Modulating the surface defects of titanium oxides and consequent reactivity of Pt catalysts†
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In heterogeneous catalysis, it is widely believed that the surface states of catalyst supports can strongly influence the catalytic performance, because active components are generally anchored on supports. This paper describes a detailed understanding of the influence of surface defects of TiO2 supports on the catalytic properties of Pt catalysts. Pt was deposited on reduced (r-), hydroxylated (h-), and oxidized (o-) TiO2 surfaces, respectively, and the different surface states of TiO2 not only lead to differences in metal dispersion, but also distinct electronic interactions between the metal and the support. The highest reactivity for catalytic CO oxidation can be achieved over the Pt catalyst supported on reduced TiO2 with surface oxygen vacancies. The turnover frequency (TOF) of this catalyst is determined to be ~11 times higher than that of Pt supported on oxidized TiO2. More importantly, the reactivity is seen to increase in the sequence of Pt/o-TiO2 < Pt/h-TiO2 < Pt/r-TiO2, which is well consistent with the trend of the calculated Bader charge of Pt.

Recently, several related studies on this issue have been published. Jia and Si et al. discovered that the surface states of iron oxide supports, hydroxylated or dehydrated, play an important role in the performance of Au/FeOx in CO oxidation.17 Similarly, Wang et al. compared the performance of Au single atoms on perfect and defective TiO2 nanosheet supports, in which the defective one shows a better performance in CO oxidation for a lower energy barrier and weaker competitive adsorption.18 Furthermore, the study of Christopher et al. provides a detailed understanding of the structure–performance relationship of atomically dispersed catalysts.19,20 They show that not only the intrinsic properties of metal atoms, but also the local coordination environment plays a pivotal role in the performance of catalysts.

Surface defects are ubiquitous in many materials and can affect their physical and chemical properties significantly. For example, Xie et al. showed that the Zn vacancies of ZnIn2S4 mediate the electron–hole separation efficiency and boost the reactivity of CO2 reduction.21 Surface science studies have shown that the surface defects of supports will affect the binding strength of metal atoms on them. For example, Thornton et al. recently unraveled the binding sites of Au atoms on a reduced TiO2 (110) substrate, and found that bridging oxygen vacancies are the preferential anchoring sites for Au atoms.22 Nevertheless, Buratto et al. discovered that the Au atoms on oxygen vacancies can be easily replaced by water molecules.23 Besenbacher et al. demonstrated that oxygen adatoms bind metal atoms stronger than oxygen vacancies.13–15

As one of the most frequently used supports in heterogeneous catalysis, reducible oxides show a diversity of point...
defects at surfaces, such as oxygen vacancies, hydroxyls, oxygen adatoms, etc. It has been demonstrated that these defects are fragile, environmentally sensitive and facile to transform from one to another. For example, the oxygen vacancies at the surface of many oxides can interact with water molecules and easily break them into two hydrosol groups.\textsuperscript{44–48} Upon annealing treatment in a vacuum or a reductive atmosphere, hydroxyls will recombine into water again, forming a reduced surface with oxygen vacancies.\textsuperscript{49} Consequently, direct studies of the influence of a specific kind of surface defect on the catalytic reactivity remain a great challenge, in particular for catalysts supported on oxide nanoparticles.

This paper focuses on the influence of different surface defects of TiO\textsubscript{2} supports on the catalytic properties of Pt catalysts, because Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} has been extensively used in heterogeneous catalysis, photocatalytic water splitting, and electrochemistry.\textsuperscript{50–54} By finely tuning the surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2}, we prepare Pt catalysts deposited on reduced (r-), hydroxylated (h-) and oxidized (o-) surfaces, respectively. It is shown that the surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2} not only influence the degree of metal dispersion, but also change the electronic metal-support interactions which affect the catalytic properties of Pt directly.

