Biophysical restriction of growth area using a monodispersed gold sphere nanobARRIER prolongs the mitotic phase in HeLa cells†
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Gold nanoparticles are widely exploited for biological and biotechnological applications owing to their stability, biocompatibility, and known effects on cellular behaviors. Many studies have focused on nanoparticles that are internalized into cells, but extracellular nanoparticles also can regulate cell behavior, a practice known as in-plane surface nanotopography. We demonstrated that nanobARRIERS composed of morphologically homogeneous gold nanospheres prolonged the mitotic (M) phase in the cervical cancer cell line HeLa without inducing apoptosis. The nanobARRIER was formed by electrostatic deposition of nanospheres on a negatively charged, fibronectin-coated substrate. We tested the effects of differently sized nanospheres. Gold nanospheres 42 nm in diameter were found to be non-toxic, while 111 nm nanospheres induced the production of reactive oxygen species, resulting in apoptotic cell death and arrest of cytokinesis. When exposed to sufficient 83 nm gold nanospheres to fabricate a surface nanobARRIER, the M phase was delayed but cells proceeded to cytokinesis and the G1 phase. Live-cell imaging showed that the M phase increased by 2.9 h, 2.4 times longer than in control cells. Biophysical analyses indicated that this could be attributed to the specific size of the nanobARRIER that physically limited the growth area around the cell.

Introduction

The use of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) in biomedical and biotechnological applications1–3 has been a subject of increasing attention, given their unique biocompatibility,4 low cytotoxic effects,5 stability, easy functionalization as platforms for molecular conjugation,6 and efficiency as drug delivery agents.7 Several in vivo and in vitro studies have elucidated numerous AuNP parameters, including size,8 shape,9 concentration,9 and surface chemistry,10 that affect cellular uptake and cytotoxicity. Their biological effects include regulation of cell proliferation by cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis induction mediated by nanotoxicity.11,12

Most nanoparticle-based studies focus primarily on cellular cues induced by their internalization, with considerably less emphasis on extracellular cues.13,14 Interacellular AuNPs are used in biomedical applications including drug delivery15 and sensing of target molecules16 by actively interacting with cellular components. The consequences of these interactions then change the regulation of cell behavior. Nanomaterials surrounding cells constitute an artificial extracellular matrix, providing a combination of external chemical, physical, mechanical, and biological factors that can influence cell behavior.17 AuNPs are the most commonly used components for in-plane nanotopography,17–19 the characteristics of cell surface nanotopography result in multiple cellular responses including locomotion,20 spreading,21 differentiation,22 adhesion,23 and cell-cycle progression.24

The cell cycle is universally critical for the development, survival, and reproduction of all organisms. Specifically, the M phase involves dramatic changes in multiple cellular structures, leading to nuclear (mitosis) and cytoplasmic (cytokinesis) division.25 Therefore, M-phase control is the focus of intense interest in cancer26 and longevity27 research. Conventionally, delays in the cell cycle caused by a chemical treatment could be interpreted as a response to the modulation of a cell-cycle regulator or delaying the assembly of the mitotic spindle, resulting in an incomplete cell cycle process, such as DNA replication or chromosome alignment.28,29 However, this also causes unintended damage, such as apoptosis,30 which interrupts the goal of the study. Advanced methods or new
technological platforms are required to modulate cell-cycle progression without causing such damage.

Herein, we demonstrate a novel strategy to prolong the M phase in the cervical cancer cell line HeLa, without nuclear DNA damage. The procedure was realized using a nanobarrier with highly spherical and monodispersed gold nanospheres electrostatically attached to a fibronectin-coated substrate. Cells were imaged for 24 h to determine their biological responses to the external physical stimuli produced by in-plane nanotopography, as well as to nanoparticle internalization of spheres with 42, 83, and 111 nm diameters. The effects of the nanobarriers on cells were particle size dependent. External nanobarriers made with 83 nm spheres physically retarded cell movement, preventing cells from securing a sufficient growth area, inducing an increase in the mean duration of the M phase and delaying the cell cycle.

