

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 23579

Ozone and ozone/vacuum-UV degradation of diethyl dithiocarbamate collector: kinetics, mineralization, byproducts and pathways†

Pingfeng Fu, * Yanhong Ma, Huifen Yang, Gen Li and Xiaofeng Lin

The diethyl dithiocarbamate (DDC) collector, a precursor of toxic *N*-nitrosamines, is detected in flotation wastewaters usually at the ppm level. In this study, the O_3 and O_3 /Vacuum-UV (O_3 /VUV) processes were compared to investigate the efficient removal of DDC with a low risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation. The results showed that 99.55% of DDC was removed at 20 min by O_3 /VUV, and the degradation rate constant was 3.99 times higher than that using O_3 -alone. The C, S and N mineralization extents of DDC using O_3 /VUV reached 36.36%, 62.69% and 79.76% at 90 min, respectively. O_3 /VUV achieved a much higher mineralization extent of DDC than O_3 -alone. After 90 min of degradation, O_3 /VUV achieved lower residual concentrations of CS_2 and H_2S , and released lower amounts of gaseous sulfur byproducts compared to O_3 -alone. The solid phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE/GC-MS) analysis indicated that the main byproducts in O_3 /VUV degradation of DDC were amide compounds without the detection of *N*-nitrosamines. The avoidance of *N*-nitrosamine formation might be attributed to exposure of UV irradiation and enhanced formation of $\cdot OH$ radicals in the O_3 /VUV system. The degradation pathways of DDC were proposed. This work indicated that O_3 /VUV was an efficient alternative treatment technique for the removal of DDC flotation collector with low risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation.

Received 31st May 2019

Accepted 24th July 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04127c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Diethyl dithiocarbamate (DDC), one of the widely used dithiocarbamates (DTCs), has a strong chelating capacity with metal species to generate insoluble and stable metallic complexes.¹ Acting as a superior ligand, an extremely large quantity of DDC is used as a flotation collector in mining, as a fungicide in agriculture, and as a functional additive in rubber and lubricants. In the mining industry, to separate base metal sulfides or platinum minerals from ores, the DDC collector can react with mineral surfaces to render them hydrophobic, and subsequently to form stable particle-bubble agglomerates.^{2,3} In China, the DDC collector even gets a trivial name, SN-9, due to its wide usage in the separation of Cu-Fe and Pb-Zn minerals.^{4,5} Owing to the ubiquitous applications of DDC in various fields, it has been frequently detected in surface water and municipal wastewater at the ppb level.⁶

It has been documented that DDC and its *N*-nitrosamine byproducts are extremely toxic to aquatic animals and human beings. For example, the hydrophobic metallic complexes of

DDC can significantly increase the bioaccumulation of heavy metals in *Daphnia magna* and Rainbow trout.^{7,8} Moreover, DDC can even affect the biological behaviors of heavy metals accumulated within mice and rats. The metals such as nickel⁹ and mercury¹⁰ can be partly redistributed from traditional metal enriching organs to the brain and adipose tissue of mice. In addition, due to its instability in acidic medium, DDC can be hydrolyzed to diethylamine (DEA) with a rate constant of $9.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a half-life of 0.2 h at pH 5.¹¹ However, the hydrolysis rate constant of DDC may become as low as $1.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a half-life of 1905.5 h at pH 9.¹¹ Subsequently, *N*-nitrosodiethylamine (NDEA) can be generated in drinking water while DEA is oxidized by water disinfection oxidants like Cl_2 and O_3 .¹¹ NDEA is a typical nitrogenous disinfection byproduct with probable cytotoxicity, genotoxicity and carcinogenesis. It has been included in the 3rd version of the Contaminant Candidate List (CCL 3) of the US EPA for drinking water. Based on these facts, DDC is an important indirect precursor of toxic NDEA. Therefore, special attention should be paid for the removal of DDC and its *N*-nitrosamine byproducts from the aquatic system.

