



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 26136

Performance of selenate removal by biochar embedded nano zero-valent iron and the biological toxicity to *Escherichia coli*†

Liping Liang,^a  Yuanyuan Xue,^a Gangliang Tian,^b Qiaole Mao,^b Zixuan Lou,^b Qian Wu,^a Qian Wang,^a Juanshan Du^c and Xu Meng^{*d}

The application of nano zero-valent iron (nZVI) in water environment was limited by its easily aggregation and potential biological toxicity. In this study, biochar embedded nZVI (BC-nZVI) was prepared by carbon-thermal reduction method, and the SEM-EDX mapping results showed that nZVI was successfully embedded on biochar. Meanwhile, BC-nZVI with the optimal Fe/C of 2/1 showed a similar Se(vi) removal efficiency to pure nZVI. Effects of pH, BC-nZVI loading, and initial Se(vi) concentration were studied. Se(vi) removal rates (at 30 min) by BC-nZVI at pH 4.0 and 5.0 were 98.2% and 95.9%, respectively. But Se(vi) removal rate (at 30 min) was sharply decreased to 25.8% at pH 6.0. With the increase of BC-nZVI loading from 0.5 g L⁻¹ to 1 g L⁻¹, Se(vi) removal rate (at 30 min) significantly increased from 25.5% to 95.9%. And the continuous increase of BC-nZVI loading to 2 g L⁻¹ did not improve Se(vi) removal rate. Se(vi) less than 3 mg L⁻¹ was completely removed by BC-nZVI in 30 min, but Se(vi) more than 6 mg L⁻¹ only was removed about 25.9% at 30 min. Optimal parameters were pH 4.0, 2 g L⁻¹ BC-nZVI, and 1.5 mg L⁻¹ Se(vi). Variation of calculated amount, SOD activity, and protein content of *Escherichia coli* with nZVI and BC-nZVI indicated that nZVI and BC-nZVI both produced negative effects on the growth of *E. coli*. But the amount and SOD activity of *E. coli* with pure nZVI was lower than that with BC-nZVI. Moreover, *E. coli* with nZVI released more protein than that with BC-nZVI. So modified nZVI by biochar was less harmful to *E. coli* than nZVI.

Received 17th June 2019
Accepted 14th August 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04535j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Selenium (Se) as a non-metallic element is very important to immune systems of human, animals, and plants. The supplement of Se may boost immunity and prevent myelopathy such as Keshan disease,¹ Kashin-Beck disease,² and rheumatoid arthritis.³ And recommended daily Se intakes are 30–40 μg d⁻¹ in China, and 11–70 μg d⁻¹ in some European countries.⁴ But excess Se (>400 μg d⁻¹) is harmful to organisms.⁵ In addition, Se and Se compounds have been listed in IRAC group 3 since 1987.⁶ Sources of Se in water environment mainly include natural processes⁷ via storm water runoff, inflow, or infiltration from mining operations and arid soils,⁸ the use of chemicals or medicines containing Se⁹ such as herbicides, insecticides, anti-

fungal medicines, and anti-dandruff shampoos,⁷ and industrial wastewater¹⁰ of electronics, petroleum refining, oxidation of sulfide minerals, and copper smelting.⁴ Concentrations of Se contaminations in various industrial wastewater resources reach mg L⁻¹,¹¹ and average selenium concentration in groundwater ranges from ng L⁻¹ to μg L⁻¹.¹² The maximum contamination level of Se in drinking water has been set at 10, 40, and 50 μg L⁻¹ by European Union,¹³ WHO¹⁴ (World Health Organization), and the USA,¹⁵ respectively. Therefore, Se contamination in water has attracted a widespread concern and studies on Se removal from aquatic environment would be necessary.

