixed for 30 minutes. Next, 0.5 mL of tetrabutyl titanate was added to the above mixture under continuous shaking. After 2 hours, the biotemplates were collected using a magnet and washed several times with ethanol. These core–shell bio-templates were then annealed at 450 °C in air for 2 hours at a ramp rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ to convert the amorphous titanium oxide coating to a crystalline anatase $TiO₂$ phase. During this annealing process, the biological template was removed and the final hybrid core-shell $Fe₃O₄(Q)$ TiO₂ microhelices were formed which were hollow with a rough morphology. In this work, we also fabricated microhelical structures that were composed of only $Fe₃O₄$ or TiO₂ by performing the individual coatings as described above, followed by annealing under similar conditions.

Material characterization

The morphology of the resulting nanotubes was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Zeiss ULTRA 55, Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI F30, FEI Co., Hillsboro, OR), and scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM, FEI F30). The composition and crystallographic structure of the nanotubes were analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) and X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker AXS D8 Advance, Cu Ka, (Billerica, MA)). The distribution of elements along the microhelices was studied by EDX mapping using HAADF STEM (FEI Talos F200X). The local crystallographic structure was studied by selected area electron diffraction (SAED). UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) was acquired by a Cary 4000 UV-vis spectrophotometer. Ba $SO₄$ was used as the reflectance standard. Magnetic characterisation was performed on a powder of hybrid microhelices using a vibrating sample magnetometer (EZ9 from Microsense).

Photocatalytic experiments

Photocatalytic experiments were performed to study the degradation of the model organic pollutant RhB dye in the presence of our microstructures. An RhB concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} was chosen to perform the degradation experiments. 20 mg of microstructures (Fe₃O₄, TiO₂ and core-shell Fe₃O₄@- $TiO₂$ microhelices) were dispersed in 50 mL of RhB solution and were irradiated with UV-visible light (300 nm < λ < 600 nm) using a 300 W xenon lamp (Asahi Spectra, MAX-303) under mechanical agitation, after the adsorption–desorption equilibrium was reached. A UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Tecan Infinite 200 Pro) was used to obtain the fluorescent spectra of RhB over time by taking aliquots of irradiated RhB solution every 15 minutes for 75 minutes. Experiments were performed under

direct natural sunlight using a similar concentration of RhB and microhelices, and aliquots of irradiated RhB solution were taken every 10 minutes for 50 minutes.

Manipulation experiments

Hybrid core–shell $Fe₃O₄(Q)$ TiO₂ microhelices were used for the manipulation experiments using rotating magnetic fields. The magnetic manipulation system consists of eight stationary electromagnets with soft magnetic cores, capable of producing magnetic fields and gradients up to 50 mT and 0.5 T m^{-1} at frequencies up to 100 Hz.³¹ The system was integrated with an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX 81) and videos of manipulated structures were recorded under rotational magnetic fields at $20 \times$ magnification. The manipulation experiments were conducted using hybrid microstructures in DI water with a few drops of Triton-X surfactant inside custombuilt PDMS containers (2 cm in diameter and 1 cm in depth). Rotating magnetic fields in the range of $2-10$ mT with frequencies between 2–26 Hz were applied to perform the swimming tests. Five measurements were made to obtain average velocities and the subsequent error bars.

Photocatalytic experiments under magnetic manipulation

Photocatalytic experiments were performed to study the degradation of the model organic pollutant RhB dye in the presence of hybrid microhelices under continuous magnetic steering. An RhB concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} was chosen to perform the degradation experiments. 1.2 mg of microstructures (Fe₃O₄, TiO₂ and core–shell Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices) were dispersed in 3 mL of RhB solution and were irradiated with UV-visible light (300 nm < λ < 600 nm) using a 300 W xenon lamp (Asahi Spectra, MAX-303), after the adsorption-desorption equilibrium was reached. Rotating magnetic field parameters of 10 mT 2 Hz, 10 mT 12 Hz and 10 mT 22 Hz were applied to the hybrid microhelices for 40 minutes. A UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Tecan Infinite 200 Pro) was used to obtain the fluorescent spectra of RhB over time by taking aliquots of irradiated RhB solution every 10 min for 40 min.