Materials and methods

Materials

Ethylene glycol (EG, anhydrous, 99.8%), gold (m) chloride trihydrate (99.0% metals basis), poly(dimethyldiallylammonium chloride) (polyDADMAC, molecular weight 400–500 kDa, 20 wt% in H2O), phosphoric acid (H3PO4, 85 wt% in H2O), poly-L-lysine (0.1% in H2O), and fibronectin (Bioreagent, 0.1% in H2O) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Amine–polyethylene glycol–thiol (NH2–PEG–SH, 10k) was prepared by Creative PEGworks (USA). HeLa cells (human adenocarcinoma cells originating from the cervix, #CCL-2) were purchased from Creative PEGworks (USA). HeLa cells (human adenocarcinoma cells originating from the cervix, #CCL-2) were purchased from Creative PEGworks (USA). HeLa cells (human adenocarcinoma cells originating from the cervix, #CCL-2) were purchased from Creative PEGworks (USA). HeLa cells (human adenocarcinoma cells originating from the cervix, #CCL-2) were purchased from Creative PEGworks (USA).

Preparation of N-AuNSs

We modified the synthesis process outlined in a previous report to better control AuNS size. PolyDADMAC (8.0, 9.1, and 10.0 g), H3PO4 solution (16 mL, 1 M), and EG (400 mL) were mixed in a 1 L glass bottle at room temperature. HAuCl4 solution (0.4 mL, 0.5 M) was added to the mixture. The bottle was incubated at 190 °C without stirring for 3 h. To etch octahedral Au particles into nanospheres, HAuCl4 solution (0.1 mL, 0.5 M) was added to the cooled suspension, followed by vigorous stirring at 25 °C for 24 h. Centrifugation at 50 000 g (Supra 30k, Hanil, Republic of Korea) for 2 h and repeated washing with ethanol yielded spherical AuNSs. For surface modifications on the AuNSs, NH2–PEG–SH solution (3 mL, 1 mM) was added to 1 mL of 1.0 × 1010 NSs per mL aqueous suspension. The mixture was stored at 30 °C for 6 days. N-AuNSs were separated from the resultant suspension by centrifugation and purified by washing with water.

Measurement of the number of NH2 groups on the N-AuNS surface

The UV/visible absorbance of the amine-reactive fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) fluorescent dye was measured at concentrations of 100, 50, 10, 5, 1, and 0.5 μM in ethanol. Each maximum absorbance was fitted to a GaussAmp curve using Origin 8.0 software (Fig. S1A†) (1) and plotted using eqn (1):

\[
y = y^0 + A \exp \left( -0.5 \times \left( \frac{x - x^0}{W} \right)^2 \right)
\]

where \(x\) is the absorbance of the FITC solution, \(y^0\) is the molar concentration of the FITC dye, \(y^0\) is the offset on \(y\) axis (−4.9 × 10⁻³), \(A\) is the amplitude of each curve (1.3 × 10⁻⁴), \(x^0\) is the center position on the \(x\)-axis of each GaussAmp curve (1.7), and \(W\) is the width of each curve (5.3 × 10⁻³). Samples (1 mL) of 1.7 × 10⁶, 4.2 × 10¹⁰, and 4.2 × 10¹⁰ NSs per mL for 42, 83, and 111 nm wide N-AuNSs, respectively, were mixed with 1 mL of 0.4 M FITC solution and shaken at 500 rpm at room temperature for 12 h. To measure free FITC, the supernatant was collected by centrifugation at 2500g for 20 min. The maximum absorbance of free FITC in each supernatant is presented in Fig. S1B†. The concentration of FITC that reacted with the amine groups on the AuNSs could be calculated by substituting the difference in the absorbance of the dye before and after the reaction into eqn (1). As shown in Table S1† by identifying the number of labeled amines, the number of amine groups per AuNS could be calculated.

Primary characterization of N-AuNSs by TEM

Nanoparticles dispersed in water were placed on a copper 200-mesh grid (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) and examined by ultra-corrected energy filtering transmission electron microscopy (UC-EF-TEM), ZEISS Libra with 200 kV (ZEISS, Germany). The microscope was equipped with a high-tilted goniometer, a monochromator (CEOS, Germany), an image Cs-corrector (CEOS, Germany), and a side CCD camera (ORIUS SC200D, Gatan, USA).

Cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% 100× antibiotic–antimycotic solution (Gibco-BRL, USA). Cells were seeded in 4-chamber glass-bottom dishes and 96-well cell culture plates (Matek Corporation, USA) pre-coated with human fibronectin solution or poly-L-lysine solution (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Cells were incubated in a humidified incubator at 37 °C with 5% CO2 and passed at 80–90% confluence. For each experiment, cells cultured in medium without N-AuNSs were used as controls. The cells were seeded 24 h prior to AuNS exposure at a density of 5.0 × 10⁴ per well in the 96-well plates and 2.0 × 10³ per chamber in the 4-chamber dish, aiming to reach 70% confluence.

