Transition metal electrocatalysts encapsulated into N-doped carbon nanotubes on reduced graphene oxide nanosheets: efficient water splitting through synergistic effects†
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The development of efficient noble-metal free electrocatalysts is crucial for clean hydrogen production through water splitting. As carbon-based supports are expected to play a major role in low cost electrocatalysis, improved synthetic methods and a deeper understanding of their mechanisms of action are now required. To this end, we synthesized transition metal catalysts for overall water splitting encapsulated into nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes (M–N-CNTs, M = Ni, Co, Fe) through a direct and convenient pyrolysis of bulk g-C3N4. Furthermore, the addition of reduced graphene oxide (rGO) leads to a significant dispersion of the catalytic N-CNTs. Among the obtained catalyst series, NiFe–N-CNT with rGO (NiFe–N-CNT–rGO) exhibits extremely low overpotential of 270 mV (on glassy carbon) for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) at a current density of 10 mA cm−2. This performance is superior to most of the previously reported noble metal-free catalysts for OER. Our comprehensive study unravels that the growth of CNTs follows a “reduction–nucleation–growth” process. The thermally reduced metallic nanoparticles (NPs) serve as nucleation sites of carbon species on their surface to further promote N-CNT growth. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that the CNT walls and N-dopants in the catalysts modify the electronic structure and adjust the free energy toward the adsorption of intermediates. The one-step hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) process is influenced more strongly by N-centers when compared to the four-electron transfer OER process. The scalable and straightforward synthesis together with excellent electrocatalytic performance renders the NiFe–N-CNT–rGO hybrid catalyst quite promising for large-scale water splitting applications.

Introduction

Electrocatalytic water splitting is a powerful pathway for energy storage and conversion via oxygen and hydrogen evolution reactions (OER and HER). The current primary goal of electrocatalytic water splitting is the development of highly active catalysts to decrease the overpotential that is required to expedite the sluggish kinetics of both half reactions, especially of the OER.1–5 Although great progress was achieved in the performance enhancement of various electrocatalysts for water splitting,6–7 the noble metals and their metal oxides, such as Pt/C and Ir/C or RuO2, remain the current leading catalyst types.8,9 However, their large-scale applications are greatly limited by high costs and metal scarcity.10 Therefore, the development of efficient, robust and low-cost noble-metal-free electrocatalysts is a challenging and urgent task in clean energy research. Along these lines, heterogeneous earth abundant transition metal-based electrocatalysts, such as of Fe, Co, or Ni, have been reported as the most promising candidates for water splitting due to their relatively low costs, robustness and flexible tuning options of electronic structure and chemical states.5,11 Most studies on such transition metals are focused on their oxides,12–14 sulfides,15 carbides,16 nitrides,17 phosphides18,19 and related compounds.20,21 However, without protective layers, many of them may undergo irreversible changes in their chemical compositions after electrocatalytic reactions. This can affect their stability and renders the underlying catalytic mechanisms even more difficult to understand. Moreover, metals in their oxidized forms display lower conductivity and electron transfer efficiency, which is unfavorable for their catalytic activity.22

Recently, novel carbon encapsulated metals have been introduced as an innovative electrocatalyst type that overcomes many of these current performance issues.23 We here present...
a convenient strategy to enhance the catalytic properties of low-cost metal alloys through their encapsulation into N-doped carbon nanotubes (N-CNTs) supported on rGO sheets as economic performance boosters. In such encapsulated architectures, electrons of the transition metals can penetrate through the carbon shell to the surface of the external carbon layer, where they promote catalytic reactions. In turn, the carbon atoms can completely prevent direct contact between electrolyte molecules and encapsulated metal NPs, thereby offering active sites on the carbon surface.\textsuperscript{24,25} It is well established that metal ions can only serve as active oxygen evolution sites in their host lattices after their demanding oxidation to high valent states.\textsuperscript{26–29} Consequently, the lower valent carbon encapsulated metal catalysts can excel through higher reactivity in water oxidation.\textsuperscript{6,23,30} Furthermore, the difference in work functions between the carbon materials and metals can promote the mobility of electrons from metals to the carbon surface, leading to a decrease in the local work function and an increase in the density of states (DOS) in the vicinity of the Fermi level on the carbon shell. This can significantly change its bonding characteristics towards intermediates and thus enhance the overall catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{23,31} Typical carbon encapsulated catalysts fall into two general morphological categories, namely catalyst nanoparticles embedded either into graphene nanosheets or into CNTs. The former are generally synthesized via C–N organometallic precursors,\textsuperscript{32,33} whose C–N architectures around the metal ions are converted into the carbon shell covering the surface of the metal. However, this strategy renders the tuning of the electronic structure via the addition of excess metal elements into the graphene shell difficult. Furthermore, such interconnected nanospheres without any support are more likely to undergo aggregation. As for CNT encapsulated catalysts, metal salts are first coordinated with carbon and nitrogen containing molecules in solution, such as dicyandiamide and melamine. The final products are then obtained through well-known pyrolysis routes.\textsuperscript{26,34,35} This method permits a more flexible doping of external metal elements into the CNT cage by simply mixing the corresponding metal salts with the C/N-containing precursors, thus providing an efficient approach to optimize the electronic structure.\textsuperscript{24,36}