COMSOL Multiphysics simulations

COMSOL Multiphysics was used to understand and illustrate the relationship between the swimming speed of a microswimmer swarm and the corresponding pollutant degradation performance. The swarm of microswimmers is represented by a circle with a diameter similar to that of a swarm, as observed in experiments. In this simplified, time-dependent 2D model, the swarm moved along a circular path within a closed, circular vessel filled with a solution of organic pollutant at a concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} . The swarm movement was achieved by a rotating mesh domain, where the rotation speed was chosen to allow the swarm to move at a speed of 0, 19.5 and 125 μ m s⁻¹ on its circular path. The creeping fluid flow was achieved by a slipping wall condition on the interface to the swarm. The chemical reaction and transport kinetics are defined in the Chemistry and Transport of Diluted Species COMSOL module. In these modules, a reactive radical species degrades the

organic pollutant into an inert carbon species. The reactive radical species was modelled as a species with a constant surface concentration of 0.5 pM within the swarm and a diffusion coefficient of 0, reflecting the short life time of the species. The organic pollutant species were modelled as rhodamine B and its diffusion coefficient of 4×10^{-10} m² s⁻¹ was implemented in the simulation. These values are derived from existing literature.³² The other Multiphysics model and material parameters were obtained from the COMSOL Multiphysics material library. The parameters of the chemical reaction and transport kinetics are not completely representative for the conducted experiments, however they serve as an illustration of the accelerated organic pollutant degradation caused by their increased interaction volume with the microswimmers.

Results and discussion

There is a growing interest in the scientific community to develop innovative materials by taking inspiration from nature to mimic some of their highly evolved and sophisticated biological functionalities.^{31,33-36} In this direction, bio-templating has emerged as a candidate to replicate the diverse morphological architectures and functionalities of biological entities.^{34,37,38} Spirulina platensis (S. platensis), a microalgae subspecies with a helical shape, was chosen as the bio-template to fabricate magnetic microrobots that are photocatalytic under UV-visible light.^{39,40} The fabrication scheme for the coreshell, Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ hybrid microrobots is presented in Fig. 1a. The $Fe₃O₄$ core provides the microrobots with magnetic and visible-light photocatalytic properties, and the $TiO₂$ shell makes the microhelices active under UV-light.⁴¹⁻⁴³ A layer of $Fe₃O₄$ was grown on S. platensis bio-templates by immersing the biotemplates into a solution of $Fe₃O₄$ nanoparticles (NPs) at ambient temperature and pressure for 48 hours (Fig. 1a(i)). This was followed by separating the $Fe₃O₄$ coated S. platensis templates by centrifugation and several cleaning processes in DI-water. Next, the magnetic micro-helices were coated with an amorphous titanium oxide (TiO_x) layer using sol–gel synthesis (Fig. 1a(ii)), followed by annealing in air at 450 \degree C for 2 hours to convert them to a crystalline $TiO₂$ phase (Fig. 1a(iii)). Fig. 1b and S1a† show scanning-electron microscope (SEM) images of many overlapping S. platensis bio-templates. Magnified images of S. platensis bio-templates are provided in Fig. 1c and S1b–d,† from where we can observe that the bio-template's surface is marked by rough and irregular morphology. After the deposition of Fe₃O₄ (Fig. 1d, e and S2†) and TiO_x (Fig. 1f, g and S3†), the rough surface of *S. platensis* is replaced by a smooth morphology, indicative of a uniform material deposition. During annealing, the biological templates are removed, resulting in $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂$ microhelices that possess a hollow and rough morphology as shown in Fig. 1h, i and S4.† The hybrid microrobots developed in the study have a body length of $50-80 \mu m$ and a diameter of approximately $2.8 \mu m$. Paper

More transmitted the minimal concentration of Biblished on Such a concentration and the common common

> The crystalline structure of the hybrid $Fe₃O₄(@TiO₂ micro$ helices was analyzed using X-ray diffraction (XRD) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). XRD investigation performed on the hybrid $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂$ microhelices (Fig. 2a and