Cell proliferation assay

Cell proliferation was assessed using a cholecystokinin (CCK)-8 assay (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were seeded in transparent 96-well plates and exposed to the 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNS dispersions at 4.2 × 10⁵, 2.1 × 10⁴, 4.2 × 10⁴, and 8.4 × 10³ NSs per mL for 24 h. Next, CCK-8 (10 μL) reagent was added to each well and incubated for 3 h at 37 °C with 5% CO2. After incubation, absorbance at 450 nm was measured using a Synergy H1 Hybrid Multi-Mode Reader (BioTek, USA).
Flow cytometry for cell cycle analysis

The distribution of cells in each phase of the cell cycle was studied using a propidium iodide flow cytometry kit (Abcam, USA). Approximately $3.0 \times 10^5$ cells per well were seeded in 96-well plates and exposed to 83 nm and 111 nm N-AuNSs at $4.2 \times 10^7$ and $2.1 \times 10^8$ NSs per mL for 24 h. Cells were harvested and centrifuged at 120 g for 3 min. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, the pellet was fixed in 66% ethanol and stored at 4 °C for 2 h. The fixed cells were rehydrated in PBS, then stained with propidium iodide and RNase for 30 min. The stained cells were then analyzed using a flow cytometer with the propidium iodide fluorescence intensity set to FL2 and 488 nm laser excitation with maxima for excitation 493 nm and emission 636 nm. Total 5 trials of cell cycle analysis were conducted and their average value with standard deviation represented in Fig. 5.

Cell morphology, live-cell imaging, and measurement of mitochondrial ROS

HeLa cells in 96-well culture plates (200 μL of aqueous mixture of N-AuNSs and cell culture media) exposed to $4.2 \times 10^7$ and $2.1 \times 10^8$ NSs per mL of 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNSs and the equivalent ratio of nanoparticles per cells were applied in a chamber dish (800 μL of aqueous mixture of N-AuNSs and cell culture media). Cells were imaged on an Olympus IX71 inverted microscope (40× magnification) equipped with a fully automated 96-well plate Chamlide stage-top incubator (Live Cell Instrument, Republic of Korea) for 24 h. Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 throughout the experiment. Time-lapse images were acquired as 20–25 sections at 1 h intervals for each position. Stage displacement and image acquisition were controlled through MetaMorph (Universal Imaging, USA). For measurement of M phase duration, approximately 10 cells were picked per 1 h time-lapse image series, so the average value is represented in 1 h units. The videos were made from 1 h interval time-lapse images captured over a 22 h period.

Mitochondrial reactive oxygen species (ROS) were detected using the MitoSOX™ Red mitochondrial superoxide indicator (Life Technologies, USA). Cells were seeded in 96-well plates and exposed to $4.2 \times 10^7$ and $2.1 \times 10^8$ NSs per mL of 83 and 111 nm N-AuNSs for 24 h. Cells treated with a common ROS inducer, tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP), were used as a positive control (Fig. S21). Cells were stained with NucBlue Live ReadyProbes Reagent (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR) and MitoSOX Red, according to the manufacturer’s instructions, to detect nuclei and mitochondrial ROS, respectively. An Olympus IX71 inverted microscope (40× magnification) was used to image fluorescence with excitation and emission wavelengths of 405 and 460 nm for NucBlue, and 510 and 580 nm for MitoSOX Red.

Cellular uptake of N-AuNSs visualized by cryo-EM and TEM

Cells were ready to be examined by cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) and TEM after 24 h of incubation with N-AuNSs. Plunge-freezing of HeLa cells cultured on fibronectin-coated R 2/2 Quantifoil gold EM finder grids (Electron Microscopy Sciences, USA) for cryo-EM was performed as described.11,12 Frozen grids were viewed under the FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit twin (FEI) at 120 kV in cryo-conditions.

