Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 837

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 30th September 2018 Accepted 31st October 2018

DOI: 10.1039/c8sc04342f

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Noncentrosymmetric solid materials have always been an active research field due to their unique physical performances, such as second-order nonlinear optics (NLO), piezoelectricity, pyroelectricity, ferroelectricity, *etc.*¹⁻⁹ In the second-harmonic generation domain particularly, a variety of excellent acentric materials have been synthesized including the famous NLO materials, such as β -BaB₂O₄ (β -BBO) and LiB₃O₅ (LBO).^{10,11} Recently, fluorooxoborates have aroused great interest due to their short ultraviolet cutoff edge. Some new acentric fluorooxoborates have been discovered, such as AB₄O₆F (A = NH₄, Na, K, Rb, or Cs).¹²⁻¹⁶

As for the NLO materials used in the deep-ultraviolet (DUV) region, the $KBe_2BO_3F_2$ (KBBF) crystal is the only material that can produce coherent light wavelengths below 200 nm by direct SHG.^{17,18} After continuous efforts, a number of new beryllium

$Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09): a new acentric metal borosilicate as a promising nonlinear optical material[†][‡]

Ru-Ling Tang,^{abc} Chun-Li Hu,^a Fei-Fei Mao,^a Jiang-He Feng^a and Jiang-Gao Mao^b*^a

A new acentric metal borosilicate, namely $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09), has been synthesized by a standard solid-state reaction. The title compound crystallizes in noncentrosymmetric (NCS) space group $I\overline{4}2m$ with lattice parameters a = 11.0254(4) Å and c = 10.3961(9) Å. Structure refinements indicate that mixing of B atoms and Si atoms exists for a few atomic sites. In the "ideal" $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_8B_4O_{29})$, BO_4 or SiO_4 tetrahedra are inter-connected by corner-sharing to cyclic B_4O_{12} or Si_4O_{12} units. These B_4O_{12} and Si_4O_{12} units are further interconnected *via* corner-sharing to an "ideal" $[Si_8B_4O_{29}]^{14-}$ 3D network. The Ba^{2+} and Bi^{3+} act as the counter cations and are located at the cavities of the structure. $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09) melts incongruently at a high temperature of 929 °C. Powder secondharmonic generation (SHG) measurements reveal that $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09) is a type I phasematching compound with a good SHG response of about 5.1 times that of KDP (KH₂PO₄), which is the highest among the borosilicates reported so far. The SHG source has been studied by DFT theoretical calculations. Our preliminary results indicate that $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09) is a new second-order nonlinear-optical crystalline material candidate.

borate crystals of the KBBF family have been discovered including β -KBe₂B₃O₇, γ -KBe₂B₃O₇, RbBe₂B₃O₇, Na₂Be₄B₄O₁₁ and LiNa₅Be₁₂B₁₂O₃₃.¹⁹⁻²¹ Unfortunately, due to the weak interlayer bonding force and the toxicity of Be²⁺ in these materials, their crystal growth and wide industrial applications are still restricted. Hence, finding an appropriate composition to substitute beryllium has aroused widespread research interest.

Considering the coordination environment of beryllium atoms, a very effective strategy is to replace BeO₄ tetrahedra with PO₄, SiO₄ and GeO₄, which led to the formation of a variety of metal borophosphates, borogermanates and borosilicates, including Ba₃(ZnB₅O₁₀)PO₄, Na₄MB₂P₃O₁₃ (M = Rb, Cs), A₂EB₄O₉ (A = Cs, Rb) (E = Si, Ge), AEB₃O₇ (A = Cs, Rb) (E = Si, Ge), and Ba₄(BO₃)₃(SiO₄) · Ba₃X (X = Cl, Br).^{22–29} Recently, the partial disorder between Ge atoms and B atoms in tetrahedral positions has led to borogermanates with interesting structures, as shown by CsB_xGe_{6-x}O₁₂ (x = 1) and Sr_{3-x/2}B_{2-x}Ge_{4+x}O₁₄ (x = 0.32).^{30,31}