However, the molecular reaction of C/N-precursors and metal salts unavoidably leads to side processes, such as implanting of metal atoms into the carbon lattice or residual metal clusters and NPs outside the carbon layers during the high temperature pyrolysis.\textsuperscript{27–28} This renders follow-up studies on active sites and mechanisms more complicated. Unfortunately, this issue is rarely taken into account by most current studies.\textsuperscript{37} Additionally, the precise water splitting functionalities of nitrogen atoms doped into the carbon shell are still ambiguous, especially with respect to the different conditions applied during HER and OER processes.

In this study, we thus developed a more convenient and direct strategy for the synthesis of CNT encapsulated metal catalysts employing pre-fabricated g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} and transition metal salts as precursors via a straightforward "reduction–nucleation–growth" process. This reaction mechanism can avoid the insertion of metal atoms or clusters into the carbon lattices and leftover NPs out of the carbon shell. The follow-up coupling with rGO nanosheets produces electrocatalysts with high specific surface areas and remarkable water splitting performance.

The emerging hybrid NiFe-based catalysts exhibit extremely low overpotentials of 270 and 230 mV, respectively, for OER and HER at a current density of 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} in alkaline electrolyte. X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) further confirmed that the transition metals are incorporated into the N-CNT as an alloy. We demonstrate that the encapsulated architecture offers at least three advantages, namely increased surface area, high porosity, and optimized electronic structure of the catalysts. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations reveal that the "M–N–C" moieties are the active sites, while the influence of the carbon shell and the N dopants on the electronic structure changed the adsorption energy of intermediates. In the following, we introduce a facile strategy to fabricate low cost and high performance carbon based catalysts for electrocatalytic overall water splitting.

**Results and discussion**

**Synthesis and structural/morphological characterizations**

The synthetic route leading to the growth of metal nanoparticles within the N-doped CNTs is illustrated in Fig. 1. First, g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} powder was electrostatically coordinated to Ni\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{3+} ions under aqueous conditions through ultrasonic treatment and robust mechanical stirring. The prepared dispersion was then freeze-dried, leading to uniform anchoring of Ni\textsuperscript{2+} and Fe\textsuperscript{3+} on the surface of g-C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}. The final product (NiFe–N-CNT) was achieved via pyrolysis at 900 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. Similarly, NiFe–N–CNT–rGO was prepared via the above route with moderate addition of graphene oxide (GO) solution into the dispersion during stirring and ultrasonic treatment (for all details cf. ESI†). All catalysts were investigated with powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), and similar diffraction patterns (Fig. 2a) were recorded for NiFe, NiFe–N–CNT and NiFe–N–CNT–rGO with four distinct diffraction peaks around 2θ = 20, 23, 33 and 39°, corresponding to the (111), (200), (220) and (311) reflections of cubic nickel (PDF no. 04-0830). Peak shifts to lower 2θ values were observed for all catalysts containing NiFe alloys, confirming Fe substitution into the cubic Ni structure (Fig. S1†). PXRD patterns of bare rGO and C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}–GO do not display distinct peaks (Fig. S2†), probably due to poor crystallinity or defect-rich structures.\textsuperscript{38} Raman spectra of NiFe–N–CNT, NiFe–N–CNT–rGO and bare rGO display the characteristic D and G bands at 1344 cm\textsuperscript{-1} and 1685 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively, which further confirms the presence of both CNTs and rGO in the composites (Fig. 2b). The weak 2D band at 2700 cm\textsuperscript{-1} attributed to two phonon lattice vibrations in the graphitic structure only appeared in bare rGO, probably due to the prevailing disordered CNT structures in the other samples. To further investigate the reduction of GO in the samples, Raman spectra and PXRD patterns were recorded (Fig. S3†). In the Raman spectrum, the D type bands relate to the defects and disorder in sp\textsuperscript{2}-hybridized carbon materials and the G type band represents the E\textsubscript{2g} mode in graphite, reflecting the symmetry and the degree of the crystallinity of the graphitic materials. The observed increase of
the $I_D/I_G$ ratio can be attributed to the increase of defects and disorders in the rGO layers, indicating structural changes after pyrolysis. This can arise from removal of functional groups going hand in hand with carbon loss from GO as carbon oxides. Furthermore, the value of $I_D/I_G$ is also related to the average distance between defects.\(^{40}\) The change of the interlayer spacing during the reduction is evident from the PXRD pattern. All in all, both the Raman spectra and the PXRD pattern after reductive treatment indicate that the structure of GO has been changed after the reduction process.\(^{40}\)

