Development of $^{68}$Ga-labelled ultrasound microbubbles for whole-body PET imaging†
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Microbubble (MB) contrast agents have revolutionised the way ultrasound (US) imaging can be used clinically and pre-clinically. Contrast-enhanced US offers improvements in soft-tissue contrast, as well as the ability to visualise disease processes at the molecular level. However, its inability to provide in vivo whole-body imaging can hamper the development of new MB formulations. Herein, we describe a fast and efficient method for achieving $^{68}$Ga-labelling of MBs after a direct comparison of two different strategies. The optimised approach produces $^{68}$Ga-labelled MBs in good yields through the bioorthogonal inverse-electron-demand Diel–Alder reaction between a trans-cyclooctene-modified phospholipid and a new tetrazine-bearing HBED-CC chelator. The ability to noninvasively study the whole-body distribution of $^{68}$Ga-labelled MBs was demonstrated in vivo using positron emission tomography (PET). This method could be broadly applicable to other phospholipid-based formulations, providing accessible solutions for in vivo tracking of MBs.

Introduction

The development and further refinement of contrast agents plays a central role in the fields of anatomical and molecular imaging, acting as a driving force to overcome limitations inherent to existing imaging modalities.1 In ultrasound (US), the use of microbubbles (MBs, Scheme 1), which consist of a gas core stabilised by a phospholipid or polymer shell, has improved the poor ability of this technique to distinguish between tissues with similar acoustic responses.2 In the presence of an acoustic field, these compressible MBs produce strong nonlinear signals, which can be differentiated from the surrounding tissue, enhancing soft-tissue contrast and signal-to-noise ratios in regions of interest. The fact that MBs typically present sizes of 1–5 μm, and therefore are restricted to intravascular targets, alongside their ability to incorporate disease-targeted ligands, has recently oriented the use of US imaging towards detecting and monitoring vascular pathologies at the molecular level.3,4 In this regard, different preclinical studies have successfully demonstrated the capability of MBs to visualise receptors overexpressed in inflammation, angiogenesis and/or early tumour formation,5−8 and a phospholipid-based formulation has even recently entered first in-human clinical trials in various cancer types.9,10 These examples, together with the proven capacity of MBs to load drugs11 will further support the development of alternative MB agents for new diagnostic and therapeutic US applications in patients.

Clinical translation, however, is always a long, high-risk and expensive endeavour, and therefore, early evaluation of the

![Scheme 1 Schematic structure/composition of the developed microbubbles (MBs), showing two surface conjugation sites (not to scale).](image-url)
biodistribution and/or pharmacokinetics of MBs could facilitate their [pre]clinical development.\textsuperscript{4}\textsuperscript{a} Whole-body imaging has long demonstrated utility in the evaluation of in vivo fates and/or possible off-target effects.\textsuperscript{4} Unfortunately, contrast-enhanced US is limited to local imaging. This limitation has created a demand for new MB formulations that can provide whole-body imaging and therefore, offer a better understanding of diagnostic and/or drug activity during the initial stages of MB development.

Nuclear imaging techniques, namely positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon-emission tomography (SPECT), provide non-invasive and whole-body imaging with high sensitivity and unlimited penetration depth.\textsuperscript{5} Hence, a few groups have attempted to develop dual nuclear/US MB agents.\textsuperscript{6}

Most of these studies, however, have used streptavidin-biotin interactions to radiolabel MBs and/or employed SPECT tracers (iodine-125, technetium-99m or indium-111).\textsuperscript{a}\textsuperscript{d}\textsuperscript{e}\textsuperscript{f}\textsuperscript{g}\textsuperscript{h}\textsuperscript{i} Although elegant and encouraging, these approaches face disadvantages: (i) immunogenic streptavidin-coated MBs are not recommended for human use and (ii) SPECT cannot provide quantitative analysis in vivo. PET, unlike SPECT, allows determination of tracer concentration in tissue with higher sensitivity and image quality. Despite these advantages, only one group has incorporated a \textsuperscript{18}F-labelled phospholipid onto MBs, allowing non-invasive assessment of the whole-body MB fates.\textsuperscript{5}\textsuperscript{e} However, \textsuperscript{18}F-labelling usually requires time-consuming multi-step synthesis, and more importantly, depends on expensive cyclotron facilities. So, there is an unmet need for a rapid and robust method to generate radiolabelled MBs using broadly accessible PET isotopes.

Gallium-68 (hereafter, \textsuperscript{68}Ga) is a radiometal that offers aqueous single-step and high-yielding complexations with high positron emission (89\%) and on-site availability, allowing hospitals economical production of a high-quality and generator-produced PET isotope.\textsuperscript{7} In addition, its short half-life (67.7 min) enables the perfect monitoring of MBs, which have circulation times of around 10–20 minutes.\textsuperscript{2} However, the development of \textsuperscript{68}Ga-labelled MBs (hereafter, \textsuperscript{68}Ga-MBs) has never been attempted, which inspired us to focus on this particular problem in the field of US imaging. We reasoned that the optimised method should offer a fast, accessible and versatile way to radiolabel the shell components of MBs, as well as to provide reliable validation checkpoints with conventional To test this hypothesis, two \textsuperscript{68}Ga labelling strategies were initially devised: (i) direct conjugation of a metal chelator to the phospholipid head-groups and, (ii) bioorthogonal ‘click’ ligation between a chelator-based prosthetic group and a complementary functionalised-phospholipid (Scheme 2A and B). Through a direct comparison between both approaches, we have developed a rapid and efficient method for labelling ultrasound MB agents with a generator-produced PET isotope, offering accessible solutions for in vivo tracking of MBs.