 $A_2EB_4O_9$ (A = Cs, Rb) (E = Si, Ge) and AEB_3O_7 (A = Cs, Rb) (E = Si, Ge) possess relatively large SHG responses in inorganic metal borogermanate and borosilicate systems; for example, $Cs_2GeB_4O_9$ has a SHG response of 2.8 × KDP and $Cs_2SiB_4O_9$ exhibits a SHG response of 4.6 × KDP.^{24,27} $A_2EB_4O_9$ (A = Cs, Rb) (E = Si, Ge) features a three-dimensional anionic open framework formed by corner-sharing EO_4 tetrahedra and B_4O_9 clusters, with A⁺ cations filling in the anionic channels formed by



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

^aState Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, P. R. China. E-mail: mjg@fjirsm.ac.cn

^bSchool of Physical Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, China

^cUniversity of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

[†] Dedicated to Prof. Jin-Shun Huang on the occasion of his 80th birthday.

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1864258. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8sc04342f

nine-/ten-membered rings. It is noticed that alkaline-earth metal borogermanates and borosilicates display a relatively weaker SHG response, for example, $Ba_4(BO_3)_3(SiO_4) \cdot Ba_3X$ (X = Cl, Br) (1 × KDP) and $Ba_3[Ge_2B_7O_{16}(OH)_2](OH)(H_2O)$ (0.3 × KDP).^{32,33}

Compared with metal borates and fluorooxoborates, it is obvious that the SHG responses of most acentric borosilicates and borogermanates are relatively weak. To increase their SHG responses, one useful method is to introduce SHG active cations with stereo-active lone pairs, such as Pb²⁺, Sn²⁺, and Bi³⁺.³⁴⁻³⁶

We have been trying to introduce cations with lone pairs into metal borogermanates and borosilicates to obtain materials with enhanced SHG performance. In this work, we have successfully synthesized $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09) (BBSBO) by solid state reactions. It exhibits an SHG response of $5.1 \times KDP$. In this compound, mixing of B atoms and Si atoms for some atomic sites occurred, which is similar to what was observed for a few borogermanates previously reported.^{30,31} In this work, the synthesis, crystal structure, second-order nonlinear-optical effects, and thermal stability of this compound are reported.

Results and discussion

The title compound crystallizes in noncentrosymmetric space group $I\bar{4}2m$ (no. 121) with lattice parameters of a = 11.034(3) Å and c = 10.410(6) Å (Table S1[‡]). The asymmetric unit of this compound contains two B³⁺/Si⁴⁺ mixed sites, one Bi atom, one Ba atom, and five O atoms. The first one at a 16j site is mainly Si⁴⁺ (92.6%) mixed with a small amount of B³⁺ (7.4%), whereas the one at the 8g site is mainly B³⁺ (87.5%) mixed with 12.5% of Si⁴⁺. All of the Si⁴⁺ and B³⁺ atoms are tetrahedrally connected with four oxygen atoms. The M1 position based on mainly Si atoms showed M–O distances from 1.584 Å to 1.619 Å. Four M(1)O₄ tetrahedral form a cyclic M(1)₄O₁₂ unit (Fig. 2a). The M(2)O₄ tetrahedral centers based on mainly B atoms also form similar M(2)₄O₁₂ units (Fig. 2b), and the M–O distances are within the range from 1.490 Å to 1.509 Å. Each M(1)₄O₁₂ unit is corner-shared with four M(2)₄O₁₂ units (Fig. 2c), while each $M(2)_4O_{12}$ unit is corner-shared with eight $M(1)_4O_{12}$ units (Fig. 2d). Such connectivity led to a 3D anionic framework (Fig. 1b). The Ba²⁺ atom is ten-coordinated with Ba–O distances ranging from 2.734(5) to 3.2092(4) Å (Fig. 2f). Coordinated with five oxygen atoms, the Bi³⁺ atoms are in a BiO₅ square pyramidal geometry with four Bi–O1 (2.327(3) Å) and one Bi–O5 (2.0748(3) Å) (Fig. 2e). The unpaired electrons of the Bi³⁺ ion are oriented towards the open side of the BiO₄ square (opposite to O5). All the Ba²⁺ and Bi³⁺ act as the counter cations and are filled in the tunnels of the 8-member rings of the anionic framework (Fig. 1a).

