



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 25170

Ligand geometry controlling Zn-MOF partial structures for their catalytic performance in Knoevenagel condensation†

Zimo He,[‡] Xi Zhao,[‡] Xinbo Pan,^a Yuanyuan Li,^a XiaoXiao Wang,^a Haitao Xu^{*,a} and Zhenliang Xu^a

A series of novel Zn-MOFs {**1Zn**: [Zn(NIA)₂(3-bpdh)₂]; **2Zn**: [Zn(NPA)₂(4-bpdh)₂H₂O]; **3Zn**: [Zn₂(CHDA)₄(3-bpd)₂] were constructed by dicarboxylic acid and *N,N'*-bis(pyridine-yl-ethylidene)hydrazine. Ligand geometry revealed 1D to 3D Zn-MOF crystal topologies, whose combined-mode could be affected by the conditions. All these conditions affected the micro-nano crystal morphologies, namely **1Zn** micro-sheets or nanospheres, **2Zn** micro-clusters or micro-stick, and **3Zn** micro-clusters or hollowspheres that were obtained. The catalysts exhibited 100% selectivity for Knoevenagel condensation reactions, among which the benzaldehyde conversion rate of the **3Zn** hollowspheres was the highest, reaching a peak of 90%.

Received 15th June 2019
 Accepted 30th July 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04499j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are a kind of rigid skeletal crystal formed *via* the coordination of metal ions with organic ligands, characterized by a large specific surface area, high porosity and structural diversity.^{1–3} The abundance of metal atoms and organic ligands led to diverse and intriguing assemblies.⁴ Since the pioneering work of Yaghi *et al.*^{5–7}, more than 20 000 MOFs have been reported and have shown remarkable prospects in many applications, such as adsorption,^{8–11} electrochemistry,^{12–14} catalysis^{15–17} and luminescence,^{18–20} among which the research on catalysis is one of the rapidly growing applications. The inherent properties of MOFs make them excellent catalysts: these properties include highly dense active sites and even dispersion in the skeletons of MOFs, while their porosity facilitates the transport and diffusion of reactants, facilitating easy contact with those active sites.²¹

The Knoevenagel condensation is a classic reaction, which provides an effective way to form C–C double bonds by the reaction of carbonyl compounds, such as aldehydes or ketones, with compounds containing active methylene groups. It has been widely used in the synthesis of many compounds and is a reaction with an important application

value in organic synthesis.^{22–26} In recent years, many catalysts for such reactions have emerged,^{27–29} among which MOFs or MOF-derived solid bases have shown remarkable catalytic performance.^{30–33}

In this study, we prepared three new MOFs with one-dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional structures. First, by combining zinc 5-nitroisophthalic acid (NIA) with *N,N'*-bis(1-pyridine-3-yl-ethylidene)hydrazine (3-bpdh) (**1Zn**); second, by combining zinc 3-nitrophthalic acid (NPA) with *N,N'*-bis(1-pyridine-4-yl-ethylidene)hydrazine (4-bpdh) (**2Zn**); and third, by combining zinc 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid (CHDA) with *N,N'*-bis(1-pyridine-3-yl-ethylidene) (3-bpd) (**3Zn**). By changing the reaction conditions, **1Zn** nanospheres, **2Zn** microsticks and **3Zn** hollowspheres were obtained. We also investigated the catalytic performance of the six catalysts for Knoevenagel condensation and analyzed the possible catalytic mechanism.

As shown in Fig. 1, the zinc atoms in all three crystals are present in a hexacoordinate environment; however, the coordination direction can be influenced by different ligands coordinated with zinc atoms, so as to obtain three kinds of MOFs with one-dimensional, two-dimensional and three-dimensional structures. **1Zn** crystallized in a triclinic space group $P\bar{1}(2)$ [$a = 8.585(5)$ Å, $b = 10.181(6)$ Å, $c = 14.860(12)$ Å, $\alpha = 104.46(9)^\circ$, $\beta = 101.00(9)^\circ$, $\gamma = 102.35(6)^\circ$, $V = 1186.92(140)$ Å³, $Z = 2$]. The Zn(II) center was bonded to four O atoms from the carboxylate groups of two 5-nitrophthalic acid ligands and two N atoms from the 3-bpdh ligand, forming ZnO₄N₂ metal nodes. Two 3-bpdh ligands linked two ZnO₄N₂ metal nodes to form ring structures, and each ring