The catalysts were morphologically characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). The NiFe alloys with a size distribution of 10–30 nm are encapsulated into the bamboo-type CNTs (Fig. 2e and f). However, the bare CNT-encapsulated NiFe alloys in the absence of rGO supports are
notably aggregated (Fig. 2f and S4†). In comparison, CNTs in NiFe–N-CNT–rGO show very good dispersion on rGO layers (Fig. 2c and e). Bare NiFe alloys prepared without g-C3N4 and GO display random aggregation (Fig. S5†), indicating a different growth process. HRTEM images further suggest that the NiFe alloys are completely encapsulated into the CNTs and covered by CNT walls (Fig. 2g and S6†). The NiFe alloy exhibits d-spacings of 0.21 nm and 0.175 nm, corresponding to the (111) and (200) crystal planes, in agreement with the PXRD data. The thickness of the CNT wall is around 2.5 nm (Fig. 2g), corresponding to approximately 8 carbon layers. Previous studies proved that electrons of the encapsulated metal cores could penetrate through several carbon layers to promote the catalytic reactivity and stability.36,41 Therefore, such a “core–shell” structure is generally assumed to improve the electrocatalytic performance.

Formation pathway of CNT-encapsulated nanoparticle alloys

To investigate the growth mechanism of the encapsulated structure, bare g-C3N4, NiFe and C3N4–GO were synthesized in reference experiments under the same conditions. However, neither material displayed the characteristic encapsulated structure (Fig. S5 and S7†). Notably, bare g-C3N4 without Ni2+ and Fe3+ was totally decomposed at the applied pyrolysis temperature. We thus propose that the formation of N-doped CNTs arises from the direct interaction between transition metal cations and g-C3N4. To further understand the formation mechanism, a series of NiFe–N-CNT catalysts were prepared at different temperatures from 500 to 800 °C. As shown in the SEM images (Fig. S8†), bulk g-C3N4 retained its initial morphology when the temperature is lower than 600 °C. Matchstick-type nanorods are formed with the temperature approaching 600 °C. When increasing the temperature further, typical CNT morphologies gradually emerged (Fig. S8†), indicating that the main reaction was initiated on the NiFe NPs at around 600 °C. The result is in agreement with thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) results, where significant weight loss occurs between 550 and 720 °C (Fig. S9†). Similar trends emerged from ex situ PXRD patterns and Raman spectra (Fig. S10†). Both analytical methods show that the complete patterns of NiFe alloy and CNT only appeared when the temperature exceeded 600 °C. Moreover, the short nanorods were growing longer upon increasing the temperature to 700 °C and finally to 800 °C. TGA results also show a much higher final mass of the product (1.41 mg) compared to the initially added nominal net mass of NiFe alloy (0.53 mg). This indicates the presence of CNTs after pyrolysis, resulting in a calculated mass fraction of NiFe alloy of ca. 37.4 wt% (Fig. S9†). Although the mass ratio of NiFe was impressively increased after the pyrolysis, the atomic ratio is only around 11 at%, leading to very fluffy and voluminous macroscopic features of the sample. These results suggest that the formation of N-doped CNT encapsulated structures probably follows a “reduction–nucleation–growth” mechanism, starting with the reduction of the transition metal cations into metallic NPs. At higher temperatures and upon release of N atoms, the ionic carbon is adsorbed on the surface of these NPs and starts to nucleate, while the as formed metallic NPs subsequently act as catalysts for CNT growth. The diameters of the final CNTs are dominated to a large extent by the size of the NPs.43,44 In addition to NiFe, we also investigated other transition metals including Co, Ni, Fe and their alloys for the growth of CNT encapsulated structures (Fig. S11 and S12†). As expected, typical CNT structures were found in most samples, with the exception of the sample containing only Fe3+, which is in line with previous reports.45,46 The reason that Fe3+ cannot convert g-C3N4 into CNTs may be linked to the formation of larger Fe NPs during high temperature pyrolysis, which hinders the nucleation process. Given the well-known outstanding performance of NiFe compounds in water splitting,47,48 we exclusively focus on the NiFe–N-CNT catalyst in the following.