Fig. 1 Fabrication of hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices. (a) Fabrication scheme to develop hybrid microrobots. (b) SEM image of many overlapping bio-templates and (c) the magnified image showing the presence of a rough morphology (depicted with arrow). (d and e) SEM images showing coated Fe₃O₄ bio-templates and (f) and (g) showing SEM images of Fe₃O₄@TiO_x coated bio-templates. (h) SEM image showing many overlapping hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices obtained after annealing. The inset shows the formation of hollow microhelices after annealing. (i) A magnified SEM image showing the presence of rough and irregular morphology of hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices. Scale bars: (b) 20 μ m, (c) 1 μ m, (d) 30 μ m, (e) 3 μ m, (f) 30 μ m, (g) 4 μ m, (h) 20 μ m, inset 1 μ m and (i) 1 μ m micropillars and (d) hybrid microhelices; (e) SEM image produced by performing a FIB cut on a hybrid micropillar. Scale bars indicate 10 μ m (a), 2 μ m (b-d)

S5†) showed a good crystallinity and all the peaks were assigned to either Fe₃O₄ or TiO₂. The diffraction peaks obtained at 30.3°, 35.9°, 37.2°, 43.6°, 53.6°, 57.6°, 62.9° and 75.3° can be assigned to the (220), (311), (222), (400), (511), (440) and (533) crystal planes of cubic $Fe₃O₄$ phase (JCPDS no. 75-0449).³⁹ Similarly, peaks at 25.4°, 38.1°, 38.7°, 48.1°, 54.2°, 55.1°, 69.1°, 70.3° and 82.3 $^{\circ}$ can be assigned to the (101), (004), (112), (200), (105), (211), (116), (220) and (312) crystal planes of the anatase $TiO₂$ phase (JCPDS no. 73-1764).²⁰

Fig. 2b shows a high angle annular dark field (HAADF) scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) image of a single hybrid microhelix. From this image we can observe a uniform tubular helix with a rough morphology. The inset shows its corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) pattern. High-resolution transmission electron

Fig. 2 Structural characterisation of hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices. (a) XRD patterns obtained for hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices. (b) HAADF STEM image obtained for a hybrid microhelix and the inset shows its corresponding SAED pattern. (c) HRTEM image obtained in the area marked in (b), showing the presence of cubic Fe₃O₄ and anatase TiO₂. (d) HAADF STEM image obtained for a single hybrid helix and the corresponding EDX maps obtained for Fe, O and Ti, with the superimposed images clearly showing a uniform distribution of all elements on its surface. (e) HRTEM image obtained at the edge of a hybrid microhelix and its corresponding EDX maps showing the presence of a shell composed of Ti and O. (f) Plot showing the distribution of Ti and Fe elements along the region marked in (e), which clearly depicts the presence of a 50 nm thick edge that is rich in Ti.

microscopy (HRTEM) analysis was performed on the area marked in Fig. 2b and is presented in Fig. 2c. From this, we can observe the d-spacing of 0.250 nm and 0.293 nm, which corresponds to the cubic (311) and (220) Fe₃O₄ planes, and the dvalue of 0.350 nm, which corresponds to the anatase (101) $TiO₂$ plane. A HAADF STEM image of a hybrid $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ micro$ helix is presented in Fig. 2d, showing a tubular structure with a wall thickness of around 300 nm. From the corresponding energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) mappings, we can observe a uniform distribution of all elements over the microhelices. The corresponding EDX spectrum obtained from this region is provided in Fig. S6.† Additionally, a magnied HRTEM image of the hybrid microhelix was obtained near the core–shell region and is presented in Fig. 2e. Fig. 2f shows the intensity of Fe and Ti signals obtained along the region marked in Fig. 2e. From this plot we can observe that the outermost edge (about 50 nm thick) of the hybrid structure consists predominantly of Ti, with an increasing amount of Fe signal towards the core. These results are further corroborated by the superimposed EDX mappings obtained for Fig. 2e, from where we can clearly observe that the hybrid structure consists of a shell composed of Ti and O. From these characterization results, we can conclude that our hybrid microhelices are tubular structures with a rough morphology, and are composed of a 50 nm thick $TiO₂$ shell and a hollow $Fe₃O₄$ core with a wall thickness of about 300 nm. In

this work, we also fabricated microhelices composed of only $Fe₃O₄$ or TiO₂ and compared their optical and photocatalytic properties to the core-shell $Fe₃O₄(@TiO₂$ hybrid microstructures.