Results and discussion

Modulating the surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2} supports

TiO\textsubscript{2} supports with different surface states were prepared in a specific way. The detailed preparation process is given in Fig. S1.\textsuperscript{†} First, commercial rutile TiO\textsubscript{2} was calcined in Ar and O\textsubscript{2} to remove contaminants, such as carbonate and moisture. To prepare a reduced surface, TiO\textsubscript{2} was treated in an Ar atmosphere at 700 °C for 1 h.\textsuperscript{35} Upon the exposure of r-TiO\textsubscript{2} to water vapor at 130 °C for 1 h, a hydroxylated surface can be obtained. At this temperature, the molecular water should be desorbed.\textsuperscript{36} On the other hand, oxidized TiO\textsubscript{2} was prepared by exposing r-TiO\textsubscript{2} to O\textsubscript{2} at room temperature. X-ray diffraction (XRD) results (Fig. 1A and Table S1)\textsuperscript{†} show the same peak position and peak shape over the different TiO\textsubscript{2} supports, indicating that their bulk structures are the same. Additionally, the E\textsubscript{g} peak and full width at half maximum (FWHM) in visible Raman spectra (Fig. 1B and Table S2)\textsuperscript{†} do not change after the hydroxylation and oxidation of reduced TiO\textsubscript{2}, which also indicates that the bulk states of the different TiO\textsubscript{2} samples should be the same.\textsuperscript{37,44}

To understand the surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2}, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was carried out (Fig. 1C). The binding energy (BE) of XPS Ti 2p\textsubscript{3/2} on hydroxylated and oxidized surfaces is 459.3 eV, which can be assigned to Ti\textsuperscript{4+}.\textsuperscript{39–41} Over reduced TiO\textsubscript{2}, a small shoulder peak at a lower BE of 457.5 eV is observed, which indicates the presence of the Ti\textsuperscript{3+} state on the reduced surface.\textsuperscript{39,41} When r-TiO\textsubscript{2} was exposed to water vapor, a small shoulder peak at a higher BE of the 0 1s peak appears (Fig. S2A), suggesting the formation of surface hydroxyl groups via H\textsubscript{2}O dissociation at oxygen vacancies.\textsuperscript{41} Upon the exposure of r-TiO\textsubscript{2} to O\textsubscript{2}, the oxygen vacancies should be filled and oxygen adatoms will be generated on the top of regular Ti\textsubscript{5c} sites (Fig. S2B).\textsuperscript{†} The dissociation mechanism of O\textsubscript{2} on TiO\textsubscript{2} was clarified in previous investigations.\textsuperscript{42–44} The disappearance of the XPS Ti\textsuperscript{3+} peak on h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and o-TiO\textsubscript{2} further indicates that the dissociative adsorption of water and O\textsubscript{2} can occur at oxygen vacancies.

Preparation of Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts

To prepare Pt catalysts on different supports, the pre-treated TiO\textsubscript{2} was transferred into a glove box filled with Ar, and thus the surface states of pre-treated TiO\textsubscript{2} will remain unchanged. To exclude the influence of O\textsubscript{2}, water and other contaminants in air during the preparation process, Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts were also synthesized in a glove box under the protection of an Ar atmosphere.

The influence of surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2} on the dispersion of Pt catalysts was determined by chemisorption, high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) and XPS measurements. The CO chemisorption and H\textsubscript{2}–O\textsubscript{2} titration results suggest that the dispersion of Pt catalysts is highest on r-TiO\textsubscript{2}, followed by Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2} (Tables 1 and S3).\textsuperscript{†} From HAADF-STEM studies (Fig. 2), it was found that the Pt nanoparticles on r-TiO\textsubscript{2} present uniform size distribution with an average diameter of 1.19 nm. In contrast, larger Pt nanoparticles are observed on h-TiO\textsubscript{2} (1.56 nm) and o-TiO\textsubscript{2} (1.61 nm). For the size distribution of catalysts after reaction (1.46 ± 0.36 nm, 1.46 ± 0.39 nm and 1.61 ± 0.43 nm for Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2}, Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, respectively), only a small variation of particle size can be observed. From XPS investigations (Table
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**Fig. 1** Bulk and surface properties of TiO\textsubscript{2} supports. (A) XRD patterns of different TiO\textsubscript{2} supports; (B) Raman spectra of different TiO\textsubscript{2} supports; (C) XPS Ti 2p peaks of different TiO\textsubscript{2} supports. The inset in (C) shows the Ti\textsuperscript{3+} species on reduced TiO\textsubscript{2}.
S4†), it was found that the Pt/r-TiO₂ catalyst shows a stronger normalized peak area of Pt 4f/Ti 2p than Pt/h-TiO₂ and Pt/o-TiO₂, indicating that the Pt nanoparticles are more highly dispersed on r-TiO₂. The results from H₂–O₂ titration, CO chemisorption, HAADF-STEM and XPS are well consistent with each other, which illustrates that the dispersion of Pt catalysts increases in the sequence of Pt/o-TiO₂ < Pt/h-TiO₂ < Pt/r-TiO₂.