Currently, *N*-nitrosamines in drinking water and wastewater were of great concern. Some methods including advanced oxidation processes (AOPs),¹² integrated membrane system¹³ and nanoscale zero-valent iron,¹⁴ have been developed to remove toxic NDEA. However, the information on how to





School of Civil and Resources Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, Beijing 100083, China. E-mail: pffu@ces.ustb.edu.cn; Fax: +86 10 82385795; Tel: +86 13520202167

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra04127c

remove NDEA's precursors such as DDC in aqueous solutions is very limited. This may be attributed to very low concentration (ppb level) of DDC in water because most of the DDC used as fungicides and additives are discharged with a fugitive emission mode.¹⁵ Nevertheless, the DDC concentration in the mineral flotation wastewaters is as high as ppm level, *e.g.*, 10–100 mg L⁻¹, because of the high dosage of DDC collector (30–200 g t⁻¹ (ore)) in mining industry.^{16,17} To avoid toxic effects of DDC collector to aquatic organisms and reduce the release of *N*-nitrosamines into aquatic system, it is extremely necessary to remove DDC collector with ppm level before the mineral flotation wastewaters are discharged into rivers.

Recently, biodegradation,^{18,19} ozonation^{11,20} and chemical oxidation with hypochlorite²¹ have been investigated to degrade DDC collector. Although the DDC can be removed by the biodegradation, the half-life of DDC biodegradation was as high as 36.96 h.¹⁸ The chemical oxidation can rapidly decompose DDC. For instance, 91.28% removal of DDC was achieved even within 1 min using 400 mg L⁻¹ hypochlorite.²¹ However, it has been reported that the oxidation of DDC with free chlorine and hypochlorite can form *N*-nitrosamine byproducts.¹¹ In our previous work, 100 mg L⁻¹ of DDC solution was effectively decomposed by the ozonation with the half-life of 10.09 min.²⁰ Nevertheless, the formation of *N*-nitrosamines is also observed in the ozonation of DDC.¹¹ The degradation of NDEA by O₃-alone is usually less effective with a second-rate constant of only 0.08 M⁻¹ S⁻¹, much lower than that of 7 × 10⁸ M⁻¹ S⁻¹ by hydroxyl radicals (·OH).^{12,22} Thus, the combined processes such as the O₃/H₂O₂ and O₃/UV_{254nm} have been developed to remove NDEA from aquatic system.^{12,23,24} Therefore, a novel process with a high yield of ·OH radicals can provide the benefits of effective removal of DDC collector with low risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation.

In various AOPs, the O₃/vacuum-UV (O₃/VUV) has the higher yield of ·OH radicals than O₃, O₃/UV_{254nm} and O₃/H₂O₂ per mass of oxidants.²⁵ The VUV lamp, namely, low pressure Hg lamp covered with high pure quartz glass, can emit about 10% radiation at 185 nm and 90% radiation at 254 nm. In the O₃/VUV system, the 185 nm VUV irradiation of water can *in situ* generate ·OH radicals (eqn (1) and (2)). By combining UV_{254nm} light emitted from VUV lamp and dosed O₃, the O₃/UV_{254nm} can be formed with the generation of ·OH radicals *via* eqn (3)–(5). Therefore, three oxidation processes, *i.e.*, VUV photolysis, O₃/UV_{254nm} and ozonation, may be included in the O₃/VUV system.²⁶ Thus, previous studies had demonstrated that the O₃/VUV could achieve much higher removal efficiencies of *n*-butyl xanthate,²⁴ natural organic matter²⁷ and phenols²⁸ than O₃-alone. For the degradation of *N*-nitrosamines, simple UV_{254nm} photolysis can remove *N*-nitrosamines effectively due to their strong UV absorption.^{29,30} Therefore, it can be expected that the degradation of DDC by the O₃/VUV would achieve higher removal of DDC with low risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation than O₃-alone.



