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Oxide-supported Rh nanoparticles have been widely used for CO₂ hydrogenation, especially for ethanol synthesis. However, this reaction operates under high pressure, up to 8 MPa, and suffers from low CO₂ conversion and alcohol selectivity. This paper describes the crucial role of hydroxyl groups bound on Rh-based catalysts supported on TiO₂ nanorods (NRs). The RhFeLi/TiO₂ NR catalyst shows superior reactivity (=15% conversion) and ethanol selectivity (32%) for CO₂ hydrogenation. The promoting effect can be attributed to the synergism of high Rh dispersion and high-density hydroxyl groups on TiO₂ NRs. Hydroxyls are proven to stabilize formate species and protonate methanol, which is easily dissociated into *CH₃, and then CO obtained from the reverse water–gas shift reaction (RWGS) is inserted into *CH₃ to form CH₂CO*, followed by CH₃CO* hydrogenation to ethanol.

Introduction

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is one of the major components of greenhouse gases, which can result in climate change and ocean acidification. Among the different approaches explored for controlling CO₂ emission, the chemical conversion of CO₂ to high-value-added fuels (oxgenates, alcohols, olefins etc.) has attracted extensive attention.¹ ² Compared with C₁ products (CO, CH₄ and CH₃OH), the higher alcohols (C₂OH, especially C₂H₅OH), which are mostly produced from biological fermentation, are widely applied in industries as indispensable higher-energy-density engine fuels and fuel additives.³ According to thermodynamic analysis, the formation of ethanol from CO₂ is limited enormously at 1–30 bar due to the preferential production of CO or CH₄, thus the selectivity to ethanol is relatively low.⁴

Therefore, the production of C₂OH by CO₂ hydrogenation is appealing but remains very challenging. Previous studies have shown that a Pt/CeO₂ catalyst⁵ achieved 27.3% selectivity to C₂OH with H₂O/DMI as a solvent. Multi-functional composite catalysts, such as CoMoS⁶ (5.5% selectivity to ethanol), physically mixed Fe-based and Cu-based catalysts⁷ (17.4% selectivity to ethanol) and K/Cu–Zn–Fe catalysts⁸ (19.5% selectivity to C₂OH and CH₃OH), were also used for alcohol synthesis. Particularly, Rh-based catalysts have been evaluated as promising catalysts for the selective synthesis of ethanol.⁹ ¹⁰ ¹¹ In general, promoters such as Fe and Li are frequently used for enhancing ethanol selectivity via changing the electronic state of Rh and increasing the intensity of bridge-bonded CO species. For example, 5 wt% RhFe⁶ and RhLi⁵ supported on SiO₂ showed ethanol selectivities of 16.4% and 15.5%, respectively.

However, there are still some limitations for alcohol production through CO₂ hydrogenation reaction, such as the difficulties in CO₂ activation, high energy barrier for C–O bond scission and the formation of C₁ by-products.⁶ Therefore, the design of efficient heterogeneous catalysts for ethanol production is of great importance. Tuning the particle size of noble metals can often increase the CO₂ conversion and product selectivity.¹² ¹⁶ For example, suitable reducible metal oxide supports, such as TiO₂ and ZrO₂, have been extensively applied to tune the particle size.¹⁷ ¹⁸ The Au/TiO₂ catalyst with abundant oxygen vacancies exhibited high selectivity to ethanol from CO₂ reduction in DMF solvent.¹⁹ The bimetallic Pd₃Cu/P₂₅ catalyst also presented an excellent yield of ethanol with the help of water.²⁰ On the other hand, a promotion strategy via hydroxyl groups has also been proved to be an efficient approach towards improving alcohol selectivity in CO₂ hydrogenation.²¹ ²³