^aState Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering, Membrane Science and Engineering R&D Lab, Chemical Engineering Research Center, East China University of Science and Technology (ECUST), 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, China. E-mail: xuhaitao@ecust.edu.cn

^bSchool of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology (ECUST), 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1916894–1916896. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04499j

‡ Zimo He and Xi Zhao contributed equally to this study.






Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 1Zn, 2Zn, 3Zn.

was connected by 5-nitrophthalic acid molecules. The single coordination direction determines the one-dimensional (1D) tube structure of the crystal. **2Zn** crystallized in a monoclinic space group $P12_1/c_1(14)$ [$a = 14.74(3) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 8.444(15) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 19.15(3) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 106.96(17)^\circ$, $V = 2279.87(700) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 4$]. The Zn(II) center was bonded to three O atoms from the carboxylate groups of two 3-nitrophthalic acid ligands, two N atoms from the 4-bpdh ligand, and one O atom from H_2O , forming ZnO_4N_2 metal nodes. In this metal node, the carboxyl group had two coordination modes: the O atoms from No.1 and No.2 carboxyls in one 3-nitrophthalic acid chelated the Zn(II) center to form 7-member ring, while the O atom from the No.1 carboxyl in the other 3-nitrophthalic acid bridged this Zn(II) center to other ZnO_4N_2 metal nodes. The 4-bpdh ligand and 3-nitrophthalic acid ligands formed a double chain with a ZnO_4N_2 metal node as the center. The coordination method of **2Zn** is limited to a two-dimensional (2D) plane, resulting in its 2D structure. **3Zn** crystallized in a monoclinic space group $C_12/c_1(15)$ [$a = 17.23(3) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 19.96(3) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 9.432(15) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 105.71(18)^\circ$, $V = 3122.62(800) \text{ \AA}^3$, $Z = 8$]. Two Zn(II) centers were bonded to eight O atoms from the carboxylate groups of four 1,4-cyclohexanedicarboxylic acid (CHDA) ligands and two N atoms from the 3-bpd ligand, forming $\text{Zn}_2\text{O}_4\text{N}_2$ metal nodes. Four CHDA ligands formed a cross double chain with two zinc atoms as the center, and the 3-bpd ligand linked the double chains to yield a three-

dimensional (3D) framework. More detailed information about the crystal structure is contained in the ESI.†

These three kinds of microcrystals were prepared in methanol and water at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 2, the three kinds of MOFs have different morphologies. Under SEM, **1Zn** presents a sheet structure, while **2Zn** and **3Zn** show flower-like morphologies, which are formed by the secondary assembly of tiny crystals. They are about 10 to 20 microns in diameter, and **3Zn** is slightly larger than **2Zn**. The PXRD patterns of the three crystals coincide with the simulated curves of their single crystal, which proves the existence of the respective crystal phases (Fig. S1–S3†).

On this basis, we used different conditions to change the morphologies of these three crystals, which exhibited three improved morphologies (Fig. 3). More conditions were attempted, and the results are shown in the ESI.† A **1Zn** nanosphere was synthesized in a DMF system with the introduction of PVP by a solvent thermal method. PVP is an amphiphilic surfactant, which can adsorb on the surface of nanocrystals and change the growth direction and speed of the crystals, thus affecting the final morphology and particle size of the product.³⁴ With the increase in the PVP dosage, the size of the **1Zn** nanosphere was smaller and more uniform (Fig. S10†). At 0.1 g PVP dosage, nanospheres with particle sizes of about 300 nm were obtained. A **2Zn** microstick was also synthesized by the solvent thermal method in MeOH solvent. Interestingly, we added sodium acetate as a regulator






