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The reaction of aluminum and water is widely used in the field of propulsion and hydrogen production, but its reaction characteristics at the nanometer scale have not been fully studied. In this paper, the effect of particle size and surface passivation of aluminum particle on the reaction mechanism was studied by using reactive molecular dynamics (RMD) simulation. The reduction of aluminum particle size can accelerate the reaction rate in the medium term (20–80 ps) due to the increase of activity, but it also produces an agglomeration effect as the temperature increases. The presence of surface passivation reduces the proportion of active aluminum and the yield of hydrogen decreases by 30% and 33%, respectively, as the particle size decreases from 2.5 nm to 1.6 nm. The addition of AlH3 can overcome these drawbacks when some aluminum powders are replaced by AlH3. The hydrogen yield is increased by the reaction 2AlH3 + 3H2O → Al2O3 + 6H2. In the reaction of surface passivated Al (1.6 nm in diameter) and H2O, when the proportion of AlH3 reaches 25%, the energy release and hydrogen yield increase from 59.47 kJ mol⁻¹ and 0.0042 mol g⁻¹ to 142.56 kJ mol⁻¹ and 0.0076 mol g⁻¹, respectively. This performance even approximately the reaction of pure aluminum with water: 180.67 kJ mol⁻¹ and 0.0087 mol g⁻¹. In addition, the surface passivation affects the reaction mechanism. Before the passivation layer melts, the reaction 4Al + Al2O3 → 3Al2O occurs inside the nanoparticles.

1 Introduction

The aluminum–water reaction has attracted the attention of many researchers in recent decades due to its high energy and environmentally friendly products.¹–⁷ The aluminum–water mixture is made by mixing aluminum powder and ice, so researchers call it ALICE. ALICE is considered a new high-energy material that can be widely used in hydrogen production, underwater propulsion and space propulsion.⁸–¹¹ Unlike the conventional methods of producing hydrogen from petroleum, which release many harmful by-products such as carbon dioxide, hydrogen production from aluminum and water is not only simple but also pollution-free.¹²–¹⁵ Moreover, the convenience of obtaining water enables hydrogen production anytime and anywhere. The low density and stability of aluminum greatly save transportation costs and improve the security of storage.

The aluminum–water reaction produces hydrogen and releases a lot of heat. Theoretically, aluminum–water mixture could be prepared in situ on any water-bearing planet. Therefore, researchers are considering it as a new energy source for propellant applications. In 2009, NASA’s ALICE propellant sounding rocket was successfully launched, which marked that the development of such propellants had achieved a stage achievement, and proved the application of aluminum–water mixture in space propulsion.¹⁶ The wide development prospect of aluminum–water reaction makes it become a research upsurge.

The particle sizes of aluminum used in the early study were mostly micron or larger, which lead to the aluminum–water combustion need to be carried out at a high temperature and difficulty in ignition. In recent years, with the emergence of nanotechnology, micron aluminum powder is replaced by nano-aluminum powder to improve the combustion performance of the aluminum–water mixture. Ivanov et al. first investigated the combustion behavior of nano-aluminum/water mixture. They mixed the nano-aluminum powder more evenly with water by adding polyacrylamide with a mass fraction of 3%. The results showed that the reaction could continue in the temperature range of 323–348 K. In addition, the combustion rate and hydrogen production increase as the temperature increases.¹⁷ After that, Risha et al. tried to study the combustion characteristics of aluminum–water mixture without adding any gels. The aluminum–water mixture was added into an 8 mm diameter quartz tube and ignited in a combustion chamber filled with argon. The results showed that the combustion rate decreases with the increase of mixture equivalence ratio. The
main reason is that equivalence ratio affects the flame temperature and thus changes the combustion state.\textsuperscript{14} Risha also studied the effect of aluminum particle size on combustion efficiency of aluminum–water mixture. As the particle size decreases from 130 nm to 38 nm, the combustion efficiency increases from 27% to 95%.\textsuperscript{19} Sundaram \textit{et al.} studied the influence of aluminum particle size and pressure on the combustion rate of aluminum–water mixture by means of theoretical analysis and experiment. The particle size of aluminum powder used in the experiment was 38–130 nm, and the pressure range considered was 1–10 MPa. The results showed that the combustion rate is proportional to the pressure and inversely proportional to the particle size.\textsuperscript{20}

