



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 38381

Vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films on Ti sheets for efficient degradation of a refractory ethyl thionocarbamate collector†

Pingfeng Fu,^{id}*^{ab} Yanhong Ma,^a Gen Li^a and Xiaofeng Lin^a

Noble metal modified TiO₂ nanostructures on a substrate featuring a two-dimensional (2D) morphology are of great interest in wastewater remediation due to high photocatalytic activity and avoidance of separating powder catalysts from water. In this work, vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (Pt/TNFs) on Ti sheets were fabricated *via* a synthesis strategy including an alkaline hydrothermal treatment and electrostatic self-assembly. The Pt/TNFs had a BET specific surface area of 93.35 m² g⁻¹, showing high adsorption capacity in removing an ethyl thionocarbamate (ETC) flotation collector. After the deposition with Pt nanoparticles, the photocatalytic activity of the TNFs increased by 94.98% with the enhanced mineralization of the ETC collector. Moreover, the Pt/TNFs on Ti sheets exhibited strong substrate adhesion enabling superior photocatalytic stability in the cyclic degradation of ETC. The solid phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE/GC-MS) analysis revealed that seven byproducts still remained even when 100% of ETC was degraded, showing the difficulty in the complete mineralization of the ETC collector. The Pt/TNF can serve as a promising photocatalyst to treat mineral flotation wastewaters containing organic reagents.

Received 23rd September 2019
 Accepted 18th November 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra07704a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The treatment and recycling of wastewater discharged from various industrial processes have become an important problem of great concern. With the rapid development of the global mining industry, a variety of synthetic organic collectors are used in the flotation of target minerals from ores.^{1,2} The industrial mineral flotation processes discharge a large volume of effluents containing residual collectors and their byproducts.^{3,4} Due to the escaped emissions of flotation reagents from tailing dams, the pollution of agricultural soils and water bodies has become a serious global environmental problem because of the high toxicity and low biodegradability of synthetic reagents.⁴⁻⁶

The removal of refractory collectors from flotation wastewaters has attracted great attention. However, it is difficult to effectively treat flotation wastewaters by traditional methods such as adsorption,⁷ anaerobic biodegradation,⁶ dissolved air flotation,⁸ ozone/vacuum-UV⁹ and coagulation-flocculation.¹⁰ Most of these techniques just change the forms of the

contamination. Among advanced oxidation processes to remediate flotation wastewaters, the photocatalysis has been considered as a promising method not only to remove refractory collectors, but also to mineralize their byproducts.¹¹⁻¹³ TiO₂-based catalysts have been widely used in photocatalytic degradation of contaminants with numerous benefits such as cost-effectiveness, chemical stability and nontoxicity.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In particular, one-dimensional (1D) TiO₂ nanostructures such as nanotubes, nanowires and nanosheets have received great attention due to larger surface area, enhanced light absorption and long-distance electron transport capacity in comparison to TiO₂ nanoparticles (NPs).^{17,18}

The efficiency of photocatalytic water purification using TiO₂ is limited owing to short lifetime of photoexcited carriers.^{14,19} The modification of TiO₂ nanostructures with noble metals exhibits improved photocatalytic activity over blank TiO₂ due to the Schottky-barrier formation.²⁰⁻²² Noble metal acts as an electron trap to minimize the electron-hole recombination with the longer lifetime of photoexcited carriers.²³ However, most of noble metal modified 1D TiO₂ nanostructures are still in form of powder catalyst.^{20,21,24,25} In practical applications, to avoid the secondary pollution and the loss of catalyst mass, TiO₂ powders are generally required to be loaded on a substrate or pressed into porous ceramics.²⁶ Therefore, it is necessary to fix noble metal modified TiO₂ nanostructures on a substrate to achieve superior substrate adherence for stable and long-term photocatalytic performance.

^aSchool of Civil and Resources Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China. E-mail: pffu@ces.ustb.edu.cn; Fax: +86 10 82385795; Tel: +86 13520202167

^bKey Laboratory of High-efficient Mining and Safety of Metal Mines, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100083, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra07704a



Nevertheless, the fabrication of noble metal modified two-dimensional (2D) TiO₂ nanostructures on a substrate generally involves complex procedures. Zhang *et al.*²⁶ reported that Pt/TiO₂ nanosheets on Ti mesh could be prepared *via* hybrid plasma electrolytic oxidation and hydrothermal method followed by the photodeposition of Pt nanoparticles (NPs). Au/Pt alloy particles decorated TiO₂ nanotube arrays on Ti alloys was fabricated *via* the anodization of Au/Pt containing Ti alloys followed by the DC magnetron sputtering of Au/Pt alloys.²⁷ In our previous work, ultrafine Au NPs were uniformly dispersed on porous TiO₂ films *via* a simple electrostatic self-assembly method.^{28,29} In this work, vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelt films (TNFs) on Ti sheet are *in situ* synthesized through an alkaline hydrothermal method followed by a cation exchange and dehydration process.^{30,31} Owing to its large work function, high activity and enhanced UV absorption induced by localized surface plasmon resonance effect (LSPR),³² platinum (Pt) is chosen to modify TiO₂ nanobelts *via* the electrostatic self-assembly to produce vertically aligned 2D Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (Pt/TNFs) on Ti sheet. Ultrafine Pt NPs are uniformly dispersed both on the surface and within the interior framework of 2D TNFs without grafting of TiO₂ with functional organics. The Pt/TNFs in this work have merits of all-in-one structure, high surface area, strong substrate adhesion and improved photocatalytic activity in removing organic pollutants.