This work shows that a high yield of ethanol under low pressure can be achieved by the introduction of hydroxyls onto a TiO₂ support. First, 1 wt% RhFeLi (Rh : Fe : Li = 1 : 1 : 1) catalysts supported on a series of reducible oxides were prepared. The catalysts supported on TiO₂ nanorods (NRs) display the highest selectivity to ethanol. Since TiO₂ NRs have been extensively used in a variety of catalytic systems, such as photocatalytic water splitting, CO₂ photoreduction and dissociation of CO₂ to CO,²⁴ ²⁶ we synthesized TiO₂ NRs by a modified hydrothermal method. More importantly, high-density surface
hydroxyls can be introduced into the catalytic system after reduction of TiO2 NR-supported catalysts in H2. A significant improvement of ethanol yield is observed for Rh-based catalysts supported on TiO2 NRs, which have not been reported in previous studies. Furthermore, the hydroxyl-mediated mechanism of ethanol formation over RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs catalysts is investigated.

Results and discussion

Catalyst structure

The morphology of the synthesized TiO2 NRs is shown in Fig. 1a and 1b.† The length and diameter of TiO2 NRs are 50–200 nm and 10–20 nm, respectively. The specific surface area of TiO2 NRs is determined to be 23.6 m2 gcat⁻¹, which is close to that of commercial TiO2 (TiO2 Com, 18.2 m2 gcat⁻¹) (Table S1†). The Rh-based catalysts supported on TiO2 NRs and TiO2 Com were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation. The Rh nanoparticles on TiO2 NRs present uniform size distribution with an average diameter of 2.3 ± 1.0 nm (Fig. 1a, c and e). The high-resolution images of Rh nanoparticles shown in Fig. 1c and d present a lattice distance of 0.23 nm, corresponding to Rh (111) planes. In contrast, two-times-larger (~4.0 nm) Rh nanoparticles in the range of 1–7 nm are observed on TiO2 Com (Fig. 1b, d and f). TEM studies indicate that TiO2 NRs can prevent the severe agglomeration of Rh nanoparticles after the reduction at 400 °C in a H2 atmosphere.

In XRD measurements, no characteristic peak of Fe2O3 can be found for RhFeLi/TiO2 Com and RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs when the loading of Fe is relatively low (~1 wt%) (Fig. 2). When the loading of Fe is increased to 2.5 wt%, the diffraction peak of Fe2O3 at 33.2° can be seen for TiO2 Com. However, the diffraction peak of Fe2O3 does not appear for TiO2 NRs even though the loading of Fe is increased to 5 wt% (Fig. 2). XRD results indicate that the dispersion of FeOx over TiO2 NRs is higher than that over TiO2 Com. EDS elemental mapping of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs also shows that the FeOx species are well dispersed on TiO2 NRs (Fig. 1g). We note that no Raman shift of TiO2 can be observed for all catalysts (Fig. S2†), indicating that the FeOx species are deposited on the surface rather than being doped into TiO2 bulk. The H2 temperature-programmed reduction (H2-TPR) results of FeOx/TiO2 show that the reduction temperature of FeOx over TiO2 NRs is 100 °C higher than the reduction temperature of FeOx over TiO2 Com (Fig. S3a†), suggesting that the FeOx species are better dispersed on TiO2 NRs compared with TiO2 Com.