The anionic borosilicate framework in LnBSiO_5 (Ln = La, Ce, Nd) is also solely composed of BO₄ and SiO₄ tetrahedral groups.³⁷ However, the BO₄ and SiO₄ arrange in a different way. In LnBSiO₅, the BO₄ tetrahedra form infinite helical chains with the SiO₄ tetrahedra grafting on the 1D chain by bridging with two neighboring BO₄ tetrahedra, hence forming 1D borosilicate chains based on B₂Si three-membered rings.

About the site-mixing of B and Si atoms, it is not very common in the borosilicates reported. However two borogermanates, namely, $CsB_xGe_{6-x}O_{12}$ (x = 1) (CBGO) and $Sr_{3-x/2}B_{2-x}Ge_{4+x}O_{14}$ (x = 0.32) (SBGO), have been reported to exhibit the mixing of the B and Ge atoms at the same site.^{30,31} There is only one mixed occupied site of B^{3+}/Ge^{4+} in the structure of CBGO, and it has the percentage of B^{3+} (16.6%) and Ge^{4+} (83.3%). B/GeO₄ tetrahedra are interconnected leading to an octahedral cage, and each connects with the eight nearest ones (Fig. 3a). Cs^+ acts as the counter cation located in each cage, which produces a zeolite SOD-type net.³⁰ As for SBGO, it exhibits a Ca₃Ga₂Ge₄O₁₄-like structure (Fig. 3b). SBGO exhibits both GeO4 tetrahedra and GeO6 octahedra. The mixed Ge⁴⁺/B³⁺ site is tetrahedrally coordinated with Ge^{4+} (16%) and B^{3+} (84%). The B/GeO₄ tetrahedron connects with three other GeO₄ tetrahedra by sharing three corners in a layered structure.³¹ We deem that the mixing of B and Si atoms at the same site should be more likely compared with the B/Ge mixing due to being closer in size; hence we believe that more similar examples will be found in the future.

The TG and DSC curves are given in Fig. S4.‡ The thermal behavior of BBSBO is measured from 30 to 1000 $^{\circ}$ C. The TG



Fig. 1 View of the 3D crystal structure of BBSBO down the *a* axis (a); view of the 3D anionic framework of BBSBO with tunnels of 8-MRs along the *a* axis (b).



Fig. 2 An $M(1)_4O_{12}$ unit (a); an $M(2)_4O_{12}$ unit (b); an $M(1)_4O_{12}$ unit corner-sharing with four $M(2)_4O_{12}$ units (c); an $M(2)_4O_{12}$ unit corner-sharing with eight $M(1)_4O_{12}$ (d); the coordination environment of the Bi atom (e); and the coordination environment around the Ba atom (f).



results show that there is no apparent weight loss before 1000 °C, which indicates that this compound has very high thermal stability. As shown in the graph, there is one clear endothermic peak at 929 °C but no exothermic peak in the cooling curves, which indicates that this compound melts incongruently. The peak at 929 °C is later proved to be the melting point. The powder XRD pattern for the sample heated at 940 °C does not match the calculated PXRD (Fig. S1‡); hence the material goes through incongruent melting. Through phase analysis, the residuals are mainly $Ba_{0.5}Bi_{1.5}O_{2.16}$, SiO_2 and B_2O_3 .