Generally, element Se exists in various valence states and form including selenide (Se(II)), elemental Se (Se(0)), selenite (Se(IV)), selenate (Se(VI)), and several organic selenium compounds such as selenomethionine and dimethyl selenide. Se(VI) and Se(IV), as main species of Se in water, possesses high solubility, bioavailability, and toxicity.¹⁶ Although the acute toxicity of Se(IV) is much higher than that of Se(VI), the conversion between Se(VI) and Se(IV) might occur in aerobic or anaerobic environment. So Se(VI) and Se(IV) might coexist in anaerobic water in comparable concentrations.¹⁷ Se(IV) can be more easily absorbed by iron oxide than Se(VI), and Se(VI) with high transfer ability in water is more harmful to various aquatic lives and

^aSchool of Civil Engineering, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing 312000, P. R. China. E-mail: Liangliping0702@163.com; Tel: +86-575-88345007

^bCollege of Life Science, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing 312000, P. R. China

^cState Key Laboratory of Urban Water Resource and Environment, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150090, PR China

^dCollege of Textile and Garment, Shaoxing University, Shaoxing 312000, P. R. China. E-mail: mengxu0@163.com; Tel: +86-575-88341506

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04535j



human. Therefore, it is necessary to develop efficient strategies to remove $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ from water environment.

Compared to $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal technologies such as microbiological reduction, chemical coagulation, ion exchange, and reverse osmosis, zero valent iron (ZVI) exhibits more advances in $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal for its low cost, easy handling, and ready availability. ZVI as a moderately strong reducing agent could directly reduce $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ to $\text{Se}(\text{iv})$ or $\text{Se}(0)$, and new generated $\text{Se}(\text{iv})$ can be reduced to $\text{Se}(0)$ by ZVI or new generated $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ on ZVI surface.^{18,19} Furthermore, $\text{Se}(\text{iv})$ or $\text{Se}(0)$ can also be absorbed or co-precipitated by iron oxides or hydroxides generated during the corrosion of ZVI. Thus, $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ can be completely removed by ZVI/ H_2O systems *via* reduction, absorption, and co-precipitation. But ZVI in the form of iron turning or powder always exhibits a low reactivity towards contaminants for its low specific surface area. The synthesis of nano ZVI (nZVI) can extensively improve the reactivity of ZVI, and enhances the performance of contaminants removal.²⁰ And many studies of the removal of heavy metals, halogenated organics, and dyes by nZVI have been reported.²¹ Although nZVI shows more excellent reactivity than micro iron powder or iron turning, the safety and aggregation of aquatic nZVI limited the engineering application of nZVI in water treatment.²² In particular, the ecotoxicity of nZVI has aroused many researchers' concern. Lee *et al.*²³ reported that nZVI in water could rapidly inactivated *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), and the bactericidal effect was also observed in other types of iron based compounds.²⁴ El-Temsah and Joner²⁵ stated that nZVI had acute adverse effects on earthworms and aged or oxidized nZVI could decrease its toxicity to earthworms. Zhou *et al.*²⁶ found that nZVI generated reactive oxygen species (ROS) to discharge mitigated obviously the membrane fouling of MBR. Thus the potential ecotoxicity of nZVI should be evaluated during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal to increase the potentiality of nZVI in application. Carbon materials were an ideal carrier of nZVI for its stability, high mechanical strength, and good conductivity.²⁷ So the synthesis of biochar embedded nZVI (BC-nZVI) might reduce the aggregation and ecotoxicity of nZVI.²⁸ But few researches study $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by BC-nZVI and the biological toxicity of BC-nZVI is still unclear.

Thus, the objectives of this study were to (1) characterize nZVI and BC-nZVI samples, (2) investigate optimal proportion of iron and carbon (Fe/C) of BC-nZVI, (3) study different solution factors on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by BC-nZVI, and (4) evaluate biological toxicity of BC-nZVI and nZVI to *E. coli*.

Experimental

Reagent

Ferrous sulfate, sodium borohydride purchased from Sino-pharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) were of analytical grade. Biochar were made by peanut shell at 500 °C. (Particle size distribution and elemental analysis of biochar are shown in Fig. S1 and Table S1,[†] respectively.) Sodium selenate was supplied by Sigma-Aldrich Co. LLC. (St. Louis, USA). Other chemicals used in this study were obtained from Shanghai Qiangshun Chemical Reagent Company (Shanghai, China). Stock solutions were dissolved in DI (de-ionized) water.