H2-TPR studies of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs and RhFeLi/TiO2 Com were also carried out to investigate the interfacial interaction between Rh and oxide promoters (Fig. S3b†). According to previous studies, the peaks below 200 °C can be ascribed to the reduction of Rh2O3, while the broad peak appearing at higher temperature (300–500 °C) can be assigned to the reduction of Fe2O3. We find that the reduction temperature of Rh2O3 for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs is 50 °C higher than that on RhFeLi/TiO2 Com, illustrating smaller Rh size on TiO2 NRs.† As such, the higher dispersion of Rh-based nanoparticles on TiO2 NRs should increase the number of interfacial sites between Rh and oxide promoters, where C–C coupling occurs via reaction between CO and *CH3.† To further illustrate the surface structure of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs and RhFeLi/TiO2 Com catalysts, CO titration experiments were...
conducted. The active loop volume of CO for RhFeLi/TiO2 Com (0.09 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$), which is consumed by CO adsorption on Rh, is much smaller than that for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs (0.69 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) (Table S2†). The observed low adsorption amount of CO on RhFeLi/TiO2 Com may be due to the partial encapsulation of Rh sites by oxide overlayers. The TEM image also shows that the Rh nanoparticles are decorated by oxide overlayers in the RhFeLi/TiO2 Com catalyst (Fig. 1d). Quantitative XPS analysis was also conducted to investigate the surface structure of Rh-based catalysts (Table S3†). The surface molar ratio of Rh : Fe in RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs is determined to be 58 : 42, which is close to the bulk molar ratio of Rh : Fe measured by ICP-AES (48 : 52) and the initial feed ratio. For RhFeLi/TiO2 Com, XPS investigations show that the surface molar ratio of Rh : Fe is 29 : 71. However, the bulk molar ratio of Rh : Fe determined by ICP-AES (Rh : Fe = 50 : 50) still agrees with the initial feed ratio, suggesting that the Rh nanoparticles should be partially covered by the FeO$_x$ species. Note that the binding energy (BE) of Rh 3d$_{5/2}$ locates at ~307.0 eV (Fig. S4b†), corresponding to the metallic state of Rh.28

Catalytic performance

The catalytic performance of Rh-based catalysts supported on different oxide supports in CO$_2$ hydrogenation is studied. The TiO$_2$ NR-supported catalysts present the highest ethanol yield (Fig. S5†). Additionally, a series of Rh-based catalysts with different promoters were synthesized. Compared with the mono-component Rh/TiO$_2$ catalyst, the selectivity of RhFe/TiO$_2$ for ethanol is improved significantly (16% for RhFe/TiO$_2$ Com and 25% for RhFe/TiO$_2$ NRs, Fig. S6†). With an increase of the loading of Fe, CO$_2$ conversion and the selectivity to ethanol and CH$_4$ decreased while the selectivity to CO increased (Fig. S7†). Since FeO$_x$ could catalyze the reverse water–gas shift reaction (RWGS) to produce CO, the changes of CO$_2$ conversion and product distribution indicate that the excess Fe species has a passive effect on CO$_2$ conversion and ethanol synthesis by blocking the active Rh sites.29 This blocking effect may be caused by the encapsulation of Rh sites by FeO$_x$ species, which has been proven by XPS measurements combined with CO chemisorption (Table S2†). On the other hand, the addition of Li can increase the CO$_2$ conversion on Rh/TiO$_2$ by 5%, while the selectivity to ethanol does not change (Fig. S6c†). Based on these results, we conclude that the addition of Fe can promote the catalytic performance of RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ NRs remains stable in a 20 h stability test (Fig. S7†). The superior reactivity and long-term stability of RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ NRs catalysts provide an inspiration for their potential industrial application.

Promotion effects of the hydroxyl groups

First, the hydroxyl groups were introduced by pre-reduction of RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ NRs and RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ Com catalysts in a H$_2$ atmosphere at 400 °C. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) experiments were carried out to characterize the surface hydroxyl groups on RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ catalysts. As shown in Fig. S8, the broad band at 3450 cm$^{-1}$ and the sharp peak at 1640 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the stretching and bending vibrations of associated hydroxyls, respectively.28 The density of hydroxyl groups on RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ NRs is much higher than that on RhFeLi/TiO$_2$ Com (Fig. 4a), suggesting that high-density hydroxyl groups could be introduced into the catalytic system by using TiO$_2$ NRs. Subsequently, the catalytic properties of the hydroxyls on TiO$_2$...
NRs are characterized by CO temperature-programmed desorption (CO-TPD). A sharp signal peak of CO₂ (m/z = 44) at \( \sim 0 \) °C is observed in CO-TPD profiles for both RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs and pure TiO₂ NRs (Fig. 4b and S8a). As reported previously, the CO₂ may originate from the water-gas shift process, in which the CO adsorbed on Rh sites reacts with hydroxyl groups.\(^{29,30}\) However, a small CO₂ peak at \( \sim 0 \) °C is observed for RhFeLi/TiO₂ Com and pure TiO₂ Com due to the lack of hydroxyls.\(^{29,30}\)