Analytical characterization of NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses confirm the presence of C, Ni, Fe, N and slight amounts of O in both NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO (Fig. S13†). The N contents of 5.7 at% and 5.1 at% in NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO (Table S7†), respectively, indicate a slight decrease with the addition of rGO. The signals for Ni and Fe are very weak compared to C and N due to the limited penetration depth of XPS towards the core structure of the materials.49 However, the atomic ratios of Ni/Fe are still close to the initial 3 : 2 (Table S7†) ratio in the starting materials. High-resolution XPS spectra of C, N, Ni and Fe (calibrated with carbon) show the presence of similar chemical states in NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO (Fig. 3a–d and S14†). The C 1s signal can be deconvoluted into three peaks located at around 284.5, 285.7, and 286.6 eV, which are attributed to C=C/C–C, C≡N and C–O/C–N, respectively (Fig. 3a). The slight C–O signal may arise from the initial g-C3N4 precursor, which was synthesized under atmospheric conditions. The deconvoluted N 1s signal is attributed to pyridinic-N (398.7 eV) and graphitic-N (401.4 eV).50 The appearance of the N signal confirms that the obtained CNTs were indeed doped with nitrogen during pyrolysis. Previous studies have clearly demonstrated that the conductivity of carbon materials is enhanced through N-doping, along with the introduction of active sites on the carbon based materials.50–52 However, the precise mechanism behind the effect of N atoms on the activity of such catalysts is still under debate, especially for water splitting. The deconvolution of the Ni 2p and Fe 2p spectra (Fig. 3c and d) suggests the presence of diverse chemically distinct species, namely the metallic states of Ni (853.2 and 870.8 eV) and Fe (707.3 and 720.2 eV), the oxidized states of Ni (854.7 and 872.2 eV), Fe2+ (710.6 and 723.4 eV), Fe3+ (713.3 and 726.2 eV), as well as the satellite peaks of Ni (860.3 and 879.2 eV) and Fe (715.3 and 731.3 eV).45 The oxidized states suggest that the surfaces of some alloys are slightly oxidized, probably by the adsorbed O2 species, as observed in other alloys encapsulated into graphene.53 The above-mentioned low signal strength of the Ni and Fe peaks provides further evidence that the NiFe alloys are completely encapsulated by CNTs. Moreover, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping indicates that
NiFe signals only arise from the NiFe NPs and provided no clear signals on the surface of CNTs (Fig. 3e), further supporting the complete encapsulation of the NiFe alloys. Nitrogen EDX mapping of NiFe–N-CNT without rGO further demonstrates the good dispersion of N dopants on the CNTs (Fig. S15†).