\textbf{Results and discussion}

\textbf{Design and preparation of microbubbles}

Here, we focused on phospholipid-shelled MBs, which represent the most common and versatile US contrast agent.\textsuperscript{2,5}\textsuperscript{a} We recently demonstrated that a cyanine7.5-modified phospholipid could be successfully incorporated into a MB formulation of commercially available 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC) and 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino (poly-ethylene glycol)-2000] (DSPE-PEG(2000)) phospholipids.\textsuperscript{8} We reasoned that 1,2-distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine (PE), which shares DSPE-PEG(2000) backbone without its PEGylated moiety, could therefore, be combined with DPPC and DSPE-PEG(2000), and thus offer an alternative anchor point for the PET tracer. The absence of a bulky PEG\textsubscript{45} polymer on PE also facilitates the purification and characterisation of resulting products, while
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\caption{(A) “Direct labelling approach”: conjugation of a metal chelator to the headgroup of PE and subsequent labelling and purification. (B) “Click labelling approach”: development of bioorthogonal components for ‘click’ ligation of a \textsuperscript{68}Ga-chelator-tetrazine and a trans-cyclooctene-modified phospholipid to yield \textsuperscript{68}Ga-labelled MBs.}
\end{figure}
maintaining DSPE-PEG(2000) available for subsequent conjugation of targeting ligands (Scheme 1). With such a rationale in mind, we prepared a new formulation containing DPPC, DSPE-PEG(2000) and PE at 85 : 5 : 10 molar ratios, following standard protocols (Table S1†).

Optical microscopy was used to visualise the size and concentration of the new MB formulation and, as shown in Table S2,† no significant difference was observed between PE-containing MBs and our previous formulation without PE. The stock MB solution containing 10% of PE yielded a mean bubble diameter of 2.10 ± 1.07 μm and a concentration of (1.22 ± 0.68) × 10^6 MBs mL^{-1} while the unmodified formulation generated bubbles with a mean size of 2.18 ± 1.06 μm and a concentration of (8.02 ± 0.03) × 10^9 MB mL^{-1}. The acoustic response of both MBs was also compared. The two formulations were diluted to a concentration of approximately 10^6 MBs mL^{-1} in a 2 L water tank and their US contrast enhancements quantified (Table S2†). Both MBs produced a similar US contrast at 4.5 MHz, indicating that the new formulation can also be used for US imaging.

Evaluation of the first approach: direct conjugation of a metal chelator to the phospholipid head-groups

To test the feasibility of our first approach (Scheme 2A), we functionalised PE with three chelators of known affinity for ^68Ga:® 1,4,7-triazacyclononane-1,4,7-triacetic acid (NOTA), 1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodecane-1,4,7,10-tetraacetic acid (DOTA) and 2-(4,7,10-tris(carboxymethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodecanyl-1-yl)-pentanedioic acid (DOTA-GA). PE-NOTA (1) and PE-DOTA (2) were prepared by conjugation of the primary amine head-group of PE with a NHS-activated form of each chelator, whereas PE-DOTAGA (3) was obtained via reaction of a p-iso-thiocyanatobenzyl PE intermediate with an ethylenediamine-modified DOTAGA reagent. 1–3 were purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography (TLC) and obtained in high yields (Fig. 1A and ESI†).

To examine whether 1–3 can efficiently chelate ^68Ga, a fixed amount of generator-produced ^68Ga^3+ (∼2 MBq) was separately reacted with 1–3 over a range of phospholipid concentrations and two pH values (3.5 and 4.5). All reactions were incubated for 10 min at 90°C and the radiochemical yields (RCYs) were measured using radioTLC. 1 demonstrated the highest labelling efficiency, with RCYs greater than 80% at moderately low chelator concentrations (10 μM) for both pH conditions, corresponding to molar activities of 1–2 MBq nmol^{-1} (Fig. 1B).

As previously reported, NOTA derivatives show better affinity for ^68Ga^3+ than DOTA conjugates as a consequence of the close fit of the relatively small Ga(m) metal ion in the pseudo octahedral cavity of the potentially six-coordinate 9-aneN₃, with attached carbonyl and amide groups.® We then selected 1 for optimising a ^68Ga-labelling method under in vivo conditions. Purified ^68GaCl₃ (70–75 MBq) was reacted with 1 (∼20 nmol) in acetate buffer (0.1 M, pH 4.0) for 15 min at 90°C. The RCY of
[\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{I})], under these conditions, was >85% (non-isolated, estimated by radio-HPLC). Next, different solid-phase extraction (SPE) cartridges were used for [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{I})] purification but, unfortunately all sorbents tested presented low recovery yields (Table S3†). The best results were obtained with Oasis® HLB cartridges with an average eluted activity of 27 ± 5%. These all-purpose cartridges, with a strongly hydrophilic and reversed-phase polymer, allow acidic, basic and neutral analyte purifications from pH 0 to 14. Under our labelling conditions, pH = 3.5–4.0, [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{I})] behaves as a zwitterionic phospholipid with a positively charged Ga-chelating moiety and a negatively charged phosphate group (pKa ≈ 1.5–2.5), meeting a priori the manufacturer requirements for high recovery yields. Phospholipids, however, are a major concern for LC-MS analysis of small molecules in biological matrices and many SPE cartridges (in our case, HybridSPE®, Oasis® Prime and Oasis® HLB cartridges) contain sorbents that can retain phospholipids. This effect was clearly observed when using Oasis® Prime cartridges, retaining 62 ± 15% of the initial loaded activity and, also noticeable with HybridSPE® and Oasis® HLB cartridges trapping 32 ± 7 and 17 ± 3%, respectively. Therefore, the suboptimal purification yields obtained because of the lipid nature of 1 alongside its low molar activities prompted us to focus on the second approach.