The TOF of ethanol formed over RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs is determined to be 0.12 h\(^{-1}\), which is much higher than that over RhFeLi/TiO₂ Com or RhFeLi/SiO₂ (0.08 h\(^{-1}\)) (Table S2†). Therefore, we suggest that the surface hydroxyl groups may play an important role in ethanol formation via CO₂ hydrogenation.

To verify the role of hydroxyls in ethanol formation, the RhFeLi/TiO₂ NR catalyst was pre-treated in a CO atmosphere (RhFeLi/TiO₂ Nts-CO). The removal process of hydroxyl groups in CO was monitored by in situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS, Fig. S9a†). We find that the IR peak of hydroxyl stretching vibrations (3450 cm\(^{-1}\)) disappears gradually at 350 °C. The hydroxyls can be removed completely after \( \sim 12 \) minutes of CO-feeding (Fig. S9b†). After the pre-treatment in CO flow at 350 °C, the CO₂ peak at \( \sim 0 \) °C isn’t observed in the CO-TPD profile (Fig. 4d), and RhFeLi/TiO₂ NR-CO catalysts with a hydroxyl-deficient surface are prepared (Fig. 4a and S8b†). Compared with RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs reduced with H₂, the RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs-CO catalyst exhibits much lower CO₂ conversion (4.7%) and produces almost no ethanol (Fig. 5a). The selectivity to CO reaches \( \sim 80\% \) among the products and the selectivity to CH₄ decreases from 53.9% to 9.6%. In the TEM images (Fig. S10†), RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs and RhFeLi/TiO₂ NR-CO catalysts show similar size distribution.

In sequential experiments, a mixture of CO₂ and H₂ (CO₂ : H₂ = 1 : 3) is first introduced into an in situ cell at 250 °C, followed by a switch to pure CO₂ flow to investigate the stability of hydroxyls and formate species. In a CO₂ + H₂ atmosphere (CO₂ : H₂ = 1 : 3) at 250 °C, the bands at 3016, 2965 and 2880 cm\(^{-1}\) in the \( \nu _{C-H} \) region appear to be stemming from gaseous CH₄ (3016 cm\(^{-1}\)) and adsorbed formate species, respectively. In the O–C–O stretching region between 1650 and 1200 cm\(^{-1}\), the bands at 1520 and 1390 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to carbonate, while the rest of the peaks may stem from adsorbed formate (1595 and 1370 cm\(^{-1}\)), Table S5†). \(^{24-26}\) The absorbance intensities of the dissociated hydroxyl stretching vibrations at 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) and OCO asymmetric stretching vibration at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) in DRIFTS are used to represent the amount of hydroxyls and formate, respectively.\(^{24}\) As shown in Fig. 6, the changes of the hydroxyl amount and the formate amount are plotted as a function of time when switching the CO₂ + H₂ flow to pure CO₂ flow at 250 °C. We find that the amounts of hydroxyls and formate species on RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs remain almost unchanged under pure CO₂ flow for 40 min (Fig. 6, S12a and c†). In contrast, the hydroxyls and formate adsorbed on RhFeLi/TiO₂ Com disappear rapidly within 20 min (Fig. 6, S12b and d†). We suggest that the abundant hydroxyl groups on RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs can stabilize the formate species, which has been proposed to be one of the intermediates of methanation via formate hydrogenation and then scission of C–O in *CH₂–O.\(^{16,35}\)

![Fig. 5](image-url) (a) CO₂ conversion and product selectivity versus time obtained from 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs. CO reduction was conducted at 350 °C for 0.5 h. Re-reduction in H₂ was carried out at 400 °C for 1 h. Reaction conditions: P = 30 atm, T = 250 °C, GHSV = 6000 h\(^{-1}\), CO₂/H₂ = 1/3. (b) The peak area of hydroxyls in FTIR normalized by \( S_{BET} \) (×10⁴) on 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs pretreated under different reduction atmospheres. (c) The peak area of hydroxyls in FTIR normalized by \( S_{BET} \) (×10⁵) on 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs calcined at different temperatures.