In this study, the degradation of DDC collector at initial concentration of 100 mg L⁻¹ is compared by the O₃ and O₃/VUV at low O₃ dosage of below 1.27 mg min⁻¹ L⁻¹. The objectives of this work are (1) to compare the removal efficiencies and mineralization extents of DDC by the O₃ and O₃/VUV; (2) to investigate the generation of CS₂ and H₂S byproducts; (3) to identify organic byproducts and propose degradation pathways of DDC. This work may be helpful to explore a method to effectively remove DDC collector from mineral flotation wastewaters.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals and VUV lamp

Sodium diethyl dithiocarbamate trihydrate (C₅H₁₀NS₂Na·3H₂O) with analytical grade was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Bio-Chem Technology Co., Ltd, China. Fig. S1 (see in the ESI†) shows the molecular structure of DDC. Other chemicals such as cupric acetate, lead acetate, diethylamine, silver sulfate and mercury sulfate were of analytical grade and purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd, Beijing, China. Deionized water was used in the degradation experiments. A 40 W VUV lamp was purchased from Bright Star Light & Electricity Co., Ltd, Guangdong, China. The lamp emitted about 10% of 185 nm VUV radiation and 90% of UV_{254nm} radiation (see in Fig. S2†). To conduct the O₃/UV_{254nm} and UV_{254nm} photolysis experiments, the UV_{254nm} lamp, with same electric power and size to the VUV lamp, was purchased.

2.2 The degradation procedures

The DDC degradation was conducted in a jacket glass reactor connected with a thermostatic bath. Fig. 1 showed the schematic diagram of experimental setup. The cylindrical reactor had a 1240 mm height and 53 mm internal diameter. The VUV or UV_{254nm} lamp was inserted into a quartz tube installed at the axis of the reactor. Ozone was generated from an O₃ generator (SW-004 model, Qingdao West Electronic Purifiers Co., Qingdao, China) using air as the source. The O₃ stream was introduced into the reactor through a porous glass plate.

100 mg L⁻¹ of DDC solution was prepared by dissolving 0.265 g DDC with trihydrate in 2 L deionized water. The initial pH was adjusted to 10.0 using 0.05 mol L⁻¹ NaOH or HCl solution. While 2 L of DDC solution was put into the reactor, the ozonation of DDC was conducted by introducing the O₃ stream. The O₃/VUV and O₃/UV_{254nm} degradation of DDC was performed by introducing O₃ stream and turning on the VUV and UV_{254nm} lamps, respectively. The O₃ dosage rate was controlled to be 0.62–1.27 mg min⁻¹ L⁻¹. To perform the VUV and UV_{254nm} photolysis of DDC, an air stream was introduced at a flow rate of 1.67 L min⁻¹, and the VUV and UV_{254nm} lamps were simultaneously turned on, respectively. In this study, the O₃/UV_{254nm},






Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of experimental setup ((1) ozone generator; (2) flow meter; (3) column reactor; (4) sampling valve; (5) quartz tube; (6) VUV lamp; (7) absorbent cotton with lead acetate; (8) CS₂ absorption liquid; (9) H₂S absorption liquid; (10) activated carbon column).

VUV photolysis and UV_{254nm} photolysis experiments were performed for the comparative purposes. To fully evaluate the mineralization of DDC, the degradation time was extended to 90 min although nearly 100% of DDC could be removed within 20 min. All experiments were conducted at 25 ± 2 °C. Aqueous samples were taken at predetermined time intervals to measure the concentrations of DDC, TOC, SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ anions, respectively.

2.3 Analysis and calculation

2.3.1 Determination of the concentrations of DDC, TOC, SO₄²⁻ and NO₃⁻ ions. The concentration of DDC was determined by a UV-vis spectroscopic method since the DDC had a maximum absorption peak at 256 nm. The absorbance of aqueous samples was recorded at 256 nm by a UV-vis spectrophotometer (UV-5500PC, Shanghai Metash Instruments Co. Ltd, China). The TOC was measured using a Shimadzu TOC-V organic carbon analyzer.