### Catalytic performance

The reactivity to CO oxidation was comparatively studied over the different catalysts (Fig. 3A). Not surprisingly, the best reactivity is observed on Pt/r-TiO₂. With this catalyst, 50% CO conversion takes place at 87.6 °C. In contrast, the Pt/h-TiO₂ and Pt/o-TiO₂ catalysts exhibit worse performance, in which 50% CO conversion occurs at 102.3 and 126.3 °C, respectively. It is noteworthy that a similar trend of CO oxidation reactivity can be observed over the catalysts with different concentrations of surface defects, which are prepared through different treatment times (Fig. 3S and S4†).

The kinetic measurement of CO oxidation was further conducted. It can be found that the specific rate of Pt/r-TiO₂ to CO oxidation is ~2.8 and ~28 times higher than that of Pt/h-TiO₂ and Pt/o-TiO₂, respectively (Table 1). In addition, the turnover frequency (TOF) values based on the surface area (TOFa) and the perimeter length of Pt nanoparticles (TOFb) are shown in Tables 1 and S3.† The Pt/r-TiO₂ catalyst shows a TOFa of 0.142 s⁻¹ and a TOFb of 2.002 s⁻¹, while the Pt/h-TiO₂ and Pt/o-TiO₂ catalysts exhibit much lower values. For the CO oxidation reaction occurring over noble metal catalysts, many previous studies suggested that the reaction obeys the Mars–van Krevelen (M–vK) mechanism on reducible catalysts or the Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) mechanism on non-reducible catalysts.30,45–47 The reaction order test shows that the orders of CO and O₂ on different catalysts are almost the same, negative for CO and near to zero for O₂ (Fig. S5†), which is consistent with the M–vK mechanism. Furthermore, the comparable apparent activation energy (Ea) derived from the Arrhenius plot demonstrates that the CO oxidation on the different catalysts should follow the same reaction mechanism (Fig. 3B).

#### Electronic metal–support interactions

It has been generally agreed that supported Pt catalysts show low structure sensitivity for CO oxidation. For example, Iglesia and Lu et al. suggested that the catalytic properties of Pt/Al₂O₃ and Pt/TiO₂ catalysts for CO oxidation are independent of Pt cluster size.30,47 Although theoretical calculations and surface

### Table 1 Catalytic properties of different catalysts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Dispersiona</th>
<th>Specific rate × 10⁶ (mol CO/(g Pt-h))</th>
<th>TOFa × 10⁶ (s⁻¹)</th>
<th>TOFb × 10⁶ (s⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt/r-TiO₂</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>200.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/h-TiO₂</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>187.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/o-TiO₂</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>42.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The dispersion is tested by CO chemisorption. b The specific rate is derived from the CO conversion at 80 °C. c The TOFa is calculated based on the dispersion of metal nanoparticles. d The TOFb is calculated based on the length of the perimeter between Pt nanoparticles and TiO₂.

---

Fig. 2 HAADF-STEM and size distribution of Pt/TiO₂ catalysts. (A–C) and (D–F) are HAADF-STEM and size distribution of Pt/r-TiO₂, Pt/h-TiO₂ and Pt/o-TiO₂ before and after reaction, respectively.

Fig. 3 Reactivity, kinetic properties and DRIFTS of CO adsorption on Pt/TiO₂ catalysts. (A) Light-off curves of CO conversion on different catalysts. The CO oxidation was conducted with a gas composition of 1% CO, 20% O₂ and He balanced, keeping GHSV at 18 000 ml gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹. Each point is tested at a fixed temperature three times and then ramped to higher temperature. (B) Arrhenius plot of CO oxidation on different catalysts. (C) DRIFTS in CO oxidation (1% CO, 20% O₂ and He balanced) at 80 °C. The spectra were acquired after 5 minutes of reaction. (D) Plot of CO adsorption amount as a function of temperature in an Ar atmosphere. CO was pre-adsorbed at room temperature.
science experiments suggested that the reaction should be highly structure sensitive.\textsuperscript{66-70} Christopher et al. showed that CO-induced structure reconstruction of Pt nanoparticles mitigates the inherent structure sensitivity.\textsuperscript{71} Therefore, the observed reactivity difference of Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts can be attributed to the different electronic metal–support interactions.