The ethyl thionocarbamate (ETC) is a typical flotation collector widely used to separate sulfide minerals from ores.^{1,33,34} However, the ETC collector with initial concentration of 30 mg L⁻¹ is difficult to be biodegraded with a primary biodegradation efficiency of only 37% in 8 days.⁵ Moreover, the ozonation of ETC is also low efficient with the rate constant of just 0.0194 min⁻¹, much lower than that of other collectors such as ethyl xanthate (0.0579 min⁻¹) and diethyl dithiocarbamate (0.0687 min⁻¹).³⁵ Therefore, the refractory ETC collector is chosen as target organic pollutant in flotation wastewaters. In this work, the removal efficiency, mineralization and cyclic degradation of ETC collector are evaluated by using the Pt/TNFs as the photocatalyst. The degradation byproducts are identified by the solid phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE/GC-MS) analysis. The enhanced mechanisms of ETC degradation by Pt modification of TNFs are proposed.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Materials and chemicals

The Ti sheet (purity of 99.5%) was purchased from Baoji Hongyuan Titanium Company, Shaanxi province, China. A 10 W low-pressure mercury lamp, with UV irradiation distribution seen in Fig. S1,[†] was purchased from Bright Star Light & Electricity Co., Ltd, Guangdong, China. All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Hydrogen hexachloroplatinate hexahydrate, (99.9%, H₂PtCl₆·6H₂O), sodium borohydride (98.2%, NaBH₄), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, molecular weight of *ca.* 1750) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, Beijing, China. The ethyl thionocarbamate

((CH₃)₂CHOCSNHC₂H₅) collector was received as industrial grade from Tieling Flotation Reagents Co. Ltd, China. The molecular structure of ETC was shown in Fig. S2 (see in ESI).[†] Deionized water was used in all experiments.

2.2 Preparation of Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films on Ti sheet

The Ti sheet, with a size of 180 × 120 mm, was etched in 10 wt% boiling oxalic acid solution for 1 h, then rinsed with deionized water and dried in air. The pretreated Ti sheet with grey-white color was put into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave, into which 8.0 mol L⁻¹ NaOH aqueous solution was transferred. The autoclave was maintained at 160 °C for 36 h. After cooling down, the Ti sheet was rinsed with deionized water, then protonated in a 0.1 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution for 24 h. Then, the protonated Ti sheet was annealed in air at 450 °C for 5 h to obtain vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelt films (TNFs) on Ti sheet.

The modification of TNFs with Pt NPs using the electrostatic self-assembly had similar procedure given in our previous work.²⁸ 354 mg of PVA was dissolved into 0.3 mmol L⁻¹ of H₂PtCl₆ solution (200 mL). By keeping the H₂PtCl₆ solution at 0 °C, 10 mL of fresh NaBH₄ solution (0.07 mol L⁻¹) was rapidly added to produce Pt colloids under hybrid sonication and vigorous stirring. Afterwards, the TNFs on Ti sheet were immersed into Pt colloidal solution to assemble prefabricated Pt NPs on TiO₂ *via* the electrostatic self-assembly. The pH of Pt colloidal solution was controlled to be 2.0–12.0 to adjust the Pt loading on TiO₂. After 90 min of self-assembly, the TNFs on Ti sheet were withdrawn, washed with boiling water to remove Cl⁻ ions, and finally annealed in air at 300 °C for 1.5 h to remove the capped PVA. Thus, vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (Pt/TNFs) on Ti sheet were fabricated.

2.3 Characterization

The morphologies of the samples were observed using an ultra high-resolution field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, S-5500, Hitachi, second electron resolution: 0.4 nm/30 kV). The crystalline phases of all samples were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku D/max-RB) with Cu K α radiation (λ = 0.15418 nm) as the X-ray source. The in-depth analysis of Pt content within the framework of the Pt/TNFs was performed by Auger electron spectroscopy (AES, PHI 610/SAM). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out using a PHI-5300 photoelectron spectrometer at 50 eV pass energy with Al K α as an exciting X-ray source. Nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms of titanite acid, TNFs and Pt/TNFs scratched from Ti sheet were recorded at 77.3 K using a QuadraSorb NOVA4000 instrument. The titanite acid, TNFs and Pt/TNFs on Ti sheet were inserted into deionized water, respectively, and treated for 45 min under ultrasonic vibration (KQ-600ES ultrasonic cleaner, Kunshan Ultrasonic Instruments Co. Ltd., China). Then the powders were filtrated and dried at 100 °C for 1 h. The Pt loading was determined by using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, IRIS Intrepid II XSP). The Pt/TNFs sample was immersed in aqua regia for 24 h to fully dissolve Pt NPs loaded on TiO₂ nanobelts.