Synthesis of nZVI and BC-nZVI

The BC-nZVI was prepared by carbo-thermal reduction method. Firstly, N_2 was purged into 140 mL deionized water for 30 min in a 500 mL three-necked flask. Then a definite amount of $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and 35 mL ethanol was added into the flask. And a fixed proportion of biochar was mixed and continuously stirred by a magnetic stirrer at 200 rpm. After 1 h, 50 mL NaBH_4 (50 g L^{-1}) was added into the above solution at a uniform rate of 1–2 drops per second under the protection of N_2 . After aging for 1 h, BC-nZVI was washed a number of times with deoxygenated DI water and ethanol under the protection of N_2 . Finally, BC-nZVI was sealed after freeze-drying and stored in anaerobic conditions. Meanwhile, nZVI was also prepared by the above procedure but without adding biochar.

Kinetics experiments

Batch experiments were conducted to investigate removal kinetics of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ by nZVI and BC-nZVI in a wild-mouth glass reactor open to the air at 20 ± 1.0 °C controlled by thermostatic bath. 0.5 L solution containing $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was completely mixed by digital display electric blender at 300 rpm. The solution pH value was buffered by 0.1 M NaAc and HAc at pH 4.0 and 5.0, the pH value of 6.0 was buffered by 0.1 M MES. And a certain amount of nZVI was quickly added into solution to initial experiments. At fixed interval time, about 5 mL sample was quickly filtered with 0.22 μm membrane samples and placed in the PTFE tubule which contained 1 drop of 65% concentrated nitric acid, and the final pH of all samples were below 2.0. All kinetics experiments were run in duplicates. The solid sample after reaction were collected, washed with DI water and freeze dried for further analysis.

Biological toxicity experiments

The quantity and morphological changes of *E. coli* were observed after culture with BC-nZVI or nZVI. The supernatant of the treated culture solution was collected by centrifugation at different time intervals. Variation of protein and superoxide dismutase (SOD) in cells were monitored to study effects of BC-nZVI or nZVI on the cell activity of *E. coli*.

Chemical analysis

A laboratory Shanghai Leici PHS-3C pH meter (Shanghai, China) was used to monitor pH value. The morphological analysis of nZVI, biochar, and BC-nZVI samples were performed by a JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Tokyo, Japan), a FEI Quanta 600 scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Eindhoven, the Netherlands), and a Carl Zeiss SIGMA 300 SEM (Oberkochen, Germany), respectively. The morphological analysis of *E. coli* cells were performed by a JEOL JEM-1011 transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Tokyo, Japan). The crystal morphology of nZVI samples were analyzed by Rigaku DXR-8000 X-ray diffraction (Tokyo, Japan). Concentrations of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ were measured at 510 nm by the Shimadzu UV-visible 2600 spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan). Standards containing known concentrations of ferrous ion were used as



reference for each set of tests. Concentrations of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ in solution were measured by the PerkinElmer Optima 5300 DV ICP-OES (Waltham, United States). Contents of protein and SOD in cells by Coomassie brilliant blue G250 and SOD kit.

Results and discussion

Characterization of nZVI and BC-nZVI

Fig. 1 shows the morphology images of synthesized nZVI (TEM), biochar (SEM), and BC-nZVI ($\text{Fe}/\text{C} = 2/1$, SEM) samples. Synthesized nZVI exhibited thick chain structures for the aggregation of various spherical nZVI particles. Biochar had a complex net structure with pores, channels, and fibrous form which might easily embed the nZVI. SEM-EDS elemental mapping results of C (red), Fe (orange) and O (green) of BC-nZVI are illustrated in Fig. S2,[†] and it clearly showed the nZVI was uniformly embedded on the biochar. BET specific surface area (SSA) was an important parameter of characterizing nZVI reactivity. The SSA of the synthesized nZVI reached $160 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$, but the SSA of BC-nZVI decreased to $108 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Generally, nZVI with a larger SSA showed a higher reactivity towards contaminants. Thus the addition of biochar could reduce the reactivity of nZVI. The XRD patterns of unreacted nZVI and BC-nZVI are both represented in Fig. 2. The synthesized nZVI was mainly in its Fe^0 state (PDF06-0696). Meanwhile the diffraction peak of Fe_2O_3 (PDF39-1346) also was detected in nZVI which indicated that part of nZVI might be oxidised during the synthesis of nZVI. The synthesized BC-nZVI could form lepidocrocite (PDF08-0098), but no iron oxide was detected in BC-nZVI samples which indicated that the addition of biochar changed the iron oxide type on nZVI surface.

