



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10356

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 3rd September 2019  
Accepted 19th September 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc04439f  
[rsc.li/chemical-science](http://rsc.li/chemical-science)

## Molecular tunability of surface-functionalized metal nanocrystals for selective electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction†

James R. Pankhurst, <sup>a</sup> Yannick T. Guntern, <sup>a</sup> Mounir Mensi<sup>b</sup> and Raffaella Buonsanti <sup>a\*</sup>

Organic ligands are used in homogeneous catalysis to tune the metal center reactivity; in contrast, clean surfaces are usually preferred in heterogeneous catalysis. Herein, we demonstrate the potential of a molecular chemistry approach to develop efficient and selective heterogeneous catalysts in the electrochemical CO<sub>2</sub> reduction reaction (CO<sub>2</sub>RR). We have tailor-made imidazolium ligands to promote the CO<sub>2</sub>RR at the surface of hybrid organic/inorganic electrode materials. We used silver nanocrystals for the inorganic component to obtain fundamental insights into the delicate tuning of the surface chemistry offered by these ligands. We reveal that modifying the electronic properties of the metal surface with anchor groups along with the solid/liquid interface with tail groups is crucial in obtaining selectivities (above 90% FE for CO), which are higher than the non-functionalized Ag nanocrystals. We also show that there is a unique dependency of the CO<sub>2</sub>RR selectivity on the length of the hydrocarbon tail of these ligands, offering a new way to tune the interactions between the metal surface with the electrolyte and reactants.

## Introduction

Organic ligands are commonly employed in molecular complexes to modulate the reactivity of metal centers, where they steer the activity and selectivity in homogeneous catalysis. In contrast, pristine metallic surfaces are conventionally preferred for studies in heterogeneous catalysis. Nevertheless, the promoting effects of tailor-made ligands on heterogeneous catalysts are boldly emerging in various reactions, ranging from catalytic organic transformations<sup>1–5</sup> to photocatalysis<sup>6–8</sup> and electrocatalysis, including the oxygen-reduction reaction (ORR)<sup>9</sup> and the hydrogen-evolution reaction (HER).<sup>10–12</sup>

The electrochemical reduction of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>RR) holds enormous potential to meet modern-day challenges in energy storage thanks to its ability to convert CO<sub>2</sub> into energy-dense and transportable hydrocarbons and alcohols.<sup>13,14</sup> However, in order to develop into a viable energy technology, many improvements must be made in terms of: lowering the required overpotential; increasing the catalyst activity; and directing the selectivity towards a single product.<sup>15</sup> Increasing

the complexity of catalyst design away from pure metals is crucial in order to meet the required advances in the field. Specifically, this can be achieved by breaking the linear scaling laws that dictate the activity of a metal<sup>16</sup> or by altering the local environment around the catalyst active site.<sup>17</sup>

Ligand design has been used to improve the activity of homogeneous CO<sub>2</sub>RR catalysts.<sup>18–20</sup> Recently, the positive role of functional ligands in heterogeneous CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrocatalysis is emerging as a means to modify the reactivity of metallic surfaces.<sup>21–28</sup> Yet, there remains great space to tune the organic component in order to address unanswered questions. In particular, it is unclear the extent to which the functional groups that bind to the metal surface (*i.e.* ‘anchor groups’) impact the local electronic structure, and how the groups that extend into the surrounding solvent (*i.e.* ‘tail groups’) modulate the interactions between the electrolyte and the surface.

In this work, we have developed organic/inorganic hybrid catalysts to investigate the fundamental chemistry of surface-bound ligands in the CO<sub>2</sub>RR. Our hybrid NC catalysts consist of disubstituted imidazolium ligands as the organic component and Ag nanocrystals (AgNCs) as the inorganic component. Specifically, we provide insight into: (i) how a carbon-capture imidazolium motif encourages the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> to CO when bound to the catalyst surface; (ii) how the anchor groups fine-tune the electronic structure of the surface; and (iii) how the tail groups critically influence the solid/liquid interface and permeability of the NC ligand shell.

<sup>a</sup>Laboratory of Nanochemistry for Energy (LNCE), Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering (ISIC), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Rue de l'Industrie 17, 1950 Sion, Valais, Switzerland. E-mail: [raffaella.buonsanti@epfl.ch](mailto:raffaella.buonsanti@epfl.ch)

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering (ISIC), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Rue de l'Industrie 17, 1950 Sion, Valais, Switzerland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: [10.1039/c9sc04439f](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9sc04439f)



We based the organic component of the hybrid catalysts on the imidazole motif. The latter has received substantial attention as a promoter for  $\text{CO}_2$  fixation and reduction in the form of ionic liquids.<sup>27,29–33</sup> These organic salts have been used as additives, mostly in organic electrolytes, and have been demonstrated to act as co-catalysts with metal electrodes in the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$ .<sup>27,29–33</sup> Various studies report changes of the counterions and ring substituents, aiming to elucidate their working mechanism. At the same time, the tunability of ionic liquids is somewhat limited when they are used as additives, as one must consider the impact on their solubility and viscosity. We reason that some of these constraints can be overcome by immobilizing the imidazole group directly on the metal surface. This approach also offers a means to add further parameters to modify the reactivity, namely changes of the anchor and tail groups in a systematic manner.