Pelleted cells were prepared for TEM as described.33,34 Ultrathin sections were cut using a Leica ultramicrotome (Leica, Austria) and post-stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. The grid was viewed under a Zeiss LEO912AB TEM (Carl Zeiss, Germany) at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of gold nanospheres

A previous study reported a 35 nm depth threshold for nanotopographic patterns to influence cell behavior.35 It has been shown that the optimum size for AuNPs to enable accumulation on tumor tissues is 50–100 nm.36 Therefore, we chose 42, 83, and 111 nm-wide, highly spherical, and monodispersed AuNPs to investigate the dependence of size on their biological effects. Often, AuNPs coated with stabilizers, including cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide,37 tiopronin,38 fetal bovine serum,39 poly(methacrylic acid),40 or polystyrene sulfonate,41 are toxic to cells because of free molecules detaching from the AuNPs. Hence, we modified the initial AuNS surfaces with NH2–PEG–SH; the PEG chain improves the dispersion of the AuNSs in buffer solution, and the NH2 group gives it a positive charge by ionization,42 enhancing interactions between the AuNSs and cells.

As shown by TEM of the NH2–PEG-modified AuNSs (N-AuNSs) in Fig. 1A–C, all were ultra-smooth, highly spherical, and size-monodisperse. In several images, 100 particles were measured with ImageJ software. In Fig. 1D, the size distributions of N-AuNSs with $42 \pm 1.4$, $83 \pm 2.1$, and $111 \pm 2.4$ nm diameters are shown. The highly monodispersed shape and size assist in measurement of size-dependent cellular responses without the interference caused by heterogeneous shapes. To calculate the concentration of the N-AuNSs stock solution, the absorbance in Fig. 1E was substituted into the Beer–Lambert equation with the extinction coefficient corresponding to the size indicated in a previous report.43 Each AuNS solution for the experiment was prepared to concentrations of $4.2 \times 10^7$ (×1), $2.1 \times 10^8$ (×50), $4.2 \times 10^9$ (×100), and $8.4 \times 10^9$ (×200) NSs per mL by adding the necessary volume of cell culture medium into the stock solution (Table S2†).

Effect of coating substrates on the in vitro cellular behavior of N-AuNSs

We examined the in vitro behavior of N-AuNSs through electrostatic interactions with two oppositely charged cell culture coating substrates, fibronectin44 and poly-γ-lysine,45 commonly used to promote cell attachment. Cells were plated onto fibronectin- and poly-γ-lysine-coated EM gold grids and exposed to N-AuNSs. As observed in cryo-EM images (Fig. 2A and B), the N-AuNSs adhered to the fibronectin-coated surface and cultured cells concurrently, with active cellular interaction. In contrast, the N-AuNSs were hardly seen on the poly-γ-lysine-coated surface or cells (Fig. 2C and D).
The fibronectin-coated EM grid facilitates N-AuNS interactions with cells because the positively charged AuNSs generally have a high affinity to the cells on the negatively charged fibronectin, inducing higher cellular uptake of N-AuNSs. In addition, N-AuNSs attached to the fibronectin-coated substrate produced heterogeneous colloidal nanotopographic features such as barriers within the extracellular space surrounding the HeLa cells. Thus, the surface charge of the coating substrates affects the cellular uptake of N-AuNSs and fibronectin can be utilized as an adequate coating reagent with N-AuNSs to produce in-plane nanobars for investigating HeLa cell behavior in response to the extracellular biophysical cues and physicochemical properties of N-AuNSs. In further experiments, we used HeLa cells cultured in fibronectin-coated 96-well culture plates.

**Effect of N-AuNSs on cell proliferation**

The size- and concentration-dependent cytotoxicity of N-AuNSs was evaluated using a CCK-8 cell proliferation assay. HeLa cells were cultured on fibronectin-coated 96-well culture plates and exposed to 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNSs in increasing concentrations of ×1, ×50, ×100, and ×200 for 24 h, since the cell-cycle duration of HeLa cells is approximately 22 h. In this assay, 42 nm N-AuNSs showed no significant influence on cell proliferation, independent of concentration (Fig. 3, black bar). The proliferation rate of cells exposed to 83 nm N-AuNSs seemed to be unaffected at concentrations of ×1. However, at 50-fold higher concentrations cell proliferation decreased slightly, ranging from 73% to 79% (Fig. 3, red bar). The presence of 111 nm N-AuNSs, dramatically decreased cell proliferation in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3, blue bar).