Fig. 2 SEM images of (a–c) 1Zn; (d–f) 2Zn; (g–i) 3Zn.

to the DMF system and obtained **3Zn** hollowspheres with the assistance of ultrasound. When the dosage of sodium acetate was 0.05 mmol, a dandelion-like **3Zn** spherical crystal reassembled by needle crystals was obtained. On continuously increasing the amount of sodium acetate to 0.1 mmol, the spherical crystals became hollow. However, when sodium acetate was added to 0.2 mmol, the morphology of the product appeared chaotic (Fig. S11[†]). As a base, sodium acetate can accelerate the deprotonation process of

carboxylic acid ligands, thus accelerating the growth rate of the crystals. Moreover, there was competitive coordination between COO^- and the carboxylic acid ligands, so the introduction of sodium acetate can affect the growth process of the crystals.³⁵

The catalytic properties of the catalysts for the Knoevenagel condensation reactions were investigated. As shown in Fig. 4, when the **1Zn** and **2Zn** microcrystals were used as catalysts, the conversions are 64% and 76% after 4 hours






Fig. 3 SEM images of (a–c) **1Zn** (DMF, 100 °C, 0.1 g PVP); (d–f) **2Zn** (MeOH, 120 °C); (g–i) **3Zn** (0.1 mmol NaAC, 0.5 h of ultrasonic treatment, standing at room temperature for 24 h).

reaction, respectively, but **3Zn** could reach more than 88%. After controlling the morphology, the order of catalytic performance was still **1Zn** < **2Zn** < **3Zn**, which may be related to the structure of the MOFs. **3Zn** with its 3D coordination structure may be more conducive to providing a smooth path for the rapid transfer of electrons.

Moreover, after adjusting the morphology, the catalytic effects of **1Zn**, **2Zn**, **3Zn** were improved. The conversion of

benzaldehyde was increased to 86% for the **1Zn** nanosphere, 87% for the **2Zn** microstick and 90% for the **3Zn** hollow-sphere (Fig. S13†). The catalytic activity of all the Zn-MOFs may be related to the size, dispersion and accessible surface areas of the catalyst.³⁶ For contrast, we studied the Knoevenagel condensation reaction with *n*-heptanal, keeping other conditions unchanged. The results are shown in the ESI (Fig. S14†). It was found that the results obtained with






Fig. 4 The catalysts and their conversion in Knoevenagel condensation reaction.

benzaldehyde or heptanal were similar in general; after adjusting the morphology, the conversion of heptanal catalyzed by the **1Zn** nanosphere, the **2Zn** microstick and the **3Zn** hollowsphere were still higher than those of their micro-crystals. The catalytic efficiency of the **1Zn** nanosphere reached 90%, while that of the **3Zn** hollowsphere was 85%, close to that of the **3Zn** micro-cluster. On this basis, we performed the BET analysis of the **3Zn** micro-cluster and **3Zn** hollowsphere, and the results presented in the ESI (Fig. S12[†]) show that the median pore width of the **3Zn** micro-cluster and **3Zn** hollowsphere are similar. The BET surface area of the micro-cluster is larger than that of the hollowsphere. So, we suppose that because of the similar pore width, the key to improving the conversion of benzaldehyde is the pore volume. However, in comparison, heptanal is bulky and cannot enter the pore as easily as benzaldehyde, so the catalytic efficiency of the **3Zn** hollowsphere is close to that of the **3Zn** micro-cluster.^{31,37,38} The XRD patterns of all the catalysts after catalysis were consistent with those before catalysis (Fig. S4–S9[†]), indicating that the structure did not change.