**Evaluation of the second approach: bioorthogonal ‘click’ ligation between a chelator-based prosthetic group and a complementary functionalised-phospholipid**

For the second strategy (Scheme 2B), we hypothesised that a tetrazine-bearing radioconjugate could combine high molar activities and straightforward work-up procedures from small-sized chelators with high-yielding and fast reactivities from ‘click’ ligations. In this respect, our group and others have been designing new probes suited for bioorthogonal radionlabelling via the inverse-electron-demand Diels–Alder (iEDDA) cycloaditions between tetrazines and olefins.\textsuperscript{10} iEDDA reactions avoid the use of metal catalysts, which could compete with the far less abundant \textsuperscript{68}Ga\textsuperscript{3+} radiometal, as well as offer rapid kinetics at low concentrations, essential when working with short-lived radioisotopes.\textsuperscript{11} Thus, a trans-cyclooctene (TCO)-modified phospholipid was synthesised using a commercially available TCO-PEG\textsubscript{4}-NHS ester and PE. TCO was chosen because of its higher reactivity compared to other strained olefins.\textsuperscript{12} PE-PEG\textsubscript{4}-TCO (4) was purified by preparative-TLC and characterised by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Fig. 1a and ESIFT).

Compound 5, containing \(\text{N,N'-bis[2-hydroxy-5-(carboxyethyl)benzyl]ethylenediamine-N,N'-diacetic acid (HBED-CC)}\) and a tetrazine, was also prepared (Fig. 2 and ESIFT). In a six-step sequence, the desired product was ultimately obtained by in situ coupling HATU-activated [Fe(HBED-CC)]\textsuperscript{3−} with (4-6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)phenylmethanethioimine. Here, we used HATU, which required a 15 minute activation, instead of the most common 2 day tetrafluorophenol/dicyclohexylcarbodiimide process.\textsuperscript{13} The formation of the iron complex assures the protection of coordinating phenolic and carboxylate groups while exposing the two propionic acid arms for amine conjugation. HBED-CC-tetrazine derivative 5 was purified by flash chromatography and its monosubstituted nature confirmed using \textsuperscript{1}H, \textsuperscript{13}C NMR spectroscopy and ESI mass spectrometry (see ESIFT). As a reference, a tetrazine-bearing DOTA-GA tracer (6), previously designed by our group,\textsuperscript{10b} was prepared (Fig. 2 and ESIFT).

A head-to-head comparison of the \textsuperscript{68}Ga-chelating efficiency between 5 and 6 was performed (Fig. 3). At room temperature, the difference between both chelators was remarkable. Whilst 5 performed extremely well, 6 presented poor ability to coordinate \textsuperscript{68}Ga\textsuperscript{3+}. This suggested that the labelling efficiency of 6 was limited by the higher kinetic barriers that macrocyclic-based chelators generally present compared to acyclic ligands.\textsuperscript{7a,11} 5 also showed little pH-dependence, yielding near-quantitative RCYs at the low concentration of 5 \(\mu\)M for all pH values tested (based on radioTLC analysis of the reaction solution). At high temperature, both chelators exhibited a similar efficiency, with conversions higher than 90% at 5 \(\mu\)M. At lower concentrations, however, 5 again demonstrated better performance over 6. At 1 \(\mu\)M and pH values of 3.5 and 4.5, RCYs of [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{I})] were near quantitative while RCYs of [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{II})] were lower than 30%. This corresponded to maximum molar activities for 5 of 15–20 MBq mmol\(^{-1}\). Next, we selected 5 to evaluate its \textsuperscript{68}Ga-labelling efficiency under in vivo conditions. This time, [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{IV})], obtained after 10 min incubation at room temperature, could be successfully purified with conventional reverse-phase cartridges. The entire procedure was performed in less than 20 min, yielding purities >98% and RCYs >95% (determined by radio-HPLC analysis of the pure product).

**Preparation of \textsuperscript{68}Ga-labelled MBs**

Fig. 4A shows the chromatogram of purified [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{IV})] labelled at room temperature and pH 4.2 ± 0.3. As for other HBED-based chelators, 5 forms different geometric isomers when complexed to Ga\textsuperscript{3+}.\textsuperscript{15} Although a mixture of species can potentially present different pharmaceutical profiles, a recent study measuring the cell binding and internalisation properties of [\textsuperscript{68}Ga][\textsuperscript{Ga}(\text{IV})]-PSMA-HBED-CC labelled at room temperature (containing a mixture of species) and at 95 °C (containing the thermodynamically most stable isomer) on PSMA-expressing LNCap cells showed comparable results for both fractions, indicating a stereochemical independent receptor-interaction of the radioligand.\textsuperscript{16}
Next, we reacted a purified fraction of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{[Ga(5)]}$ (~75 MBq) with PE-PEG$_4$-TCO (0.107 µmol) at 60 °C (Fig. 4A). After 15 minutes, the radio-HPLC chromatogram showed the appearance of a new major peak at a slower retention time ($\tau_T = 10.8$ min) than the labelled precursor ($\tau_T = 5.3$–$6.4$ min), which corresponded to radiochemical conversions of the dihydropyridazine products of ~80–85% (non-isolated, estimated by radio-HPLC) (Fig. 4A and B). Next, $^{68}\text{Ga}$-labelled PE (hereafter, $^{68}\text{Ga}$-PE) was combined with DPPC and DSPE-PEG(2000) and the resulting formulation was activated to form gas-filled MBs. Excess of unreacted $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{[Ga(5)]}$ and free lipids were removed via a centrifugation/washing methodology. The RCY of purified $^{68}\text{Ga}$-MBs was around 40–50%, generating samples with ~30–35 MBq and concentrations of $(1.28\pm 0.68)\times 10^9$ MB mL$^{-1}$, in 40–50 minutes after $^{68}\text{Ga}$ elution from the generator.

**In vivo study of $^{68}\text{Ga}$-labelled MBs**

Encouraged by the successful $^{68}\text{Ga}$-labelling of MBs, comparative dynamic PET imaging and biodistribution studies of $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{[Ga(5)]}$, $^{68}\text{Ga}$-PE and $^{68}\text{Ga}$-MBs were undertaken on Balb/c nude mice ($n = 5$–6). The optimised labelling approach yielded MB stock solutions with high activity and concentration, allowing us to use concentrations of approximately $5 \times 10^7$ MB per injection with activities between 0.37–0.74 MBq. These MB concentration values are in the same order of typical diagnostic doses used in US imaging, suggesting that this strategy generates $^{68}\text{Ga}$-MBs that can also be exploited for complementary US studies.