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) was used to further evaluate the electronic and atomic-range structures of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO. As shown in Fig. 3f, the intensity of the pre-edge peak (at 8337.5 eV) in the Ni K-edge XANES spectra of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO decreases while the white line intensity (at 8350 eV) increases relative to the Ni foil, suggesting electronic hybridization between Ni and Fe. Moreover, both the XANES edge absorption energies, for the Ni-K-edge (at 8333 eV) and the Fe-K-edge (at 7112 eV), align with the same energy of Ni and Fe foils, which proves that most of Ni and Fe in NiFe–N-CNT–rGO are present in bulk metallic states (Fig. 3f and g). The absorption edges are slightly shifted toward higher energies compared to those of Ni and Fe foils. This is most likely due to the interaction between metal centers and CNTs, which is well in line with the border charge analysis in the following DFT calculations.

Further analyses of the Fourier- (FT) and Wavelet-Transforms (WT) of the Ni and Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra (Fig. 3h and i) show the oxide-free character of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO when compared to NiO and FeO references. The atomic-range structure is close to the structure of Ni foil. Nonlinear least-squares fitting of EXAFS spectra $F(T|k^2\chi(k))$ yield calculated interatomic distances of Ni–Ni = 2.508(2) Å, Fe–Ni = 2.512(8) Å and Fe–Fe = 2.525(4) Å with Debye–Waller factors $\sigma_{Ni-Ni}^2 = 0.008(1)$ Å², $\sigma_{Ni-Fe}^2 = 0.010(1)$ Å² and $\sigma_{Fe-Fe}^2 = 0.010(8)$ Å², respectively. The broad feature in the $F(T|k^2\chi(k))$ spectra

Fig. 3 High-resolution XPS spectra of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO: (a) C 1s, (b) N 1s, (c) Ni 2p, and (d) Fe 2p. (e) Representative STEM image and EDX mappings of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO. (f and g) Ni and Fe K-edge XANES spectra of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO and reference samples. (h and i) Ni and Fe K-edge EXAFS spectra $F(T|k^2\chi(k))$ of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO and reference samples. Fittings of the $F(T|k^2\chi(k))$ spectra of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO are shown in red. The 2D contour plot in the background is the Wavelet-Transform (WT) of the EXAFS spectra $k^2\chi(k)$ of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO and reference samples.
between $R = 2.9-5.2$ Å corresponds to higher Ni(Fe)-Ni(Fe) core–shell interatomic distances between 3.514(7)-5.064(2) Å, respectively. For those higher core–shell the maxima in the WT spectra of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO (Fig. 3h and i), exhibit a slightly lowered intensity and broadening relative to the WT spectrum of Ni foil, suggesting small structural disorder induced by the insertion of Fe into the Ni lattice.

**Electrocatalytic water splitting**

The water splitting performance of the catalysts was evaluated using a standard three-electrode setup. (cf. ESI for details†). Bare GC was used as a reference. The influence of the ratio of Ni/Fe on the water splitting activity was investigated with a series of NiFe–N-CNT–rGO catalysts containing different Ni/Fe ratios. The results show that both OER and HER activity are improved with increasing Ni content up to a Ni/Fe ratio of 3 : 2. Further addition of Ni leads to a sharp decline of the performance (Fig. S16†). Optimization experiments of NiFe alloy and supported catalysts indicated that the best activities for OER and HER are obtained for 4% wt of NiFe alloy (Fig. S17†). It is of note that the ratios mentioned here correspond to the starting materials of Ni2+, Fe3+, g-C3N4 and GO. The final mass fractions of NiFe determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) are 28.5 wt% and 32 wt% for NiFe–N-CNT–rGO and NiFe–N-CNT, respectively, due to the decomposition of g-C3N4 during pyrolysis (Table S9). The result for NiFe–N-CNT is quite close to the TGA measurement (37 wt%) discussed above (Fig. S9†).

The influence of CNT and rGO on the water splitting activity was further studied by comparing the performance of bare NiFe alloy, NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO under the optimized conditions mentioned above (Fig. 4). The results show that both the OER and HER performance of NiFe alloy are significantly improved through its encapsulation into N-doped CNTs. Further addition of rGO sheets enhances the OER performance even more to 270 mV (at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$) (Fig. 4a), thus rendering NiFe–N-CNT–rGO one of the most active catalysts for OER under comparable conditions as applied in recent reports (Table S11†). It is worth mentioning that the noise in the OER curves arise from the produced O2 bubbles that cannot be released quickly enough at higher potential. HER activity on the other hand, slightly decreases with the addition of rGO (Fig. 4d). Activities normalized to the catalyst mass can be found in Fig. S18†. However, both OER and HER activity of the catalyst containing unprotected NiFe NPs outside the CNTs are much lower than for NiFe–N-CNT, thereby providing further evidence that the encapsulated structure significantly improves the overall water splitting performance (Fig. S19†). We further conducted overall water splitting on Ni foam at the constant potential of 1.58 V (with a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, Fig. S20 and Video in the ESI†). The data show that the NiFe–CNT–rGO catalyst shows a quite significant overall water splitting performance, indicating a promising scalable application potential.