Cell proliferation is determined by the cycling behavior of cells, such as the speed of the cell cycle and the growth fraction (the population of actively cycling cells). Therefore, decreased cell proliferation may indicate delayed cell cycles or increased apoptosis. Overall, the cell proliferation rate seemed to be reduced in a size- and concentration-dependent manner only by 111 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. 3). Notably, a concentration of ×50 was the inflection point at which significant changes in cell proliferation were detected for both 83 and 111 nm N-AuNSs (Table S3†). Therefore, for closer investigation into the causes of this decreased cell proliferation, cells exposed to 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNSs at concentrations of ×1 and ×50, were examined.

**Fig. 1** Characterization of N-AuNSs. TEM images of N-AuNSs at (A) 42, (B) 83, and (C) 111 nm. Scale bars, 100 nm. (D) Size distribution and (E) UV/Vis absorption spectra of N-AuNSs.

**Fig. 2** Cryo-EM images of HeLa cells cultured on (A and B) human fibronectin and (C and D) poly-L-lysine-coated QUANTIFOIL gold EM grids and incubated with N-AuNSs (42 nm) for 24 h. N-AuNSs are present in the fibronectin-coated grid (arrows), whereas only HeLa cells are observed in the poly-L-lysine-coated grid. Scale bars: (A and C) 2 μm; (B and D) 200 nm.

**Fig. 3** Cell proliferation assessment of N-AuNSs in HeLa cells using the CCK-8 assay after 24 h exposure to 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNSs at concentrations of ×1, ×50, ×100, and ×200. A significant decrease in HeLa cell proliferation was observed in the presence of 111 nm N-AuNSs (error bars indicate standard errors; n = 4; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001).
Cellular uptake of N-AuNSs in HeLa cells

Bright-field optical microscopy images revealed the morphology of HeLa cells after 24 h of incubation with N-AuNSs. There were no differences in the number of cells among the control (untreated), 42, 83, or 111 nm spheres at a concentration of ×1 (Fig. S3A–D†). In contrast, similar to the cell proliferation results (Fig. 3), at a concentration of ×50, decreases in cell numbers were observed for the 83 and 111 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. S3G and H†), relative to the control and 42 nm N-AuNSs-treated cells (Fig. S3E and F†). As described above, N-AuNSs assemble on the fibronectin-coated substrate due to electrostatic attraction, forming a colloidal nanobarrier in the extracellular space. At a concentration of ×1, the nanobarriers composed of 42 and 83 nm N-AuNSs were not observed (Fig. S3B and C†), and few 111 nm N-AuNS clusters visible extracellularly (Fig. S3D†). At a concentration of ×50, a clear colloidal nanobarrier was seen, although the nanotopographical density was very low for the 42 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. S3F†). A denser nanobarrier was formed by 111 nm than 83 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. S3G and H†). As the ×50 concentration 83 nm and 111 nm N-AuNSs-treated HeLa cells showed decreased proliferation rates, the nanobarrier might participate in the regulation of cell proliferation.

Cellular uptake and the precise localization of N-AuNSs were investigated by TEM. In previous reports, the cellular uptake of ~50 nm AuNSs was noted as the most efficient.8,48,49 However, in our case, all three sizes were internalized and localized in cytoplasmic vesicles (most likely endosomes or lysosomes) within 24 h, showing that the particle size does not affect the cellular uptake of N-AuNSs under our experimental conditions (Fig. S4†). Penetration of N-AuNSs into nuclei was not observed; therefore, DNA damage induced by nuclear internalization of nanoparticles is unlikely to contribute to decreased cell proliferation. Moreover, the sizes of the particle-loaded vesicles seemed to increase with increasing N-AuNS size. Fig. S4H† shows endosomes up to 1 μm in diameter. Increases in endosomal size enhance endosomal escape;50 therefore, it might be important to know that endosomes are generated according to nanoparticle size for efficient drug delivery systems.

Cell cycle regulation by N-AuNSs

As shown in Fig. 3, the cell proliferation assay results for HeLa cells exposed to N-AuNSs at a concentration of ×50 and ×1 showed no difference for the 42 nm N-AuNSs, but displayed approximately 73% and 35% less proliferation at ×50 for the
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83 nm and 111 nm N-AuNSs, respectively. To evaluate cell cycle arrest, which influences the rate of cell proliferation, live-cell imaging of HeLa cells exposed to 42, 83, and 111 nm N-AuNSs at concentrations of ×1 and ×50 was performed for 24 h. The first six images, taken at 1 h intervals, of the ×50 concentration treatments are displayed in Fig. 4 (Video S1–S4†). This imaging revealed that the fabrication of the nanobarrier with N-AuNSs occurs very quickly; N-AuNSs sedimentation reached its saturation point within the first hour of exposure (Fig. 4; Video S2–S4†).