![Fig. 6](image-url) (a) The absorbance intensity of hydroxyls at 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) in DRIFTS of 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs and 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ Com versus time after switching the CO₂ + H₂ + Ar (CO₂ : H₂ = 1 : 3) flow to pure CO₂ flow at 250 °C. (b) The absorbance intensity of formate at 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) in DRIFTS of 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ NRs and 2.5 wt% RhFeLi/TiO₂ Com versus time after switching the CO₂ + H₂ + Ar (CO₂ : H₂ = 1 : 3) flow to pure CO₂ flow at 250 °C.
In situ DRIFTS was further carried out to investigate the catalytic role of hydroxyls in ethanol formation. In contrast to RhFeLi/TiO2 Com, additional bands at 1470 cm⁻¹ and 1746 cm⁻¹ are observed for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs under a CO₂ + H₂ atmosphere (CO₂ : H₂ = 1 : 3) at 250 °C (Fig. 7a). The existence of the band at 1746 cm⁻¹ has been reported for Rh/Al₂O₃ (ref. 35) and Ru/Al₂O₃ (ref. 36) catalysts, which can be attributed to adsorbed formyl (CHO*) species. It is believed that the formation of CHO* is the rate-limiting step of ethanol synthesis (Scheme S1†). Also, CHO* is thermodynamically more favored to be dissociated into *CH₂ than into CO. As expected, significant amounts of *CH₃ species (1470 cm⁻¹) are observed on the surface of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs (Fig. 7a). Subsequently, CO can be inserted into these abundant adsorbed *CH₃ species on RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs, which may be responsible for the high ethanol yield. Based on the above analysis, a mechanism that hydroxyls stabilize the formate and accelerate the scission of CH₂–O to produce *CH₃ species is proposed (Scheme 1).

With increasing the calcination temperature from 400 to 600 °C, the normalized peak area of associated hydroxyl vibration bands for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs decreases gradually, indicating that the density of hydroxyls is decreased (Fig. 5c and S8c†). In addition, the summed selectivity and TOF of CH₄ and ethanol show a downward trend with increasing the calcination temperature of TiO2 NRs (Tables S2 and S6†). Since the RhFeLi nanoparticles show a similar size distribution (Fig. S10†) and the same electronic state of Rh and Fe as that for TiO2 NRs calcined at various temperatures (Fig. S11, Table S4†), the differences in catalytic performance should be attributed to the changes of surface hydroxyls. It is noteworthy that the catalytic performance of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-600 °C is comparable to that of the RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO catalyst, because there are few hydroxyls on their surfaces. Similarly, changing the support from TiO2 NRs to TiO2 Com can also generate a hydroxyl-deficient surface, causing the selectivity for ethanol and CH₄ to be reduced largely (Table S6†). To display the relationship between hydroxyl groups and catalytic performance directly, we take the summed amount of CH₄ and ethanol as the total amount of *CH₃, because these two products stem from *CH₃ hydrogenation and CO insertion, respectively. As shown in Fig. 7b, the amount of *CH₃ exhibits a linear correlation with the density of hydroxyls, illustrating that hydroxyls may accelerate the scission of the C–O bond to form the *CH₃ species.