Kinetic analysis showed that the MBs had a pharmacokinetic profile different from that of freely-injected PE and 5 (Fig. 5 and S6–S8†). Following the injection of free $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{[Ga(5)]}$, activity accumulated in the bladder, gallbladder and intestines within the first 5 minutes, which confirmed that $[^{68}\text{Ga}]\text{[Ga(5)]}$ was characterised by a rapid tissue distribution and subsequent clearance through the urinary route. $^{68}\text{Ga}$-PE and $^{68}\text{Ga}$-MBs, on
has emerged as a promising imaging modality for clinical applications, we believe that this strategy is a favourable way of non-invasively evaluating the pharmacokinetics and off-target accumulation of new MB formulations in their initial stages of (pre)clinical development. Therefore, this strategy can be easily implemented across multiple centres and hospitals, accelerating MB evaluation and development. Future work will explore the incorporation of targeted-modified phospholipids into the MB formulation and compare 68Ga-labelled MB containing targeted and non-targeted vectors.

### Experimental section

#### Materials

All the syntheses were carried out with the following commercially available reagents used without further purification: 4-aminomethylbenzotriazole hydrochloride (97%, Sigma Aldrich), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), nickel(u) trifuoromethanesulfonate (96%, Sigma Aldrich), hydrazine hydrate (50–60%, Sigma Aldrich), sodium nitrite (NaNO2, ≥97%, Sigma Aldrich), 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propiionic acid (98%, Sigma Aldrich), sodium hydroxide (98.5–100.5%, VWR International), ethylenediamine-N,N-diacidic acid (≥98%, Sigma Aldrich), formaldehyde (37 wt% in H2O, Sigma Aldrich), hydrochloric acid (37%, VWR International), trifluoroacetic acid (≥99.5%, Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), N,N-diisopropylethylamine (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), iron(m) chloride (97%, Sigma Aldrich). 1-[Bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazol[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate,N-[(dimethylamino)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-[4,5-b]-pyridin-1-ylmethylene]-N-methylmethylene] hexafluorophosphate N-oxide (HATU, 97%, Sigma Aldrich), glutaric anhydride (95%, Sigma Aldrich). Triethylamine (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), 1,1,1-trifluoromethanesulfonate (96%, Sigma Aldrich), N-hydroxyxsucinimide (98%, Sigma Aldrich). N,N'-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC, 99%, Sigma Aldrich), trans-cyclooctene-PEG-NHS ester (TCO-PEG-NHS, >95%, Jena Bioscience), trans-cyclooctene-NHS ester (E)-cyclooct-4-enyl-2,5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidinyl carbamate (TCO-NHS ester, >95%, Jena Bioscience), 4-nitroaniline (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), fluorescein isothiocyanate (98%, Sigma Aldrich), 2,2',2''- (10-[4-(2-aminoethyl)amino]-1-carboxy-4-oxobutyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecan-1,4,7-triyltriacetic acid (NH2-DOTA-GA, ChemMtech mdt), 2,2',2''- (10-[2-(5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidin-1-yl)oxy]-2-oxoethyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclododecan-1,4,7-triyltriacetic acid (DOTA-NHS ester, ChemMtech mdt), 2,2'-[7-(2-(5-dioxo-1-pyrrolidin-1-yl)oxy)-2-oxoethyl]-1,4,7-triazonane-1,4-diyl acetic acid (NOTA-NHS ester, ChemMtech mdt), sodium acetate (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), acetic acid (≥99%, Sigma Aldrich), ammonium acetate (≥98%, Sigma Aldrich), decafluorobutane (99%, FluorMed, L.P.), 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (DPPC, ≥99%, Avanti Polar Lipids), 1-palmitoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycerol-3-phosphocholine (LPC, ≥99%, Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-distearyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine (18:0 PE-NH2, ≥99%, Avanti Polar Lipids), 1,2-distearyl-sn-glycerol-3-phosphoethanolamine-N-[amino(polyethylene glycol)-2000] (ammonium salt) (DSPE-PEG(2000)-NH2, ≥99%, Avanti Polar Lipids), primuline (50%,

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient method for labelling MBs with a generator-produced PET isotope using a rapid and clean ligation between a TCO-modified phospholipid and a 68Ga-HBED-CC-tetrazine tracer. Bioconjugation of TCO to phospholipids is simple and reproducible, and the new HBED-CC-tetrazine chelator provides efficient and high-yielding 68Ga-labelling, affording reproducible synthesis of 68Ga-MBs under mild conditions. This method offers real-time monitoring and the possibility of easily customising alternative phospholipid-based formulations. We also confirmed that the resulting 68Ga-MBs allow non-invasive study of the whole-body distribution of MBs in mice. As contrast-enhanced US
Sigma Aldrich), propylene glycol (≥99.5%, Sigma Aldrich), glycerol (99%, Sigma Aldrich), Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (10×, Sigma Aldrich). Solvents were HPLC grade and obtained from WVR Chemicals. Anhydrous chloroform and methanol were purified and dried according to standard methods prior to use and, anhydrous dimethyl sulfoxide was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (≥99.5%).

For experiments working with radioactive substances, water (Ultrapur, VWR) was used for preparation of buffers, HCl and NaCl solutions. 5.5 M HCl prepared by dilution of hydrochloric acid 37% (Emprowe, VWR).