To obtain additional insight into the reaction dynamics, the linear sections of Tafel plots were recorded at low potential. The Tafel slopes of the OER exhibit a similar trend compared to the LSV overpotentials. Most importantly, the value of 42 mV dec$^{-1}$ for NiFe–N-CNT–rGO is much lower than those of most recently reported electrocatalysts, indicating the high ability of the encapsulated composite to drive the OER process. Tafel slopes of NiFe–N-CNT and NiFe–N-CNT–rGO for the HER are very similar (around 84 mV dec$^{-1}$), but much lower than that of bare NiFe alloy, indicating that the addition of N-CNT and rGO could enhance the HER activity. Chronopotentiometry tests were then performed to evaluate the durability of the new catalysts. NiFe–N-CNT–rGO (OER) and NiFe–N-CNT (HER) could maintain a stable overpotential for 6000 s at an applied current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$. The drop of the OER performance after 6000 s is probably due to the detachment of the catalyst caused by the bubble formation. We further performed long-term measurements on Ni foam as shown in Fig. S21†. The current remains around 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ for more than 63 000 s under the same overpotential compared to measurements on GC. However, catalyst detachment is difficult to avoid under such high current density, leading to a slight drop of activity (Fig. S21c†). LSV curves measured on GC show almost no change before and after 1200 CV cycles, indicating that the catalyst is quite stable (Fig. S21b†). Post-catalytic SEM and TEM measurements (Fig. S22 and S23†) demonstrate that the catalysts still retain their morphology after electrocatalysis. Comparison of XANES spectra before and after catalysis (Fig. S24 and S25†) shows that only the Ni species in the catalyst were slightly oxidized after the OER reaction, while no obvious change was observed for the Fe species. This suggests that the Ni species are more active and probably contribute more strongly to electron transfer during the reaction.

**Analysis of further performance parameters**

To analyze the beneficial combined influence of CNT, rGO and NiFe nanoparticles on the activity, Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area measurements were performed (Table S8†). The results show that the surface area of NiFe alloy (30 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) is significantly increased upon encapsulation into N-doped CNT (129.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). The addition of 2D rGO sheets further raises the surface area to 160.1 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$. The corresponding adsorption–desorption isotherms are presented in Fig. S26.† All samples exhibit type IV isotherms with H3 hysteresis loops, indicating the presence of mesoporous materials. This significant increase in surface area is clearly due to the special morphologies of 1D CNTs and 2D rGO. Furthermore, we analyzed the electrode kinetics of the three catalysts by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements (Fig. 7). The charge-transfer resistance values ($R_\text{ct}$) that were determined from the semicircle recorded at low frequencies (high Z*) show that the hybrid catalysts combined with CNT and rGO display higher charge transport efficiency compared to bare rGO and NiFe alloy.

