


CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 9692

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra90022e

www.rsc.org/advances

Correction: Base recognition by L-nucleotides in heterochiral DNA

Shuji Ogawa, Shun-ichi Wada and Hidehito Urata*

Correction for 'Base recognition by L-nucleotides in heterochiral DNA' by Shuji Ogawa *et al.*, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 2274–2275.

The authors regret that some of the data in the original article were presented incorrectly. Some of the oligonucleotide sequences in the Graphical Abstract, Fig. 2 and Table 1 were originally presented in reverse sequence. The corrected versions of the Graphical Abstract, Fig. 2 and Table 1 are presented below.




Table 1 UV-melting points of homo- and heterochiral duplexes^a

Duplex	Template strand	Complementary strand	T _m (°C)	ΔT _m ^b (°C)
Homochiral strand				
1	d(AAATCTGCG)	d(CGCAGATT)	42.1	—
Heterochiral strand				
2	d(AA ₁ ATCTGCG)	d(CGCAGATT)	33.6	-8.5
3	d(AAATCT ₁ GCG)	d(CGCAGATT)	32.6	-9.5
4	d(AAAT ₁ CTGCG)	d(CGCAGATT)	38.2	-3.9
5	d(AAA ₁ TCTGCG)	d(CGCAGATT)	33.9	-8.2

^a Samples contained 6 μM duplex in 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, and 70 mM MOPS (pH 7.1). ^b Melting temperature difference from the homochiral duplex.






Fig. 2 Effects of base pair mismatch of d- (a–d) and L-nucleotide (e–h) on duplex stability. Samples contained 6 mM duplex in 10 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM NaCl, and 70 mM MOPS (pH 7.1). Yellow bars denote T_m values of fully matched duplexes, and blue bars denote T_m values of mismatched duplexes.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.