The light absorption properties of microhelices composed of Fe₃O₄, TiO₂ and Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ were investigated using a UVvisible diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS). From Fig. 3a, we can observe that for $TiO₂$ microstructures, light absorption is limited to the UV-light region, whereas $Fe₃O₄$ microstructures exhibit absorption in the UV and visible light region. The hybrid microstructures display a strong absorbance in the UV and visible light region, similar to the $Fe₃O₄$ microstructures. The bandgaps of these microhelices were calculated using the Kubelka–Munk function $((\alpha h\nu)^{1/2} \nu s.$ photon energy $(h\nu)$) for the indirect bandgap semiconductors (Fig. 3b). The bandgaps were estimated to be 1.6 eV, 3.2 eV and 2.2 eV for $Fe₃O₄$, TiO₂ and Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microstructures respectively, which are consistent with existing literature. $41,43,44$ From the bandgap value of 2.2 eV, we can conclude that $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂$ microstructures can be used as photocatalysts under UV and visible light.

We then investigated the ability of our microhelices to degrade an organic pollutant, rhodamine B (RhB), using UVvisible light and natural sunlight. From Fig. 3c we can observe that all three types of microhelices, i.e. $Fe₃O₄$, TiO₂, and the hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ were able to gradually degrade the RhB dye Journal of Materials Chemistry A **Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper Paper**

Fig. 3 Photocatalytic characterisation of hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices. (a) UV-Vis DRS spectra obtained for Fe₃O₄, TiO₂ and core–shell Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices and (b) the corresponding Kubelka–Munk plot. BFO NS and NW samples XRD patterns obtained for hybrid Fe₃-O4@TiO2 microhelices. (c) Photocatalytic degradation curves of RhB obtained under UV-visible light and mechanical stirring. (d) Comparison of degradation rate constants of RhB obtained under UV-visible light and mechanical stirring. (e) Photocatalytic degradation curves of RhB obtained under natural sunlight and mechanical stirring. (f) Scheme showing the mechanism behind the photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants under UV-visible light using hybrid $Fe₃O₄$ @TiO₂ microstructures.

under UV-visible light over 75 minutes. In contrast, the control sample (without any microhelices) and the sample with only the bio-template of S. platensis displayed a negligible response. The hybrid microstructures exhibited a 97% RhB degradation rate, in comparison to a 41% degradation rate obtained for $TiO₂$ microstructures and 24% for $Fe₃O₄$ microstructures. A quantitative analysis of these degradation rates is given by comparing their reaction rate constant k , which can be defined by eqn (1) :

$$
\ln \frac{C_{\text{o}}}{C} = kt \tag{1}
$$

where C_0 is the initial RhB concentration, and C is the RhB concentration at time t . This calculation is based on the assumption that the kinetics of the RhB degradation reaction catalyzed by the microstructures are (pseudo)-first-order reactions.20,26 These results are presented in Fig. 3d, where we can observe that the $Fe₃O₄$ microstructures displayed the slowest photocatalytic rate constant of 0.00345 min^{-1} . In comparison, TiO₂ microstructures with a *k*-value of 0.00707 min^{-1} , were almost twice as fast in degrading RhB. The photocatalytic rate constant obtained for hybrid $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂$ microstructures $(0.04705\; \mathrm{min}^{-1})$ outperformed TiO $_2$ and Fe $_3\mathrm{O}_4$ microstructures by 6.7 and 13.6 times respectively. Similar results were obtained when the photocatalytic reactions were conducted under natural sunlight, where the hybrid structures degraded 99.8% of RhB in 50 minutes (Fig. 3e). In Table S1† we have compared our enhanced results with other reported magnetic photocatalysts.

To explain the superior performance of the hybrid $Fe₃O₄@$ - $TiO₂$ microstructures to the Fe₃O₄ or TiO₂ microstructures, we