Effects of different ratio of Fe/C on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by BC-nZVI

In order to optimal the Fe/C of BC-nZVI materials for $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal, effects of BC-nZVI with different Fe/C of 1/1, 2/1, 7/1




Fig. 1 Morphology images of nZVI (a), biochar (b), and BC-nZVI ($\text{Fe}/\text{C} = 2/1$) (c).




Fig. 2 XRD patterns of nZVI and BC-nZVI (Fe/C of 2/1) before reaction.

and nZVI on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal were compared experimentally. As shown in Fig. 3a, few $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was removed within 120 min by 1.0 g L^{-1} biochar alone. Generally biochar as carbon-based materials might absorb contaminants, but $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was hardly to be absorbed which indicated that $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ showed a strong resistance to biochar. Nevertheless, $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was easily to be removed by nZVI, and the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was more than 95.4% in 30 min. Effects of three BC-nZVI samples on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal also were investigated. With the increase of Fe/C from 1/1 to 2/1, the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ at 30 min increased from 48.8% to 95.9%. But the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ at 30 min decreased to 59.5% when Fe/C reached to 7/1. On the one hand, the participation of nZVI in biochar materials could extensively enhance $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal. On the other hand, the reactivity of BC-nZVI towards $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ might be lower than pure nZVI. But the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ by BC-nZVI with the optimal Fe/C of 2/1 at 30 min was similar to




Fig. 3 Kinetics of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal (a) and $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ release during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal (b) by biochar, BC-nZVI, and nZVI with different Fe/C. Experiment conditions: $[\text{Se}(\text{vi})]_0 = 3.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$, $[\text{biochar}] = [\text{BC-nZVI}] = [\text{nZVI}] = 1.0 \text{ g L}^{-1}$, and $\text{pH} = 5.0$.






Fig. 4 XRD patterns of nZVI and BC-nZVI (Fe/C of 2/1) after reaction.

that by pure nZVI. So the replacement of part nZVI by biochar might enhance the reactivity of nZVI towards $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. Generally, the release rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ represented the real reactivity of nZVI in water. Thus release kinetics of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal are also monitored and shown in Fig. 3b. $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ was quickly released with the degradation of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$, and the release rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by various materials was consistent with the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ which indicated that the removal of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was ascribed to the corrosion of nZVI. Of three BC-nZVI, the BC-nZVI with the optimal Fe/C of 2/1 exhibited the highest release rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$. Thus, BC-nZVI with Fe/C of 2/1 did not limit the reactivity towards $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ but decreased the release of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ which reduced the discharge of residual sludge in engineering. The XRD patterns of nZVI and BC-nZVI (Fe/C of 2/1) samples after $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal are both represented in Fig. 4. The results of XRD indicated the generation of lepidocrocite ($\gamma\text{-FeOOH}$) (PDF08-0098) after 120 min reaction with $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. Other studies^{29,30} also identified that lepidocrocite was the first corrosion product in aerobic water. Besides, iron corrosion products of BC-nZVI after the reaction with $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ were mainly

lepidocrocite. Thus, the modification of nZVI by biochar did not change the corrosion process of BC-nZVI during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal.