However, the application of nano-sized aluminum particle also brings some problems. Aluminum particles usually undergo surface passivation due to their high activity. Although the passivation layer can improve the stability of aluminum particles, it reduces their energy density. With the decrease of particles size, the specific surface area of nano-aluminum increases and the presence of passivation layer bring significant influence: the proportion of active aluminum decreases obviously.\textsuperscript{18} Entrainment and agglomeration of nanoparticles also affect the combustion of nano-aluminum/water mixture. Sundaram \textit{et al.} investigated this project using 80 nanometer aluminum–water mixture. When considering the entrainment action of aluminum particles, the flame thickness is increased by 10 times. As the entrainment parameter increases from 0 to 1.0, the pressure index increases from 0 to 0.5, and the combustion rate decreases correspondingly. It is considered that the agglomeration of aluminum particles with diameter of 3–5 μm leads to the combustion from kinetic control to diffusion control.\textsuperscript{8}

To solve these problems, researchers are actively looking for high-energy additives. Hydrogen peroxide is a highly reactive liquid with strong oxidation properties. Its addition can improve the combustion performance of aluminum–water mixture. However, high concentrations (>32%) of hydrogen peroxide may cause the mixture to burn with deflagration, break down easily in storage, or be unstable in transport.\textsuperscript{21,22} Moreover, the addition of hydrogen peroxide reduces the hydrogen yield in the reaction of aluminum with water, and the gas yield has a great influence on the specific impulse of propellant.

\textit{AlH}_3\textit{ as a reducing agent has the advantages of high combustion heat, high specific impulse, and non-toxicity. It can be used as an excellent propellant additive.}\textsuperscript{23–28} Introducing \textit{AlH}_3 into aluminum–water mixture instead of some aluminum particles can effectively reduce the influence of aluminum surface passivation. Connell \textit{et al.} combined experiment and simulation to study the combustion phenomenon of nano-ALICE propellant when some aluminum powders were replaced by \textit{AlH}_3. Small quantities of \textit{AlH}_3 added into mixture can increase the specific impulse by 10%, although the flame temperature is reduced by 5%. When the mass fraction of \textit{AlH}_3 increases to 25%, the specific impulse of ALICE propellant increases from 210 s to nearly 230 s. Meanwhile, the addition of \textit{AlH}_3 increases the hydrogen yield and reduces the production of alumina.\textsuperscript{29,30}

At present, most studies on \textit{Al/AlH}_3/\textit{H}_2\textit{O} mixture were carried out through experiments. A few theoretical researches were devoted to thermo-chemistry equilibrium calculation or the establishment of multi-zone theoretical combustion framework.\textsuperscript{26,27} The complete reaction process has not been elucidated through simulation. In fact, understanding the reaction mechanism can be a good guide to improve the performance of \textit{Al/AlH}_3/\textit{H}_2\textit{O} propellants. In this paper, we will demonstrate the influence of nano-aluminum particle size and surface passivation on the reaction of aluminum and water, and explore the combustion performance of \textit{Al/H}_2\textit{O} mixture after the addition of \textit{AlH}_3.

Reactive molecular dynamics (RMD) is a suitable method for simulating chemical reaction process. The RMD can calculate the instantaneous position and velocity of the atoms through ReaxFF, a force field developed by van Duin.\textsuperscript{31–35} Russo \textit{et al.} used the ReaxFF to simulate the dissociation process of \textit{H}_2\textit{O} molecules on the surface of \textit{Al}_{100} cluster at 1650 K temperature.\textsuperscript{36} They focused on the dissociation behavior of O–H bonds in systems with different concentrations of \textit{H}_2\textit{O}, and found that higher concentrations of \textit{H}_2\textit{O} are favorable for the dissociation. The reaction is that the dissociation of \textit{H}_2\textit{O} molecules adsorbed on the surface of \textit{Al}_{100} requires a large amount of activation energy ($E_a$), but it is easier to dissociate with the assistance of adjacent, non-adsorbed \textit{H}_2\textit{O} molecules.

Li \textit{et al.} parameterized a new ReaxFF suitable for atomic systems containing C/H/O/N/Al to study the thermal decomposition of RDX with \textit{AlH}_3.\textsuperscript{37} We used this parameterized ReaxFF to study the combustion behavior of \textit{Al/AlH}_3/\textit{H}_2\textit{O} mixtures. Four aluminum–water systems with different aluminum particle sizes were established to demonstrate the effect of particle sizes on reaction rates. Then the corresponding aluminum particles were coated with a passivated layer of uniform thickness, and the effect of the surface passivation on the reaction with the change of particle size was studied. Finally, a part of surface passivated aluminum particles were replaced by \textit{AlH}_3 particles to study the effect on the reaction performance.

