# **Chemical Science**

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539



EDGE ARTICLE Wei Wang, Hua Cui *et al.* Rational design of functional materials guided by single particle chemiluminescence imaging

# **Chemical Science**



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 5444

**C** All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 25th February 2019 Accepted 26th April 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00954j

rsc.li/chemical-science

# Introduction

Exploring the structure–property relationship of functional materials plays a central role in materials science, because it holds great promise for the rational design of better materials by understanding the structural basis of the corresponding chemical and physical properties. In order to overcome the inherent structural and functional inhomogeneity among individual particles, single particle studies have recently been rising as a powerful complement to ensemble-based conventional approaches.<sup>1</sup>–<sup>5</sup> With the help of various optical imaging

# Rational design of functional materials guided by single particle chemiluminescence imaging†

Weijun Kong,<sup>†a</sup> Qi Li,<sup>†a</sup> Wei [Wan](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5777-9276)g[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4628-1755) D<sup>\*b</sup> Xiaoning Zhao,<sup>c</sup> S[hen](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4769-9464)glong Jiang,<sup>d</sup> Tianhua Zheng,<sup>a</sup> Qun Zhang,  $\mathbf{D}^d$  Wen Shen<sup>a</sup> and Hua Cui  $\mathbf{D}^{\star \bar{\sigma}}$ 

Chemiluminescence (CL) functionalized materials have found tremendous value in developing CL assays for clinical assays and point-of-care tests. To date, the design and optimization of these materials have mainly relied on conventional trial-and-error procedures in which the ensemble performance is evaluated using conditional experiments. Here we have built an optical microscope to acquire the CL emission from single magnetic-polymer hybrid microbeads functionalized with luminol analogues, and to access the CL kinetics of each individual particle. It was incidentally found that a minor subpopulation of microbeads exhibited intense and delayed CL emission while the majority showed transient and weak emission. Structural characterization of the very same individual particles uncovered that the amorphous multicore microstructures were responsible for the enhanced encapsulation efficiency and optimized CL reaction kinetics. Guided by this knowledge stemming from single particle CL imaging, the synthesis procedure was rationally optimized to enrich the portion of microbeads with better CL performance, which was validated by both single particle imaging and the significantly improved analytical performance at the ensemble level. The present work not only demonstrates the CL imaging and CL kinetics curve of single microbeads for the first time, but also sets a clear example showing the capability of single particle studies to investigate the structure–activity relationship in a bottom-up manner and to help the rational design of ensemble materials with improved performance. EDGE ARTICLE<br> **(A)** Check forundates **Rational design of functional materials guided by<br>**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **Check forundates <b>Single particle chemiluminescence imaging**<br>  $\frac{1}{2}$  Check forundates **Single particle chemiluminescenc** 

techniques including fluorescence,<sup>6-8</sup> dark-field,<sup>9,10</sup> Raman scattering,<sup>11,12</sup> infrared<sup>13</sup> and surface plasmon resonance,<sup>14,15</sup> the chemical activity of each and every individual particle in the field of view was determined by monitoring the optical or spectroscopic signals during chemical reactions.<sup>5</sup> The results were further correlated with the structural features of the very same individual particles, leading to a bottom-up strategy towards determining the structure–activity relationship which removed or bypassed the inhomogeneity among individual particles.<sup>16,17</sup> Despite the bright future promised by single particle studies, major efforts have so far been made on the development of methodologies which preferably demonstrated some proof-of-concept cases by using model reactions and catalysts rather than practically significant ones. Although highly desirable, the attempts to utilize the structure–activity relationship originating from single particle studies to guide the rational design of practical ensemble systems are still rare.3,18–<sup>20</sup> It is clear that a stronger connection between single particle studies and ensemble performance is critical to convincingly underscore their value in understanding the fundamental structure-activity relationship, and to benefit broad application fields with improved ensemble performance.