Methods

1D 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded on either a Bruker AV-500 or on a Bruker AV-400 spectrometer at room temperature using standard pulse programs. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and referenced to the appropriate residual solvent signal. Coupling constants (J) are reported to the nearest 0.5 Hz. Mass spectra (m/z) of phospholipids were run on a MALDI micro MX – TOF mass spectrometer from Waters. Samples were spotted 1 : 1 v/v with a matrix measured in re
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and 500 µL of 5 M NaCl solution. This eluate was subsequently buffered for direct use in ¹⁸⁶Ga-labelling experiments.

Organic syntheses

All the syntheses and experiments containing NHS ester compounds were performed under anhydrous conditions and an atmosphere of nitrogen in flame-dried glassware. All purified products were kept in the freezer and found to be stable for more than six months.

Synthesis of phospholipids

**Synthesis of PE-NOTA (1) and PE-DOTA (2).** 18:0 PE-NH₂ (7.5 mg, 10 µmol) was dissolved in 3 mL of a chloroform:methanol mixture (0.6 : 0.4 v/v) and triethylamine (50 µL). To this solution, either DOTA-NHS (10.0 mg, 13.1 µmol) or NOTA-NHS (10.0 mg, 15.2 µmol) was added and the mixture was left to stir for 5 h. After that, the crude was concentrated in rotavapour and purified by preparative-TLC. Rf for PE-DOTA = 0.10–0.19 (CHCl₃ : MeOH : H₂O 6 : 4 : 0.2 v/v) and, Rf for PE-NOTA = 0.08–0.16 (CHCl₃ : MeOH : H₂O 6 : 4 : 0.2 v/v).

**PE-DOTA.** 9.2 mg, 8.2 µmol, 82%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.87 (t, CH₃, 6H, 3J_H-H = 6.5 Hz), 1.25 (s, CH₂, 56H), 1.57 (m, CH₂CH₂CO, 4H), 2.27 (m, CH₂CH₂CO, 4H), 2.41–3.18 (m, NCH₂CH₂N + NCH₂CH₂N + NCH₂COOH, 22H), 3.64–4.43 (m, CH₂CH₂N + CH₂CH₂N + PO₂CH₂CH + COOCH₂COH + COCH₂N, 10H), 5.17 (s, PO₂CH₂CH, 1H). MALDI (matrix: 4-nitroanilide); m/z for [C₂H₅H₂N₄O₆P₄Na⁺][M – H + NH₄ + Na + CH₂CH₂OH]⁺ expected = 1220.8, found 1220.7 and [C₂H₅H₂N₄O₆P₄Na⁺][M – 2H + NH₄ + 2Na + CH₂CH₂OH]⁺ expected = 1242.8, found 1242.6.

**PE-NOTA.** 8.3 mg, 8.0 µmol, 80%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.87 (t, CH₃, 6H, 3J_H-H = 6.6 Hz), 1.25 (s, CH₂, 56H), 1.56–1.90 (m, CH₂CH₂CO + NCH₂CH₂N + NCH₂CH₂N, 16H), 2.26 (m, CH₂CH₂CO, 4H), 2.64–2.92 (m, NCH₂COOH, 6H), 3.23–3.45 (m, CH₂CH₂N, 2H), 3.56–4.41 (m, CH₂CH₂N + PO₂CH₂CH + COOCH₂COH + 6H), 5.19 (s, PO₂CH₂CH, 1H). MALDI (matrix: 4-nitroanilide); m/z for [C₂H₅H₂N₄O₆P₄Na⁺][M – 3H + NH₄ + Na + CH₂CH₂OH]⁺ expected = 1117.8, found 1117.5 and [C₂H₅H₂N₄O₆P₄Na⁺][M – 2H + NH₄ + CH₂CH₂OH]⁺ expected = 1095.7, found 1095.4.

Synthesis of PE-isothiocyante

18:0 PE-NH₂ (50 mg, 66.9 µmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of a chloroform:methanol mixture (0.8 : 0.2 v/v) and triethylamine (50 µL). To this solution, a solution of TCO-PEG₄-NHS (10 mg, 19.43 µmol dissolved in 0.3 mL chloroform) or TCO-NHS (5 mg, 18.71 µmol) was added and the mixture was left to stir for 5 h. After that, the crude was concentrated in vacuo and purified by preparative TLC. Rf for PE-PEG₄-TCO = 0.45 (CHCl₃ : MeOH : H₂O 8 : 2 : 0.2 v/v) and, Rf for PE-TCO = 0.50 (CHCl₃ : MeOH : H₂O 8 : 2 : 0.2 v/v).

**PE-PEG₄-TCO.** 13.5 mg, 11.63 µmol, 88%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.88 (t, CH₃, 6H, 3J_H-H = 6.5 Hz), 1.26 (s, CH₂, 56H), 1.56–1.64 (m, 2 × CH₂CH₂CO + 2 × CH₂, 8H), 1.66–1.74 (m, CH₂, 2H), 1.90–1.98 (m, CH₂, 2H), 2.25–2.30 (m, 2 × CH₂CH₂CO, 4H), 2.33–2.40 (m, CH₂, 2H), 2.41–2.52 (m, CH₂, 2H), 3.46 (m, CH₂CH₂N, 2H), 3.52–3.84 (m, OCH₂CH₂O + OCH₂CH₂O, 18H), 4.00 (m, CH₂CH₂N + COOCH₂COH, 4H), 4.14–4.21 (m, trans-PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 4.23–4.30 (m, COOCH, 1H), 4.35–4.41 (m, cis-PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 5.23 (m, PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 5.37–5.48 (m, CH, 1H), 5.53–5.64 (m, CH, 1H). MALDI (matrix: 4-nitroanilide); m/z for [C₂H₅H₂N₄O₆P₄][M – NH₄ + CH₂CH₂OH]⁺ expected = 1195.9, found 1195.4.