The UV-Vis absorption spectrum of BBSBO is displayed in Fig. S3.[‡] It is shown that the UV cutoff edge of BBSBO is 318 nm. The UV spectrum indicates that the band gap of BBSBO is 3.89 eV (Fig. S3[‡]). Strong absorption bands are observed with vibration frequencies within 1100 cm⁻¹ in the IR spectrum of BBSBO (Fig. S2[‡]). According to ref. 38, the high-frequency peaks located at 1100 to 740 cm⁻¹ are well matched with the BO₄⁵⁻ and SiO₄⁴⁻ unit stretching vibrations. The BO₄ and SiO₄ group bending vibration bands should be below 660 cm⁻¹ in the figure. Due to some overlap of vibration bands for BO₄ and SiO₄

groups, these absorption bands cannot be assigned undoubtedly, which is common in the reported ref. 34 and 39.

Powder SHG signals of BBSBO crystals at a wavelength of 1064 nm are exhibited in Fig. 4. Comparing the SHG intensity



Fig. 4 SHG measurements of BBSBO.



Fig. 5 The partial density of states (the upper five panels) and the spectral decomposition of d_{14} (the bottommost panel) for BBSBO.

produced by BBSBO and KDP shows that BBSBO has a good SHG effect of 5.1 \times KDP, which is the largest among metal borosilicates reported to date, and BBSBO is phase-matching based on the rule established by Kurtz and Perry.40 The SHG source of the title compound may have originated from the synergistic effect of Bi³⁺, BO₄ and SiO₄ groups.

To learn about the SHG response origin of BBSBO more deeply, theoretical computations on an ideal "Ba₄Bi₂(Si₈B₄O₂₉)" structure were made based on DFT methods. The band

structure calculations reveal that BBSBO is an indirect band-gap material (from Z to G) (Fig. S5 and Table S5[±]). The theoretical band gap is 4.35 eV, being larger than the value of 3.89 eV obtained by experimental measurement. The result is not unreasonable, because it is common that GGA cannot precisely depict the eigenvalues of the conduction bands.41-44

From the upper five panels in the partial density of states (PDOS) graph of BBSBO (Fig. 5), the bands and the bonding interactions of the structure can be easily assigned and understood. We study the vicinity of the Fermi level from -10 to 8 eV to account for the bonding trait and optical properties of BBSBO. Obviously, the electronic states of Si and B atoms are well overlapped with coordinated O atoms, signifying the firm bonding interactions. Similarly, Bi atoms are greatly overlapped with the O atoms in some regions too. The upper region of the VB from -5.0 to 0 eV is mainly the 2p nonbonding states of oxygen atoms. Particularly, a few of the flat bands at the top of the VB are ascribed to the nonbonding states of oxygen atoms that are bonded to Bi and some of the Bi-6s states; in addition, the bottommost part of the CB originates from the unoccupied Bi-6p and some O-2p states bonded to Bi. Hence the band gap of BBSBO rests with BiO₅ anionic groups.

We further calculated the second-order nonlinear optical properties of BBSBO. BBSBO crystallized in $I\bar{4}2m$ space group, which belongs to the point group $\overline{4}2m$ and has only one nonzero SHG tensor (d_{14}) in consideration of Kleinman symmetry. The calculated SHG tensor $d_{14} = 5.20 \times 10^{-9}$ esu coincides with the experimental value of 5.1 times that of KDP.

Moreover, we analyzed the SHG source of BBSBO. We performed the spectral decomposition and the SHG density analyses of tensor d_{14} . It is obvious that the upper part of the VB (-5.0-0 eV) and the lower part of the CB (<10 eV) are the most SHG-active energy regions of d_{14} (Fig. 5), corresponding to O-2p electronic states in the VB and unoccupied Bi-6p, Ba-5d, Si-3p, B-2p, and O-2p in the CB. It is worth noting that the SHG density of d_{14} (Fig. 6) indicates that the 2p nonbonding states of all O atoms in the VB make a prominent difference to the SHG