Chemiluminescence (CL) assays, including CL immunoassays, DNA/RNA assays and aptamer-based assays, have become powerful and popular tools to sensitively and selectively detect



<sup>&</sup>quot;Department of Chemistry, CAS Key Laboratory of Soft Matter Chemistry, iChEM (Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Energy Materials), University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China. E-mail: hcui@ ustc.edu.cn

 $^{\rm b}$ State Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, P. R. China. E-mail: wei. wang@nju.edu.cn

c Beijing Institute of Metrology, Room 303, No. 10 Lishuiqiaojia, Chaoyang District, Beijing, 102200, P. R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Science at the Microscale, iChEM, Synergetic Innovation Center of Quantum Information and Quantum Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9sc00954j

<sup>‡</sup> Weijun Kong and Qi Li contributed equally to this work.

various analytes such as proteins, nucleic acids and even small molecules in bio-specimens.21,22 In particular, CL immunoassays have gradually replaced traditional enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) and fluorescence immunoassays because they possess several unique strengths.<sup>23,24</sup> First, the absence of an excitation light source not only greatly simplifies the instrumentation and experimental operation, but also dramatically improves the sensitivity due to the removal of the scattering background. Second, as one of the most commercially valued assays, to date, CL immunoassays are explosively growing worldwide, particularly in the *in vitro* diagnosis (IVD) industry, due to the ease of automation. In recent years, multifunctional materials such as magnetic microbeads, metal nanoparticles and carbon nanomaterials have received much attention in CL assays.<sup>25,26</sup> These materials were functionalized with a specific antibody or DNA/RNA or aptamer and CL molecules to act not only as the immobilizing substrate for molecular recognition, but also as the CL probe or interface for signal transduction. $27,28$  The geometrical structure and the chemical composition of these functionalized particles regulated the CL reaction efficiency and kinetics, thus determining the assay's performance such as sensitivity, speci ficity and dynamic range.<sup>29</sup> To date, the design and optimization of these particles have largely relied on trial-and-error type conditional experiments that were performed at the ensemble level. The capability to determine the reaction kinetics of single particles and correlate the activity with their structural features allows one to investigate the structure–activity relationship, which is critical for improving the assay's performance. Edge Article<br>
various analyses such as proximing, nucleix and contrasting by the intermediation of common<br>
metals are the system with the intermediation of the intermediation of the system intermediation of the system of t

CL imaging of individual particles has been a quite challenging task, mostly because of the limited photon flux accompanying CL reactions. In contrast to fluorescence imaging in which a single fluorophore can repeatedly emit up to 1 million photons as a result of the extremely fast excitation– relaxation cycles, reaction of a single CL molecule, however, releases only one photon in the best scenario (CL quantum  $yield = 1$ ). Consequently, it is difficult to accumulate sufficient photons from a particle to achieve the necessary signal-tobackground ratio for CL imaging because the particle contains only a very small amount of CL molecules. To date, the electrochemiluminescence (ECL) of tris-bipyridyl ruthenium (TBR) and its derivatives has been the dominant choice, probably the only one, in order to realize ECL imaging of single objects.23,30–<sup>34</sup> It is because, in this particular case, the reactants (TBR molecules) are regenerated and recycled after the ECL reactions. Long-time exposure when applying periodic electrochemical potentials allows for accumulating a lot of photons from one TBR molecule, thus enhancing the image contrast. Although CL represents a more convenient and robust read-out format in clinical assays and point-of-care tests because of the absence of electrochemical accessories and the diverse choice of applicable CL reaction systems, direct CL imaging of single particle has yet to be demonstrated because it certainly requires an alternative strategy for signal amplification. For example, we have recently developed a polymer-assisted method to effectively incorporate a large amount of luminol analogues, as luminol is one of the earliest and most commonly used CL reagents, and catalysts in 3 dimensional mesoporous materials while maintaining their

accessibility and reaction activity. Co-functionalization of catalysts in the same microenvironment further optimized the reaction efficiency and kinetics, leading to the state-of-the-art hydrogel materials that can emit intense CL light and last more than a week.<sup>35</sup> Similar strategies have been also utilized to improve the sensitivity of CL assays for the detection of DNA, protein markers, metal ions and small molecular compounds.<sup>36-39</sup> In these previous studies, although it was generally hypothesized that the microstructure of particles played essential roles in regulating the encapsulation and reaction efficiency of luminol analogues, a clear structure–activity relationship remained largely unexplored, thus hampering further improvements in the performance of these CL assays. So far, the design and optimization has largely relied on trial-and-error type conditional experiments at the ensemble level. The capability of determining the CL kinetics of single particles and correlating them with their structural features allows one to investigate the structure–activity relationship from a bottom-up perspective, which is critical for improving the assay's performance.