**PE-TCO.** 12.0 mg, 12.13 µmol, 91%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 0.88 (t, CH₃, 6H, 3J_H-H = 6.5 Hz), 1.26 (s, CH₂, 56H), 1.38 (m, 2 × CH₂CH₂CO, 4H), 1.67 (m, CH₂, 4H), 1.83–2.01 (m, CH₂, 4H), 2.29 (m, CH₂CH₂CO, 4H + CH₂, 2H), 3.33 (m, CH₂CH₂N, 2H), 3.65 (m, CH₂CH₂N + COOCH₂COH, 4H), 4.15 (m, trans-PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 4.30 (m, COOCH, 1H), 4.38 (m, cis-PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 5.20 (m, PO₂CH₂CH, 1H), 5.53 (m, CH₂CH₂N).
CH2, 2H). MALDI (matrix: 4-nitroaniline); m/z for [C26H40N7O9P]+ ([M + NH4 + CH3OH]+) expected = 948.7, found 948.4.

Synthesis of bifunctional chelators

Synthesis of 3,3′(((ethane-1,2-diylibis((carboxymethyl)azanediyl))bis(methylene))bis(4-hydroxy-3,1-phenylene)) dipropionic acid (HBED-CC). HBED-CC was synthesized by modifying a reported method:21

3′-(4-Hydroxypheynyl)propionic acid (HBED-CC, 5). 533.2135, found 533.2142.

4-(Aminooxypentanamido)ethyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododecane-1,4,7-triyl)triacetic acid) ([C51H101N2O11P]+ ([M + NH4 + CH3OH]+) expected = 948.7, found 948.4.

Synthesis of 3′-((ethane-1,2-diylibis((carboxymethyl)azanediyl))bis(methylene))bis(4-hydroxy-3,1-phenylene)) dipropionic acid (HBED-CC). HBED-CC was synthesized by modifying a reported method:21

Synthesis of tert-butyl (4-cyanobenzyl)carbamate. 4-(Aminooxypentanamido)ethyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododecane-1,4,7-triyl)triacetic acid) (5) was carried out according to a method reported by our group, with some minor modifications:108

Synthesis of tert-butyl (4-cyanobenzyl)carbamate. 4-(Aminooxypentanamido)ethyl)amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraaza-cyclododecane-1,4,7-triyl)triacetic acid) (5) was carried out according to a method reported by our group, with some minor modifications:108
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(356 mg, 1.0 mmol) and hydrate hydrizate (50–60% NH₂NH₂) (6.2 mL, 100.0 mmol). The tube was sealed and heated to 60 °C for 72 h, following which H₂O (10 mL) and sodium nitrite (2.82 g, 40.0 mmol) were added to the mixture. HCl (1 M) was added dropwise until the pH reached 3 and gases stopped evolving, at which point the mixture had turned bright red. The product was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (3 × 20 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The bright pink crude solid was purified by flash column chromatography (DCM/EtO gradient 100 : 0 v/v to 96 : 4 v/v) to give tert-butyl (4-(6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)benzyl)carbamate (0.37 g, 62% yield) as a bright pink solid. Rf = 0.39 (2% EtO/DCM).³¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 1.46 (s, COOC(CH₃)₃, 9H), 3.07 (s, CH₂, 3H), 4.41 (d, CH₃, 3JH-H = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 5.09 (s, CH₃N, 1H), 7.47 (d, CHAROM, 3JH-H = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.51 (d, CH₃, 3JH-H = 8.4 Hz, 2H).³¹C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ (ppm) 30.2 (1C quat not observed), 31.8 (3C), 44.5 (1C), 79.9 (1C). ESI (positive mode): m/z for [C₁₅H₁₇N₅O₃Na]⁺ ([M + Na]⁺) 338.1229, found 338.1278.


Synthesis of 5-(4-(6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)phenyl)amino)-5-oxopentanooic acid. A dry flask was treated with TFA/CH₂Cl₂ (1/1, v/v, 3 mL) and stirred for 1.5 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield the TFA salt of 4-(6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)benzylamine 3 (26.4 mg, 84% yield) as a bright pink solid.³¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol- d₄): δ (ppm) 3.06 (s, CH₂, 3H), 4.26 (s, CH₂, 2H), 7.72 (d, CHAROM, 3JH-H = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.62 (d, CHAROM, 3JH-H = 8.4 Hz, 2H).³¹C NMR (100 MHz, methanol- d₄): δ (ppm) 21.1 (1C), 43.9 (1C), 129.4 (2C), 130.8 (2C), 134.3 (1C), 138.8 (1C), 164.9 (1C), 169.1 (1C). ESI (positive mode): m/z calcd for [C₁₅H₁₉N₅O₂]⁺ ([M + H]⁺) 295.0979, found 295.0934.

Synthesis of 2,2',2''-(10-(1-carboxy-4-(2-(4-(6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)-5-oxopentanooic acid)(ethyl amino)-4-oxobutyl)-1,4,7,10-tetraazacyclodecane-1,4,7-triy). triacetic acid (DOTA-GA-tetrazine, 6). To a stirred solution of 5-(4-(6-methyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)-5-oxopentanooic acid (10.0 mg, 31.71 µmol) in anhydrous dmso (220 µL) and triethylamine (7 µL), was added a solution of N-hydroxy-ysuccinimide (18.8 mg, 162.5 µmol) and N,N'-diclohexylcarbodiimide (33.5 mg, 162.5 µmol) in anhydrous dmso (80 µL). The resultant mixture was vigorously stirred for 16 h at room temperature in the absence of light. After that, the solution was passed through a 0.45 µm filter and added to a solution containing DOTA-GL-NH₂ (17 mg, 32 µmol) in 100 mL of anhydrous dmso. The reaction was stirred for 5 h at room temperature in the absence of light. The crude was lyophilized and the residue dried in vacuo to give a pink oil as crude. The product was purified by flash chromatography using an Isolera™ Spectra System (Biotage® SNAP Ultra C18 12 g cartridge, A: H₂O with 0.1% TFA, B: CH₃CN with 0.1% TFA. Gradient: A to B: 2 to 30%, 30 CV, 30 to 70%, 5 CV and 70 to 98%, 5 CV), to yield 6 as a pink solid (19.6 mg, 24.10 µmol, 76% yield). HPLC: τᵣ = 7.78 min (gradient A). The product was stable in the freezer for more than six months.³¹H NMR (400 MHz, methanol- d₄): 1.90–1.98 (m, COCH₂CH₂, 2H), 2.25 (t, COCH₂CH₂, 3JH-H = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.33 (t, COCH₂CH₂, 3JH-H = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 2.43–3.02 (m, 8H), 3.04 (s, CH₃, 3H), 3.12–3.29 (m, 8H), 3.39–4.31 (m, 15H), 4.50 (s, NHCH₂CH₂, 2H), 7.55 (d, CHAROM, 3JH-H = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.51 (d, CHAROM, 3JH-H = 8.4 Hz, 2H).³¹C NMR (125 MHz, methanol- d₄): δ (ppm) 21.1, 23.2, 28.5, 30.7, 36.2, 36.3, 40.0, 40.2, 43.8, 49.6, 51.1, 54.3, 54.5, 56.2, 57.5, 129.1, 129.3, 132.4, 145.2, 165.2, 168.8, 175.5, 175.6, 175.7, 175.7. ESI (positive mode): m/z calcd for [C₂₆H₂₅N₅O₁₁]⁺ ([M + H]⁺) 816.4004, found 816.4010.