2.4 Photocatalytic degradation of ETC collector

The photocatalytic degradation of ETC collector was performed in a 350 mL cylindrical pyrex reactor. The photocatalyst, Pt/TNFs on Ti sheet, was rolled up and inserted into the cylindrical reactor. The UV lamp was fixed at the center of reactor. The intensity of UV irradiation at 254 nm reached 8.52 mW cm^{-2} on the photocatalyst surface (ST-85 Illuminometer, Photoelectric Instrument Factory of Beijing Normal University, China). After 300 mL of ETC solution (100 mg L^{-1}) was introduced into the reactor and bubbled with an air stream, the UV lamp was switched on to conduct the degradation of ETC collector. The aqueous samples were taken at designed intervals to determine the concentrations of ETC, TOC and SO_4^{2-} ions. For the purpose of evaluating photocatalytic stability, the cyclic degradation of ETC was carried out. Before introducing fresh ETC solution into the reactor, the Pt/TNFs on Ti sheet in the reactor were washed with deionized water with the stirring of air stream to remove adsorbed organics. The adsorption of ETC collector by the Pt/TNFs was performed with the procedure similar to that of photocatalytic degradation except for switching off UV lamp.

2.5 Determination of the concentrations of ETC, TOC, SO_4^{2-} and identification of byproducts

The concentration of ETC collector was determined by a UV-vis spectroscopic method (UV-5500PC, Shanghai Metash Instruments Co. Ltd, China).³⁵ The total organic carbon (TOC) of treated ETC solution was measured using a Shimadzu TOC-V

organic carbon analyzer. The concentration of SO_4^{2-} ions was analyzed by a barium chromate spectrophotometry method (HJ/T 342-2007). The degradation byproducts of ETC collector were identified by the SPE/GC-MS. The byproducts were extracted *via* the solid phase extraction (SPE) procedures. The SPE extracts were analyzed by the GC-MS (Shimadzu, GCMS-QP2010 SE, Japan), equipped with a capillary column (Zebtron ZB-FFAP, $30 \text{ m} \times 0.32 \text{ mm} \times 0.25 \mu\text{m}$, Phenomenex, U.S.).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films

3.1.1 Structures of Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films. The vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelt films (TNFs) on Ti sheet are prepared *via* the alkaline hydrothermal process followed by the cation exchange and dehydration treatment. The typical FESEM images of the TNFs are shown in Fig. 1. As illustrated in Fig. 1, TiO₂ nanobelts with a width of *ca.* 300 nm are vertically growth on Ti sheet to form 2D TiO₂ nanobelt films. Fig. 1d shows that TiO₂ nanobelts have a thickness of 20–30 nm and rough surface. A small fraction of TiO₂ nanofibers are coated on the top of TiO₂ nanobelt films, indicating that some of nanobelt structures of sodium titanate have been converted into nanofibers during the alkaline hydrothermal treatment. Numerous pores exist within the framework of vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelt films. While the TNFs are applied in photocatalytic water purification, these pores can increase the contact area of TiO₂ with pollutant solution as well as UV illuminated catalyst surface.³⁶ In this




Fig. 1 Typical FESEM images of TiO₂ nanobelt films on Ti sheet with various magnifications ((a) $\times 5000$, (b) $\times 35000$, (c) $\times 80000$, (d) $\times 600000$).



work, vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelts are *in situ* grown on Ti sheet, resulting in strong substrate adhesion of functional catalyst films.

Pt NPs are deposited on the TNFs *via* the electrostatic self-assembly. As shown in Fig. 2, Pt NPs (white dots) are distributed uniformly on the surface of TiO₂ nanobelts. The EDX spectrum demonstrates the presence of Pt on TiO₂ surface. The average size of Pt NPs is *ca.* 5 nm, and Pt particles have an approximately spherical shape. As illustrated in Fig. 2, Pt NPs are well physically separated from each other, revealing that no agglomeration of Pt particles has occurred in the annealing treatment at 300 °C to remove capped PVA. It is well accepted that the contact area and boundary length of noble metal on TiO₂ surface may become larger at certain metal loading if noble metal has smaller size and more uniform distribution. As revealed by Bowker *et al.*,³⁷ the larger the metal-support boundary, the higher the reaction activity of photocatalysts. Thus, the features of Pt NPs, including ultrafine size, uniform dispersion and physical separation, can enhance the separation of photoexcited electron-hole pairs.

It is well known that sodium titanate has an excellent capacity of cation exchange due to its layered structure.³⁸ After the alkaline hydrothermal treatment, sodium titanate grown on Ti sheet is protonated in a dilute HCl solution to replace sodium ions in the layered structure, converting into titanic acid. As shown in Fig. 3, titanic acid has typical diffraction peaks of 2θ at 9.43°, 25.24° and 48.69°, which can be assigned to crystalline planes of layered H₂Ti_nO_{2n+1}·xH₂O.^{39,40} After annealing at 450 °C for 5 h, titanic acid is transformed into TiO₂ with 81.2%

of anatase and 18.8% of rutile as illustrated in Fig. 3. The morphology of vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelts in Fig. 1 exhibits that no damage and collapse of the nanobelt framework should occur in the phase conversion from sodium titanate to TiO₂. Although Pt NPs are definitely dispersed on TiO₂ nanobelts as shown in Fig. 2, no diffraction peaks of Pt metal or oxides are observed in Fig. 3. It may be attributed to low Pt loading for XRD detection.




Fig. 3 XRD patterns of titanic acid (1), TiO₂ nanobelts (2) and Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (3) exfoliated from Ti sheet.