AgNCs were chosen as the inorganic component to act as a model metallic catalyst. Whilst Cu-based catalysts represent the state-of-the-art for  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  studies as they form multi-carbon products,<sup>34</sup> their complex product distribution can mask some of the subtler ligand effects. In contrast, Ag produces primarily CO and  $\text{H}_2$  from the competing  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  and HER, respectively.<sup>35</sup> In this case, the influence of the ligands on the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  can be straightforwardly assessed from the Faradaic efficiencies (FEs) and partial current densities related to CO. Furthermore, because of their high surface-to-volume ratio, NCs are expected to amplify surface-related effects compared to metal foils. Additionally, the possibility to tune their size, shape and composition (*i.e.* bimetallics and alloys) represents an additional degree of freedom that can contribute greatly to the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$ , as already demonstrated in many contributions.<sup>34,36–43</sup> Finally, functionalized NCs can be integrated into more technologically relevant gas-diffusion electrodes in the future.<sup>44</sup>

The electrocatalytic testing of the hybrid catalysts revealed that all of the ligands promote  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  over HER compared to the as-synthesized AgNCs, and interesting effects of the anchoring and tail groups on the tuning of the activity and selectivity have been discovered. Contrary to the conventional assumption that shorter ligand tail-groups are better, due to greater accessibility of active sites,<sup>45</sup> here we bring to light that medium-length groups are actually the best for promoting selectivity in the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$ .

## Results and discussion

### Synthesis of the hybrid catalysts

The asymmetrically disubstituted imidazolium compounds were synthesized in two steps. Hydrocarbon tail-groups were first introduced through the deprotonation of imidazole using  $\text{NaOH}$ , forming sodium imidazolate *in situ*, which was then reacted further with alkyl-bromide compounds at  $60\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to yield *N*-alkylated imidazoles. Imidazoles bearing *n*-octyl, *n*-hexadecyl and tri(phenyl)methyl (*i.e.* trityl) groups were prepared in this way. These alkyl-imidazoles were then reacted with *para*-substituted benzyl-bromide compounds, which rapidly formed the disubstituted imidazolium ligands shown in Scheme 1. Their syntheses




**Scheme 1** Synthetic route to asymmetrically disubstituted imidazolium compounds and a schematic overview of the hybrid AgNC catalysts. **1-NO<sub>2</sub>** was instead prepared by an Ullmann-type reaction. **2-NO<sub>2</sub>** was prepared directly from 1-methyl-imidazole. Abbreviations: Bn = benzyl group; methyl =  $\text{CH}_3$ ; octyl =  $n\text{-C}_8\text{H}_{17}$ ; hexadecyl =  $n\text{-C}_{16}\text{H}_{33}$ ; trityl =  $\text{C}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)_3$ .

are supported by  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectroscopy, as well as infra-red spectroscopy and high-resolution mass spectrometry, details of which can be found in the ESI (Fig. S4–S33†). A related imidazole ligand bearing a single aryl substituent, 1-(4-nitrophenyl)-imidazole (**1-NO<sub>2</sub>**), was also synthesized from an Ullmann-type reaction for comparison.<sup>46</sup>

Spherical AgNCs were prepared using existing colloidal methods by heating  $\text{AgNO}_3$  in oleylamine (OLAM). The isolated AgNCs retain OLAM as stabilizing ligands on the surface and are therefore soluble in hexane; these are referred to as **Ag-OLAM** in the text (Fig. 1A and C).<sup>47</sup> Because of the tendency of AgNCs to sinter when attempting ligand exchange directly in solution (Fig. S34–S36†), we developed a ligand exchange procedure working with NCs supported on solid substrates (Fig. 1B). Reducing the polarity of the ligand solution (using 1 : 1 acetone/hexane or ethanol/hexane mixtures) was key to avoiding sintering, due to a slowing down of the exchange kinetics. After removing the excess ligand by cleaning in ethanol, the hybrid AgNCs could be recovered in DMSO (Fig. 1A), forming colloidal suspensions that were stable for weeks. From TEM imaging (Fig. 1D), the AgNCs had retained their spherical shape and size upon exchange. Further TEM characterization confirmed that the developed ligand-exchange procedure was compatible with all of the new ligands synthesized here (Fig. S37–S43†). Using  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectroscopy, we were able to build up a tentative description of how the ligands are arranged on the surface; importantly, their binding to the





**Fig. 1** Surface functionalization of the AgNCs. (A) Biphasic mixtures showing the solubility of colloidal NCs in hexane or DMSO, where the Ag-OLAM NCs are soluble in hexane (I), and the hybrid AgNCs are soluble in DMSO (III); the appearance of the sintered mixture after attempting direct exchange in solution is also shown (II). (B) Overview of the procedure to exchange the native OLAM ligands with the new imidazolium ligands on the surface of AgNCs, where R = tail group and X = anchor group. (C) TEM image of spherical, 12 nm Ag-OLAM NCs. (D) TEM image of hybrid AgNCs of the same size and shape.

AgNCs and the absence of free ligands was confirmed by NOESY NMR (Fig. S44–S54†).


### CO<sub>2</sub>RR performance

The electrochemical performance of the AgNCs in the CO<sub>2</sub>RR was assessed on planar glassy-carbon electrodes in a H-cell,

using a 0.1 M KHCO<sub>3</sub> aqueous electrolyte. We first used the nitro-based ligands to explore the effect of the tail length, and then we varied the anchor groups for the octyl- and hexadecyl-containing ligands to investigate electronic effects. Under typical testing conditions ( $E = -1.1$  V vs. RHE, Ag mass-loading = 14 µg), very low normalized current densities were measured due to the low loading accessible on flat glassy-carbon supports and to the high electrochemically active surface areas (ECSA) of the AgNCs (see Table S1†).