There are two main mechanisms of Knoevenagel condensation catalyzed by MOFs: basic catalysis and bifunctional acid–base catalysis.³⁹ In our study, the three Zn-MOFs seemed to prefer the acid-catalyzed mechanism since they did not have a strong basic group, such as the amino

group; although the ligand contains two N atoms, these two N atoms already have three bonds, the electron cloud is dispersed, and the $-\text{NO}_2$ and $-\text{COOH}$ groups on the organic carboxylic acid ligands also weaken the basicity. Based on other studies,^{36,40,41} we proposed a possible bifunctional acid–base catalytic mechanism. The $\text{Zn}(\text{II})$ in the Zn-MOF has empty atomic orbitals, which can be used as the Lewis acid sites. The carbonyl oxygen carries a pair of lone pair electrons that coordinate with $\text{Zn}(\text{II})$, so the positive charge on the O atom can promote further polarization of the carbonyl group. The positive electricity of the carbonyl C atom can thereby be enhanced. At the same time, the hydroxyl O atom coordinated with the $\text{Zn}(\text{II})$ ion acted as the center of the Lewis basic sites, which promoted the active methylene of malonitrile to remove protons and formed a carbon anion near benzaldehyde. Then, malonitrile attacked the carbonyl C atom of benzaldehyde, and the intermediate became the product and regenerated the catalyst after rearrangement and dehydration (Fig. 5).

Conclusions

In summary, three kinds of novel Zn-MOFs were synthesized, and their coordination directions were affected by different ligands, resulting in the formation of 1D, 2D and 3D structures. By changing the reaction conditions, the crystal reassembly was affected, and its morphology could be regulated.






Fig. 5 The scheme catalytic mechanism of Knoevenagel condensation reaction.

Finally, **1Zn** micro-sheet, **1Zn** nanospheres, **2Zn** micro-flower, **2Zn** micro-stick, **3Zn** micro-flower, and **3Zn** hollow-spheres were obtained. The catalytic properties of all of these catalysts for the Knoevenagel condensation reaction were explored. The selectivity of all catalysts was 100%, among which the benzaldehyde conversion rate of **3Zn** hollow-spheres was the highest, reaching a peak of 90%. We believe that the work in this paper, especially the control of the crystal growth direction and reassembly mode, can provide

a reference for the design of crystals with special morphologies to meet different needs.

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.



Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21371058) and University Student Research Plan (USR) of ECUST.