Fig. 2 FESEM images of deposited Pt nanoparticles on TiO₂ nanobelts with various magnifications ((a) $\times 120\,000$, (b) $\times 400\,000$, (c) $\times 600\,000$). The inset figure presents the EDX spectrum of Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films.



Table S1 (ESI)[†] summarizes the BET specific surface area (S_{BET}) of titanic acid, TiO₂ nanobelt and Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films exfoliated from Ti sheet. The conversion of titanic acid to TiO₂ has reduced the S_{BET} of nanobelt materials due to the dehydration and crystal-lattice rearrangement caused by the thermal treatment. However, the dispersion of Pt NPs has little impact on the S_{BET} of TiO₂ nanobelts. In this work, the Pt/TiO₂ nanobelts have much larger surface area than standard Degussa P25 TiO₂ ($S_{\text{BET}} \approx 50 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), which may be ascribed to rough surface and ultra-thin thickness of TiO₂ nanobelts. For the fabricated Pt/TNFs, the high surface area and porous framework of TiO₂ films are expected to enhance the adsorption of pollutants onto UV-illuminated TiO₂ surface.

3.1.2 In-depth distribution and chemical states of Pt. The AES in-depth elemental analysis is conducted to reveal the Pt distribution within TiO₂ nanobelt films. As shown in Fig. 4a, the atomic percentage of Pt is as high as 3.13% at the top surface of TiO₂ films. Within the top 100 nm layer, the Pt content decreases dramatically to a low level of *ca.* 1.0%. However, while the film depth further increases to 580 nm (the set depth of AES analysis), the Pt content maintains at *ca.* 0.9%, nearly 1/3 of surficial Pt content. Since the Pt content has nearly no change from the depth of 100 to 580 nm, it can reasonably infer that Pt NPs should be deposited on TiO₂ nanobelt films with a layer depth larger than 580 nm. As shown in Fig. 1, numerous pores among adjacent nanobelts can serve as the channel for colloidal Pt NPs transferred from bulk solution into interior film framework *via* the electrostatic self-assembly. In a word, Pt NPs have been dispersed not only on the surface but also within the interior framework of vertically aligned TiO₂ nanobelt films.

To determine the chemical state of Pt in as-prepared Pt/TNFs, XPS analysis is conducted. As shown in Fig. 4b, the binding energy of Pt 4f can be divided into the sum of two pair doublets. The most intense doublet, located at the binding energy of 71.1 (Pt 4f_{7/2}) and 74.4 eV (Pt 4f_{5/2}), can be attributed to metallic Pt (Pt⁰) on the surface of TiO₂ nanobelts.^{41,42} The weak intense doublet located at 72.3 (Pt 4f_{7/2}) and 76.6 eV (Pt 4f_{5/2}) can be ascribed to +2 oxidation state of Pt (PtO).^{43,44} Based on the deconvoluted peak areas, the atomic fractions of Pt⁰ and PtO account for 82.3% and 17.7%, respectively. The results

reveal that Pt NPs loaded on TiO₂ nanobelts have been partially oxidized into higher oxidation states during the annealing treatment in air at 300 °C.

3.1.3 Effect of colloidal pH on Pt loading. In this work, the electrostatic self-assembly of Pt NPs on TiO₂ is based on the electrostatic interaction of Pt NPs and TiO₂ surface. Thus the zeta potentials (ζ) of Pt NPs and TiO₂ nanobelts become a key factor in influencing Pt loading. Fig. 5 displays the Pt loadings at various pH of Pt colloids. It can be seen that the Pt loading decreases dramatically with the rise of colloidal pH. In particular, the Pt loading becomes very low at pH of above 8.0. It directly indicates that the electrostatic self-assembly of Pt NPs on TiO₂ is low efficient in the alkaline medium. As shown in Fig. S3,[†] the isoelectric point (IEP) of TiO₂ nanobelts is *ca.* 5.4, and the ζ of Pt colloids is negative at the pH range of 2.0–12.0. While the pH of Pt colloids is higher than the IEP of TiO₂, the electrostatic self-assembly of Pt NPs on TiO₂ becomes very difficult because of strong electrostatic repulsion between negatively charged Pt NPs and TiO₂ nanobelts, which well explains the decrease of Pt loading in the alkaline range. Nevertheless, as the colloidal pH is below the IEP of TiO₂, the ζ of TiO₂ nanobelts becomes positive with large values as shown




Fig. 5 The Pt loading on TiO₂ nanobelt films at various colloidal pH values.