The SHG density of d_{14} in the VB (a) and CB of BBSBO (b). Fia. 6

effect, while the unoccupied Bi-6p and some O-2p orbitals contribute the most to the SHG process in the CB. By calculating the SHG density in amount, the SHG contribution percentages of BBSBO are 27.70%, 34.47%, 19.95% and 17.88% for BiO₅, SiO₄, BO₄ and Ba²⁺, respectively. Notably, all anionic groups work well in the SHG process. It's also worth noting that as counter-ions, the Ba²⁺ cations contribute a lot to the SHG effect, which is very similar to the effect of Cs⁺ in the NLO compound of LiCs₂PO₄.⁴⁵ Therefore, the synergistic effect of all the groups/ ions makes BBSBO a remarkable SHG crystal.

Conclusions

In summary, a new acentric borosilicate, $Ba_4Bi_2(Si_{8-x}B_{4+x}O_{29})$ (x = 0.09), has been synthesized and characterized. For the first time, Bi^{3+} has been introduced into the borosilicate system. BBSBO exhibits a strong SHG response which is 5.1 times that of KDP (KH₂PO₄) and possesses high thermal stability. According to first-principles calculations, the synergistic effect of Bi^{3+} and Ba^{2+} and SiO_4 and BO_4 groups led to an outstanding SHG response of the title compound. Our achievement in the case of BBSBO provides a feasible strategy for designing novel borosilicates and borogermanates with excellent SHG performance. Our future research efforts will be committed to the exploration of other borosilicates or borogermanates containing lone pair cations or fluoride anions.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements

Our work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21875248, 91622112, and 21701173), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB20000000), and the 100 Talents Project of Fujian Province.

Notes and references

- 1 H. Yu, J. Young, H. Wu, W. Zhang, J. M. Rondinelli and P. S. Halasyamani, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1845–1855.
- 2 P. Kumar and A. Gaur, Ceram. Int., 2017, 43, 16403-16407.
- 3 H. Yu, W. Zhang, J. Young, J. M. Rondinelli and P. S. Halasyamani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 88–91.
- 4 J. Dalal and B. Kumar, Opt. Mater., 2016, 51, 139-147.
- 5 K. Lin, Z. Zhou, L. Liu, H. Ma, J. Chen, J. Deng, J. Sun, L. You, H. Kasai, K. Kato, M. Takata and X. Xing, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13468–13471.
- 6 C. M. N. Kumar, Y. Xiao, P. Lunkenheimer, A. Loidl and M. Ohl, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2015, **91**, 235149.
- 7 G. Zhang, Y. J. Li, K. Jiang, H. Y. Zeng, T. Liu, X. G. Chen, J. G. Qin, Z. S. Lin, P. Z. Fu, Y. C. Wu and C. T. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 14818–14822.

