

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 8490

## Correction: One fold, two functions: cytochrome P460 and cytochrome c'- $\beta$ from the methanotroph *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath)

Hannah R. Adams,<sup>a</sup> Callie Krewson,<sup>b</sup> Jenny E. Vardanega,<sup>b</sup> Sotaro Fujii,<sup>c</sup>  
Tadeo Moreno-Chicano,<sup>a</sup> Yoshihiro Sambongi,<sup>c</sup> Dimitri Svistunenko,<sup>a</sup> Jordi Paps,<sup>a</sup>  
Colin R. Andrew<sup>\*b</sup> and Michael A. Hough<sup>\*a</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc90185j

[www.rsc.org/chemicalscience](http://www.rsc.org/chemicalscience)

Correction for 'One fold, two functions: cytochrome P460 and cytochrome c'- $\beta$  from the methanotroph *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath)' by Hannah R. Adams *et al.*, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, 10, 3031–3041.

The authors regret that there was an error in the following sentence of the conclusions in this manuscript:

“Phylogenetic analysis of the occurrence of these two proteins suggests an evolutionary path such that the cyt cp- $\beta$  (*CytL* gene) proteins evolved from the cyts P460 (*CytS*) gene, likely in response to the need to capture excess nitric oxide.”

The correct sentence should read as follows:

“Phylogenetic analysis of the occurrence of these two proteins suggests an evolutionary path such that the cyt cp- $\beta$  (*CytS* gene) proteins evolved from the cyts P460 (*CytL* gene), likely in response to the need to capture excess nitric oxide.”

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup>School of Biological Sciences, University of Essex, Wivenhoe Park, Colchester, Essex, CO4 3SQ, UK. E-mail: mahough@essex.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Eastern Oregon University, La Grande, Oregon 97850, USA. E-mail: candrew@eou.edu

<sup>c</sup>Graduate School of Biosphere Science, Hiroshima University, Kagamiyama 1-4-4, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima, 739-8528, Japan