first explored the underlying mechanism behind photocatalysis. When a semi-conductor is irradiated with light, electrons are excited from the valence band (VB) to its conduction band (CB), leaving behind holes in its VB and creating electron–hole pairs on its surface. These electron–hole pairs initiate a series of redox reactions by converting oxygen and water into highly reactive oxygen species, such as superoxide $\mathrm{(O_2}^-)$ and hydroxyl ('OH) radicals. These radicals are directly responsible for photocatalytic degradation of organic molecules into harmless products (Fig. 3f). With a bandgap of 1.6 eV, $Fe₃O₄$ microstructures are capable of absorbing UV and visible light to create electron–hole pairs. However, this small bandgap leads to an increased electron–hole recombination rate, which significantly reduces their photocatalytic efficiency. In contrast, $TiO₂$ microstructures are wide bandgap photocatalysts (3.2 eV) with a light absorption limited to the UV region, which explains their poor photocatalytic performance. Combining small and wide bandgap photocatalysts improves the photocatalytic efficiency by absorbing a wide spectrum of light, and by reducing the recombination rate of photogenerated electron–hole pairs. In our study, the hybrid microstructures' enhanced photocatalytic performance can be explained by their strong absorption of UV and visible light, as well as the fast interfacial charge transfer between $Fe₃O₄$ and TiO₂. Under UV-visible light or natural sunlight, photogenerated electrons in $Fe₃O₄$ are excited from its VB to its CB, and by absorbing UV light, the electrons in $TiO₂$ are excited to its CB. When Fe₃O₄ and TiO₂ are brought in contact with each other, the excited electrons in the CB of $Fe₃O₄$ are transferred to the CB of $TiO₂$, which is an electron acceptor that readily accepts these electrons. Similarly, the holes left

Fig. 4 Propulsion of hybrid Fe₃O₄@TiO₂ microhelices under magnetic fields and the corresponding photocatalytic degradation. (a) Time-lapse image showing magnetic manipulation and steering of a hybrid microhelix (10 mT and 12 Hz), scale bar 50 µm. (b) Swimming speeds obtained for hybrid microhelices under different magnetic field strengths and rotational frequencies ($n = 6$). (c) Photocatalytic degradation obtained for hybrid microhelices using UV-visible light and under continuous magnetic field induced swimming at different speeds and (d) the corresponding photocatalytic degradation rate constants ($n = 5$). (e) Simulated degradation curves obtained under different swimming speeds. (f) COMSOL simulation results showing the organic pollutant degradation efficiencies obtained under different swimming speeds. The scale bar represents the concentration of organic pollutant, RhB, as C/C_o , where the dark blue color represents complete RhB degradation.

behind in the VB of TiO₂ are transferred to the VB of Fe₃O₄, leading to a separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs and a suppression of their recombination.^{18,24} Hence, in this

narrow-wide bandgap photocatalysis system, the photogenerated electron–hole pairs have an increased lifetime of the charge carriers, resulting in their improved performance over a narrow or wide bandgap photocatalyst. We also studied the reusability performance of our photocatalytic microstructures over several consecutive cleaning cycles. These results are presented in Fig. S7,† where it can be observed that the hybrid microstructures exhibited a good stability and reusability property over multiple runs.

To develop cost-effective photocatalysts for practical applications, it is important that they can be easily collected for reuse to avoid secondary pollution due to their loss. The use of $Fe₃O₄$ NPs gives our helical microstructures superparamagnetic properties which can be used for their magnetic control (Fig. S8†). To achieve this, we used wireless magnetic fields to propel our hybrid $Fe₃O₄(Q)$ TiO₂ microstructures. During our study we observed that the hybrid microstructures could be wirelessly actuated and displayed a corkscrew motion. Fig. 4a, provides a time-lapse image showing a single hybrid $Fe₃O₄(a)$ - $TiO₂$ microstructure performing a controlled corkscrew motion on a pre-defined trajectory under rotating magnetic fields (10) mT and 12 Hz). We were also able to control and steer a swarm of several hybrid microstructures to specified locations (Fig. S9, Videos S1 and S2†). We then studied the swimming characteristics of hybrid microhelices as a function of different rotating magnetic fields and frequencies (Fig. 4b and Videos $S3-S5\dagger$). From these plots we can observe that the hybrid helices exhibited increasing forward velocities with increasing magnetic field strength before reaching their step-out frequency. The fastest swimming speed was obtained at a magnetic field strength of 10 mT with a rotational frequency of 12 Hz, and the slowest velocity was obtained at 2 Hz with the step-out frequency set-in at 14 Hz. Journal of Metariats Chemistry Articles. The article control and the significant controller article is a second and the controller article. The common article is likely and the common and the common and the common and the