A typical cell cycle consists of G1, S, G2, and M phases.25 Here, we focused on M phase, in which dynamic changes in cell morphology, such as cell rounding, and the final physical cell division (cytokinesis) are observed.26 M phase is divided into a series of shorter phases including metaphase and telophase (Fig. 4A). In metaphase, the cells undergo mitotic cell rounding and in telophase, the cleavage furrow begins to form.27 In this study, by setting metaphase (green arrow) as a start-point and telophase (red arrow) as an endpoint, the duration of the M phase was measured to assess cell-cycle transit time (Fig. 4B–E).

The M-phase durations of control cells and cells treated with 42 nm N-AuNSs at ×50 concentration were identical at 1.2 h. However, the M-phase duration of cells incubated with 83 nm N-AuNSs was 2.4 times more than that of control and the 42 nm N-AuNS-treated cells. Despite a prolonged M phase, mitosis and cytokinesis were completed (Video S3†); the cells-initiated cytokinesis with mitotic cell rounding, followed by contractile ring constriction, concomitant cleavage furrow ingress, the extension of a cytoplasmic bridge linking the two daughter cells, and finally, complete abscission. In contrast, cytokinesis arrest was observed in cells incubated with 111 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. 4E); cytokinesis began with mitotic cell rounding, but the extension of the cytoplasmic bridge did not occur (red square), and daughter cells did not separate over 24 h. At a concentration of ×50, it is likely that 83 nm N-AuNSs slowed the M phase of the cell cycle, while 111 nm N-AuNSs inhibited cells from completing cell division.

To further investigate the arrest of cytokinesis, cell-cycle analyses on cells exposed to 83 nm and 111 nm N-AuNSs at ×1 and ×50 were performed using flow cytometry (Fig. 5). The cell-cycle stage distribution of control cells was comparable to that of cells exposed to 83 nm N-AuNSs at a concentration of ×1. At a concentration of ×50, 83 nm N-AuNSs increased the percentage of cells in G2/M phase. G2/M-phase arrest induced by AuNPs has been observed in conjunction with high cytotoxicity, suggested by high levels of ROS.46,52 Moreover, cell-cycle arrest can occur temporarily for maintenance purposes to dissipate an exogenous cellular stress signal, to repair cellular damage, or to provide essential growth factors.23 In the presence of 83 nm N-AuNSs at ×50, a low sub-G1 cell population (apoptotic cells) was observed, suggesting that the process of cell division had been slowed, but did not lead to apoptosis (Fig. 5).

Cytokinesis arrest could result in apoptosis.24,55 Flow cytometry showed that the sub-G1-phase population increased with increasing concentration in the presence of 111 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. 5). These size- and concentration-dependent characteristics of 111 nm N-AuNS-induced apoptosis were similar to the decreasing proliferation rates of cells treated with 111 nm N-AuNSs (Fig. 3). At concentrations of ×50, it is obvious that 111 nm N-AuNSs caused cytokinesis arrest in HeLa cells (Fig. 4E), leading to apoptotic cell death (Video S5†) and decreasing cell proliferation.