In the present work, we demonstrate the capability of imaging the CL emission from single magnetic-polymer hybrid microbeads functionalized with luminol analogues for the first time. The microbeads were chosen as a model of CL functional materials due to the ease of magnetic separation after recognition reactions. Surprisingly, plotting the CL intensity of single microbeads as a function of time uncovered two subpopulations with significantly different reaction kinetics. While transient flash-like weak CL emission was observed from the majority of microbeads, a minor subpopulation exhibited delayed but intense emission. It is clear that increasing the portion of the latter subpopulation is desirable for improving the assay's performance. In situ characterization of the structure and chemical composition of the corresponding microbeads with confocal fluorescence microscopy (CFM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) pointed out that an amorphous multi-core microstructure, in which multiple smaller microbeads were encapsulated in a larger hollow one, was responsible for the enhanced encapsulation efficiency and optimized reaction kinetics. Guided by this structure–activity relationship originating from single particle CL imaging, the synthesis procedure was rationally optimized to increase the portion of amorphous multi-core microbeads. Both single particle imaging and ensemble measurements successfully indicated that the optimized batch of microbeads showed significantly improved sensitivity in the detection of 2,4,6 trinitrotoluene (TNT). This study not only demonstrates the CL imaging and kinetics curve of single microbeads for the first time, but also sets a clear example showing how single particle studies could help the rational design of CL materials and ensemble CL assays with improved performance.

## Results and discussion

## Imaging single microbeads with CL microscopy

CL-functionalized microbeads were prepared by incorporating  $N-(4\text{-aminobutyl})-N\text{-ethylisoluminol}$  (ABEI) and  $Co^{2+}$  into magnetic-polymer microbeads via covalent bonds and electrostatic interactions, respectively.<sup>39</sup> The geometrical features and CL

#### Chemical Science Edge Article

properties of the ensemble materials were subsequently characterized by multiple techniques including SEM, fluorescence spectroscopy, fluorescence microscopy and CL measurements with a CL meter. SEM images demonstrated a broad size distribution of spherical microbeads ranging from 40 to 110 microns (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>). The presence of ABEI was confirmed by the steady-state fluorescence spectrum and the fluorescence imaging of single microbeads (Fig. S2†). Successful loading of ABEI molecules is undoubtedly supported by CL measurements with a CL meter. As shown in Fig. S3,† strong CL emission was observed by mixing the as-prepared microbeads with  $H_2O_2$  solution.

The CL imaging of single microbeads was subsequently examined by using a home-built CL microscope combined with a specifically designed microfluidics set-up as shown in Fig. 1. Detailed descriptions and a photograph of the microscope are provided in the ESI (Fig.  $S4\dagger$ ). In order to firmly trap and immobilize the microbeads on a slide, a drop of suspension containing the microbeads was deposited onto a particularly fabricated polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) substrate (Fig. S5†). It contained an array of tens of microwells with varying diameter and depth from 40 to 100 microns, so that the single microbeads can keep their original location without moving during the solution exchange operation. This was not only necessary for extracting the CL kinetics with image analysis, but also particularly important for characterizing the very same microbeads after the reaction by using CFM and SEM. Without the help of these microwells, rinsing and air-drying procedures could easily move these microbeads and make it impossible to correlate between CL images and SEM images. A capillary force-based pump-free fluidics set-up was also designed to deliver  $H_2O_2$ solution without introducing mechanical vibrations and pulsations. The device was put on the sample stage of an upright microscope equipped with an EMCCD and an objective (numerical aperture  $= 0.30$ ). The relatively large depth of field of the objective  $(\sim 10$  microns, comparable with the size of microbeads) increased the collection efficiency of defocused photons. The entire setup was placed in a dark-room and all the LED indicators on the instrument panels were either turned off or covered by thick black tape to reduce the background Operation Science<br>
Hospitals are the considerably and the considerably comment in the common and the



Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the CL imaging setup and the CL image of single microbeads.

photons as much as possible. In a typical experiment, the microbeads were exposed to buffer solution for 10 seconds in order to record a baseline in the dark. Then  $H_2O_2$  solution was manually introduced into the chamber to trigger the CL reactions. The emitted photons were collected by the objective to create a series of time-lapsed CL images in the camera with a typical exposure time of 0.5 seconds. The open-source software ImageJ was used to extract the CL kinetics of each individual particle from the image sequences. The representative CL image was reconstructed by averaging all the CL images obtained during the recording period to improve the signal-tobackground ratio (upper right panel in Fig. 1).