**Computational study of synergistic effects**

To gain insights into the electronic structure of CNT–NiFe and NiFe–N-CNT, Bader charge population as well as charge density difference maps were analyzed through DFT calculations (cf. ESI for the details†). According to the Bader charge population analyses, there are $-1.54e$ electrons transferring from NiFe
CNT, which results in the charge redistribution at the interface as shown in Fig. 5a. The free energies of the intermediates in each reaction step were simulated (Fig. 5b–e and S27†). For the HER reaction, the free energy of $H^*$ ($\Delta G_{H^*}$) is generally considered as an effective descriptor to evaluate the HER activity, and smaller absolute values of $\Delta G_{H^*}$ correspond to better HER systems.32 The OER proceeds via a four-electron transfer process and the reaction barriers can be described by free energies of the intermediates on the active site.34–36 We here compared bare NiFe, CNT and NiFe–CNT to investigate the synergistic effect of CNT and NiFe nanoparticles. The results show that the adsorption energy of NiFe–CNT towards $OH^*$ and $H^*$ is located between bare CNT and NiFe, which indicates that the redistributed electronic structure of CNT layer can moderately modify the adsorption energy during water splitting. The calculated overpotentials of bare NiFe (2.64 V) and CNT (1.33 V) were significantly reduced after encapsulation of NiFe (1.08 V) (Fig. 5f, g and S28†). The same trend also applies to HER, where $\Delta G_{H^*}$ was reduced from 1.5 eV (CNT) and 0.5 eV (NiFe) to 0.3 eV (NiFe–CNT) (Fig. 5h). We further calculated the reaction barrier of the Volmer step for water splitting, which involves the dissociation of water (Table S6†).36 The results show that the adsorption energy of water over NiFe–CNT is lowest compared to CNT and NiFe, which agrees well with the descriptor of $\Delta G_{H^*}$. Therefore, the improved activities can be attributed to the following two reasons: (i) increase of the specific surface area due to special morphologies, (ii) electron transfer from NiFe to CNT due to the different work functions and the redistributed electronic structure on the CNTs. The latter results in the modification of the adsorption free energies of the intermediates during the reaction.

**Influence of nitrogen dopants on HER and OER activity**

DFT calculations shed detailed light on the role of CNT and rGO in both OER and HER activity. However, the HER activity was slightly decreased after coupling with rGO layers, in contrast to their productive influence on the OER. Even though the addition of rGO increased the surface area of the catalyst, the nitrogen-free graphene sheets on the other hand might reduce their productive influence.36,50,67,68 Therefore, we propose that the difference in HER and OER performance may be linked to the nitrogen atom concentration. To confirm this function of the N atoms, a series of catalysts with different nitrogen contents were obtained from syntheses conducted at 600, 700, 800, 900, 1000 and 1100 °C. Results from elemental analysis (Fig. 6c and Table S10†) clearly show that the N/C in the different samples significantly decreased from 0.37 to 0.015 when the temperature was raised from 600 to 1100 °C. However, the LSV polarization curves for the OER (Fig. 6a) displayed only slight changes for the temperature window between 700 °C and 1100 °C, corresponding to N/C ratios of 0.09 to 0.015. The results indicate that the OER is not sensitive to the N dopants when the N/C ratio is lower than 0.09. On the other hand, the HER overpotentials varied significantly for different N contents (Fig. 6b and d). The optimal N/C for HER is about 0.05 for an
overpotential of 230 mV at 10 mA cm$^{-2}$, which is in line with previous studies.$^{26}$

To study the influence of N dopants on the activity in more detail, the energy changes on NiFe–CNT and NiFe–N-CNT for both OER and HER were modeled with DFT calculations. Before introducing the intermediates, we first calculated the electron transfer in NiFe–CNT and NiFe–N-CNT (Fig. 6e and S29$^\dagger$). The amount of charge transfer ($\Delta q$) is $-1.54e$ and $-1.60e$ for NiFe–CNT and NiFe–N-CNT, respectively, indicating that the residual nitrogen on the surface of the CNTs could indeed increase the interfacial charge transfer. The OER energy diagrams are shown in Fig. 6j and k, where free energies of HO$^\ast$, O$^\ast$, HOO$^\ast$, and H$^\ast$ absorbed on neighboring C atoms of the N doping on CNT were calculated (Fig. 6g and h). The results show that all reaction steps are endothermic at zero potential for both pure NiFe–CNT and N-doped NiFe–N-CNT. At equilibrium potential (1.23 V), some reaction steps become downhill. The overpotential for the OER is determined by all reaction steps becoming downhill in free energy.$^{40,69}$ Interestingly, all the reaction steps turned downhill for both pure NiFe–CNT and NiFe–N-CNT for an applied potential of around 2.3 V, indicating that the calculated overpotentials for these two hybrid catalysts are quite close (1.07 V). Combined with the calculated overpotentials of bare CNT and NiFe nanoparticles, we conclude that the OER is mainly dominated by the interaction of CNT and NiFe nanoparticles rather than by the N-dopants atoms. The resulting conclusion that nitrogen atoms exert less influence on the OER agrees well with previous studies.$^{70}$