Fig. 4 AES in-depth elemental analysis (a) and Pt 4f core level XPS spectrum (b) of Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films.



in Fig. S3.† Therefore, it is possible to spontaneously assemble negatively charged Pt NPs onto positively charged TiO₂ with the electrostatic attraction. The Pt loading mechanism is proposed in Fig. S4.†

As displayed in Fig. S3,† the absolute ζ value of Pt colloids is very small at pH < 4.0, meaning that the electrostatic repulsion force among Pt NPs may be weak. Thus Pt NPs may agglomerate with each other to reduce the stability of Pt colloids. In this work, the capping of Pt NPs with PVA plays a significant role in preventing the agglomeration of ultra-fine Pt NPs through the steric hindrance effect as shown in Fig. S4.† In addition, the low temperature (0 °C) of Pt colloids is kept to depress the agglomeration and growth of colloidal Pt NPs. In our previous study, the low colloidal temperature was found to effectively keep Au colloids stable by suppressing the growth of Au NPs.²⁸

3.2 Photocatalytic degradation of ETC collector

The photocatalytic activity of vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (Pt/TNFs) on Ti sheet is evaluated by degrading aqueous ETC collector. The degradation performances are shown in Fig. 6 and Table S2 (ESI).† As shown in Fig. 6a, only 3.54% of ETC is removed by UV photolysis at 90 min, revealing that the degradation of ETC collector is very low efficient by absorbing UV_{254 nm} irradiation. The adsorption removal of ETC by the Pt/TNFs is also presented in Fig. 6a. After 90 min, nearly 15% of ETC is removed by the adsorption of the Pt/TNFs. Although 15% of ETC removal is not high in this case, it must take into consideration that the Pt/TNFs on Ti sheet are static in aqueous ETC solution, remarkably reducing the diffusion rate of ETC molecules on TiO₂ surface. In this work, the porous framework and large surface area of the Pt/TNFs can contribute to the enhanced adsorption of ETC onto catalyst surface.

In this case, the degradation of ETC collector well follows the simplified Langmuir-Hinshelwood model, this is, $\ln(C_t/C_0) = -k_{\text{obs}}t$, where k_{obs} (min⁻¹) is the apparent first-order rate constant. All of correlation coefficients (R^2) in Table S2 (ESI)† are above 0.985, revealing that the removal of ETC is mainly controlled by two factors of adsorption and degradation reactions. According to the fitting curves shown in Fig. S5,† it can be

seen that the Pt/TNFs has higher photocatalytic activity in the ETC degradation than blank TNFs. At low Pt loadings, the k_{obs} of Pt/TNFs increases with larger Pt loading. Especially, the k_{obs} of the TNFs has increased by 94.98% at the Pt loading of 41.5 mg m⁻². It clearly reveals that the Pt modification can remarkably improve the photocatalytic activity of TiO₂ nanobelt films. The results in Table S2 (ESI)† also suggest that there is the optimum Pt loading (41.5 mg m⁻²) for the Pt/TNFs. As shown in Fig. S6,† the Pt/TNFs with PVA capping achieve higher k_{obs} than that without PVA. It suggests that the PVA capping can inhibit the growth of Pt NPs on TiO₂ surface in the electrostatic self-assembly, subsequently increasing the role of Pt NPs in reducing recombination rate of hole-electron pairs. In our previous study, the degradation rate constant of ETC by the ozonation was just 0.0194 min⁻¹, much lower than that (0.0583 min⁻¹) by the photocatalysis with the Pt/TNFs.³⁵ As mentioned above, Chen *et al.*⁵ reported a primary biodegradation efficiency of 37% in 8 days for the ETC collector. However, the removal efficiency of 99.78% is achieved within 75 min by the photocatalysis given in Table S2 (ESI).† It can be seen that the photocatalysis using the Pt/TNFs can degrade refractory ETC collector effectively.

After the ETC collector is degraded, both the decrease of TOC and the increase of the SO₄²⁻ concentration are achieved as shown in Fig. 6b. The results directly demonstrate that the ETC as well as its byproducts can be mineralized into H₂O, CO₂, SO₄²⁻ and other inorganics. Compared to the TNFs, the Pt/TNFs achieve the lower TOC and higher concentration of SO₄²⁻ ions, showing that the Pt modification of TNFs can also enhance the mineralization of ETC collector.

The photocatalytic stability of a catalyst is another key factor in the practical application. Fig. 7 shows the cycling stability of the Pt/TNFs toward ETC degradation. It can be seen that, over ten continuous cycles, the removal ratio of ETC is still above 95.83% at 90 min, revealing the little reduction of photocatalytic performance of the Pt/TNFs. In the cyclic experiments, no exfoliated TiO₂ particles are observed in treated ETC solution after ten cycles' runs. It well demonstrates the excellent stability and sustainability of TiO₂ nanobelts firmly grown on Ti sheet.




Fig. 6 The changes of relative concentration of ETC (a) and of TOC and SO₄²⁻ concentration (b) in the photocatalytic degradation of ETC collector by blank TiO₂ and Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films.






Fig. 7 Cyclic degradation of ETC collector using Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films with Pt loading of 41.5 mg m⁻².

3.3 Byproduct identification by SPE/GC-MS

In this work, the SPE/GC-MS analysis is employed to identify organic byproducts generated in the ETC degradation. All the peaks with a response on mass spectrometry are marked in Fig. 8. Identified byproducts are summarized in Table 1. Moreover, the GC retention time and special characteristics of identified byproducts at 30 and 90 min are given in Tables S3 and S4 (ESI),[†] respectively. The mass spectrums of identified byproducts were shown in Fig. S7.[†]

As shown in Fig. 8 and Table 1, eight byproducts, namely, 2,3-dihydroxy-2-methylpentanoic acid (peak 1), dimethylethoxyformamide (peak 2), L-valine methyl ester (peak 3), isopropyl carbamate (peak 4), acetamide (peak 5), 2,2'-dihydroxydipropyl ether (peak 6), succinimide (peak 7), diethyl phthalate (peak 8), are generated at 30 min in the photocatalytic degradation of ETC. According to the percentage of peak area summarized in Table S3 (ESI),[†] 2,3-dihydroxy-2-methylpentanoic acid, dimethylethoxyformamide and isopropyl carbamate are main byproducts at 30 min. When the degradation time is extended