## Enhanced and delayed CL emission from the minority subpopulation

Fig. 2A shows the bright-field image of 21 microbeads trapped in microwells. Six snapshots at different moments during the CL reactions are shown in Fig. 2B. While almost every microbead exhibits CL activity upon the exposure to  $H_2O_2$ , the CL behaviors are rather inhomogeneous (Movie S1†). Representative CL curves of 6 microbeads are provided in Fig. 2C, while the locations of these individuals are marked in Fig. 2A and B. According to the dramatically different CL intensities and kinetics, they can be divided into two types such as strong and weak emission subpopulations, respectively. While the majority of microbeads (#1–5) exhibit an immediate but weak



Fig. 2 (A) Bright field image (scale bar:  $100 \mu m$ ) of multiple microbeads trapped in the microwells. (B) Snapshots at different moments and the averaged CL images upon reaction with  $H_2O_2$  (scale bar:  $100 \mu m$ ). (C) Representative CL kinetics curves of six microbeads showing weak (1–5) and strong (6) emission, respectively.



Fig. 3 Representative SEM images (A and B) and CFM images (C and D) of strong-type (A and C) and weak-type (B and D) microbeads. Fluorescence spectra of microbeads obtained from (E) an imaging spectrometer in a confocal microscope and (F) a steady-state fluorescence spectrometer. (G) Chemical mechanism of CL reaction on  $ABEI/Co<sup>2+</sup>$  functionalized microbeads.

CL emission as shown in Fig. 2B, microbead #6 shows delayed but much stronger CL emission (blue curve). Its maximal CL intensity is 7 times higher than that of the fast-type ones. The curve integration, which reflected the total amount of CL molecules, varied by more than 25 times. Statistical analysis has shown that only one individual particle with strong-type CL emission was observed in a sample set of over 500 microbeads

(Fig. S6†). It is clear that the strong-type subpopulation is more desirable in CL assays because the intensified emission increases the signal and improves the detection limit. In addition, because solution mixing usually takes a few seconds and it is difficult to reliably record the CL signal during this period of time, delayed or extended emission further helps the signal acquisition.

### Structure–activity relationship

In order to investigate the structural basis of different CL behaviors, the SEM images of the very same individual particles were obtained and are shown in Fig. 3A (#6 in Fig. 2A) and 3B (#2 in Fig. 2A), respectively. However, the SEM images do not reveal much difference because SEM is not capable of accessing the internal structures when the shell is intact. Therefore, confocal fluorescence microscopy was further utilized to characterize the 3-dimensional distribution of ABEI molecules, which revealed significantly different features in the internal structures between strong (Fig. 3C) and weak-type (Fig. 3D) microbeads. CFM is applicable because the oxidation product of ABEI (ABEI-ox) is fluorescent (Fig.  $S2\ddagger$ ). The CFM images of a typical strong and weak-type microbead are displayed in Fig. 3C and D, respectively. The fluorescence emission spectrum of the as-prepared microbeads obtained upon excitation at 355 nm after thorough reactions with  $H_2O_2$  is shown in Fig. 3E. The FL emission spectrum of single microbeads shows a band centered at 460 nm, which is in good agreement with the steadystate FL spectrum (Fig. 3F), which was from the oxidation products of ABEI.<sup>35</sup> Note that these images were taken at a zdistance (from the substrate) close to its radius so that the centroid of the microbead is located within the focal plane (Fig. S7†). Thus the CFM images demonstrated the spatial distribution of ABEI-ox molecules in the microbeads. We noted two differences in the CFM images between strong (Fig. 3C) and weak-type (Fig. 3D) microbeads. First, the FL intensity of the weak-type microbead is significantly lower than that of the strong-type one, indicating different encapsulation efficiencies of ABEI among individual particles. The mean intensity varied by up to 41 times. This difference explained the more than 25 fold difference in the integration value of representative CL curves. Second, multiple local enrichments of ABEI were observed in the strong-type microbead, while a hollow (dark) center existed in the weak-type one. Such local enrichments were attributed to an amorphous multi-core structure, in which multiple smaller sized microbeads were encapsulated in a large one. The existence of amorphous multi-core structure was confirmed by the SEM image of a microbead that occasionally broke exposing the inner content (Fig. S1B†). Because the covalent linkage of ABEI onto the polymer skeleton required the diffusion of ABEI into the porous structure, one would expect that the inner core of a dense solid microsphere should display very low linkage efficiency. This was the scenario in the weaktype microbead (Fig. 3D). Operation Science<br>
Structure activity the structural basis of diferent C. and the structure in the catalytic common activity of the structure in the structure of the structure of the structure of the structure of the stru