The reactions of CH₃OH and H₂ over RhFeLi/TiO2 were conducted as well to elucidate the role of hydroxyls. The DRIFTS data were obtained after pre-treatment with CH₃OH and subsequent feeding with H₂ at 250 °C (Fig. 7c). Upon the feeding of CH₃OH, all the samples show similar CH₂O* (2825 and 2927 cm⁻¹) species. After the feeding of H₂, the IR peak intensity of CH₂O* in RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs decreases. Simultaneously, an obvious IR peak of gaseous CH₄ (3016 cm⁻¹) can be observed for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs. The formation of CH₄ could be attributed to the C–O bond scission in CH₂O* followed by *CH₃ hydrogenation. The hydroxyl groups on RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs are suggested to promote the C–O bond scission in CH₂O* to produce the *CH₃ intermediate. In contrast, the IR peak intensity of CH₂O* does not decrease, and CH₄ is hardly found for RhFeLi/TiO2 Com and RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO after H₂ feeding. Therefore, it is reasonable to infer that the hydroxyls on RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs could protonate CH₂O*, i.e., promoting C–O bond scission in CH₂O* to form *CH₃. A similar phenomenon is observed under CO and H₂ at 250 °C (Fig. 7d). A sharp CH₄ peak emerges for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs, which is accompanied by formate (2880 and 2965 cm⁻¹) and CH₂O* (2825 and 2927 cm⁻¹). In contrast, we find only CH₂O* species adsorbed on RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO. The IR peaks of gaseous CH₄ and formate are undetectable for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO and RhFeLi/TiO2 Com, which could be attributed to the removal of hydroxyls after CO treatment.

Previous studies have proposed that ethanol can be synthesized by CO insertion into *CH₃ species to form CH₃CO*, followed by CH₃CO* hydrogenation. To verify this route of ethanol formation, the DRIFTS data of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs and
RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO were obtained after pre-treatment with CH3OH and subsequent feeding with CO + H2 (CO: H2 = 1:1) at 250 °C (Fig. S13a†). Both gaseous and liquid products were analyzed in the reaction of CH3OH + CO + H2 at 250 °C (Fig. S13b†), and ethanol is the only C2 product. Hence, the appearance of the methylene peak (2858 cm\(^{-1}\)) for RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs indicates the C–C coupling and formation of ethanol.\(^{43}\) However, methylene, i.e., ethanol, is not formed over RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO. According to these data, the high ethanol selectivity of RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs might be attributed to the high-density hydroxyls, which enhance the C–O bond scission to produce *CH3 intermediates for the CO insertion.

Surface functionalization with hydroxyls is frequently applied to promote the catalytic performance of catalysts.\(^{44-47}\) The role of surface hydroxyls has often been considered to modulate the local concentration of hydrophilic reactants, such as alcohols, around the active sites. For example, the hydrophobic treatment of Pd/MOF improves its catalytic activity for styrene hydrogenation by increasing the interaction between hydrophobic reactants and Pd sites.\(^{48}\) In formaldehyde oxidation reaction, the abundant hydroxyl groups nearby the Pt active sites can also facilitate formate oxidation through the formation of the PtNi(OH)\(_2\) interface.\(^{49}\) In CO\(_2\) hydrogenation reaction, hydroxyl groups on hydrophilic SiC quantum dots can promote methanol formation via a H-transfer mechanism, in which the diffusion of H from hydroxyl groups to CO\(_2\) assists the formation of the intermediate HCOO.\(^{50}\) Here, our work clearly demonstrates the catalytic role of hydroxyl groups in ethanol synthesis via CO\(_2\) hydrogenation. We show that the surface hydroxyl species on RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs can protonate methanol and reduce the energy barrier for C–O bond scission, facilitating the generation of \(\text{CH}_3\) species. Accordingly, CO obtained from RWGS can be inserted into abundant \(\text{CH}_3\) species to form \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}^+\), followed by \(\text{CH}_3\text{CO}^+\) hydrogenation to ethanol.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the crucial role of surface hydroxyls on the RhFeLi/TiO2 NR catalyst in the synthesis of ethanol from CO\(_2\) hydrogenation. Based on in situ spectroscopic characterization, we propose two advantages of the TiO2 NR support for CO\(_2\) hydrogenation to ethanol: (i) Rh-based nanoparticles are highly dispersed on TiO2 NRs due to the strong interaction between the catalyst and TiO2 NR support, thus displaying high activity; (ii) abundant hydroxyls on TiO2 NRs can protonate methanol, which is easily dissociated into \(\text{CH}_3\text{OH}\), thus favoring the formation of ethanol upon CO insertion. This work not only provides the detailed understanding of the catalytic role of hydroxyls in heterogeneous catalysis but also opens an avenue for developing efficient catalysts for CO\(_2\) conversion.