Fig. 8 GC-MS total ion chromatograms of ETC collector degraded at 30 and 90 min by Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films.

from 30 to 90 min, five peaks (peak 1, peak 2, peak 3, peak 6 and peak 7) disappear as shown in Fig. 8, revealing the complete degradation of these five byproducts. However, although 99.92% of ETC collector is degraded at 90 min, there are still seven byproducts detected by the SPE/GC-MS. It suggests that numerous byproducts will be remained in treated solution even 100% of ETC is degraded. The percentage of peak area of isopropyl carbamate reduces from 37.10% at 30 min to 1.92% at 90 min as given in Tables S3 and S4 (ESI).[†] It well demonstrates the further degradation of isopropyl carbamate. At 90 min, main byproducts become diethyl phthalate (peak 8), 2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexanol (peak 9) and 2-ethyl-6-methylpyridine (peak 11). As shown in Fig. 6b, the removal ratio of TOC is just 39.07% at 90 min in the degradation of ETC by the Pt/TNFs. The low TOC reduction is well consistent with the presence of remained byproducts after the ETC is completely degraded.

As seen in Table 1, eight byproducts with N atom are detected, but no byproduct with S atom appears. In addition, 57.32 mg L⁻¹ of SO₄²⁻ concentration in Fig. 6b reveals that 87.77% of S atom in ETC molecules is converted to SO₄²⁻ ions at 90 min. As shown in Fig. S2,[†] the atomic ratio of S and N is 1 : 1 for ETC molecule. Therefore, it can conclude that the S atom in the ETC can be more effectively oxidized than the N atom, resulting in the presence of some byproducts with N atom during the ETC degradation.

3.4 Enhanced mechanism of ETC degradation by Pt modification

The excitation of TiO₂ provokes the generation of an empty unfilled valence band (h⁺) and photoexcited electrons (e⁻) in the conduction band (eqn (1)). These charge carriers can react with surrounding oxygen-containing species, such as dissolved oxygen, adsorbed hydroxide ion (OH_{abs}⁻) and H₂O, to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) (eqn (3)–(5)).^{45,46} Superoxide radicals (·O₂⁻), hydroxyl radicals (·OH_{abs} and ·OH_{free}) and valence band holes (h⁺) are the main reactive species involved in the photocatalytic reactions. Nevertheless, the rate of interfacial charge transfer to oxygen species is much lower than the rate of charge recombination of the catalyst (eqn (2)). Due to the formation of Schottky-barrier while TiO₂ and metallic Pt are in contact, the electron-hole recombination rate can be remarkably reduced as metallic Pt can act as the electron trap.^{47–49} Moreover, the localized surface plasmon response effect associated with metallic Pt can increase the formation of electron-hole pairs in the TiO₂.^{50,51} Thus, the deposition of metallic Pt on TiO₂ can increase the photocatalytic activity.









Table 1 Byproducts identified by SPE/GC-MS in the photocatalytic degradation of ETC at 30 and 90 min

Peak no.	Molecular formula	Compounds	Molecular structure	30 min	90 min
1	C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₄	2,3-Dihydroxy-2-methylpentanoic acid		✓	
2	C ₅ H ₁₁ NO ₂	Dimethylethoxyformamide		✓	
3	C ₆ H ₁₃ NO ₂	L-Valine methyl ester		✓	
4	C ₄ H ₉ NO ₂	Isopropyl carbamate		✓	✓
5	C ₂ H ₅ NO	Acetamide		✓	✓
6	C ₆ H ₁₄ O ₃	2,2'-Dihydroxydipropyl ether		✓	
7	C ₄ H ₅ NO ₂	Succinimide		✓	
8	C ₁₂ H ₁₄ O ₄	Diethyl phthalate		✓	✓
9	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ O	2-Isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexanol			✓
10	C ₆ H ₉ N	2-Ethylpyrrole			✓
11	C ₈ H ₁₁ N	2-Ethyl-6-methylpyridine			✓
12	C ₃ H ₇ N	N-Ethyl-N-methylethylamine			✓



Recently, Ribao *et al.*⁴⁸ have found that the degradation of dichloroacetic acid (DCA) by blank TiO₂ is achieved mainly *via* ·OH radicals generated with the positive holes (eqn (4) and (5)), while the degradation of DCA by Pt/TiO₂ is mainly *via* ·OH_{free} radicals generated from the transformation of ·O₂⁻ radicals. Additionally, Pt/TiO₂ can generate 60.6% more ·OH radicals than blank TiO₂ because the former has a much larger amount of ·OH_{free} radicals converted from ·O₂⁻ radicals than the latter.⁴⁸ As shown in Scheme 1, while metallic Pt is in contact with TiO₂, photoexcited electrons (e⁻) can be readily trapped by metallic Pt with the generation of ·O₂⁻ radicals on Pt surface. Thus, Pt/TiO₂ can produce a larger amount of ·O₂⁻ radicals than blank TiO₂ since more photoexcited electrons is scavenged by oxygen. Previous studies have indicated that ·O₂⁻ radicals are critical in the formation of ·OH_{free} radicals through disproportionation to H₂O₂ (eqn (6)–(10)).^{52,53} Importantly, Hirakawa *et al.*⁵² has confirmed that the reaction of H₂O₂ with photoexcited electrons (eqn (9)) is the main contributor to the