Notes and references

- M. D. Allendorf, R. J. T. Houk, L. Andruszkiewicz, A. A. Talin, J. Pikarsky, A. Choudhury, K. A. Gall and P. J. Hesketh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 14404–14405.
- A. Schneemann, V. Bon, I. Schwedler, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6062–6096.
- H. Zeng, M. Xie, Y. L. Huang, Y. F. Zhao, X. J. Xie, J. P. Bai, M. Y. Wan, R. Krishna, W. G. Lu and D. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8515–8519.
- M. Li, D. Li, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 1343–1370.
- O. M. Yaghi and H. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 10401–10402.
- H. Li, M. Eddaoudi, T. L. Groy and O. M. Yaghi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 8571–8572.
- H. Li, M. Eddaoudi, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Nature*, 1999, **402**, 276–279.
- J. R. Li, R. J. Kuppler and H. C. Zhou, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1477–1504.
- T. Rodenas, I. Luz, G. Prieto, B. Seoane, H. Miro, A. Corma, F. Kapteijn, F. Xamena and J. Gascon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 48–55.
- A. Cadiou, K. Adil, P. M. Bhatt, Y. Belmabkhout and M. Eddaoudi, *Science*, 2016, **353**, 137–140.
- H. Kim, S. Yang, S. R. Rao, S. Narayanan, E. A. Kapustin, H. Furukawa, A. S. Umans, O. M. Yaghi and E. N. Wang, *Science*, 2017, **356**, 430–432.
- W. Xia, A. Mahmood, R. Q. Zou and Q. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1837–1866.
- S. L. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. C. Dong, C. T. He, H. J. Yin, P. F. An, K. Zhao, X. F. Zhang, C. Gao, L. J. Zhang, J. W. Lv, J. X. Wang, J. Q. Zhang, A. M. Khattak, N. A. Khan, Z. X. Wei, J. Zhang, S. Q. Liu, H. J. Zhao and Z. Y. Tang, *Nat. Energy*, 2016, **1**, 1–10.
- D. Sheberla, J. C. Bachman, J. S. Elias, C. J. Sun, Y. Shao-Horn and M. Dinca, *Nat. Mater.*, 2017, **16**, 220–224.
- J. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1450–1459.
- H. Q. Xu, J. H. Hu, D. K. Wang, Z. H. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Luo, S. H. Yu and H. L. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 13440–13443.
- M. T. Zhao, K. Yuan, Y. Wang, G. D. Li, J. Guo, L. Gu, W. P. Hu, H. J. Zhao and Z. Y. Tang, *Nature*, 2016, **539**, 76–80.
- M. D. Allendorf, C. A. Bauer, R. K. Bhakta and R. J. T. Houk, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1330–1352.
- Y. J. Cui, R. J. Song, J. C. Yu, M. Liu, Z. Q. Wang, C. D. Wu, Y. Yang, Z. Y. Wang, B. L. Chen and G. D. Qian, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 1420–1425.
- S. Y. Wu, Y. N. Lin, J. W. Liu, W. Shi, G. M. Yang and P. Cheng, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 10.
- Q. H. Yang, Q. Xu and H. L. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4774–4808.
- Y. Hayashi, Y. Miyamoto and M. Shoji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 4079–4082.
- P. B. Mills, E. Struys, C. Jakobs, B. Plecko, P. Baxter, M. Baumgartner, M. Willemsen, H. Omran, U. Tacke, B. Uhlenberg, B. Weschke and P. T. Clayton, *Nat. Med.*, 2006, **12**, 307–309.
- Y. J. Bian, Y. Qin, L. W. Xiao and J. T. Li, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **26**, 1165–1172.
- G. Kaupp, M. R. Naimi-Jamal and J. Schmeyers, *Tetrahedron*, 2003, **59**, 3753–3760.
- P. Lidstrom, J. Tierney, B. Wathey and J. Westman, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 9225–9283.
- A. Lee, A. Michrowska, S. Sulzer-Mosse and B. List, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2011, **50**, 1707–1710.
- X. Y. Li, B. N. Lin, H. B. Li, Q. Yu, Y. Ge, X. Jin, X. H. Liu, Y. H. Zhou and J. P. Xiao, *Appl. Catal. B-Environ.*, 2018, **239**, 254–259.
- N. Yao, J. Tan, Y. Liu and Y. L. Hu, *Synlett*, 2019, **30**, 699–702.
- L. Zhu, X. Q. Liu, H. L. Jiang and L. B. Sun, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 8129–8176.
- J. Gascon, U. Aktay, M. D. Hernandez-Alonso, G. P. M. van Klink and F. Kapteijn, *J. Catal.*, 2009, **261**, 75–87.
- A. H. Chughtai, N. Ahmad, H. A. Younus, A. Laypkov and F. Verpoort, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 6804–6849.
- U. P. N. Tran, K. K. A. Le and N. T. S. Phan, *ACS Catal.*, 2011, **1**, 120–127.
- X. Cai, J. Lin and M. Pang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 3565–3568.
- H. Guo, Y. Zhu, S. Wang, S. Su, L. Zhou and H. Zhang, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 444–450.
- J. J. Wang, X. X. Wang, H. T. Xu, X. Zhao, Z. Z. Zheng and Z. L. Xu, *ChemPlusChem*, 2017, **82**, 1182–1187.
- S. Hasegawa, S. Horike, R. Matsuda, S. Furukawa, K. Mochizuki, Y. Kinoshita and S. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 2607–2614.
- Y. K. Hwang, D. Y. Hong, J. S. Chang, S. H. Jhung, Y. K. Seo, J. Kim, A. Vimont, M. Daturi, C. Serre and G. Ferey, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4144–4148.
- Y. Yang, H. F. Yao, F. G. Xi and E. Q. Gao, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **390**, 198–205.
- V. N. Panchenko, M. M. Matrosova, J. Jeon, J. W. Jun, M. N. Timofeeva and S. H. Jhung, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **316**, 251–259.
- Y. Y. Li, Y. Q. Su, J. Xu, Z. L. Xu and H. T. Xu, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2017, **90**, 1152–1156.