Mitochondrial ROS generation induced by N-AuNSs

Most cell damage in AuNPs studies has been attributed to induced ROS modulating cellular processes including cell proliferation, cell cycle progression, cell death, cell cycle arrest, and alterations in apoptosis.12,49 The AuNPs used in our experiment were surface-modified with NH2–PEG, whose amine group had been previously shown to damage mitochondria.26 As mitochondria are the major source of cellular ROS,57 evaluation of cytotoxicity caused by the amine group was focused on mitochondrial ROS production. To determine whether ROS generation and DNA damage were caused by the functional group of the N-AuNSs, fluorescence assays with NucBlue and MitoSOX reagents were performed on cells treated with 83 and 111 nm N-AuNSs at concentrations of ×1 and ×50. As shown in the optical images in Fig. 6A and B, cells exposed to 83 nm N-AuNSs exhibited intact and homogenous cell nuclei stained by NucBlue, indicating no nuclear DNA damage. Among cells exposed to 111 nm N-AuNSs, a few showed lower signal intensities than others (arrows in Fig. 6C) or no NucBlue signal (Fig. 6D). This suggests that DNA degradation might be in progress, inducing apoptosis.48 The nuclei exhibited a purple color in the overlay image (arrowheads in Fig. 6D) due to nuclear staining by MitoSOX, indicating a loss of mitochondrial structure or membrane potential in dying cells.59 In accordance with the NucBlue results, the MitoSOX signal, which is induced by mitochondrial ROS, was detected only in cells exposed to the 111 nm N-AuNSs at both concentrations. Moreover, in the TEM image shown in Fig. S5† enlarged lysosomes and accumulation of autophagosomes were seen with 111 nm N-AuNSs; these are
cellular defense mechanisms against oxidative stress. Finally, to evaluate the inductive relationship between the functional amine group and ROS generation, the number of amine groups on the 83 and 111 nm N-AuNS surfaces were calculated from a GaussAmp curve of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) solution. As shown in Table S1,† the numbers of PEG molecules on the 83 and 111 nm N-AuNS surfaces were measured to 2.62 × 10⁵ and 4.73 × 10⁶ PEG/AuNS, respectively, similar to the reported number of PEG molecules on similar-sized AuNPs.

Despite the fact that the number of amine groups on the 83 nm N-AuNSs at 50 was 2.3 × 10⁸ times higher than that on the 111 nm N-AuNSs at 1 (Table S1†), mitochondrial ROS was not detected with 83 nm N-AuNSs at 50. Based on the association between the amine number and dye signal, we could conclude that the amine group is irrelevant to ROS production, but that 111 nm N-AuNSs play a significant role in ROS production, consequently inducing apoptosis.

Biophysical effects of N-AuNS nanobrriers on HeLa cells

Because 83 nm N-AuNSs were irrelevant to ROS generation, it was necessary to further investigate what factors influenced cell-cycle prolongation. Some studies have reported that physical restriction of cell movement, such as a hydrogel 3D cell culture or nanotopography, can influence the cell cycle. Therefore, we focused on physical interactions between the cells and the nanobrriers. We repeated the live cell imaging of HeLa cells with 42 and 83 nm N-AuNSs under the same conditions as above, but in 4-chamber dishes. As the 298 mm² bottom surface area of a chamber in a 4-chamber dish is approximately 10 times larger than that of a well in a 96-well plate, it results in a more sparse nanobarrier (Fig. S6†). The M-phase duration of the cells cultured in the 4-chamber dishes with 42 and 83 nm N-AuNSs under the same conditions as above, but in 4-chamber dishes. As the 298 mm² bottom surface area of a chamber in a 4-chamber dish is approximately 10 times larger than that of a well in a 96-well plate, it results in a more sparse nanobarrier (Fig. S6†). The M-phase duration of the cells cultured in the 4-chamber dishes with 42 nm N-AuNSs at 1 and 50 and 83 nm N-AuNSs at 1 was 1.1 h, similar to the time in the 96-well plate. However, the mean duration of the M phase with 83 nm N-AuNSs at 50 was 1.3 h, much shorter than the 2.9 h observed under the same conditions in the 96-well plate, but slightly longer than that of the other conditions in the chamber. In this experiment, the only difference in the environment was the surface area of the cell-culture wells. Therefore, we calculated the coverage of N-AuNSs on the plates to elucidate the role of N-AuNSs in the external space around the cells.

In calculating N-AuNSs coverage, we confirmed two factors from the TEM image in Fig. 7; (1) the N-AuNSs are randomly distributed and (2) they form a monolayer. The coverage of N-AuNSs on the bottom of the cell culture plate was calculated.
(eqn (2)) by dividing the total cross-sectional area of the N-AuNSs by the bottom area of the cell culture plate and the random packing fraction:

\[
C = \frac{A_{\text{Au}}}{A_{\text{M}}} = \frac{\pi r_{\text{Au}}^2 N_{\text{Au}}}{4 \phi r_{\text{P}}^2}
\]  