The intense CL emission in strong-type microbeads was attributed to the increased chemical activity of both  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  and ABEI molecules and the physical accessibility of  $H_2O_2$  as a result of multi-core structures. Earlier studies demonstrated that CL catalysis and enhancement of the ABEI– $H_2O_2$  system were regulated by the generation of oxygen-related radicals and an improved electron transfer.<sup>40</sup> Our previous work proposed that  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  could catalyze the decomposition of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  to form oxygenrelated radicals, such as HO $^{\cdot}$  and O $_{2}^{\cdot -}$ , followed by the reaction with ABEI to produce ABEI radicals, accelerating the CL reaction (Fig. 3G).<sup>41</sup> It was also reported that the attachment of  $Co^{2+}$  to

a rigid polymer resulted in an increase in the catalytic activity and the stability of the catalyst.<sup>42</sup> Thus, it is believed that  $Co^{2+}$ coordinated by hydroxyl groups on the inside wall of the microbeads with amorphous multi-core structure exhibited outstanding heterogeneous catalytic activity on the CL reaction, leading to the enhanced CL emission. Furthermore, as a result of the unique internal structure,  $H_2O_2$  could only slowly diffuse to the active site inside the microbeads through numerous micro/nano-sized pores on the particles. Interestingly, when  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  slowly diffuses to the active site inside the particles, the oxygen-related radicals generated from the catalytic decomposition reaction of  $H_2O_2$  also could diffuse out only slowly, resulting in an increase in the concentration of oxygen-related radicals. They significantly enhanced the CL reaction and the following CL emission.<sup>35</sup> Because of the slow diffusion rate of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  and oxygen-related radicals, the CL reaction is a slowdiffusion-controlled process and could produce stronger emission.

### Rational design of microbeads with improved CL properties

The synthesis procedure was rationally adjusted to increase the possibility of producing amorphous multi-core structures. A hydrophobic reagent was used as a base material to form the framework in microbeads, and a hydrophilic agent was used for providing carboxyl groups, which was for further coupling reactions with ABEI and  $Co^{2+}$ . However, superfluous



Fig. 4 (A) Bright field image of microbeads trapped in microwells (scale bar: 100 µm). (B) CL kinetics curves of single particles 1/2/3/4 from the new batch of microbeads upon reaction with  $H_2O_2$ . (C) Snapshots at different moments and the averaged CL images from the new batch of microbeads (scale bar:  $100 \mu m$ ).



Fig. 5 (A) Comparison of CL kinetics curves of old (curve a) and new (curve b) batches of microbeads. (B) Comparison of CL responses of the aptasensor based on old (line a) and new (line b) batches of microbeads using different concentrations of TNT. (C) CL kinetics curves of the TNT aptasensor based on the old batch of microbeads at concentrations of (a) 0, (b) 0.20, (c) 0.50, (d) 1.0, (e) 5.0, (f) 10, (g) 50, and (h) 75 ng mL $^{-1}$ . Inset: the calibration curve for TNT. (D) CL kinetics curves of the TNT aptasensor based on the new batch of microbeads at concentrations of (a) 0, (b) 0.005, (c) 0.010, (d) 0.050, (e) 0.10, (f) 0.50, (g) 1.0, (h) 5.0, (i) 10, (j) 50 and (k) 100 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. Inset: the calibration curve for TNT.

hydrophilic reagent could make it difficult to form regular shaped microbeads while insufficient hydrophilic agent will significantly reduce the functional efficiency of ABEI and  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$ . Moreover, it is known that larger microbeads could only be formed under relatively low speed stirring and smaller microbeads were mainly generated under vigorous stirring. Therefore, a suitable ratio of hydrophilic and hydrophobic reagents was first optimized to obtain small microbeads under vigorous stirring. Second, hydrophilic reagents and hydrophobic reagents were added again in the suspension under gentle stirring to obtain larger microbeads, accompanied by doping small microbeads into their inside wall. Thus, the ratio of amorphous multi-core structures was significantly increased.

Under the optimized conditions, the ratio of amorphous multicore structures increased from 0.2% to 13% according to the statistics of a sample set of over 500 (Fig. S6†). It is further examined by CL imaging experiments as shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4A and B show the bright-field image of 4 individual microbeads and their CL kinetics curves, respectively. Six snapshots at different moments and the representative CL kinetics of 4 individuals are shown in Fig. 4C. In contrast to the old batch of microbeads (Fig. 2B), many individual particles now exhibit intense and extended CL emission (Movie S2†), suggesting the significant improvement in both the ABEI encapsulation and the reaction rate.