Radiochemistry

⁶⁸GaCl₃ was eluted from a GalliaPharm® ⁶⁸Ge/⁶⁸Ga Generator from Eckert & Ziegler Radiopharma GmbH (0.74 GBq) using a fully automated Modular-Lab system and an adapted NaCl-based method previously reported.⁶⁸ Briefly, ⁶⁸GaCl₃ was eluted in 5 mL of a 0.1 M HCl solution, which was subsequently trapped on a SCX cartridge (preconditioned with 1 mL 0.1 M HCl and 10 mL water) and the activity was then eluted, with minimal loss (2–5%), using a mixture of 12.5 µL of 5.5 M HCl and 500 µL of 5 M NaCl solution. This eluate was subsequently buffered for direct use in ⁶⁸Ga-labelling experiments.

iTLC quantification. Chelators, freshly prepared from stock solutions for each experiment, were dissolved in aqueous solutions of sodium acetate (0.2 M) to provide solutions with chelator concentrations ranging from 0.05 to 500 µM (0.05, 0.5, 5, 50, 100, 250 and 500 µM).⁶⁸GaCl₃ (15 µL, approx. 2 MBq in 0.1 M aqueous HCl was added to chelator solutions (100 µL) and the reaction solution was incubated at either 25 or 90 °C. The final pH of the reaction solutions was 3.5, 4.5 and 5.5. After 10 min, the reaction solution was analysed by iTLC (glass microfiber chromatography paper impregnated with silica gel, 100 × 10 mm). As controls, ⁶⁸Ga was (15 µL, approx. 2 MBq in 0.1 M aqueous HCl was mixed with 100 µL of sodium acetate (0.2 M) at the three tested pHs. The controls were incubated at either 25 or 90 °C for 10 min and then, analysed by iTLC and Laura 3 software (LabLogic, Sheffield, UK). The mobile phase used was ammonium acetate (1 M in
methanol : water 80 : 20 v/v) for all chelators. \(^{68}\text{Ga}(\text{PE-NOTA}) \) \( R_m = 0.66-0.76; \) \(^{68}\text{Ga}(\text{PE-DOTA}) \) \( R_m = 0.67-0.78; \) \(^{68}\text{Ga}(\text{PE-iso-DOTAGA}) \) \( R_m = 0.62-0.76; \) \(^{68}\text{Ga}(\text{DOTAGA-Tetrazine}) \) \( R_m = 0.67-0.77 \). \(^{68}\text{Ga}(\text{HBED-CC-Tetrazine}) \) \( R_m = 0.68-0.78 \); non-chelated \(^{68}\text{Ga}^{1+} \) \( R_m < 0.3 \). i TLC plates were imaged and quantified by digital autoradiography using instruments and software described above.

68Ga-labeling of 1. \(^{68}\text{GaCl}_3 \) eluate (~75 MBq) was added to a solution of 2 mL sodium acetate buffer (0.1 M, pH = 4.0) and 100 \( \mu \)L chelator (0.3 mg mL\(^{-1} \) in ETOH). During the labelling, the pH of the reaction mixture inside the reactor was determined to be 3.7 ± 0.2. After incubating the solution for 15 min at 90°C, the reaction mixture was then diluted with 5 mL of water and passed through an Oasis® HLB (1cc/30 mg) cartridge (preconditioned with 10 mL ethanol and 10 mL water). The cartridge was washed with 5 mL of water and the labelled compound was eluted with 800 \( \mu \)L of pure ethanol. 1 gave radiochemical conversions >80%, and the product was obtained in >95% radiochemical purity, and in 20–30% isolated RCY (n.d.c.). The synthesis was finished within about 23 min.

68Ga-labeling of 5 and 6. \(^{68}\text{GaCl}_3 \) eluate (200–220 MBq) was added to a solution of 4.5 mL sodium acetate buffer (0.1 M, pH = 4.5) containing 30 \( \mu \)L chelator (1 mg mL\(^{-1} \) in DMSO). During the labelling, the pH of the reaction mixture inside the reactor was determined to be 4.2 ± 0.3. After incubating the solution for 10 min either at room temperature for 5 or at 90°C for 6, the \(^{68}\text{Ga} \) chloride was quantitatively chelated to the precursor. The reaction mixture was then diluted with 5 mL of water and trapped on a Sep-Pack® Light tC18 cartridge (preconditioned with 10 mL ethanol and 10 mL water). The cartridge was washed with 5 mL of water and the labelled compound was eluted with 800 \( \mu \)L of pure ethanol. Both chelators gave radiochemical conversions >95%, and the product was obtained in >95% radiochemical purity, and in 70–80% isolated RCY (n.d.c.). The synthesis was finished within about 15 min.