The main results are summarized in Fig. 2. First of all, Ag-OLAM consistently produced mainly H<sub>2</sub> with an average FE of 70%; CO was produced as a minor product with a FE of 29% and, combined with a total measured current density ( $J_{ECSA}$ ) of  $-170 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , a low  $J_{CO}$  value of  $-49 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  was determined. Following ligand exchange with the imidazole or imidazolium ligands, the selectivity of the AgNCs for the CO<sub>2</sub>RR drastically improved in general and a strong dependence on the ligand tail group was discovered (Fig. 2A). For 1-NO<sub>2</sub>, which bears no tail group and no formal charge, CO was produced with 64% selectivity. Introducing a short methyl tail-group to the imidazole (thereby forming the ionic, imidazolium ligand 2-NO<sub>2</sub>), gave a higher CO-selectivity of 74%. The most selective catalysis was observed for 3-NO<sub>2</sub>, which bears an octyl group, giving CO with 92% FE. For the trityl-bearing ligand, 5-NO<sub>2</sub>, the CO selectivity decreased to 81%. This trityl group is short (*ca.* 5 carbon atoms long) but is sterically bulky. Increasing the tail length further to *n*-hexadecyl (4-NO<sub>2</sub>) worsened the selectivity greatly, giving only 43% CO.

In terms of catalyst activity, the total and the partial current densities for all of the hybrid AgNCs were greater than Ag-OLAM, and also appeared to be dependent on the length of the ligand tail group. The highest current densities were observed for 1-NO<sub>2</sub> and 2-NO<sub>2</sub> ( $J_{ECSA} = -367, -351 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}; J_{CO} = -233, -258 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ , respectively), which then decreased with



**Fig. 2** Effects of tail groups and anchoring groups on the CO<sub>2</sub>RR selectivity, measured at  $-1.1$  V vs. RHE. (A) Hybrid catalysts bearing NO<sub>2</sub> anchor groups and varying tail lengths; Ag-OLAM is included for comparison. (B) Hybrid catalysts bearing octyl tail groups with varying anchor groups. Under all conditions, formate was detected as a minor product, with Faradaic efficiencies of less than 5%. Error bars represent standard deviation based on at least three independent measurements.

increasing tail length (reaching  $J_{\text{ECSA}} = -303 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ ,  $J_{\text{CO}} = -131 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$  for **4-NO<sub>2</sub>**). Low current densities were observed for **5-NO<sub>2</sub>** ( $J_{\text{ECSA}} = -172 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ ;  $J_{\text{CO}} = -139 \mu\text{A cm}^{-2}$ ), which we ascribe to additional effects of the 2-dimensional steric profile of the ligand at the surface arising from the trityl group. Fig. S55† summarizes the trends of activity and selectivity with the tail length.

The influence of the ligand anchor group on the catalyst selectivity appeared to be much subtler. The data for the octyl-containing ligands are reported in Fig. 2B as an exemplificative case. The NO<sub>2</sub> group influenced the highest selectivity (92%), but CN, CO<sub>2</sub>H and SCH<sub>3</sub> groups all induced lower and equivalent selectivities of 77% CO. For the ligand **3-H**, FE<sub>CO</sub> was the lowest at only 58%. The most selective ligand also carries the largest Hammett parameter on the anchor group (NO<sub>2</sub>,  $\sigma = 0.77$ ), whilst the least selective ligand carries the smallest (H,  $\sigma = 0$ ). For the hexadecyl-containing ligands (Fig. S56†), the catalyst selectivity was poor in all cases (<50%). However, again the ligand with the smallest Hammett parameter (**4-SCH<sub>3</sub>**) was the least selective.

The importance of the imidazolium group was confirmed through a series of control experiments (Fig. S57†). Exchanging

the OLAM ligands for *n*-hexylamine (HA) gave a negligible improvement to the FE<sub>CO</sub> (39%), indicating that the better-performing imidazolium ligands do not improve the selectivity solely because of the shorter tail groups. When cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) was introduced as a ligand, a more noticeable improvement in the FE<sub>CO</sub> was observed (55%), giving a similar value as the hexadecyl-containing hybrids, which are of similar length. This latter point indicates that the cationic nature of the imidazolium ligands is an important aspect that promotes the CO<sub>2</sub>RR, in line with previous findings.<sup>31</sup> Yet, the **Ag-CTAB** catalyst exhibited lower current densities in comparison with the imidazolium hybrids (Table S1†).

As the best performing hybrid catalyst, **Ag-3-NO<sub>2</sub>** was used to investigate how the potential-dependent performance of the hybrid catalysts compared with that of **Ag-OLAM** (Fig. 3). The latter produced H<sub>2</sub> as the major product across the full potential range, from -0.75 V to -1.4 V vs. RHE, evident from analysis of both the faradaic efficiency and partial current densities (Fig. 3A and B). In contrast, the FE<sub>CO</sub> rose rapidly with increasing potential in the case of **Ag-3-NO<sub>2</sub>**, reaching a maximum value of 98% at -1.3 V (Fig. 3C). Similarly,  $J_{\text{CO}}$  rose steadily with




Fig. 3 Performance of Ag-OLAM and Ag-3-NO<sub>2</sub> CO<sub>2</sub>RR catalysts at variable applied potential, showing: (A) Faradaic efficiencies of products and (B) total and partial current densities measured for Ag-OLAM; (C) Faradaic efficiencies of products and (D) total and partial current densities measured for Ag-3-NO<sub>2</sub>. Error bars represent standard deviation based on at least three independent measurements.

increasing overpotential, accounting for nearly all of the total current density; the partial current density for  $H_2$  ( $J_{H_2}$ ) remained constant as the overpotential was increased, indicating that the HER is suppressed by the imidazolium ligands (Fig. 3D). The higher  $J_{CO}$  values measured for **Ag-3-NO<sub>2</sub>** reflect an increase in the intrinsic activity of the catalyst following surface functionalization (Fig. 3D, S58†), which is evident across the full potential range in comparison with **Ag-OLAM**.