formation of ·OH_{free} radicals from H₂O₂. Therefore, it can reasonably infer that more ·O₂⁻ radicals can result in the generation of a larger amount of ·OH_{free} radicals. In this case, for the UV-illuminated Pt/TNFs, most of ·OH radicals may be generated from the transformation of ·O₂⁻ radicals which are produced by scavenging trapped electrons on metallic Pt with oxygen. Thus, for the UV-irradiated Pt/TNFs photocatalytic system, ·OH radicals generated from the transformation of ·O₂⁻ radicals (eqn (6)–(10)) as well as from the oxidation of OH_{abs}⁻ or H₂O by positive holes (eqn (4) and (5)) are responsible for the degradation of ETC and its products. The Pt modification of TiO₂ can offer another effective pathway to generate ·OH radicals in comparison to blank TiO₂.





Scheme 1 Proposed mechanism of ETC degradation by Pt/TiO₂ nanosheet films.



4. Conclusions

In this work, we have synthesized vertically aligned 2D TiO₂ nanobelt films (TNFs) on Ti sheet *via* the alkaline hydrothermal method. Ultrafine Pt NPs are uniformly deposited on TiO₂ nanobelts by the electrostatic self-assembly to produce Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films (Pt/TNFs). Pt NPs of *ca.* 5 nm are dispersed both on the surface and within the interior framework of the TNFs. Photocatalytic tests reveal that the Pt modification can remarkably increase the photocatalytic activity of the TNFs toward the ETC degradation, as well as achieve the enhanced mineralization of ETC. The deposition of Pt on TiO₂ nanobelts can increase the separation and transfer efficiency of photoexcited carriers. Importantly, the superior mechanical adherence of Pt/TiO₂ nanobelts on Ti sheet is well reserved for achieving excellent cyclic performance during the ETC degradation. The SPE/GC-MS analysis reveals that seven byproducts are still remained in treated ETC solution even 100% of ETC collector is degraded. Eight byproducts with N atom are detected while no byproduct with S atom appears, revealing that the mineralization of N atom in ETC collector is more difficult than S atom. The vertically aligned Pt/TiO₂ nanobelt films on Ti sheet are qualified to serve as the promising and potential photocatalyst to remove refractory ETC collector from mineral flotation wastewaters.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 51674017). The authors would greatly appreciate the Experiment Center in School of Metallurgical and Ecological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing for providing the SPE/GC-MS analysis.

References

- 1 S. M. Bulatovic, *Handbook of Flotation Reagents: Chemistry, Theory and Practice: Flotation of Sulfide ores*, Elsevier Science, 2007.
- 2 D. R. Nagaraj and R. S. Farinato, *Miner. Eng.*, 2016, **96**–97(SI), 2–14.
- 3 M. Kalin, *Ecol. Eng.*, 2004, **22**, 299–304.
- 4 K. Singh, C. Oates, J. Plant and N. Voulvoulis, *Environ. Int.*, 2014, **68**, 1–15.
- 5 S. H. Chen, W. Q. Gong, G. J. Mei, Q. Zhou, C. P. Bai and N. Xu, *Miner. Eng.*, 2011, **24**, 953–955.
- 6 S. H. Chen, W. Q. Gong, G. J. Mei and W. Y. Han, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 10772–10775.
- 7 R. Rezaei, M. Massinaei and A. Z. Moghaddam, *Miner. Eng.*, 2018, **119**, 1–10.
- 8 S. Al-Thyabat and H. Al-Zoubi, *Int. J. Miner. Process.*, 2012, **110**, 18–24.
- 9 P. F. Fu, Y. H. Ma, H. F. Yang, G. Li and X. F. Lin, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 23579–23588.
- 10 Y. Yang, Y. Li, Y. M. Zhang and D. W. Liang, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2010, **76**, 72–78.
- 11 K. X. Cui, Y. H. He and S. M. Jin, *Chemosphere*, 2016, **149**, 245–253.
- 12 Y. J. Guo, K. X. Cui, M. Y. Hu and S. M. Jin, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **181**, 190–196.
- 13 X. P. Luo, S. P. Zhu, J. Y. Wang, C. Y. Wang and M. Wu, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2017, **14**(12), 1471.