The SO₄²⁻ concentration was analyzed by a barium chromate spectrophotometry method (HJ/T 342-2007). The NO₃⁻ concentration was determined by using an ion chromatograph (Metrohm 792 Basic, Switzerland). Anionic species were separated by a Star-Ion A300 anionic column using a carbonate eluting phase consisted of 2.4 mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ and 2.5 mmol L⁻¹ Na₂CO₃ at flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹.

2.3.2 Analysis of the concentrations of aqueous CS₂ and H₂S. The aqueous CS₂ concentration was measured by a diethylamine cupric acetate spectrophotometric method (GB/T 15504-1995). 50 mL of aqueous sample was purged with a 100 mL min⁻¹ N₂ stream for 1 h to volatilize CS₂ which was subsequently absorbed with a diethylamine and cupric acetate mixed liquid. Then, the absorbance of CS₂ absorption liquid was

determined at 430 nm using dehydrated alcohol as a reference solution. The aqueous H₂S concentration was determined by an iodometric method (HJ/T 60-2000). The sulfides in the treated DDC solution should be transformed into ZnS by adding Zn(CH₃(COO)₂) and NaOH solution before the analysis.

2.3.3 Measurement of the amount of gaseous CS₂ and H₂S emitted into air. The amount of gaseous CS₂ emitted into air was measured by a diethylamine spectrophotometric method (GB/T 14680-93). As shown in Fig. 1, the emission gas was allowed to pass through a lead acetate coated cotton tube to remove the H₂S, and then introduced into the CS₂ absorption liquid. The amount of gaseous H₂S was determined by a methylene blue spectrophotometric method (GB/T 11742-89). To collect all of gaseous CS₂ and H₂S, the emission gas continuously passed through the CS₂ and H₂S absorption liquid for 90 min, respectively.

2.3.4 SPE/GC-MS analysis of organic byproducts. The solid phase extraction and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (SPE/GC-MS) was developed to determine organic byproducts in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC. The SPE 24-port vacuum manifold system (Phenomenex, U.S.) was used to extract byproducts from water. The Strata™-X (10 g, code: 8B-S029-MFF, Phenomenex) was employed as the SPE sorbent. Firstly, the SPE cartridges were preconditioned with 2 mL of acetonitrile, followed by 1 mL of ultrapure water. Afterwards, 50 mL of acidified samples were allowed to pass through the cartridges at a flow rate of 3 mL min⁻¹. Then, the cartridges were rinsed with 5 mL ultrapure water, and further dried under vacuum for 10 min to removal residual water. The analytes were eluted with 3 mL of acetonitrile. The organic extracts were transferred into 300 µL GC vials for analysis.

The SPE extracts were analyzed by the GC-MS (Shimadzu, GCMS-QP2010 SE, Japan), equipped with a capillary column (Zebron ZB-FFAP, 30 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 µm, Phenomenex, U.S.). The oven temperature program was as follow: an initial oven temperature was 80 °C (held for 5 min), then increased to 220 °C (held for 10 min) at 8 °C min⁻¹, and finally increased to 300 °C (held for 1 min) at 30 °C min⁻¹. High purity He gas (purity ≥ 99.999%) was used as carrier gas at flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The ion source of mass spectra was operated in the electron impact mode (EI mode, electron energy 70 eV, 220 °C). Full-scan mass (*m/z* 40–500) was recorded to identify organic byproducts. According to fragmentation rules of organic species under electron ionization conditions, the byproducts were identified by comparing mass spectra with National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) mass spectral library data.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Degradation of DDC by the O₃, UV_{254nm}, VUV, O₃/UV_{254nm} and O₃/VUV

The degradation performances of DDC by the O₃, UV_{254nm}, VUV, O₃/UV_{254nm} and O₃/VUV processes were compared, and the results are shown in Fig. 2 and listed in Table 1. The O₃ dosage rate was 1.16 mg min⁻¹ L⁻¹ and initial pH of DDC solution was 10.0. In this work, the removal of DDC by O₃,






Fig. 2 The variations of DDC concentration with degradation time in the O_3 , UV_{254nm} , VUV, O_3/UV_{254nm} and O_3/VUV process. The inset figure was the pseudo-first-order kinetic fitting of $\ln(C/C_0)$ versus time t .