Furthermore, the onset potentials in linear-sweep voltammograms for the hybrids were approximately 150 mV more positive in comparison with **Ag-OLAM** (Fig. S59†), showing that there is an improvement in the required overpotential for  $CO_2$ RR.

Considering all of these observations, we conclude that: (i) the imidazolium group is key in promoting  $CO_2$ RR vs. HER; (ii) changing the anchoring group has only a moderate impact on performance, although the  $NO_2$  group yields the best selectivity; and (iii) modulating the tail length is crucial and there is an optimal tail length for performance optimization.

The important role of the imidazolium heterocycle is consistent with our initial hypothesis and with previous studies on ionic liquids.<sup>27,29-33</sup> After these experiments, we are able to comment in more detail on the role of the imidazolium substituents (*i.e.* the anchor and tail groups), and we are also able to clarify one important aspect of the reaction mechanism. The non-innocence of the imidazolium 2-position has been evoked in several studies to explain the promoting effects of this compound.<sup>26,31</sup> Specifically, the reductive deprotonation or dehydrohalogenation of the imidazolium group during electrolysis has been postulated, which generates an N-heterocyclic carbene *in situ* that reacts further with  $CO_2$ .<sup>26,48,49</sup> We found that explicitly preventing the formation of a carbene, using an analogue of **3-NO<sub>2</sub>** that was methylated at the imidazolium 2-position (**3b-NO<sub>2</sub>**), resulted in equivalent  $CO_2$ RR performance (Fig. S60†), proving that this position is not always involved in the reaction mechanism, which is consistent with various studies on ionic liquids.<sup>29,50</sup>

Having investigated the  $CO_2$ RR performance of the hybrid NC catalysts, we set out to better understand how the different anchor and tail groups influence the catalysis through more detailed characterization.

### Electronic influence of the anchor groups

Changes in the electronic structure of the silver surface were anticipated on varying the ligand anchor groups, as they are chemically very different. The anchor groups are also likely to span different binding energies with the surface, where we assume the following order:  $-NO_2 > -CN > -CO_2H > -SCH_3$  (with  $NO_2$  being the strongest bound ligand). We carried out X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to investigate the electronic structure of the hybrid catalysts. Fig. 4 and S61† clearly show that all of the cationic imidazolium ligands shift the Ag 3d peaks to lower binding energies with respect to **Ag-OLAM**, which for Ag implies that the surface is more electron poor.<sup>51,52</sup> In addition, the N 1s peaks of the free ligands had all moved to lower binding energies after anchoring to the Ag surface (Fig. S62†), indicating a transfer of electron density from the




Fig. 4 (A) X-ray photoelectron spectra for **Ag-OLAM** and hybrid catalysts bearing octyl tail-groups, showing shifts in the Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> peaks. (B) Correlation of these XPS shifts with the  $CO_2$ RR performance, described by  $J_{CO}$ , where larger shifts in the Ag XPS peaks are accompanied by a greater improvement in the performance.

metal to the ligand. The decreased electron density on Ag is also consistent with the red shifts of the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) peaks observed in the UV-vis spectra (Fig. S63†). In general, the XPS shifts are quite small, yet they can be accounted for by the ligands. Using Hammett parameters of the different functionalities in the anchor groups as descriptors of their electronic properties, we found that the observed shifts in the Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> peaks correlated very well, confirming that the electronic density at the Ag surface is tuned by the different functional groups in the ligands (Fig. S64†). Additionally, the N 1s XP spectra confirmed that OLAM is not present on any of the hybrid AgNCs, *i.e.* the ligand exchange is complete in all cases.

In relation to the catalytic performance, we observed that the specific activity towards  $CO_2$ RR (*i.e.*  $J_{CO}$ , Fig. 4B) is linearly correlated with the Ag XPS shift. This highlights how the anchor groups tune the catalyst activity through modification of the AgNC electronic structure. Furthermore, it is now apparent that the **3-NO<sub>2</sub>** ligand gave the best  $CO_2$ RR performance partly due to electronic reasons. Our observation that an electron poor Ag surface promotes the  $CO_2$ RR is contrary to what is expected based on the literature, where electron-rich metal surfaces have instead been shown to promote the reaction; in those cases, the ligands were charge-neutral.<sup>21,22</sup> This contradiction might be due to the convolution of electronic influences from the anchor groups and the presence of the cationic  $CO_2$  capturing group, which makes the surface more electron deficient, but still attracts  $CO_2$  to the surface. Furthermore, future theoretical studies will aid in determining whether the anchoring group plays any additional role as a co-catalyst in the  $CO_2$  reduction pathway.

When looking at the impact of the tail length on the position of the Ag 3d<sub>5/2</sub> peaks, the shifts do not follow a linear relationship with the length of the tail, nor the catalyst activity (Fig. S61†). This indicates that the tail lengths do not induce changes in performance due to electronic reasons, which led us to investigate the nature of the interaction with the surrounding solvent.



## Tuning the solid/liquid interface with tail groups

Only a few studies in the literature have acknowledged the importance of tail lengths in controlling the NC catalytic activity. The general conclusion is that the shortest tails impart the best activities as they do not impede interaction of the surface with the reactants.<sup>45,53</sup> Turning to the studies on imidazolium-based ionic liquids, the influence of the *N*-alkyl chain length is still unclear and conflicting results have been reported.<sup>31,32</sup> One should consider that in ionic liquids, changing the alkyl chain length will impact  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility and viscosity, and thus also mass transport in the electrolyte. These issues are avoided by anchoring the organic additives on the catalyst surface.