The analytical performance of the CL assay was significantly improved by using the new batch of microbeads at the ensemble level. The principle, condition optimization and interference test were conducted according to our previous publication (ESI†).<sup>39</sup> Briefly, microbeads were functionalized with aptamer molecules that can recognize a small molecule compound, TNT, which is one of the most classic explosives for military/terrorist purposes. The existence of analytes decreased the inhibition effect of the TNT aptamer on CL intensity, and led to enhanced CL emission upon injection of  $H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$ . The average CL emission intensity of an ensemble suspension of magnetic microbeads was measured by using a conventional CL meter containing a 96-well microplate (Fig. 5A). The CL intensity at the same concentration of the analyte was greatly increased by 9 times on curve integration. By using the new batch of microbeads, the analytical performance was found to be greatly improved as shown in Fig. 5B. For example, the detection limit, which was calculated from the CL signals of blank samples and three times of their standard deviation, was improved by 53 times from 113 pg  $mL^{-1}$  to 2.14 pg  $mL^{-1}$ , and the dynamic range was further expanded by 2 orders of magnitude. As shown in Fig. 5C and D, the analyte could be detected in the range of 0.2–75 ng  $mL^{-1}$  by using the old batch of microbeads while the dynamic range by using the new batch of microbeads is 0.005-100 ng  $mL^{-1}$ . The improved analytical performance is a result of the enhanced CL emission of magnetic microbeads. The consistency between single particle CL imaging experiments and ensemble measurements in a practical CL assay convincingly underscored the value of the rational design guided by single particle studies.

## **Conclusions**

In conclusion, we have proposed an optical imaging approach to monitor the CL reaction kinetics of single magnetic-polymer

microbeads functionalized with ABEI and  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  when reacting with  $H_2O_2$ , which were synthesized for use in a practical CL assay. The CL imaging and kinetics curve of single particles in a chemical reaction were obtained for the first time. Simultaneous imaging of multiple particles allowed for distinguishing two subpopulations that exhibit weak and strong CL emissions, respectively. Characterization of the spatial distribution of ABEI molecules with CFM and SEM resolved amorphous multi-core structures that were responsible for the strong and delayed emission. Such structures led to the improved CL emission and kinetics because of the significantly higher encapsulation efficiency of ABEI, improved chemical activity of  $Co<sup>2+</sup>$  and ABEI molecules, and the optimized accessibility of  $H_2O_2$ . Such a structure–activity relationship was immediately utilized to rationally guide the design of microbeads. The improved CL activity was validated by both CL imaging at the single particle level and CL assay at the ensemble level. Both approaches confirmed that the optimized batches exhibited enhanced CL emission as well as improved analytical performance. The present work demonstrated the capability of CL imaging to investigate the structure–activity relationship in a bottom-up manner, and the validation of such a relationship by ensemble performance further underscored the value of single particle studies for the rational design and optimization of functional materials in practical reaction systems. Operation Science<br>
Non-Paparte Commercial Com

## Experimental section

## Preparation of the old batch of microbeads

First, 0.01 g of azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) and 1.0 mL of styrene was added into 100 mL of ultrapure water containing 0.1 g of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> seeds under vigorous stirring at 70 °C. Besides, N<sub>2</sub> flow was passed through the reacting solution slowly during the entire process of preparation to remove  $O_2$ . Following 30 min of reaction, a mixture of 10 mL of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) (5%), 0.2 g of AIBN, 10 mL of styrene and 1.0 g of methacrylic acid was added to the suspension under gentle stirring. After 24 h reaction at 70  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C},$  the resulting suspension was washed several times with water. Finally, the resulting microbeads were dispersed in ultrapure water for further use.

## Preparation of the optimized batch of microbeads

In a typical synthesis, 0.01 g of AIBN, 1.0 mL of styrene and 0.1 mL of methacrylic acid were added to 100 mL of ultrapure water containing 0.1 g of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  seeds under vigorous stirring at 70 °C and  $O_2$  was removed by passing N<sub>2</sub> through the reacting solution rapidly in the entire preparation process. After 30 min reaction, 10 mL of PVA (5%), 0.2 g of AIBN, 10 mL of styrene and 2.0 g of methacrylic acid were added to the suspension under gentle stirring at 70  $^{\circ}$ C. Following 24 h reaction, the final product was washed several times and dispersed in ultrapure water for further use.