UV_{254nm} , VUV, O_3/UV_{254nm} and O_3/VUV could be described with a pseudo-first order kinetic equation (eqn (6)),

$$\ln(C/C_0) = -k_{app}t \quad (6)$$

where, C and C_0 ($mg\ L^{-1}$) were the DDC concentration at degradation time t and initial, respectively, k_{app} (min^{-1}) was the pseudo-first order rate constant, and t (min) was degradation time.

As shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1, the UV_{254nm} photolysis had achieved the higher DDC removal and larger degradation rate constant (k_{app}) than O_3 -alone. It seemed that the DDC could be readily degraded by absorbing UV radiation. As indicated in our previous work, the DDC had a maximum UV absorption at 256 nm.²⁰ Thus, the degradation may be initiated by exciting DDC molecules with the absorption of UV_{254nm} light. As given in Table 1, the k_{app} obtained by VUV photolysis was 1.75 times higher than that by UV_{254nm} photolysis, revealing that $\cdot OH$ radicals generated by 185 nm VUV irradiation of H_2O (eqn (1) and (2)) had promoted the DDC degradation.³¹ However, it should be noted that the degradation initiated by direct absorption of UV radiation (UV_{254nm} and 185 nm VUV)

was still the main mechanism for the DDC removal in the VUV photolysis.

The k_{app} achieved by the O_3/UV_{254nm} was $0.219\ min^{-1}$, much higher than that by UV_{254nm} photolysis and O_3 -alone, respectively. As mentioned above, the UV_{254nm} photolysis of dissolved O_3 can yield H_2O_2 , which in turn reacts with O_3 or UV_{254nm} radiation to generate $\cdot OH$ radicals as specified in eqn (3)–(5).³² The formation of $\cdot OH$ radicals plays a key role in DDC degradation by the O_3/UV_{254nm} . In this case, the O_3/UV_{254nm} could be also formed in the O_3/VUV system by combining dosed O_3 and UV_{254nm} radiation emitted from the VUV lamp. As given in Table 1, the O_3/UV_{254nm} and VUV photolysis had achieved much higher k_{app} value compared to O_3 -alone, revealing that two former processes were main mechanisms for the DDC removal in the O_3/VUV system.

For all processes tested, the O_3/VUV could achieve the highest degradation rate of DDC. Specially, the k_{app} obtained by the O_3/VUV was 3.99 times higher than that by O_3 -alone. Since the UV_{254nm} and VUV lamps used in this work had same electric power, the O_3/VUV and O_3/UV_{254nm} had same energy consumption in DDC removal. However, it can be seen that the O_3/VUV had the higher DDC degradation rate as given in Table 1. Consequently, it was quite evident that the O_3/VUV was more suitable for the DDC removal compared to the O_3/UV_{254nm} . In the previous biodegradation of DDC, the degradation rate constant of $0.45\ day^{-1}$ ($3.125 \times 10^{-4}\ min^{-1}$) was reported, suggesting that the removal of DDC by the biodegradation was extremely low.¹⁸ Although the DDC was found to be effectively oxidized by hypochlorite, the high dosage of hypochlorite, for example $400\ g\ L^{-1}$, might result in potential secondary pollution of free chlorite and high process cost.²¹ Based on above results, it was considered that the O_3/VUV could be used to remove high concentration DDC collector from flotation wastewaters with fast reaction kinetics.