In our hybrids, the trend in intrinsic activity, which is represented by  $J_{\text{CO}}$ , evidently decreases as the tail length increases (Fig. S55†). However, the trend in selectivity, represented by  $\text{FE}_{\text{CO}}$ , is quite unique as it reaches a maximum value at intermediate tail lengths. As the hydrocarbon tail lengths in the hybrids increase, two effects must be considered in the case of the  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$ . First of all, the surface becomes more hydrophobic, which should suppress the HER. At the same time, the increased steric hindrance reduces the permeability of the ligand shell to the reactants, which includes both water and  $\text{CO}_2$ . Thus, an interplay of these two factors must explain the observed electrocatalytic selectivity.

We carried out  $\zeta$ -potential measurements to determine if these rationales were quantifiable, using dilute suspensions of imidazolium-containing hybrid AgNCs in 0.1 M  $\text{KHCO}_3$ . A linear dependence on the ligand tail length was identified (Fig. S65 and Table S2†). We reason that steric hindrance is responsible for this trend. For hybrid AgNCs containing methyl, octyl and trityl tail groups, there is a higher concentration of ions in the diffuse layer surrounding the NCs, giving higher particle mobilities and therefore higher  $\zeta$ -potentials (Fig. 5A). In contrast, the longer hexadecyl tail-groups extend so far away from the charged particle surface and Stern layer that the concentration of ions in the diffuse layer is significantly decreased, thereby diminishing the particle mobility and  $\zeta$ -potential. This effectively describes how the increasing sterics of the ligand reduce the permeability of the ligand shell around the NCs.

Plotting the  $\zeta$ -potential values *vs.*  $\text{FE}_{\text{CO}}$  for the nitro-containing ligands as an exemplificative case revealed a volcano-type trend with the peak corresponding to the octyl tail (Fig. 5B). This result illustrates that steric hindrance is not the only parameter playing a role in the catalysis, otherwise a linear trend would have been observed. As the tail length increases, hydrophobicity increases as well. Making the surface hydrophobic selectively repels water, thus reducing HER. Nevertheless, when attempting to make the surface extremely hydrophobic with very long tail groups, the increased sterics dominate, thereby also inhibiting the interaction between  $\text{CO}_2$  and the imidazolium groups buried near the surface. The length of the ligand tail group is therefore important in balancing sterics and hydrophobicity, and in turn modulating the catalyst performance. These conclusions are also in agreement with




Fig. 5 (A) Representation of how ligand tail-length modulates the solid/liquid interface: grey circle = AgNC; green shell = Stern layer/ligand shell; red shell = diffuse layer; blue dots = ions or  $\text{CO}_2$  molecules above the AgNC, the concentrations of which are higher when shorter tail groups are present. (B) Correlation of  $\text{FE}_{\text{CO}}$ , ligand length and  $\zeta$ -potential; the latter was measured for colloidal suspensions in 0.1 M  $\text{KHCO}_3$ . Error bars represent standard deviation based on at least three independent measurements; the green curve provides an aid to the eye. The  $\zeta$ -potential of 1-NO<sub>2</sub> could not be measured in  $\text{KHCO}_3$ , but its  $\text{FE}_{\text{CO}}$  is represented by the horizontal red line for comparison.

previous research on ionic liquids,<sup>54</sup> where it was found that longer tail lengths reduce the  $\text{CO}_2$  solubility, and with a recent study on surface-treated copper,<sup>55</sup> where proper tuning of the hydrophobicity of the surface modifiers was discovered to play a role in  $\text{CO}_2\text{RR}$  selectivity.

## Catalyst stability

Analysis of the catalyst and the electrolyte after 1 hour electrolysis at  $-1.1$  V *vs.* RHE confirmed that the imidazolium ligands remain intact on the Ag surface throughout the






Fig. 6 Overview of the action of imidazolium ligands on a AgNC surface during the CO<sub>2</sub>RR.

electrochemical reaction. Direct measurement of the catalyst film by XPS revealed all of the characteristic peaks for the imidazolium ligand (Fig. S66†). Recovery of the AgNC hybrids in d<sub>6</sub>-DMSO produced a greyish, stable suspension, allowing <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of the catalyst post-electrolysis (Fig. S67†). A number of aromatic <sup>1</sup>H resonances were observed at similar chemical shifts as the pre-electrolysis sample, including the characteristic resonance at 9.24 ppm for the imidazolium 2-position.

SEM imaging of the AgNC hybrids revealed that all turn into nanocorals, which is consistent with previous work<sup>56</sup> and with the high mobility of metallic NCs on glassy carbon substrates (Fig. S68†). Similar nanocorals were obtained from ligands bearing different anchoring groups. Transformation into nanocoral structures occurs immediately, being observed after just 10 minutes of CO<sub>2</sub>RR electrolysis, and does not change any further after an additional 1 hour electrolysis. This is an important observation as we can then assume that the surface area is constant throughout the CO<sub>2</sub>RR. In addition, post-functionalization of a pre-formed nanocoral structure from the Ag-OLAM NCs resulted in performance which was equivalent to those of the same ligand-exchanged NCs. This result validates that the change in catalyst morphology is not crucial to performance.

Analysis of the catholyte by ICP-OES following electrolysis revealed that no detectable amounts of Ag detach from the electrode during catalysis. Similarly, no <sup>1</sup>H NMR ligand resonances were detected in the aromatic region for a catholyte sample (Fig. S69†). By mass-spectrometric analysis of the catholyte, a trace amount of ligand was detected, but the apparent concentration is minuscule, consistent with their insolubility in the aqueous electrolyte used in this work.