2 Modeling and calculation methods

A periodic supercell filled with \textit{H}_2\textit{O} molecules (5.5 nm $\times$ 5.5 nm $\times$ 5.5 nm) was constructed through Amorphous Cell Modules of Materials Studio Software, wherein the density of \textit{H}_2\textit{O} is 0.5 g cm$^{-3}$. The concentration of \textit{H}_2\textit{O} depends on the reaction environment. The reaction zone is located at the combustion front plane, which represents a solid–liquid–gas state, and contains solid aluminum particles, water liquid and vapor. In this state, the density is less than the condensed fuel, but greater than the vapor. Then part of the \textit{H}_2\textit{O} molecules in the center of the cell were removed and replaced with an aluminum particle. At this point, the modeling of an \textit{Al/H}_2\textit{O} mixed supercell was completed. Al particles were established in Materials Studio through Build $\rightarrow$ Build nanostructure $\rightarrow$ Nanocluster, and then the structures were optimized to minimize the energy. Next, in order to investigate the effect of particle size on the reaction, we established \textit{Al/H}_2\textit{O} mixed
supercells containing aluminum particles with different particle size using the same method. In order to control the variables, we reduced the particle size while increasing the number of aluminum particles with more number of particles, so that the number of aluminum atoms in each cell was basically the same. The completed models are shown in Fig. 1, and the detailed parameters are listed in Table 1. These models are used to study the effect of particle size on aluminum–water reaction.

Next, in order to study the effect of surface passivation on aluminum–water reaction, we passivated the surfaces of aluminum particles through surface oxidizing. To ensure the uniform thickness of all passivated layers, pure aluminum particles were placed separately in supercells filled with oxygen and heated uniformly for 10 ps at 200 K. The formation process of the passivated layer is shown in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 shows the formation process of passivated layer on the surface of the aluminum particle with a diameter of 2.50 nm. A cell filled with O₂ molecules was established by the Amorphous Cells modules in Materials Studio Software. After that, part of the O₂ molecules were hollowed out from the center of the cell to obtain a spherical cavity and an aluminum particle of 2.5 nm in diameter was put into it. The passivated process was performed using the LAMMPS package by the ReaxFF force field. The system was heated by 10 ps at a temperature of 200 K under NVT canonical ensemble to simulate the surface oxidation process. After 10 ps, O atoms adsorb on the surface of Al particle, bond with Al atoms and form a 0.35 nm thick oxide layer on the surface. Finally, this surface passivated Al particle was placed into the center of the supercell filled with H₂O molecules established above (with a density of 0.5 g cm⁻³ and size of 5.5 nm × 5.5 nm × 5.5 nm) in the same manner. The surface of Al particles became rugged after passivated and thus occupied more space, leading a slight decrease in the number of H₂O molecules in the system (from 2585 to 2522). Since the number of H₂O molecules is much larger than that of Al atoms, the variation of oxygen atoms has little effect on the simulation results.

Next, AlH₃ particles were used to replace part of the surface passivated aluminum particles to study the effect of AlH₃ on the reaction performance. The construction process of AlH₃ particles is consistent with that of Al particles. The modeling details are shown in Fig. 3. In the supercells containing 1.60 nm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Al diameter (in nm)</th>
<th>Cell ingredients</th>
<th>Number of atoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>2585 H₂O + Al₁₃₁</td>
<td>8286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2565 H₂O + 2×Al₁₆₆</td>
<td>8227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>2563 H₂O + 3×Al₁₇₇</td>
<td>8220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2538 H₂O + 4×Al₁₁₅</td>
<td>8154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a The model size is 5.5 × 5.5 × 5.5 nm.
aluminum particles, the molar ratio of AlH3 reached 0, 25% and 50%, respectively.