(2)

where \( C \) is the coverage of N-AuNSs on the bottom of the cell culture plate, \( A_{\text{Au}} \) is the total cross-section area of N-AuNSs, \( r_{\text{Au}} \) is the radius of the N-AuNS, \( N_{\text{Au}} \) is the total number of N-AuNSs, \( A_{\text{M}} \) is the surface area of the cell culture plate available for N-AuNSs monolayer coverage, \( r_{\text{P}} \) is the radius of the bottom of the cell culture plate, and \( \phi \) is the random-packing fraction in two dimensions (0.82). The calculated 83 nm N-AuNSs coverage in the 4-chamber dish and 96-well plate is plotted in Fig. 7B. The coverage in the 4-chamber dish and 96-well plate at \( C_1 \) was calculated as 0.7% and 1.9%, respectively. However, when the concentration was increased to \( C_5 \), the coverage of 83 nm N-AuNSs soared to 37 and 96% in the 4-chamber dish and 96-well plate, respectively (see in Fig. S7A and B†). This tendency reveals that the duration of the M phase in the HeLa cell cycle is proportional to N-AuNS coverage, although the time did not extend at concentrations over \( \times 50 \) with the 83 nm N-AuNSs in the 96-well plate. This close relationship suggests that physical nanotopographic cues of the nanobarrier arrested cell cycle progression in the M phase, and eventually reduced cell proliferation. Cells are known to require sufficient growth area to for successful progression through the M phase.\(^{25,45}\) We hypothesize that when cells are more constricted by the N-AuNSs, they need more time to secure this growth area. As a supporting evidence, Video S3† and the schematic in Fig. 8A show that cell division progresses after an N-AuNSs-absent growth area is secured by the uptake of the nanoparticles on the substrate by filopodia. Interactions between filopodia and nanoparticles have been described in several previous reports.\(^{66-68}\) The growth area surrounding the cell membrane was easily detectable (Fig. 8B) and multiple filopodia interacting with N-AuNSs were observed by cryo-EM (Fig. 8C and E) and SEM (Fig. 8D).

When using 42 nm N-AuNSs, cell proliferation was similarly maintained even at \( \times 200 \) in the 96-well plates (Fig. S7C†), although the coverage was 98%, larger than that of the 83 nm N-AuNSs at \( \times 50 \) (95%). Despite the higher coverage, the constant length of the M phase indicates that a specific nanoparticle size causes to phase arrest. Nanostructured surfaces have been reported to regulate multiple cell responses including cell locomotion,\(^{26}\) spreading,\(^{21}\) differentiation,\(^{22}\) adhesion,\(^{23}\) and cell cycle progression.\(^{24}\) Interestingly, this study revealed a novel effect of AuNSs through electrostatic deposition of positively charged N-AuNSs on a oppositely charged substrate; 42 nm N-AuNSs had no effect on the cell cycle at any concentration, 83 nm N-AuNSs increased the duration of M phase to 2.4 times higher than that of control cells, and 111 nm N-AuNSs drove HeLa cells to apoptosis even at \( \times 1 \) concentrations.
Conclusions

We have demonstrated particle size- and concentration-dependent effects of an engineered nanobarrier on the proliferation of HeLa cells cultured on a fibronectin-coated substrate. The nanobarrier was made up of AuNSs, which allowed the experimental results to be interpreted with respect to only particle size and concentration. The NH2–PEG–SH on the AuNSs led to electrostatic deposition on the fibronectin-coated substrate and active interaction with cells. During cellular uptake, the size of the N-AuNSs-loaded endocytic vesicles increased with both increasing particle concentration and size. The cytotoxicity assessment showed changes in the proliferation of HeLa cells caused by N-AuNSs. The physicochemical and biophysical assays indicated that 111 nm N-AuNSs induced ROS generation, cytokinesis arrest, and apoptosis in HeLa cells. Meanwhile, a dense nanobarrier composed of 83 nm N-AuNSs on the substrate physically restricted the growth area around the cell and induced a prolonged M phase without inducing apoptosis or ROS production. This indicates that the specific nanoparticle size served as a suitable nanobarrier leading to cell-cycle phase arrest. Our results suggest that using N-AuNSs and fibronectin-coated substrates is an easy and inexpensive way to fabricate colloidal nanobarriers for biological investigations, and this could be a novel biotechnical platform to adjust cell cycle progression without causing unintended damage, such as apoptosis, which may interrupt the goal of the study. Furthermore, despite our results were limited in in vitro experiment, future experiment on in vivo application of N-AuNSs to test their efficiency in cancer study could expect interesting effect of gold nanoparticles including NanoEL effect.
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