Synthesis of \(^{68}\text{Ga}-\text{labelled MBs}. \) An aliquot of purified \(^{68}\text{Ga} \) \([\text{Ga}(5)] \) (~75 MBq) was added to a vial containing PE-PEG\(_3\)-TCO (0.13 mg, 0.107 mol). The reaction vial was incubated for 20 min at 60°C under a N\(_2\) stream. After that, the volume of the reaction was reduced to about 100 \( \mu \)L and a solution containing the rest of lipids was added (concretely, 0.62 mg of DPPC and 0.140 mg DSPE-PEG\(_{2000}-\text{NH}_2\) dissolved in 1 mL of a solution of propylene glycol, glycerol, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (15 : 5 : 80, v/v/v)) was rehydrated by stirring the mixture. The vial was sealed and activated to form microbubbles (see in the next section the experimental details for this process).

In order to remove unreacted \(^{68}\text{Ga}[\text{Ga}(5)] \), microbubbles were purified by centrifugation adapting a reported method.\(^{17}\) The vial containing the \(^{68}\text{Ga}-\text{labelled microbubbles was put upside down and centrifuged at 350 g during 2 min using a ROTINA 35 R centrifuge. Then, the microbubbles were collected into a concentrated cake on the top of the vial and the remaining suspension (infranatant), which contained unreacted precursor and residual lipids and vesicles, which did not form part of the microbubble shell, was discarded. The microbubble cake was re-dispersed to a 1 mL volume of 20 vol% glycerol solution in PBS and stored in the same vial with PFB headspace until further use. The washing step was repeated three times or until no activity was measured in the infranatant solution. \(^{68}\text{Ga}-\text{labelled MBs were counted and sized on a Countess II FL Automated Cell Counter and stored in the same vial with PFB headspace until further use.}

(\(^{a}\) An alternative dienophile-modified phospholipid without the PEG1 spacer, named PE-TCO (7), was also tested for reaction with \(^{68}\text{Ga}[\text{Ga}(5)] \). Under the same conditions, 7 showed low conversions (approx. 10%), probably as a consequence of its poor solubility in ethanol (data not shown).

Microbubble production

The lipid-coated, decalfluorobutane-filled microbubbles (MBs) were manufactured using a modified formulation.\(^{18}\) Matsunaga et al. prepared MBs containing a lipid mixture of 85 mol% 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DPPC), 10 mol% 1-palmitoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (LPC), and 5 mol% 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N\((\text{methoxy(polyethylene glycol)-2000})\) (DSPE-PEG2000-N\(_2\)). In this paper, we studied the possibility of incorporating 1,2-distearyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoethanolamine-N\((\text{PE-NH}_2)\) lipid into the MB shell. For that reason, we prepared MBs having a final lipid mixture of 85 mol% DPPC, 10 mol%, (either PE-NH\(_2\) or PE-PEG\(_3\)-TCO) and 5 mol% DSPE-PEG2000-N\(_2\). In all cases, the total lipid concentration was between 0.86 and 0.88 mg mL\(^{-1}\). The mixture of lipids was first dissolved in chloroform, dried over nitrogen gas, and then further dried in vacuo overnight to remove residual solvent from the lipid films. Then, 1 mL of a solution of propylene glycol, glycerol, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (15 : 5 : 80, v/v/v) was used to rehydrate the films. This created a lipid suspension, which was immediately stirred for 10 min at 50–60°C and later, decanted in a sealed 2 mL glass vial. Prior to activation, the headspace was purged with decafluorobutane at room temperature and, MBs were produced via mechanical agitation.

Biological experimental procedures

All animal experiments were conducted by licensed investigators in accordance with the United Kingdom Home Office Guidance on the Operation of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (HSMO, London, UK, 1990) and with the published guidelines for the Welfare of Use of Animals in Cancer Research Institute Committee on Welfare of Animals in Cancer Research.\(^{22}\)

PET imaging. Dynamic PET imaging of \(^{68}\text{Ga}[\text{Ga}(5)] \), \(^{68}\text{Ga}-\text{PE} \) and \(^{68}\text{Ga-MBs was performed in non-tumour bearing Balb/c} \) mice (5–6 mice/cohort, 6–8 weeks) (Charles River UK Ltd.). Mice were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane/O\(_2\) and placed in a thermostatically controlled ring in a dedicated small animal Genisys\(^{4}\) PET scanner (SOFIE Biosciences, Culver City, USA). Following injection of radioactivity (0.74 MBq in the case of non-MB tracers, and between 0.37–0.74 MBq for \(^{68}\text{Ga}-\text{labelled MB, keeping a constant value of 5 × 10}^{2} \) MB per injection) \( \text{via} \) lateral tail vein cannula, PET scans were acquired in a list-mode format over 0–30 min to give decay-corrected values of radioactivity accumulation in tissues. The collected data were
ordered into 11 time frames (4 × 15 s, 4 × 60 s, 3 × 300 s) and reconstructed with a 3-dimensional maximum likelihood estimation method (3D ML-EM). Volumes of interest (VOIs) for each tissue were defined using Siemens Inveon Research Workplace software (Siemens Molecular Imaging Inc., Knoxville, USA) and count densities (counts/min) were expressed as a percentage of the incubated dose (ID) of radioactivity and normalized to tissue weight. Tissue kinetics were calculated by averaging the count densities per timepoint.

**Biodistribution.** Biodistribution studies were carried out on the same cohort of animals a few hours after radioactivity injection. Tissue samples were quickly collected and radioactivity content was determined by γ-counting (LKB Wallac 1282 Compugamma laboratory γ-counter, PerkinElmer, Massachusetts, USA). Count densities (counts/min) were expressed as a percentage of the incubated dose (ID) of radioactivity and normalized to tissue weight.
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