- 8 K. M. Ok, E. O. Chi and P. S. Halasyamani, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 710–717.
- 9 P. Becker, Adv. Mater., 1998, 10, 979-992.
- 10 C. T. Chen, B. C. Wu, A. D. Jiang and G. M. You, *Sci. Sin., Ser. B*, 1985, **589**, 235–243.
- 11 C. T. Chen, Y. C. Wu, A. D. Jiang, G. M. You, R. K. Li and S. J. Lin, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 1989, 6, 616–621.
- 12 Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. Zhang, Z. Yang and S. Pan, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 1–6.
- 13 G. Shi, Y. Wang, F. Zhang, B. Zhang, Z. Yang, X. Hou, S. Pan and K. R. Poeppelmeier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 10645– 10648.
- 14 Y. Wang, B. Zhang, Z. Yang and S. Pan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 2150–2154.
- 15 M. Mutailipu, M. Zhang, H. Wu, Z. Yang, Y. Shen, J. Sun and S. Pan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3089.
- 16 M. Mutailipu, M. Zhang, B. Zhang, L. Wang, Z. Yang, X. Zhou and S. Pan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, 57, 6095–6099.
- 17 C. Chen, Z. Xu, D. Deng, J. Zhang, G. K. L. Wong, B. Wu, N. Ye and D. Tang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **68**, 2930–2932.
- 18 B. Wu, D. Tang, N. Ye and C. Chen, *Opt. Mater.*, 1996, 5, 105– 109.
- 19 S. C. Wang, N. Ye, W. Li and D. Zhao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8779–8786.
- 20 X. X. Jiang, S. Y. Luo, L. Kang, P. F. Gong, H. W. Huang,
 S. C. Wang, Z. S. Lin and C. T. Chen, *ACS Photonics*, 2015,
 2, 1183–1191.
- 21 H. W. Huang, L. J. Liu, S. F. Jin, W. J. Yao, Y. H. Zhang and C. T. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18319–18322.
- 22 H. Yu, W. Zhang, J. Young, J. M. Rondinelli and P. S. Halasyamani, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 7380–7385.
- 23 C. Wu, L. Li, G. Yang, J. Song, B. Yan, M. G. Humphrey, L. Zhang, J. Shao and C. Zhang, *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, 46, 12605–12611.
- 24 X. Xu, C. L. Hu, F. Kong, J. H. Zhang, J. G. Mao and J. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5831–5837.
- 25 J. H. Zhang, C. L. Hu, X. Xu, F. Kong and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 1973–1982.
- 26 F. Kong, H. L. Jiang, T. Hu and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 10611–10617.
- 27 H. Wu, H. Yu, S. Pan, Z. Huang, Z. Yang, X. Su and K. R. Poeppelmeier, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3406–3410.
- Z. Zhou, Y. Qiu, F. Liang, L. Palatinus, M. Poupon, T. Yang,
 R. Cong, Z. Lin and J. Sun, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, 30, 2203–2207.
- 29 X. Lin, F. Zhang, S. Pan, H. Yu, F. Zhang, X. Dong, S. Han, L. Dong, C. Bai and Z. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, 2, 4257–4264.
- 30 R. Pan, J. W. Cheng, B. F. Yang and G. Y. Yang, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 2371–2374.
- 31 B. Petermuller, L. L. Petschnig, K. Wurst, G. Heymann and H. Huppertz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 53, 9722–9728.
- 32 J. H. Zhang, F. Kong and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 3037–3043.
- 33 X. Xu, C. L. Hu, F. Kong, J. H. Zhang and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 8861–8868.

- 34 J. L. Song, C. L. Hu, X. Xu, F. Kong and J. G. Mao, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 3679.
- 35 M. Xia, X. Jiang, Z. Lin and R. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14190–14193.
- 36 M. Luo, F. Liang, Y. Song, D. Zhao, N. Ye and Z. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6814–6817.
- 37 L. Li, Q. Jing, Z. Yang, X. Su, B.-H. Lei, S. Pan, F. Zhang and J. Zhang, *J. Appl. Physiol.*, 2015, **118**, 979–992.
- 38 Y. Shi, J. K. Liang, H. Zhang, J. L. Yang, W. D. Zhuangv and G. H. Rao, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1997, 259, 163–169.
- 39 H. Yang, C. L. Hu, X. Xu and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 7516–7523.

- 40 P. Kubelka and F. Z. Munk, *Tech. Phys.*, 1931, **12**, 593-601.
- 41 J. Zhu, W. D. Cheng, D. S. Wu, H. Zhang, Y. J. Gong and H. N. Tong, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2006, **179**, 597–604.
- 42 J. Zhu, W. D. Cheng and D. S. Wu, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 2007, 285–290.
- 43 B. P. Yang, C. L. Hu, X. Xu, C. Huang and J. G. Mao, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5378–5384.
- 44 D. Yan, C. L. Hu and J. G. Mao, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, 18, 1655–1664.
- 45 X. Y. Cheng, M. H. Whangbo, G. C. Guo, M. C. Hong and S. Q. Deng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 3933–3937.