- 14 K. Nakata and A. Fujishima, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2012, **13**, 169–189.
- 15 M. T. Noman, M. A. Ashraf and A. Ali, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2019, **26**, 3262–3291.
- 16 M. X. Wang, Q. Gao, H. Duan and M. Q. Ge, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 23735–23743.
- 17 J. R. Jennings, A. Ghicov, L. M. Peter, P. Schmuki and A. B. Walker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13364–13372.
- 18 T. Tachikawa and T. Majima, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 8485–8495.
- 19 O. Nasr, O. Mohamed, A. Al-Shirbini and A. M. Abdel-Wahab, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2019, **374**, 185–193.
- 20 T. M. David, P. Wilson, R. Mahesh, S. Dhanavel, S. Hussain, S. J. M. Bobby, A. Stephen, C. Ramesh and P. Sagayaraj, *Environ. Technol.*, 2018, **39**, 2994–3005.
- 21 N. Lakshmanareddy, V. N. Rao, K. K. Cheralathan, E. P. Subramaniam and M. V. Shankar, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2019, **538**, 83–98.
- 22 D. Zhang, B. H. Wang, J. Q. Wang, H. M. Wang, S. X. Zhang and D. Gu, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 2784–2791.
- 23 Z. L. Yang, J. Lu, W. C. Ye, C. S. Yu and Y. L. Chang, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2017, **392**, 472–480.
- 24 C. H. Nguyen and R. S. Juang, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **99**, 166–179.
- 25 F. Y. Ma, Z. Geng, X. Yang and J. Y. Leng, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 46677–46685.
- 26 Z. Zhang, X. L. Li, R. S. Zhang, Z. M. Zhang and J. L. Yu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 7303–7309.
- 27 B. E. Sanabria-Arenas, A. Mazare, J. Yoo, N. T. Nguyen, S. Hejazi, H. D. Bian, M. V. Diamanti, M. P. Pedferri and P. Schmuki, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **292**, 865–870.
- 28 P. F. Fu and P. Y. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2010, **96**, 176–184.
- 29 P. F. Fu and P. Y. Zhang, *Thin Solid Films*, 2011, **519**, 3480–3486.
- 30 C. L. Zhou, Y. F. Li, H. J. Li, X. J. Zeng, P. H. Pi, X. F. Wen, S. P. Xu and J. Cheng, *Surf. Coat. Technol.*, 2017, **313**, 55–62.
- 31 Y. Wang, Z. Li, Y. Cao, F. Li, W. Zhao, X. Q. Liu and J. B. Yang, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2016, **677**, 294–301.
- 32 X. L. Zhang, Q. Y. Liu, B. D. Liu, W. J. Yang, J. Li, P. J. Niu and X. Jiang, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 4319–4326.
- 33 Y. Jia, K. H. Huang, S. Wang, Z. F. Cao and H. Zhong, *Miner. Eng.*, 2019, **137**, 187–199.
- 34 Y. J. Bu, Y. H. Hu, W. Sun, Z. Y. Gao and R. Q. Liu, *Minerals*, 2018, **8**, 115.
- 35 P. F. Fu, X. F. Lin, G. Li, Z. H. Chen and H. Peng, *Minerals*, 2018, **8**, 477.
- 36 Z. Wei, C. J. Hsu, H. Almakrami, G. Z. Lin, J. Hu, X. F. Jin, E. Agar and F. Q. Liu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **316**, 173–180.
- 37 M. Bowker, D. James, P. Stone, R. Bennett, N. Perkins, L. Millard, J. Greaves and A. Dickinson, *J. Catal.*, 2003, **217**, 427–433.
- 38 D. Z. Lu, K. K. Kondamaredd, H. Q. Fan, B. Gao, J. Wang, J. Wang and H. J. Hao, *Sep. Purif. Technol.*, 2019, **210**, 775–785.
- 39 R. Yoshida, Y. Suzuki and S. Yoshikawa, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2005, **178**, 2179–2185.
- 40 A. R. Armstrong, G. Armstrong, J. Canales and P. G. Bruce, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2286–2288.
- 41 K. R. Rasmi, S. C. Vanithakumari, R. P. George, C. Mallika and U. K. Mudali, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 107192–108066.
- 42 R. Feng, M. Li and J. Liu, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2012, **406**, 6–12.
- 43 P. Bera, K. R. Priolkar, A. Gayen, P. R. Sarode, M. S. Hegde, S. Emura, R. Kumashiro, V. Jayaram and G. N. Subbanna, *Chem. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2049–2060.
- 44 J. B. Zhang, N. Su, X. L. Hu, F. Q. Zhu, Y. W. Yu and H. Yang, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 56194–56203.
- 45 M. N. Chong, B. Jin, C. W. K. Chow and C. Saint, *Water Res.*, 2010, **44**, 2997–3027.
- 46 J. Schneider, M. Matsuoka, M. Takeuchi, J. L. Zhang, Y. Horiuchi, M. Anpo and D. W. Bahnemann, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 9919–9986.
- 47 H. Q. Wang, Z. B. Wu, Y. Liu and Y. J. Wang, *Chemosphere*, 2008, **74**, 773–778.
- 48 P. Ribao, J. Corredor, M. J. Rivero and I. Ortiz, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2018, **372**, 45–51.
- 49 J. J. Lin, T. Sun, M. B. Li, J. X. Yang, J. N. Shen, Z. Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Y. Zhang and X. X. Wang, *J. Catal.*, 2019, **372**, 8–18.
- 50 A. Ziyilan-Yavas, Y. Mizukoshi, Y. Maeda and N. H. Ince, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2015, **172–173**, 7–17.
- 51 A. Ziyilan-Yavas and N. H. Ince, *Chemosphere*, 2016, **162**, 324–332.
- 52 T. Hirakawa, K. Yawata and Y. Nosaka, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2007, **325**, 105–111.
- 53 K. Sahel, L. Elsellami, I. Mirali, F. Dappozze, M. Bouhent and C. Guillard, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2016, **188**, 106–112.