## Conclusions

We have synthesized a series of new imidazolium compounds and have developed a route to preparing colloidal suspensions

of organic/inorganic hybrid NCs. Through functionalization of the surface of AgNCs, we have systematically explored how the hybrids perform as electrocatalysts for the CO<sub>2</sub>RR, identifying key design criteria for imidazolium-based ligands, which are summarized in Fig. 6. First of all, it is evident that imidazolium groups improve CO<sub>2</sub>RR selectivity, which we attribute to their interaction with CO<sub>2</sub> that concentrates this reactant above the surface. Secondly, the chemical nature of the anchor groups and the electronic changes that they induce appear to have only a relatively minor influence on the catalyst performance. Most importantly, the length of the ligand tail-group is key to tuning the hydrophobicity of the surface, thereby further modulating the selectivity of the catalyst by suppressing the HER. Contrary to common belief, that shorter tails are preferable, we find that tail groups of intermediate lengths instead lead to higher CO<sub>2</sub>RR selectivity. Ultimately, the steric demands of extremely long tails hinder the approach of all reactants, thereby reducing the overall activity and selectivity.

In comparison with related catalysts from the literature, the hybrid AgNCs reported here are competitive in terms of CO selectivity. Silver nanocorals prepared electrochemically from foils display high FE<sub>CO</sub> values of 95% and specific activities of 162  $\mu$ A cm<sup>-2</sup> at lower potentials than reported here (−0.6 V vs. RHE).<sup>57</sup> Similarly, oxide-derived Ag catalysts display *ca.* 80% selectivity for CO.<sup>58</sup> 10 nm AgNCs anchored on carbon-black with a cysteamine anchoring agent produce 65% CO at −1.1 V vs. RHE.<sup>59</sup> In comparison, our catalysts are 92% selective for CO at −1.1 V with a specific activity of 256  $\mu$ A cm<sup>-2</sup>. Interestingly, the hybrid catalysts reported here display similar current densities at related potentials in comparison with Ag electrodes in the presence of imidazolium additives.<sup>29</sup> In comparison with Ag<sub>2</sub>S nanowires in pure ionic-liquid electrolyte, the FE<sub>CO</sub> values of our hybrids are equivalent or higher, but the current densities are much lower.<sup>60</sup>

In summary, by highlighting the importance of synthetic design of tunable organic/inorganic platforms, our study makes a further contribution to the rapidly expanding body of work on heterogeneous catalysis that is benefiting from molecular chemistry approaches. The straightforward ligand exchange procedure that we have used also makes these ligands applicable to more complex catalysts in the future.

## Experimental

### General procedures

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were recorded using a FEI Tecnai-Spirit at 120 kV. Samples were prepared by dropping hexane solutions of the nanocrystals onto carbon-coated copper TEM grids (Ted Pella, Inc.). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded using a FEI Teneo microscope using an inlens (Trinity) detector at a beam energy of 2 kV and a beam current of 13 pA. Samples were prepared on glassy carbon substrates. Size statistics were performed using ImageJ software by counting at least 100 NCs per sample.

Inductively-coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) measurements were carried out using an Agilent 5100 model to determine the Ag concentration in catalyst stock



solutions. Five standard solutions of Ag were prepared to obtain calibration curves used to determine the concentrations of the digested nanocrystal solution. The sample solution was prepared by digesting the nanocrystals in 70% high-purity HNO<sub>3</sub>; deionized water was then added to dilute the acid concentration to 2% for analysis.

X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded using a PHI VersaProbe II scanning XPS microprobe (Physical Electronics Inc., USA) with a monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  X-ray source operating at 50 W under ultrahigh vacuum conditions. Spectra were referenced at 284.8 eV using the C-C bound of the C 1s line. Samples were prepared by drop-casting nanocrystal films onto clean Si substrates; hybrid AgNC samples were prepared by carrying out ligand exchange directly on these films.

Zeta-potential measurements were carried out using a Malvern Panalytical Zetasizer nano-range instrument, using disposable zeta-potential cells. Hybrid AgNCs were prepared on Si substrates and recovered in DMSO, ensuring equivalent Ag concentrations for each sample. DMSO suspensions were then diluted in 0.1 M KHCO<sub>3</sub> solutions previously purified with Chelex resin. Zeta-potential distribution plots were measured at 25 °C in triplicate; no changes in the plots were observed over the three measurements, indicating that the colloidal suspensions were stable.

### Synthetic procedures

Spherical AgNCs (10–12 nm diameter) were synthesized according to reported colloidal procedures with high reproducibility of particle size and distribution.<sup>47</sup>

**General synthesis of *N*-alkyl-imidazole compounds.** Imidazole (1 eq.) was dissolved in a mixture of THF and methanol (100 mL per 3 mmol imidazole, 7 : 3 v/v). NaOH (1.05 eq.) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The alkyl-halide (0.95 eq.) was added at room temperature and the mixture was then heated at reflux (60 °C) for 16 hours; the solvent was then evaporated, typically yielding oils. The crude product was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and washed three times with water to remove NaBr, unreacted NaOH and imidazole. The organic fractions were collected and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was evaporated, yielding the final product.

**General synthesis of disubstituted imidazolium bromide compounds.** A solution of the 4-(bromomethyl)benzyl compound (1 eq.) in acetone (10 mL per 4 mmol) was stirred in a vial. A second solution of *N*-alkyl-imidazole (1 eq.) in acetone (2 mL per 4 mmol) was drop-wise added. After stirring for 6 hours, white solids generally precipitated from the solution. The solvent was evaporated, yielding waxy solids or oils that were purified either by: precipitation from ethanol using hexane as an anti-solvent; or suspending the crude material in hexane and centrifuging to separate the product from the supernatant.