Next, we investigated the influence exerted by the swimming characteristics of the microstructures on their photocatalytic degradation efficiency. We designed special chambers to conduct photocatalytic experiments on a swarm of hybrid microstructures using a UV-visible light source which we integrated into our magnetic manipulation system. We designed this experiment to study the photocatalytic efficiency of $Fe₃$ -O4@TiO2 microstructures under static conditions (no magnetic fields), at their lowest (20 μ m s⁻¹ at 10 mT, 2 Hz) and highest (125 μ m s⁻¹ at 10 mT, 12 Hz) forward velocities, and in the stepout frequency region, where they demonstrated intermediary speeds (56 μ m s⁻¹ at 10 mT, 22 Hz). Fig. 4c presents the results obtained during this study, where we can clearly observe that the photocatalytic degradation efficiency is highly dependent on the swimming characteristics exhibited by the hybrid microstructures. Static samples displayed the worst photocatalytic performance, probably due to agglomeration and poor diffusion. Hybrid helices swimming at low and intermediary speeds exhibited an improved photocatalytic performance in comparison to the static samples. Additionally, the hybrid microstructures swimming at the highest speed exhibited the most enhanced photocatalytic performance (k-value of 0.107 min^{-1}). The reaction rate constants of these results can be found in Fig. 3d. From this plot we can clearly observe that the microstructures swimming at the highest speed (10 mT, 12 Hz) displayed a photocatalytic degradation rate 2.9 times higher than the intermediary speed and about 6.3 times higher than

the slowest swimming microhelices. It is also important to note that in comparison to static microhelices, mobile microhelices swimming at the highest speed displayed an increase in the RhB degradation rate of up 11.2 times. These results for mobile swimmers can be explained by an enhanced diffusive mass transport and the subsequent rapid intermixing of the photogenerated radicals with the surrounding RhB molecules, which leads to their superior catalytic efficiency.^{34,45} This further explains the increasing catalytic efficiency obtained under increasing swimming speeds. We further confirmed the good re-usability performance of our hybrid samples under continuous magnetic propulsion (Fig. S10†).

To verify our hypothesis we used COMSOL Multiphysics software to simulate the influence of microswimmers' motion on their subsequent catalytic reaction performance (Fig. 4e). From this plot we can observe that the most enhanced cleaning rate was obtained for swimmers swimming at the highest speed (125 μ m s⁻¹). Fig. 4f and S11[†] present a collage of time-lapse images taken from the COMSOL simulations that qualitatively show the organic pollutant degradation performance obtained for different swimming speeds after 1000 seconds. Here, the outer circle represents a closed container filled with organic pollutant and the small circle near the centre represents a swarm of microswimmers swimming on a circular path. These microswimmers are assumed to have a constant surface concentration of radicals, which leads to the degradation of the organic pollutant. The color scale represents the relative organic pollutant concentration as C/C_0 , where C_0 is the initial pollutant concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} . These results indicate that the swimmers swimming at 125 μ m s⁻¹ demonstrate the most enhanced degradation rate due to the larger volume of pollutants coming into direct contact with the swimmers. For the static microswimmers, the degradation rate is diffusion limited and therefore much smaller. These results are also presented in Videos S6-S8,[†] and highlight the benefits of continuously actuating the microswimmers at their optimal speed in order to obtain the most enhanced water remediation performance.

Conclusion

In this work, we have used bio-templating and sol–gel synthesis to fabricate core-shell $Fe₃O₄@TiO₂$ microhelices for UV-visible light driven photocatalytic water remediation. These hybrid microhelices developed in this work have a bandgap of 2.2 eV and were able to absorb UV and visible light, and degrade over 97% of RhB dye within 75 minutes (*k*-value of 0.047 min^{-1}). We also demonstrated their use under natural sunlight to photocatalytically degrade 99.8% of RhB in 50 minutes. Magnetic characterization revealed a paramagnetic nature of the hybrid microhelices, and wireless rotating magnetic fields were employed to precisely propel and steer them in a corkscrew motion. We also investigated the effect of continuous magnetic propulsion on the photocatalytic reaction rates and discovered that mobile swimmers exhibited superior performance over the static microhelices. The microstructures swimming at the highest speed of 125 μ m s⁻¹ exhibited 11.2 times higher reaction rates than the static microhelices (k-value of 0.107 min^{-1}