## Preparation of CL functionalized microbeads

In a typical synthesis,<sup>39</sup> 6.0 mL of microbead suspension was washed thrice with 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES)

buffer after ultrasonication for 5 min. Subsequently, the suspension was dispersed in 6.0 mL of MES buffer containing 200 mg of EDC and 200 mg of NHS under vigorous stirring at room temperature. Following 30 min activation, the mixture was washed twice with washing buffer, followed by the addition of 200  $\mu$ L of ABEI (10 mM) stock solution under continuous stirring. After 12 h reaction at room temperature, the resulting ABEI/microbeads were washed thrice and resuspended in ultrapure water. 1.0 mL of  $Co^{2+}$  aqueous solution (10 mM) was added to 0.5 mL of ABEI/microbead suspension and incubated at room temperature for 20 min under constant shaking. Finally, the resulting suspension was washed twice and dispersed in 2.0 mL of ultrapure water. The optimal  $Co<sup>2+</sup>/ABEI$ ratio of 15.5 was chosen for further experiments (Fig. S8†).

## Preparation of PDMS apparatus

Typically, the microwells were produced by a one-step casting replication process.<sup>43</sup> A silicon wafer mould with an array of micrometer-sized cylinders was designed by us, and then manufactured by Suzhou Wenhao Co., Ltd. (China). A mixture of the PDMS base and the curing reagent (the mass ratio of PDMS base/curing reagent was 10 : 1) was poured on the salinized silicon mould and cured at 80  $\degree$ C for 30 min. After curing, the PDMS film was released from the mould in air. Then the asprepared PDMS film was placed on a hotplate that was heated to 60  $\degree$ C for 2 h. Finally, the PDMS film with microwells was cut into several slices with suitable size to obtain the PDMS apparatus for further use.

# Data availability

The authors declare that all the data are available within the article and its ESI† or from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

# Author contributions

W. K. and Q. L. carried out the experimental work. W. K., W. W. and H. C. designed the project and wrote the manuscript. X. Z. prepared the microbeads. W. K., S. J. and Q. Z. completed the confocal fluorescence microscopy imaging and discussed the results together. T. Z. was involved in studies on CL imaging. W. S. helped with the discussion of the results.

# Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

# Acknowledgements

The support of this research by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21527807) and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2016YFA0201300) is gratefully acknowledged.