### Ligand exchange on AgNCs

Ag-OLAM NC suspensions were first drop-cast onto supporting substrates, using Eppendorf microliter pipettes and hexane as

the solvent. Solutions of the ligands were prepared from 50 : 50 mixtures of hexane and ethanol, or hexane and acetone, using a ligand concentration of 3 mM. The AgNC films were submerged in the ligand solution for 5 minutes, removed and air-dried. The hybrid AgNC films were then dipped into clean ethanol three times, holding the substrate vertically to allow the solvent to run off from the film. After the third rinse, the films were left to air-dry for a few minutes. This process was carried out on Si substrates to prepare samples for characterization, and was carried out on glassy carbon electrodes to prepare the electrocatalyst films.

### Electrochemical procedures

For testing of the nanocrystal electrocatalysts, a poly(methyl methacrylate) electrochemical cell was used (schematic shown in Fig. S1†). A Pt foil was used as the counter electrode and glassy-carbon plates (2.5 × 2.5 cm<sup>2</sup>, type 2, Alfa Aesar) were used as working electrodes. Selemion anion-exchange membranes (AGC Engineering) were used to separate the cathode and anode compartments. The exposed surface areas of the working and counter electrodes were approximately 1.5 cm<sup>2</sup>. A Ag/AgCl reference electrode (Innovative Instruments, leak-free series) was positioned near the working electrode using an ethylene tetrafluoroethylene (ETFE) nut and Nano-Tight™ sleeve (from IDEX). Copper foils acted as contact electrodes to connect the cell to the potentiostat (Biologic SP-300). CO<sub>2</sub> gas (Air Liquide) was sparged through 6 × 8 mm<sup>2</sup> frits (Adams & Chittenden) from the bottom of the cell in both anode and cathode compartments at a rate of 5 sccm (regulated with a Bronkhorst gas flow-controller). The gas was vented from the anode compartment to the atmosphere, whilst the gas from the cathode compartment directly entered a gas chromatograph for analysis (SRI). For liquid product analysis, a high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC) on an UltiMate 3000 instrument from Thermo Scientific was used. Chelex resin was used to purify the electrolyte (0.1 M KHCO<sub>3</sub>, pH 6.8) from trace metal impurities. Ohmic drop was determined prior to each experiment using potentiometric electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (Fig. S2†). After each CO<sub>2</sub>RR experiment, all measured currents were normalized by the electrochemically active surface area, which was determined for each sample from the electrochemical double-layer capacitance (Fig. S3†).

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr Natalia Gasilova for mass spectrometry and help with  $\zeta$ -potential measurements, Dr Gian Luca de Gregorio for HPLC measurements, Chethana J. Gadiya and Valeria Mantella for ICP measurements, as well as Valeria Mantella and Pranit Iyengar for miscellaneous TEM measurements. This work was supported by the European Research



Council Starting Grant ERC-HYCAT under agreement number 715634.