Paper Journal of Materials Chemistry A

vs. 0.009 min⁻¹ respectively). In addition, the microhelices swimming at intermediary speeds of 56 μ m s⁻¹ and the lowest speeds of 20 μ m s⁻¹ demonstrated 6.3 times and 2.9 times lower photocatalytic efficiency than the fastest swimmers. The superior performance of mobile swimmers over the static microhelices can be explained by their enhanced diffusive mass transport and rapid intermixing. We also corroborated our experimental findings by performing COMSOL simulations to study the influence of the swimming speed of a swarm of microswimmers on the catalytic degradation rate of an organic pollutant.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financed by the European Research Council Starting Grant Magnetoelectric Chemonanorobotics for Chemical and Biomedical Applications (ELECTROCHEMBOTS), by the ERC grant agreement no. 336456. X. W. acknowledges financial support from China Scholarship Council (No. 201504910817). The authors would like to acknowledge the Scientific Center for Optical and Electron Microscopy (ScopeM), the Institute of Geochemistry and Petrology, and the FIRST laboratory, ETH Zurich for their technical support. The authors would like to acknowledge Pascal Gschwend from Particle Technology Lab of ETH Zurich for help with DRS measurements. Paper

Vectors Common Access Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Articles. Article. Determined the common access Articles. The Creative Commons Article is licensed under a september 2019. Access Ar