For comparison, all the supercells above are the same size. Reactive molecular dynamics (RMD) simulations of these supercells were performed in a uniform environment by utilizing parametric ReaxFF. The suitability of this parameterized ReaxFF is supported by the information in Table 2, 3 and Fig. 4. The bond length and bond angle of H2O molecule calculated from ReaxFF were compared to the DFT values and experimental results in Table 2. The lattice parameters of H2O supercell simulated by ReaxFF were compared to both the calculated values of Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) and experiment in Table 3. Finally, we compared the radial distribution function (RDF) of H2O molecules optimized by ReaxFF and experiment value is 0.97 A, while the experimental value is 1.75 A. The error between the simulation value and the experimental value is within 5%,.

The application of canonical ensemble (NVT) was to obtain the canonical distribution of kinetic energy and potential energy of isothermal and isovolumetric systems. For optimization, the temperature of each system was maintained at 1 K for 10 ps under NVT conditions to obtain a stable initial structure. It was then heated at 35 K ps−1 to 3500 K and kept constant for 100 ps to make sure the reaction is complete.

All the RMD simulations were performed using LAMMPS program package with a neighbor force cutoff of 0.6 nm and a time step of 0.25 fs. Meanwhile, the Nose–Hoover thermostat was employed in the simulations. In order to distinguish the chemical species, one must judge the bonding status between neighbor atoms. In this work, the judgement is based on the distance between atoms. A bond is deemed to form only when the distance is smaller than the product of standard single-bond length and a scale factor. The scale factor is set to 1.2 for the tolerance of vibrations.

The thermodynamic state is output once every 4000 steps of calculation (the time interval is 1 ps as the time step is 0.25 fs). Therefore, the simulation covers the reaction process of 200 ps, excluding the relaxation time of 10 ps.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Reaction rate of pure Al particle

The reaction rate of the system can be expressed by the consumption rate of H2O molecules. Fig. 5 compares the consumption rate of H2O molecules by aluminum with different particle sizes. The number of H2O molecules is the same in the
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Fig. 4 The comparison of the radial distribution function (RDF) of O–H in liquid water calculated by ReaxFF and experiment value.
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Fig. 5 Consumption of H2O molecules in the reactions of aluminum and water with different aluminum particle sizes.

### Table 2 Bond length and bond angle of H2O calculated from COMPASS and parameterized ReaxFF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>( r_{O-H} )</th>
<th>RE%</th>
<th>( \angle H-O-H )</th>
<th>RE%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expt(^a)</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>102.80</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPASS</td>
<td>0.949</td>
<td>-1.76</td>
<td>104.49</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReaxFF</td>
<td>1.011</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>100.88</td>
<td>-1.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a r_{O-H}\) is the O–H length in Angstrom. \(^b \angle H-O-H\) is the bond angle in degree. \(^c\) Relative error. \(^d\) Experimental values from ref. 38.

### Table 3 Lattice parameters of H2O calculated from GGA and ReaxFF\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>( a )</th>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>( c )</th>
<th>( \alpha )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( \gamma )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expt(^b)</td>
<td>7.800</td>
<td>4.500</td>
<td>5.560</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA/PBE</td>
<td>7.660(−1.79)</td>
<td>4.370(−2.89)</td>
<td>5.981(7.57)</td>
<td>90.22(0.24)</td>
<td>90.04(0.04)</td>
<td>89.76(−0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA/RPBE</td>
<td>7.838(0.74)</td>
<td>4.585(1.89)</td>
<td>6.032(8.49)</td>
<td>90.00(0.00)</td>
<td>90.00(0.00)</td>
<td>90.01(0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GGA/PW91</td>
<td>7.318(−6.18)</td>
<td>4.012(−10.84)</td>
<td>5.902(6.15)</td>
<td>89.81(−0.21)</td>
<td>90.11(0.12)</td>
<td>86.56(−3.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReaxFF</td>
<td>7.144(−8.41)</td>
<td>4.122(−8.40)</td>
<td>5.092(−8.42)</td>
<td>90.00(0.00)</td>
<td>90.00(0.00)</td>
<td>90.00(0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) The values in parentheses are the relative errors (%). \(^b\) Experimental values are from ref. 39.
initial and final stages of each system, which indicates that the reaction equilibrium is not affected by particle size. But over a period of 20–70 ps, the difference in the reaction rates can be seen quite clearly. The consumption rate of H\textsubscript{2}O increases with the decrease of aluminum particle size. The increase of the specific surface area of aluminum particles is one of the reasons.