From XPS studies of nano-sized materials, it was found that the final state effect will make BE shift to a higher position for smaller metal nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{72-75} Although the size of Pt nanoparticles on r-TiO\textsubscript{2} is smaller than that on h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, the BE of XPS Pt 4f\textsubscript{7/2} peaks from Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} is even $\sim$0.2 eV lower than that from Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. S6, S7 and Table S4\textsuperscript{†}). This indicates that Pt may accept more electrons from r-TiO\textsubscript{2} or transfer fewer electrons to r-TiO\textsubscript{2} compared to Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2} catalysts.

Diffuse reflection infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) of CO adsorption was also conducted to demonstrate the electronic metal–support interactions. It can be found that the better catalyst for CO oxidation shows a larger amount of CO adsorption in Kubelka–Munk units, which are assumed to be linearly related to the adsorbate coverage (Fig. 3C).\textsuperscript{4,55} Besides the larger CO adsorption amount, the Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst also presents $\sim$8$^\circ$C higher temperature for complete CO desorption compared to Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, which indicates that CO binds stronger with Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 3D). The higher CO coverage induced by electronic interactions should be detrimental to the reactivity of Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} in kinetic aspects due to the negative reaction order. However, Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} shows better reactivity than Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}. Therefore, it can be drawn that electronic interactions play a dominant role thermodynamically in reactivity modulation which is demonstrated by the DFT calculations.

**Theoretical calculations**

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations provide further insights into the electronic interactions between metals and supports, and their influence on catalytic reactivity. Bader charge analysis shows that the average charges of Pt on r-TiO\textsubscript{2}, h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and o-TiO\textsubscript{2} are determined to be +0.04, +0.08 and +0.10, respectively (Fig. S8\textsuperscript{†}), which agrees with XPS measurements.

The CO adsorbed at the interface of Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} is found to have a binding energy of 2.08 eV, which is 0.29 and 0.21 eV higher than those for the CO adsorbed at the interface of Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, respectively. This result is well consistent with the DRIFTS results. Furthermore, the reaction barriers of adsorbed CO with the lattice oxygen of TiO\textsubscript{2} (CO + O) were also calculated. Fig. 4 shows the energy barriers and TS geometries for CO oxidation on the different catalysts. It can be found that the energy barriers for the CO reaction are 0.89 eV, 0.96 eV and 1.04 eV on Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2}, Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, respectively. The barrier is consistent with $E_d$ derived from the kinetic test ($\sim$0.95 eV). However, compared with the reaction path on Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}, the route on Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} is energetically more favorable which is downhill for further CO\textsubscript{2} desorption and the free energy barrier of the CO oxidation process is also slightly favored. This indicates that Pt/r-TiO\textsubscript{2} should exhibit better reactivity for CO oxidation than Pt/h-TiO\textsubscript{2} and Pt/o-TiO\textsubscript{2}. Subsequently, the reaction barriers for CO oxidation on different Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} surfaces with oxygen vacancies (CO + O\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{vac}) were calculated (Fig. S9\textsuperscript{†}). It can be seen that the reaction barriers for CO + O\textsubscript{2} + O\textsubscript{vac} are much lower than those for CO + O over different catalysts.

**Conclusions**

Although it has been known that the surface states of supports should play an important role in the catalytic properties of catalysts, an understanding of the correlation between the surface states of supports and catalytic performance is still lacking, especially for real supported catalytic systems. Surface science investigations have shown that metal nanoparticles can be trapped at O adatoms and O vacancies on the TiO\textsubscript{2} (110) surface under ultra-high vacuum conditions.\textsuperscript{13-15,22} However, in practice, the influence of air atmosphere and the structural complexity has made direct studies of real supported catalysts very difficult. In the present study, the preparation of different catalysts was carried out under the protection of an Ar atmosphere, and thus the influence of active molecules (O\textsubscript{2}, H\textsubscript{2}O, etc.) in air can be excluded, but this was often ignored before.

In summary, we demonstrate how sensitively the catalytic performance of Pt catalysts depends on the surface states of TiO\textsubscript{2} supports. Before depositing Pt catalysts, different TiO\textsubscript{2} supports, including reduced, hydroxylated and oxidized types, were obtained via pre-treatments in different atmospheres. The
highest reactivity for CO oxidation was achieved using Pt catalysts supported on r-TiO₂, whereas worse performance was seen for the catalysts supported on h-TiO₂ and o-TiO₂. The established surface state–electronic structure–reactivity relationship clearly illustrates the important role of surface defects in catalytic performance and can be readily extended to many other metal/oxide catalytic systems.
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