Effects of key factors

BC-nZVI with the optimal Fe/C of 2/1 was selected to study effects of different factors on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal, and optimal experimental conditions were obtained. As shown in Fig. 5a, similar $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate (at 30 min) are found at pH 4.0 (98.2%) and 5.0 (95.9%), but $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate (at 30 min) at pH 6.0 was only 25.8%. Reactions between $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ and nZVI needed the participation of H^+ , and acidic solution could facilitate $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal.³¹ Meanwhile, low pH could also clean iron oxide layer on nZVI which generated during reactions.³² Solution pH increased for the consumption of H^+ as the reaction progress, and the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ might be inhibited. Effects of pH on the release of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal are monitored in Fig. 5b. With pH increased from 4.0 to 6.0, the released rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ decreased. Curiously, the release rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ at pH 4.0 was much higher than that at pH 5.0, however there are no difference of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate at pH values between 4.0 and 5.0. The excessive release of $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ at pH 4.0 did not promote the removal of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. The acid solution competed more reactivity sites of nZVI with $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal to generate more $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$. So pH 5.0 was selected as the solution pH for study effects of other factors on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal to obtain higher $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate and decrease the generation of residual iron sludge.

Fig. 5c shows effects of BC-nZVI loading on the removal of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. With the increase of BC-nZVI loading from 0.5 g L^{-1} to 1 g L^{-1} , the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ at 30 min significantly increased from 25.5% to 95.9%. Generally, the increase of BC-nZVI could provide more surface active sites, which led to the promotion of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate. The increasing BC-nZVI loading from




Fig. 5 Effects of pH, BC-nZVI loading, initial $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration and $\text{Fe}(\text{ii})$ release during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by BC-nZVI. Experiment conditions: $[\text{Se}(\text{vi})]_0 = 3.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ (a–d), $[\text{biochar}] = [\text{BC-nZVI}] = [\text{nZVI}] = 1.0 \text{ g L}^{-1}$ (a, b, e, and f), and pH = 5.0 (c–f).



1 g L^{-1} to 2 g L^{-1} slightly increased the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ which indicated that surface active sites of 1 g L^{-1} BC-nZVI were enough to remove $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$, and the continuous increase of BC-nZVI could not improve the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$.

As shown in Fig. 5d, the increase of BC-nZVI loading from 0.5 g L^{-1} to 1 g L^{-1} can accelerate the release of $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$. But the release of $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal by 1 g L^{-1} BC-nZVI was similar to that by 2 g L^{-1} BC-nZVI, which indicated that the corrosion of nZVI was not promoted with the increase of BC-nZVI loading.

Effects of initial $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration on the removal of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ are illustrated in Fig. 5e. With the decrease of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration, the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ increased. When the initial $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration was lower than 3 mg L^{-1} , $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ was completely removed by BC-nZVI in 30 min. But the initial $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration reached to 6 mg L^{-1} , the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ at 30 min decreased to 25.9%. Reactive sites of BC-nZVI were limited, and the increasing $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ decreased the removal rate of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. Meanwhile, the remarkable decline of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal rate indicated that high $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration might inhibited the reactivity of BC-nZVI. Fig. 5f exhibits release kinetics of $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ during $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal. The release rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ increased with the decrease of initial $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ concentration which indicated that the corrosion of nZVI was in accordance with $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal.

Biological toxicity of BC-nZVI to *E. coli*

Researchers reported that nZVI could exhibit the bactericidal effect on *E. coli*.²³ Fig. 6 shows the TEM images of *E. coli* in the absence and presence of nZVI, and nZVI could rupture or deform *E. coli* cells. Meanwhile, BC-nZVI contain nZVI which could also destroy *E. coli* cells. To study the biological adverse effect of BC-nZVI, *E. coli* was selected as the model microorganism to investigate the potential toxicity of BC-nZVI. Comparative experiments in the growth of *E. coli* cells with nZVI and BC-nZVI at different loadings were conducted. As shown in Fig. 7a, the amount of *E. coli* cells gradually decreased with the increasing loading of nZVI and BC-nZVI in the range of 0–6 g L^{-1} . But the amount of *E. coli* cells treated by nZVI was lower than that treated by BC-nZVI at the same loading. To further study the toxicity of BC-nZVI, the SOD enzyme activity of *E. coli* cells was measured. Generally, the SOD activity represented the degree of intracellular lipid peroxidation and the ability to scavenge reactive oxygen species. As shown in Fig. 7b, the SOD activity of *E. coli* cells decreases with the increasing




Fig. 6 TEM images of original *E. coli* (a) and *E. coli* treated by nZVI (b).