In addition, as an indicator of atomic motion, the diffusion coefficient can reflect the activity of atoms in chemical reactions. The average diffusion coefficients throughout the whole reaction of aluminum with different particle sizes are shown in Fig. 6. The average diffusion coefficient of Al\textsubscript{135} is the largest and that of Al\textsubscript{531} is the smallest, which indicates that as the particle size of the aluminum particle decreases, the aluminum atoms therein are more active and easily react with H\textsubscript{2}O. This is the second reason that the consumption rate of H\textsubscript{2}O is accelerated with the decrease of Al particle size.

Moreover, smaller particles are easier to heat, which can be supported by Fig. 7. The heating process of aluminum with different particle sizes is shown in Fig. 7. Both Al\textsubscript{135} and Al\textsubscript{531} begin to warm from the surface, corresponding to the snapshot at 10 ps. However, at 20 ps, Al\textsubscript{135} has been completely heated, but Al\textsubscript{531} is still only heated on the surface. Therefore, the faster overall participation of Al\textsubscript{135} in the reaction with H\textsubscript{2}O is demonstrated. This is the third reason why the decrease of Al particle size accelerates the reaction rate. After 70 ps, four Al\textsubscript{135} in the molten state showed agglomeration effect, forming an aluminum particle similar in size to Al\textsubscript{531}. Therefore, the difference in reaction rate only occurred between 20 and 70 ps.

As the aluminum particle size decreases, its specific surface area increases. At the same time, it is also more susceptible to heat and more easily to diffuse. These factors are the main reasons that particle size affects the reaction rate of aluminum with water. However, the agglomeration effect of aluminum particles in the later stage attenuates the effect of particle size on the reaction rate.

### 3.2 Reaction performance of surface passivated Al

The surface passivation of aluminum particles is inevitable in an oxygenated environment. The reaction performance of aluminum with water will be affected by the presence of passivated layer. In Fig. 8(a), the initial number of Al–O bonds increases with the decrease of Al particle size. This is because the specific surface area of aluminum increases as its particle size decreases. Therefore, the proportion of passivated part increases. Fig. 8(b) shows the relationship between the hydrogen yield and particle size. With the decrease of particle size, hydrogen yield decreases obviously. This is because the decrease in the proportion of active aluminum reduces the yield of hydrogen.

The mean-squared displacement (MSD) can reflect the motion of the atoms perfectly. During the reaction of aluminum with water, the MSD of aluminum atoms are shown in Fig. 9. MSD represents the square of the distance from the initial position of the atom, and it can reflect the degree of the atom’s activity over time. Regardless of the size, the aluminum atoms in the surface passivated aluminum particles are more active than those in the pure aluminum particles in the middle stage of the reaction. This is because the aluminum atoms inside the particle react with alumina on the surface after the aluminum particle is passivated.

In order to explore the influence of surface passivation on the reaction mechanism, the snapshots of Al\textsubscript{531}/H\textsubscript{2}O and Al\textsubscript{531}O\textsubscript{226}/H\textsubscript{2}O systems as a function of time were presented in Fig. 10. When the reaction proceeds to 20 ps, the Al\textsubscript{531} particle expands and generates Al–H and Al–O bonds from the surface, which corresponded to reaction (1) proposed in the previous study:29
3Al + 2H₂O → 2AlO + AlH₃ + H

(1)

However, Al₅₃₁O₂₂₆ does not expand, and there is no H atoms entering the interior due to the presence of surface oxidized layer.

At 70 ps, a large number of Al–H and Al–O bonds are formed inside the Al₅₃₁ and Al₅₃₁O₂₂₆ due to the increase of temperature. But at the end of the reaction (210 ps), there is only alumina inside the residue, and H atoms have disappeared, proving that AlH₃ is merely an intermediate in the reaction of Al with H₂O.

In addition, the temperature distribution of Al₅₃₁/H₂O and Al₅₃₁O₂₂₆/H₂O at 20 ps is shown in Fig. 11. Interestingly, the Al₅₃₁ particle heats up from the surface, while the Al₅₃₁O₂₂₆ heats up from the inside. The Al atoms on the surface of Al₅₃₁ react rapidly with H₂O and release heat. However, no H atoms are observed in the interior of Al₅₃₁O₂₂₆ particles, proving that the interior Al atoms have not react with H₂O at 20 ps. Combined with the snapshot in Fig. 10, the heat released is believed to come from the internal Al atoms reacting with oxidized layer:

4Al + Al₂O₃ → 3Al₂O

(2)