Consequently, the HER on pure CNT, pure NiFe–CNT and N-doped NiFe–N-CNT, respectively, was also simulated. H$^\ast$ was placed around the neighboring C atoms of the N-dopant on CNT, and after geometry optimization (Fig. 6i) $\Delta G_{H^\ast}$ was calculated.$^{25,49,71}$ As shown in Fig. 6l, the $\Delta G_{H^\ast}$ of NiFe–CNT is significantly reduced from 1.5 eV to 0.3 eV compared with pure CNT. In addition, the introduction of N atoms further decreased $\Delta G_{H^\ast}$ to $-0.2$ eV in NiFe–N-CNT, demonstrating that N doping significantly reduces the adsorption energy of H$^\ast$. Interestingly, the water dissociation step was also energetically more favorable with $\Delta G_{H_{2}O}$ decreasing from 0.52 eV to 0.13 eV after nitrogen introduction (Table S6$^\dagger$). The calculation results match well with the experiments shown in Fig. 6a and b and previous studies.$^{72}$ All in all, the different OER and HER activities are caused by the special “M (Ni or Fe)–C–N” moiety. Generally, the electrons can be transferred from the NiFe alloy to the CNT layer to form a negatively charged environment on the surface. This thermodynamically suppresses the absorption of the H$^\ast$ and lone pair electrons of HO$^\ast$ compared to bare NiFe, as evident from previous calculations (Fig. 5f and h and S28$^\dagger$). However, the more electronegative nitrogen atoms doped on the CNT could capture electrons from their adjacent carbon atoms to form an unbalanced electric field between C and N atoms. For the OER, HO$^\ast$ is more likely to be adsorbed on C atoms (positively charged site) via its lone pair electrons. The unbalanced electric field caused by N dopants thus provides more adsorption sites for HO$^\ast$, leading to a significant reduction of the free energy in the first reaction step compared to pure CNTs (Fig. 6j and k). However, the energy changes for the following reaction steps, especially from O$^\ast$ to HOO$^\ast$, are less significant.$^{73}$ Interestingly, the energy for the formation of O$_2$ in the last step is

---

**Fig. 5** (a) Charge density difference map of CNT–NiFe. The gray, purple, deep purple, blue and red spheres represent C, Ni, Fe, H and O atoms, respectively. The yellow and blue areas represent electron accumulation and depletion with the same isosurface value of 0.002 electrons per Å³, respectively. (b–e) Optimized structures of OH$^\ast$, O$^\ast$, HOO$^\ast$, and H$^\ast$ adsorbed on CNT–NiFe. Free energy profiles for the OER over (f) pure CNT, (g) NiFe–CNT at zero potential ($U = 0$), equilibrium potential for oxygen evolution ($U = 1.23$ V), and minimal potential where all steps become downhill. (h) $\Delta G_{H^\ast}$ diagram of pure CNT, CNT encapsulated NiFe, and pure NiFe.
even higher compared to pure NiFe–CNT, i.e. the strong adsorption also leads to more difficult desorption, so that the net influence on the final products is very limited. As the adsorption of H* is the only barrier for the HER, the influence of dopants on the adsorption of H* affects the final products much more strongly.

**Conclusion**

We successfully developed a direct and flexible strategy to fabricate NiFe catalysts encapsulated within a CNT architecture using readily available carbon nitride as precursor. Our study revealed the growth mechanism of the encapsulated composites and paved the way to the straightforward synthesis of other carbon-supported alloy catalysts. In particular, the oxygen production performance of NiFe alloy encapsulated into N-doped CNTs is very high at an overpotential of only 270 mV at 10 mA cm⁻² when coupled with reduced graphene oxide. This is superior to most of the reported noble metal-free OER catalysts. A wide range of analytical techniques (e.g. XPS, XAS and EIS measurements) together with DFT calculations further demonstrated that the enhanced performance arises from the cooperative effects of increased surface area and efficient electron transport, along with the optimized electronic structure of...
the composites. N atoms doped on the CNT surface were shown to influence the adsorption of the intermediates in water splitting, with the most productive impact on the water reduction process. In summary, our results provide comprehensive and new strategies for both convenient fabrication and targeted design of economic high-performance water splitting catalysts.
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