## Notes and references

- 1 G. Chen, C. Xu, X. Huang, J. Ye, L. Gu, G. Li, Z. Tang, B. Wu, H. Yang, Z. Zhao, Z. Zhou, G. Fu and N. Zheng, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 564–569.
- 2 Y. Wang, X.-K. Wan, L. Ren, H. Su, G. Li, S. Malola, S. Lin, Z. Tang, H. Häkkinen, B. K. Teo, Q.-M. Wang and N. Zheng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3278–3281.
- 3 V. Udumula, J. H. Tyler, D. A. Davis, H. Wang, M. R. Linford, P. S. Minson and D. J. Michaelis, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 3457–3462.
- 4 H. Tsunoyama, N. Ichikuni, H. Sakurai and T. Tsukuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 7086–7093.
- 5 C. Amiens, D. Ciuculescu-Pradines and K. Philippot, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **308**, 409–432.
- 6 Z. Han, F. Qiu, R. Eisenberg, P. L. Holland and T. D. Krauss, *Science*, 2012, **338**, 1321–1324.
- 7 M. S. Kodaimati, K. P. McClelland, C. He, S. Lian, Y. Jiang, Z. Zhang and E. A. Weiss, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 3659–3670.
- 8 E. A. Weiss, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **2**, 1005–1013.
- 9 Z.-Y. Zhou, X. Kang, Y. Song and S. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 10592–10598.
- 10 E. E. Benson, H. Zhang, S. A. Schuman, S. U. Nanayakkara, N. D. Bronstein, S. Ferrere, J. L. Blackburn and E. M. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 441–450.
- 11 D. A. Henckel, O. M. Lenz, K. M. Krishnan and B. M. Cossairt, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 2329–2335.
- 12 D. Ung and B. M. Cossairt, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **2**, 1642–1645.
- 13 Z. W. Seh, J. Kibsgaard, C. F. Dickens, I. Chorkendorff, J. K. Nørskov and T. F. Jaramillo, *Science*, 2017, **355**, eaad4998.
- 14 J. H. Montoya, L. C. Seitz, P. Chakthranont, A. Vojvodic, T. F. Jaramillo and J. K. Nørskov, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 70–81.
- 15 F. Marques Mota and D. H. Kim, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 205–259.
- 16 X. Liu, J. Xiao, H. Peng, X. Hong, K. Chan and J. K. Nørskov, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15438.
- 17 D. Gao, R. M. Arán-Ais, H. S. Jeon and B. Roldan Cuenya, *Nat. Catal.*, 2019, **2**, 198–210.
- 18 C. Costentin, S. Drouet, M. Robert and J.-M. Savéant, *Science*, 2012, **338**, 90–94.
- 19 R. Angamuthu, P. Byers, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek and E. Bouwman, *Science*, 2010, **327**, 313–315.
- 20 B. D. Steffey, C. J. Curtis and D. L. DuBois, *Organometallics*, 1995, **14**, 4937–4943.
- 21 Z. Cao, J. S. Derrick, J. Xu, R. Gao, M. Gong, E. M. Nichols, P. T. Smith, X. Liu, X. Wen, C. Copéret and C. J. Chang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4981–4985.
- 22 Z. Cao, D. Kim, D. Hong, Y. Yu, J. Xu, S. Lin, X. Wen, E. M. Nichols, K. Jeong, J. A. Reimer, P. Yang and C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8120–8125.
- 23 A. Maurin and M. Robert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 2492–2495.
- 24 Z. Wang, L. Wu, K. Sun, T. Chen, Z. Jiang, T. Cheng and W. A. Goddard, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 3057–3061.
- 25 M. Cho, J. T. Song, S. Back, Y. Jung and J. Oh, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 1178–1185.
- 26 J. Tamura, A. Ono, Y. Sugano, C. Huang, H. Nishizawa and S. Mikoshiba, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 26072–26078.
- 27 Z. Han, R. Kortlever, H.-Y. Chen, J. C. Peters and T. Agapie, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2017, **3**, 853–859.
- 28 S. Oh, J. R. Gallagher, J. T. Miller and Y. Surendranath, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 1820–1823.
- 29 G. P. S. Lau, M. Schreier, D. Vasilyev, R. Scopelliti, M. Grätzel and P. J. Dyson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7820–7823.
- 30 B. A. Rosen, A. Salehi-Khojin, M. R. Thorson, W. Zhu, D. T. Whipple, P. J. A. Kenis and R. I. Masel, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 643–644.
- 31 S.-F. Zhao, M. Horne, A. M. Bond and J. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 23989–24001.
- 32 H.-K. Lim, Y. Kwon, H. S. Kim, J. Jeon, Y.-H. Kim, J.-A. Lim, B.-S. Kim, J. Choi and H. Kim, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 2420–2427.
- 33 Y. Oh and X. Hu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 2253–2261.
- 34 A. Loiudice, P. Lobaccaro, E. A. Kamali, T. Thao, B. H. Huang, J. W. Ager and R. Buonsanti, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5789–5792.
- 35 E. L. Clark, S. Ringe, M. Tang, A. Walton, C. Hahn, T. F. Jaramillo, K. Chan and A. T. Bell, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 4006–4014.
- 36 J. Huang and R. Buonsanti, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 13–25.
- 37 J. Huang, M. Mensi, E. Oveisi, V. Mantella and R. Buonsanti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 2490–2499.
- 38 H. S. Jeon, S. Kunze, F. Scholten and B. Roldan Cuenya, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 531–535.
- 39 D. Kim, J. Resasco, Y. Yu, A. M. Asiri and P. Yang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 4948.
- 40 D. Kim, C. Xie, N. Becknell, Y. Yu, M. Karamad, K. Chan, E. J. Crumlin, J. K. Nørskov and P. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8329–8336.
- 41 K. Manthiram, B. J. Beberwyck and A. P. Alivisatos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13319–13325.
- 42 S. B. Varandili, J. Huang, E. Oveisi, G. L. De Gregorio, M. Mensi, M. Strach, J. Vavra, C. Gadiyar, A. Bhowmik and R. Buonsanti, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 5035–5046.
- 43 P. Iyengar, J. Huang, G. L. De Gregorio, C. Gadiyar and R. Buonsanti, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 8796–8799.
- 44 T. Burdyny and W. A. Smith, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2019, **12**, 1442–1453.
- 45 S. B. Chu, T. Fukushima and Y. Surendranath, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 495–498.
- 46 Z. Xi, F. Liu, Y. Zhou and W. Chen, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 4254–4259.
- 47 S. Peng, J. M. McMahon, G. C. Schatz, S. K. Gray and Y. Sun, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 14530–14534.
- 48 B. A. Rosen, J. L. Haan, P. Mukherjee, B. Braunschweig, W. Zhu, A. Salehi-Khojin, D. D. Dlott and R. I. Masel, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 15307–15312.



49 M. Feroci, I. Chiarotto, G. Forte, S. Vecchio Ciprioti and A. Inesi, *ChemElectroChem*, 2014, **1**, 1407–1414.

50 J. D. Watkins and A. B. Bocarsly, *ChemSusChem*, 2014, **7**, 284–290.

51 S. K. Sengar, B. R. Mehta and Govind, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2014, **115**, 124301.

52 I. A. Abrikosov, W. Olovsson and B. Johansson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, **87**, 176403.

53 Z. Zhang, K. Edme, S. Lian and E. A. Weiss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4246–4249.

54 M. S. Shannon, J. M. Tedstone, S. P. O. Danielsen, M. S. Hindman, A. C. Irvin and J. E. Bara, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **51**, 5565–5576.

55 A. K. Buckley, M. Lee, T. Cheng, R. V. Kazantsev, D. M. Larson, W. A. Goddard III, F. D. Toste and F. M. Toma, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 7355–7364.

56 K. Manthiram, Y. Surendranath and A. P. Alivisatos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7237–7240.

57 Y.-C. Hsieh, S. D. Senanayake, Y. Zhang, W. Xu and D. E. Polyansky, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 5349–5356.

58 M. Ma, B. J. Trześniewski, J. Xie and W. A. Smith, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9748–9752.

59 C. Kim, H. S. Jeon, T. Eom, M. S. Jee, H. Kim, C. M. Friend, B. K. Min and Y. J. Hwang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13844–13850.

60 S. Liu, H. Tao, Q. Liu, Z. Xu, Q. Liu and J.-L. Luo, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 1469–1475.