Early experiments also reported the presence of Al₂O in the combustion of surface passivated aluminum with water.⁴⁰

Finally, the influence of the presence of the oxidized layer on the reaction performance with the change of particle size is
3.3 Reactivity by adding AlH₃ particle

The presence of surface passivated layer greatly reduces the reaction performance of aluminum with water. In order to solve this problem, the surface passivated aluminum is replaced by AlH₃ in proportion. In the Al₁₃₅O₁₀₃/4/H₂O system, 25% and 50% of the surface passivated Al particles were replaced by AlH₃, respectively. The number of H₂ molecules and Al–H bonds are counted in the Fig. 12. As the replacement ratio increases, the hydrogen yield increases obviously. When the replacement ratio reaches 25%, the hydrogen yield is almost equal to that of the reaction between pure Al and H₂O. Combined with the decrease in the amount of Al–H bonds, it is considered that AlH₃ reacts with H₂O through 2AlH₃ + 3H₂O → Al₂O₃ + 6H₂ to release huge amounts of hydrogen. However, the number of AlH₃ at about 30 ps increases abnormally since Al atoms react with H₂O during this period as shown in Fig. 10 and generate AlH₃ through 3Al + 2H₂O → 2AlO + AlH₃ + H.
Meanwhile, with the addition of AlH₃, the generation rate of H₂ is accelerated. Considering the increase in the diffusion coefficient of aluminum atoms in Fig. 13, it is believed that the addition of AlH₃ increases the reactivity of the system. In addition, the energy release of the system is also shown in Fig. 13. When surface passivated aluminum particles with a diameter of 1.6 nm (Al₁₃₅O₁₀₃) react with water, only 59.47 kJ mol⁻¹ of energy is released. The unit per mole here means per unit mixture contains one mole of aluminum. When 25% of the aluminum particles are replaced by AlH₃, the system can release 142.56 kJ mol⁻¹ of energy, which is close to that released by pure aluminum with water (180.67 kJ mol⁻¹). When the molar ratio of AlH₃ increases to 50%, this value goes up to 234.17 kJ mol⁻¹.

### Table 4: Effect of surface passivation on the reaction performance of aluminum and water with the particle size

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Al in H₂O</th>
<th>Active Al content (per cell)</th>
<th>Active Al reduction ratio (%)</th>
<th>H₂ yield (per cell)</th>
<th>H₂ yield reduction ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Al₁₁₁</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₁₁₁O₂₂₆</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂₆₆O₁₆₄ × 2</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₁₂₇O₁₂₆ × 3</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>49.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₁₁₃O₁₀₃ × 4</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12: Statistics of hydrogen yield and the number of Al–H bonds in Al/AlH₃/H₂O system over time. 0, 25% and 50% are the replacement ratio of surface passivated Al by AlH₃.

#### 4 Conclusion

The decomposition mechanism of H₂O molecules on the surface of Al particles with different particle sizes was analyzed by using ReaxFF force field. With the decrease of the aluminum particle size, H₂O molecules in the system consume faster in the medium term of the simulation. However, the increasing temperature in the later period will cause the agglomeration of Al particles to offset this effect.

Moreover, the influence of surface passivation of aluminum cannot be ignored as the particle size decreases. When the particle diameter decreases from 2.5 nm to 1.6 nm, the proportion of active aluminum decreases by 30%, and the hydrogen yield in the reaction with water decreases by 33% when the thickness of surface passivated shell is 0.35 nm. The addition of AlH₃ can overcome this problem. When 25% of the surface passivated aluminum in the system is replaced by AlH₃, the energy release increases from 59.47 kJ mol⁻¹ to 142.56 kJ mol⁻¹, and hydrogen yield increases form 0.0042 mol g⁻¹ to 0.0076 g mol⁻¹, which are close to those of pure Al/H₂O system. To sum up, in the reaction of surface passivated aluminum with water, replacing a certain percentage of aluminum with AlH₃ can offset the effect of passivated layer on hydrogen yield and energy content.

In addition, in the pure Al/H₂O system, the heating starts at the interface between Al particles and H₂O molecules, while in the surface passivated Al/H₂O system, the heating starts inside the Al particle. This is because the reaction process 3Al + 2H₂O → 2AlO + AlH₃ + H occurs at the interface between...
pure Al and H₂O. However, the passivated layer reacts with aluminum inside the particle through 4Al + Al₂O₃ → 3Al₂O₃.
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