# Notes and references

- 1 I. L. C. Buurmans and B. M. Weckhuysen, Nat. Chem., 2012, 4, 873–886.
- 2 J. B. Sambur and P. Chen, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 2014, 65, 395–422.
- 3 J. B. Sambur, T. Y. Chen, E. Choudhary, G. Q. Chen, E. J. Nissen, E. M. Thomas, N. M. Zou and P. Chen, Nature, 2016, 530, 77–80.
- 4 T. Chen, B. Dong, K. Chen, F. Zhao, X. Cheng, C. Ma, S. Lee, P. Zhang, S. H. Kang, J. W. Ha, W. Xu and N. Fang, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 7510–7537.
- 5 W. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 2485–2508.
- 6 X. Zhou, N. M. Andoy, G. Liu, E. Choudhary, K. S. Han, H. Shen and P. Chen, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 237–241.
- 7 K. P. F. Janssen, G. De Cremer, R. K. Neely, A. V. Kubarev, J. Van Loon, J. A. Martens, D. E. De Vos, M. B. J. Roeffaers and J. Hofkens, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 990-1006.
- 8 Q. Miao and K. Pu, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1801778.
- 9 C. Novo, A. M. Funston and P. Mulvaney, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2008, 3, 598–602.
- 10 S. Li, Y. Du, T. He, Y. Shen, C. Bai, F. Ning, W. Wang, S. Xi and X. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 14277–14284.
- 11 E. M. van Schrojenstein Lantman, T. Deckert-Gaudig, A. J. G. Mank, V. Deckert and B. M. Weckhuysen, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 583–586.
- 12 J. H. Zhong, X. Jin, L. Y. Meng, X. Wang, H. S. Su, Z. L. Yang, C. T. Williams and B. Ren, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2017, 12, 132– 136.
- 13 C. Y. Wu, W. J. Wolf, Y. Levartovsky, H. A. Bechtel, M. C. Martin, F. D. Toste and E. Gross, Nature, 2017, 541, 511–515.
- 14 X. N. Shan, I. Diez-Perez, L. J. Wang, P. Wiktor, Y. Gu, L. H. Zhang, W. Wang, J. Lu, S. P. Wang, Q. H. Gong, J. H. Li and N. J. Tao, Nat. Nanotechnol., 2012, 7, 668–672.
- 15 D. Jiang, Y. Y. Jiang, Z. M. Li, T. Liu, X. Wo, Y. M. Fang, N. J. Tao, W. Wang and H. Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 186–192.
- 16 X. C. Zhou, E. Choudhary, N. M. Andoy, N. M. Zou and P. Chen, ACS Catal., 2013, 3, 1448–1453.
- 17 F. C. Hendriks, S. Mohammadian, Z. Ristanovic, S. Kalirai, F. Meirer, E. T. C. Vogt, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, H. C. Gerritsen and B. M. Weckhuysen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2018, 57, 257–261.
- 18 G. K. Hodgson, S. Impellizzeri and J. C. Scaiano, Chem. Sci., 2016, 7, 1314–1321.
- 19 Z. Ristanovic, J. P. Hofmann, G. De Cremer, A. V. Kubarev, M. Rohnke, F. Meirer, J. Hofkens, M. B. J. Roeffaers and B. M. Weckhuysen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 6559–6568.
- 20 G. T. Whiting, N. Nikolopoulos, I. Nikolopoulos, A. D. Chowdhury and B. M. Weckhuysen, Nat. Chem., 2019, 11, 23–31. Copen Access Article Channel on 2019. Downloaded on 30 April 2019. Downloaded on 17. This article is like article is like article is like article in the same and the same
	- 21 K. Aslan and C. D. Geddes, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 2556– 2564.
	- 22 L. Z. Hu and G. B. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 3275–3304.
	- 23 F. Deiss, C. N. LaFratta, M. Symer, T. M. Blicharz, N. Sojic and D. R. Walt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 6088–6089.
	- 24 L. X. Zhao, L. Sun and X. G. Chu, TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem., 2009, 28, 404–415.
	- 25 Y. Chen, S. W. Zhou, L. L. Li and J. J. Zhu, Nano Today, 2017, 12, 98–115.
	- 26 M. Zhao, Y. Zhuo, Y. Q. Chai and R. Yuan, Biomaterials, 2015, 52, 476–483.
	- 27 A. J. Shuhendler, K. Pu, L. Cui, J. P. Uetrecht and J. Rao, Nat. Biotechnol., 2014, 32, 373–380.
	- 28 X. Zhen, C. Zhang, C. Xie, Q. Miao, K. L. Lim and K. Pu, ACS Nano, 2016, 10, 6400–6409.
	- 29 H. L. Zhang, M. X. Liu, G. M. Huang, Y. Q. Yu, W. Shen and H. Cui, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2013, 1, 970–977.
	- 30 M. Sentic, M. Milutinovic, F. Kanoufi, D. Manojlovic, S. Arbault and N. Sojic, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 2568–2572.
	- 31 L. S. Dolci, S. Zanarini, L. Della Ciana, F. Paolucci and A. Roda, Anal. Chem., 2009, 81, 6234–6241.
	- 32 S. Pan, J. Liu and C. M. Hill, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 27095–27103.
	- 33 M. J. Zhu, J. B. Pan, Z. Q. Wu, X. Y. Gao, W. Zhao, X. H. Xia, J. J. Xu and H. Y. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2018, 57, 4010–4014.
	- 34 A. J. Wilson, K. Marchuk and K. A. Willets, Nano Lett., 2015, 15, 6110–6115.
	- 35 Y. T. Liu, W. Shen, Q. Li, J. N. Shu, L. F. Gao, M. M. Ma, W. Wang and H. Cui, Nat. Commun., 2017, 8, 1003.
	- 36 F. Li, Y. Q. Yu, Q. Li, M. Zhou and H. Cui, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 1608–1613.
	- 37 Z. L. Han, J. N. Shu, Q. S. Jiang and H. Cui, Anal. Chem., 2018, 90, 6064–6070.
	- 38 H. L. Zhang and H. Cui, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 2563–2566.
	- 39 W. J. Kong, X. N. Zhao, Q. J. Zhu, L. F. Gao and H. Cui, Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 7145–7151.
	- 40 G. H. Thorpe and L. J. Kricka, Methods Enzymol., 1986, 133, 331–353.
	- 41 M. Liu, H. Zhang, J. Shu, X. Liu, F. Li and H. Cui, Anal. Chem., 2014, 86, 2857–2861.
	- 42 F. Ramirez, M. Nowakowski and J. F. Marecek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 4515–4517.
	- 43 J. L. Tan, J. Tien, D. M. Pirone, D. S. Gray, K. Bhadriraju and C. S. Chen, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2003, 100, 1484– 1489.