Notes and references

- 1 A. Matilainen, M. Vepsäläinen and M. Sillanpää, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci., 2010, 159, 189.
- 2 K. Ikehata, N. Jodeiri Naghashkar and M. Gamal El-Din, Ozone: Sci. Eng., 2006, 28, 353.
- 3 L. Kovalova, H. Siegrist, U. von Gunten, J. Eugster, M. Hagenbuch, A. Wittmer, R. Moser and C. S. McArdell, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 47, 7899.
- 4 A. Joss, E. Keller, A. C. Alder, A. Göbel, C. S. McArdell, T. Ternes and H. Siegrist, Water Res., 2005, 39, 3139.
- 5 R. O. A. d. Lima, A. P. Bazo, D. M. F. Salvadori, C. M. Rech, D. d. P. Oliveira and d. A. G. Umbuzeiro, Mutat. Res., Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen., 2007, 626, 53.
- 6 K.-T. Chung, Mutat. Res., Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagen., 1983, 114, 269.
- 7 M. E. Fracasso, R. Leone, F. Brunello, C. Monastra, F. Tezza and P. V. Storti, Mutat. Res., Genet. Toxicol., 1992, 298, 91.
- 8 J. Margot, C. Kienle, A. Magnet, M. Weil, L. Rossi, L. F. de Alencastro, C. Abegglen, D. Thonney, N. Chèvre, M. Schärer and D. A. Barry, Sci. Total Environ., 2013, 461–462, 480.
- 9 R. I. L. Eggen, J. Hollender, A. Joss, M. Schärer and C. Stamm, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2014, 48, 7683.
- 10 D. Sudha and P. Sivakumar, Chem. Eng. Process., 2015, 97, 112.
- 11 D. B. Miklos, C. Remy, M. Jekel, K. G. Linden, J. E. Drewes and U. Hübner, Water Res., 2018, 139, 118.
- 12 S.-J. Xia, F.-X. Liu, Z.-M. Ni, J.-L. Xue and P.-P. Qian, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2013, 405, 195.
- 13 M. N. Chong, B. Jin, C. W. K. Chow and C. Saint, Water Res., 2010, 44, 2997.
- 14 R. Thiruvenkatachari, S. Vigneswaran and I. S. Moon, Korean J. Chem. Eng., 2008, 25, 64.
- 15 Y. Meng, X. Zhang, G. Pan, S. Xia and Z. Ni, J. Hazard. Mater., 2018, 350, 144.
- 16 K. Nakata and A. Fujishima, J. Photochem. Photobiol., C, 2012, 13, 169.
- 17 J. Schneider, M. Matsuoka, M. Takeuchi, J. Zhang, Y. Horiuchi, M. Anpo and D. W. Bahnemann, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 9919.
- 18 Y. L. Pang, S. Lim, H. C. Ong and W. T. Chong, Appl. Catal., A, 2014, 481, 127.
- 19 F. Mushtaq, M. Guerrero, M. S. Sakar, M. Hoop, A. M. Lindo, J. Sort, X. Chen, B. J. Nelson, E. Pellicer and S. Pane, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 23670.
- 20 F. Mushtaq, A. Asani, M. Hoop, X.-Z. Chen, D. Ahmed, B. J. Nelson and S. Pané, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 6995.
- 21 J. Low, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec, S. Wageh and A. A. Al-Ghamdi, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1601694.
- 22 M. R. D. Khaki, M. S. Shafeeyan, A. A. A. Raman and W. M. A. W. Daud, J. Environ. Manage., 2017, 198, 78.
- 23 F. Sordello, I. Berruti, C. Gionco, M. C. Paganini, P. Calza and C. Minero, Appl. Catal., B, 2019, 245, 159.
- 24 S. G. Kumar and L. G. Devi, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 13211.
- 25 Y. Ma, X. Wang, Y. Jia, X. Chen, H. Han and C. Li, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 9987.
- 26 F. Mushtaq, X. Chen, M. Hoop, H. Torlakcik, E. Pellicer, J. Sort, C. Gattinoni, B. J. Nelson and S. Pané, iScience, 2018, 4, 236.
- 27 H. Cheng, J. Wang, Y. Zhao and X. Han, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 47031.
- 28 B. Mazinani, A. K. Masrom, A. Beitollahi and R. Luque, Ceram. Int., 2014, 40, 11525.
- 29 B. Seo, C. Lee, D. Yoo, P. Kofinas and Y. Piao, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 9587.
- 30 F. Mushtaq, X. Chen, H. Torlakcik, C. Steuer, M. Hoop, E. C. Siringil, X. Marti, G. Limburg, P. Stipp, B. J. Nelson and S. Pané, Adv. Mater., 2019, 31, 1901378.
- 31 F. Mushtaq, H. Torlakcik, M. Hoop, B. Jang, F. Carlson, T. Grunow, N. Läubli, A. Ferreira, X.-Z. Chen, B. J. Nelson and S. Pané, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2019, 29, 1808135.
- 32 P. O. Gendron, F. Avaltroni and K. J. Wilkinson, J. Fluoresc., 2008, 18, 1093.
- 33 K. E. Peyer, L. Zhang and B. J. Nelson, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 1259.
- 34 Y. Zhang, K. Yan, F. Ji and L. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2018, 28, 1806340.
- 35 L. Zhang, J. J. Abbott, L. Dong, B. E. Kratochvil, D. Bell and B. J. Nelson, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2009, 94, 064107.
- 36 X. Yan, Q. Zhou, M. Vincent, Y. Deng, J. Yu, J. Xu, T. Xu, T. Tang, L. Bian, Y.-X. J. Wang, K. Kostarelos and L. Zhang, Sci. Robot., 2017, 2, eaaq1155.
- 37 T. Zhang, W. Wang, D. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Ma, Y. Zhou and L. Qi, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2010, 20, 1152.
- 38 S. Sotiropoulou, Y. Sierra-Sastre, S. S. Mark and C. A. Batt, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 821.
- 39 Y. D. Tu, Z. Zhou, R. J. Yan, Y. P. Gan, W. Z. Huang, X. X. Weng, H. Huang, W. K. Zhang and X. Y. Tao, RSC Adv., 2012, 2, 10585.
- 40 K. Kamata, Z. Piao, S. Suzuki, T. Fujimori, W. Tajiri, K. Nagai, T. Iyoda, A. Yamada, T. Hayakawa, M. Ishiwara, S. Horaguchi, A. Belay, T. Tanaka, K. Takano and M. Hangyo, Sci. Rep., 2014, 4, 4919. Oceannia of Meterials Chemistry Articles. Published on 2019. Downloaded on 2019. Downloaded on 2019. This article. This article is licensed under Chemistry Article. The common and the Chemistry Article is licensed under a
	- 41 J. Liu, S. Yang, W. Wu, Q. Tian, S. Cui, Z. Dai, F. Ren, X. Xiao and C. Jiang, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2015, 3, 2975.
	- 42 X. Cao, Y. Chen, S. Jiao, Z. Fang, M. Xu, X. Liu, L. Li, G. Pang and S. Feng, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 12366.
	- 43 S. Rajamohan, V. Kumaravel, R. Muthuramalingam, S. Ayyadurai, A. Abdel-Wahab, B. Sub Kwak, M. Kang and S. Sreekantan, New J. Chem., 2017, 41, 11722.
	- 44 P. Kumar, C. Joshi, A. Barras, B. Sieber, A. Addad, L. Boussekey, S. Szunerits, R. Boukherroub and S. L. Jain, Appl. Catal., B, 2017, 205, 654.
	- 45 J. Yu, B. Wang, X. Du, Q. Wang and L. Zhang, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 3260.