Fig. 7 Effects of nZVI and BC-nZVI with different loading on the calculated CFU of *E. coli* (a), the SOD activity of *E. coli* (b), and the protein generation during the growth of *E. coli* (c).

nZVI or BC-nZVI loading which is similar to the variation of *E. coli* amount. However, the SOD activity of *E. coli* cells with nZVI was much lower than that with BC-nZVI. Thus some *E. coli* cells in the presence of nZVI were survive but the activity of *E. coli* cells was seriously damaged. Meanwhile, Fig. 7c illustrates the content of the protein in *E. coli* culture medium. The content of the protein was quickly increased after the treatment of nZVI or BC-nZVI, which indicated that cells of *E. coli* were destroyed and intracellular organic matter were dissolved. And *E. coli* culture medium with nZVI could release more protein which suggested that nZVI possessed a higher ability to break up *E. coli* cells than BC-nZVI. So results of various biological indicator demonstrated that the biochar modification reduced destructive effects of nZVI on *E. coli* cells.

Conclusions

BC-nZVI with different Fe/C and pure nZVI were synthesized to remove aquatic $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal. The SEM-EDX elemental mapping analysis showed that nZVI could be uniformly embedded on the carbon-thermal synthetic biochar. The addition of biochar decreased the SSA and formed lepidocrocite in BC-nZVI. BC-nZVI with Fe/C of 2/1 showed optimal removal rate by comparing other samples with different Fe/C. And pure



biochar could hardly absorb $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$, so the removal of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ by BC-nZVI was contributed to nZVI. Effects of solution pH, initial concentration of $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$, and BC-nZVI (Fe/C of 2/1) loading on $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$ removal were studied. And the optimal parameters were pH 4.0, 2 g L^{-1} BC-nZVI, and 1.5 mg L^{-1} $\text{Se}(\text{vi})$. *E. coli* were employed to study the biological toxicity of BC-nZVI and pure nZVI. Quantitative results including calculated amount, SOD activity, and protein content of *E. coli* cells indicated that nZVI and BC-nZVI with different loading both inhibited the growth of *E. coli*. And the inhibition efficiency of *E. coli* cells growth increased with the increase of the loading of nZVI and BC-nZVI. But the amount of *E. coli* cells treated by nZVI was lower than that treated by BC-nZVI at the same loading. Moreover, the SOD activity of *E. coli* cells with nZVI was much lower than that with BC-nZVI. Some *E. coli* cells in the presence of pure nZVI might be survived but the activity of *E. coli* cells was seriously damaged. Meanwhile, *E. coli* culture solution with nZVI released more protein than that with BC-nZVI, which indicated that more intracellular organic matter of *E. coli* cells were dissolved in the presence of nZVI. So modified nZVI by biochar was less harmful to *E. coli* cells than nZVI.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41807468), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LY18E080018), Shaoxing Public Welfare Project (Grant No. 2017B70042), and National innovation and entrepreneurship training program for college students (Grant No. 201810349008) and University students' science and technology innovation program of Zhejiang Province (Young Talents Program) (Grant No. 2018R432004).