3.2 Effect of O_3 dosage

The O_3 dosage is one of key parameters for O_3 -based AOPs. It determines both the removal efficiency of pollutants and the treatment cost. In this work, the O_3 dosage rate was chosen to be as low as 0.62 – $1.27\ mg\ min^{-1}\ L^{-1}$ to investigate the removal of DDC by the O_3/VUV . As shown in Fig. 3, when the O_3 dosage rate increased from 0.62 to $1.27\ mg\ min^{-1}\ L^{-1}$, the k_{app} for the O_3 and O_3/VUV rose from 0.0199 to $0.0826\ min^{-1}$ and from 0.211 to $0.308\ min^{-1}$, respectively. These results indicate that the DDC degradation could be significantly enhanced at higher O_3 dosage. It can be attributed to the higher yield of $\cdot OH$ radicals by combining O_3 and UV_{254nm} radiation together. However, as shown in Fig. 3, the k_{app} obtained by VUV photolysis had reached $0.201\ min^{-1}$, much higher than that ($0.0826\ min^{-1}$) by O_3 -alone even at the highest O_3 dosage rate of $1.27\ mg\ min^{-1}\ L^{-1}$. As discussed above, the O_3/UV_{254nm} and VUV photolysis were two main mechanisms for DDC removal in the O_3/VUV system. Thus, the O_3/VUV in this case can achieve high efficiency of DDC removal even at low O_3 dosage.

Table 1 The removal efficiency at 20 min, degradation rate constant (k_{app}), half-life ($t_{1/2}$) and correlation coefficient (R^2) in the degradation of DDC by the O_3 , UV_{254nm} , VUV, O_3/UV_{254nm} and O_3/VUV , respectively

Process	Removal efficiency at 20 min (%)	k_{app} (min^{-1})	$t_{1/2}$ (min)	R^2
O_3	80.25	0.0687	10.09	0.992
UV_{254nm}	90.96	0.115	6.03	0.984
VUV	98.45	0.201	3.45	0.991
O_3/UV_{254nm}	98.94	0.219	3.17	0.996
O_3/VUV	99.55	0.274	2.53	0.998






Fig. 3 The rate constants (k_{app}) in the O_3 and O_3 /VUV degradation of DDC.

3.3 Mineralization of C, S and N elements of DDC

As shown in Fig. S1 (see in the ESI[†]), the DDC molecule contains functional S and N atoms. Thus, inorganic anions such as SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- may be generated in the degradation of DDC.²⁰ As shown in Fig. 4, the ion chromatographic analysis exhibited that both sulfate (SO_4^{2-}) and nitrate (NO_3^-) anions were generated after the oxidation of DDC by the O_3 and O_3 /VUV, respectively. Other ionic species such as SO_3^{2-} , $S_2O_3^{2-}$ and NO_2^- were not detected, suggesting that these species with low valences of S and N were further oxidized into SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- anions.^{33,34} It well demonstrated that the complete mineralization of DDC was feasible for both the O_3 and O_3 /VUV process. However, as shown in Fig. 4, the O_3 /VUV obtained higher peak intensity of either

SO_4^{2-} or NO_3^- anion than O_3 -alone, indicating more SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- anions were accumulated in the O_3 /VUV system.

Fig. 5 showed the relative concentrations of DDC and TOC, and the changes of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- concentrations. The concentrations of TOC, SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- at 90 min were also presented in Table 2. As shown in Fig. 5, with the rapid decrease of the C/C_0 of DDC, the TOC/TOC_0 was also reduced with the increase of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- concentrations, revealing the C, S and N elements of DDC could be mineralized by the O_3 , VUV and O_3 /VUV, respectively. However, as given in Table 2, the O_3 /VUV obtained higher concentrations of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- anions with lower TOC at 90 min than O_3 -alone and VUV photolysis.

In this work, to quantitatively describe the mineralization of DDC, the mineralization extents of C, S and N elements were calculated. The mineralization extent of C was expressed by eqn (7),²⁰

$$\gamma_C = \frac{TOC_0 - TOC}{TOC_0} \times 100\% \quad (7)$$

where, γ_C was the mineralization extent of C, TOC_0 and TOC were the TOC of DDC solution at initial and 90 min, respectively. The mineralization extent of S or N element was defined by eqn (8):

$$\gamma = \frac{171}{n \times M_{anion}} \times \frac{C_{anion}}{C_0} \times 100\% \quad (8)$$