**Experimental section**

**Chemicals**

TiO2 NRs were prepared by hydrothermal treatment of a mixture of titanium tetrachloride (TiCl\(_4\), Shanghai Chemical Reagent Co., 98%) was dissolved in ultrapure water in an ice-water bath to obtain a 3 M TiCl\(_4\) solution. Subsequently, 35 mL aliquot of concentrated nitric acid (HNO\(_3\), 15 M) was refluxed in a silicone oil bath and heated to 200 °C gradually, and then 20 mL of the 3 M titanium tetrachloride solution was rapidly injected into nitric acid under vigorous stirring. After aging for 20 h, the autoclave was cooled to room temperature. The obtained precipitates were centrifuged and washed several times with deionized water and ethanol. The filtered solid was dried at 100 °C in a vacuum overnight and calcined at 300, 400, 500 and 600 °C for 4 h, respectively (denoted as TiO2 NRs-x °C). Unless otherwise specified, TiO2 NRs are denoted as TiO2 NRs-500 °C. The commercial TiO2 (TiO2 Com) was purchased from Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. Ltd for comparison.

RhCl\(_3\)-nH\(_2\)O (Huaweuruike Chemical Co., 99%), LiNO\(_3\) (Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. Ltd., 98%) and Fe(NO\(_3\))\(_3\)-9H\(_2\)O (Alfa Aesar Chemical Co. Ltd., 98%) were used as precursors and a series of reducible metal oxides (MO) were used as the support. MO (1 g) were impregnated with distilled water (1 mL) containing the precursor by using ultrasonication for 1 h. Generally, the molar ratio of Rh and promoters was 1:1 unless specified. Subsequently, the sample was dried at room temperature overnight and then at 80 °C for 10 h. Finally, the sample was calcined in air at 300–500 °C for 4 h and reduced in pure H\(_2\) at 400 °C for 2 h. The element loading was based on the weight ratio of Rh and Fe with respect to MO supports.

**Hydrogenation of CO\(_2\)**

All the catalytic reactions were carried out in a fixed-bed micro-reactor. In a typical experiment, 300 mg of each catalyst with a 20–40 mesh size distribution was mixed with 2.0 g of quartz particles (SiC: granulation of 0.075–0.4 mm) to avoid hot spots and pressure drop across the bed and packed in a stainless steel (Ø 8 × 400 mm) tubular reactor. Prior to each experiment, the catalyst was activated by reduction in a H\(_2\) atmosphere (99.99%) with a flow rate of 30 mL min\(^{-1}\) and a temperature of 400 °C for 1 h. The RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-CO sample was obtained from RhFeLi/TiO2 NRs-500 °C reduced under CO flow at 350 °C for 0.5 h. After the reduction of the catalyst, the reactor was cooled down to reaction temperature. Then the reactant gases (CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)) with a molar ratio of 1:3, 30 bar) were introduced into the reactor. The gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 1500 h\(^{-1}\) was set at 6000 h\(^{-1}\). The product gas was analyzed with an online gas chromatograph (GC, Agilent 7890B) equipped with two detectors. One is a flame ionization detector (FID) with a HP-FPAP column using H\(_2\) as a carrier gas to analyze the organic species such as alcohols, oxygenates and hydrocarbons. The other one is a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) with columns of MS-5A and Haysep Q using He as a carrier gas to monitor the non-condensable gas species including H\(_2\), CO\(_2\), N\(_2\), CO and CH\(_4\). All the flows between the reactor and the GC were heated and maintained beyond 150 °C, to avoid the liquefaction of the alcohol products.
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