Notes and references

- H. H. Zhou, T. Wang, Q. Li and D. D. Li, *Biol. Trace Elem. Res.*, 2018, **186**, 98–105.
- L. H. Foster and S. Sumar, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 1997, **37**, 211–228.
- M. Sahebari, Z. Rezaieyazdi and M. Khodashahi, *Curr. Rheumatol. Rev.*, 2019, **15**, 123–134.
- M. P. Rayman, *The Lancet*, 2000, **356**, 233–241.
- B. D. Gibson, D. W. Blowes, M. B. J. Lindsay and C. J. Ptacek, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2012, **241–242**, 92–100.
- WHO, *Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs*, vol. 1–120, http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/latest_classif.php.
- D. Pontarolo, T. Sandy, N. Keller, M. Gearhart, V. Patel and J. Jimenez, *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation*, 2017, **2017**, 4118–4132.
- J. T. Olegario, N. Yee, M. Miller, J. Sczepaniak and B. Manning, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2009, **12**, 2057–2068.
- N. Bleiman and Y. G. Mishaal, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **183**, 590–595.
- R. J. Shamberger, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 1981, **17**, 59–74.
- P. Devi, R. Jain, A. Thakur, M. Kumar, N. K. Labhsetwar, M. Nayak and P. Kumar, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **95**, 69–85.
- S. Santos, G. Ungureanu, R. Boaventura and C. Botelho, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2015, **521–522**, 246–260.
- European Economic Community, Council Directive 98/83/EC Relating to the Water Quality of Water Intended for Human Consumption, *Off. J. Eur. Commun.*, 1998, **L330**, 32–54.
- WHO, *Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*, World Health Organization, Geneva, 4th edn, 2011.
- USEPA, *National Primary Drinking Water Regulations, List of Contaminants and their (MCLs)*, EPA 816-F-09-0004, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 2009.
- Y. Zhang, C. Amrhein, A. Chang and W. T. Frankenberger, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2008, **407**, 89–96.
- R. López de Arroyabe Loyo, S. I. Nikitenko, A. C. Scheinost and M. Simonoff, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **42**, 2451–2456.
- L. P. Liang, W. J. Yang, X. H. Guan, J. L. Li, Z. J. Xu, J. Wu, Y. Y. Huang and X. Z. Zhang, *Water Res.*, 2013, **47**, 5846–5855.
- L. P. Liang, W. Sun, X. H. Guan, Y. Y. Huang, W. Y. Choi, H. L. Bao, L. N. Li and Z. Jiang, *Water Res.*, 2014, **49**, 371–380.
- C. Wang and W. Zhang, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1997, **31**, 2154–2156.
- S. J. Yu, L. Yin, H. W. Pang, Y. H. Wu, X. X. Wang, P. Zhang, B. W. Hu, Z. S. Chen and X. K. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **352**, 360–370.
- S. J. Yu, X. X. Wang, Y. F. Liu, Z. S. Chen, Y. H. Wu, Y. Liu, H. W. Pang, G. Song, J. R. Chen and X. K. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **365**, 51–59.
- C. Lee, J. Y. Kim, W. I. Lee, K. L. Nelson, J. Yoon and D. L. Sedlak, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **42**, 4927–4933.
- X. Wang, H. L. Dong, Q. Zeng, Q. Y. Xia, L. M. Zhang and Z. Q. Zhou, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **51**, 7639–7647.
- Y. S. El-Temsah and E. J. Joner, *Chemosphere*, 2012, **89**, 76–82.
- L. Zhou, W. Zhuang, X. Wang, K. Yu, S. Yang and S. Xia, *Water Res.*, 2017, **111**, 140–146.
- S. J. Yu, Y. Liu, Y. J. Ai, X. X. Wang, R. Zhang, Z. S. Chen, Z. Chen, G. X. Zhao and X. K. Wang, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2018, **242**, 1–11.
- H. W. Pang, Z. F. Diao, X. X. Wang, Y. Ma, S. J. Yu, H. T. Zhu, Z. S. Chen, B. W. Hu, J. R. Chen and X. K. Wang, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2019, **366**, 368–377.
- Y. H. Huang and T. C. Zhang, *Water Res.*, 2005, **39**, 1751–1760.
- L. J. Xu and J. K. Wang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, **186**, 256–264.
- Y. Xie, H. Dong, G. Zeng, L. Zhang, Y. Cheng, K. Hou, Z. Jiang, C. Zhang and J. Deng, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2017, **338**, 306–312.
- X. H. Guan, Y. K. Sun, H. J. Qin, J. X. Li, I. M. C. Lo, D. He and H. R. Dong, *Water Res.*, 2015, **75**, 224–248.