where, γ was the mineralization extent of S (γ_S) or N (γ_N) element of DDC, n was the number of S or N atom of DDC, M_{anion} was the relative molecular weight of SO_4^{2-} or NO_3^- anion, C_{anion} ($mg L^{-1}$) was the concentration of SO_4^{2-} or NO_3^- at 90 min, and C_0 ($mg L^{-1}$) was initial concentration of DDC. The mineralization extents of C, S and N elements were summarized in Table 2. It can be found that the γ_C , γ_S and γ_N at 90 min obtained by the O_3 /VUV were 1.72, 3.71 and 4.24 times higher than that by O_3 -alone, respectively. The higher extents of mineralization well revealed that both DDC and its byproducts were removed by the O_3 /VUV with higher degradation rates compared to O_3 -alone. However, in this work, the VUV photolysis achieved the higher mineralization extents of C, S and N elements of DDC than O_3 -alone at the O_3 dosage rate of 1.16 $mg min^{-1} L^{-1}$, revealing that the VUV photolysis had made great contribution in further degradation of various byproducts for the O_3 /VUV process. As mentioned above, the O_3 /UV_{254nm}, VUV photolysis and the ozonation could be responsible for the generation of $\cdot OH$ radicals in the O_3 /VUV system. Thus the O_3 /VUV could obtain higher yields of oxidative species than O_3 -alone at same O_3 dosage. As listed in Table 2, the mineralization extent of C, S and N elements by the O_3 /VUV increased as following: $\gamma_C < \gamma_S < \gamma_N$. The results suggested that the S and N elements of DDC were relatively easier to be mineralized compared to C element. Accordingly, it can be reasonably inferred that some organic byproducts without the S or N element might be accumulated in treated DDC solution.

3.4 Generation of CS_2 and H_2S byproducts

It has been well documented that sulfur byproducts, such as carbon disulfide (CS_2), carbonyl sulfide (COS), hydrogen sulfide




Fig. 4 Ion chromatographic analysis of 100 $mg L^{-1}$ DDC solution treated at 0 min (1), 90 min by the O_3 (2) and O_3 /VUV process (3).






Fig. 5 The relative concentrations of DDC and TOC, and changes of SO_4^{2-} and NO_3^- concentrations in the O_3 (a), VUV (b) and O_3/VUV (c) degradation of DDC.

(H_2S), sulfite (SO_3^{2-}) and thiosulfate ($\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^{2-}$), are generated during the degradation of xanthate collectors by the AOPs.^{26,33,35,36} In this case, the DDC collector had same functional $-\text{CSS}^-$ group as the xanthates. Thus, above-mentioned sulfur byproducts might be also generated while the DDC was degraded. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the formation of sulfur byproducts during the DDC degradation by the AOPs. Because the CS_2 and H_2S are typical toxic sulfur contaminants, the concentrations of aqueous CS_2 and H_2S were determined while the DDC was degraded by the O_3 and O_3/VUV , respectively.^{37,38} Since both the CS_2 and H_2S are highly volatile, the amounts of gaseous CS_2 and H_2S emitted into air were also measured to investigate the emission behaviors of CS_2 and H_2S from treated DDC solutions.

Table 2 The concentrations of TOC, SO_4^{2-} , and NO_3^- anions at 90 min and the mineralization extents of C, S and N elements of DDC by the O_3 , VUV and O_3/VUV , respectively

Process	Concentration (mg L^{-1})			Mineralization extent (%)		
	TOC	SO_4^{2-}	NO_3^-	C	S	N
O_3	54.91	18.66	6.82	18.85	16.91	18.81
VUV	51.67	60.42	9.07	24.45	57.69	25.02
O_3/VUV	45.21	69.18	28.92	32.36	62.69	79.76

Fig. 6 showed the concentrations of aqueous CS_2 and H_2S in the O_3 and O_3/VUV degradation of DDC, respectively. During the degradation of DDC, the CS_2 concentration increased up to a maximum value, and then decreased at longer time for both the O_3 and O_3/VUV process. At the initial stage, more CS_2 was generated by the O_3/VUV compared to O_3 -alone. However, at 90 min, the CS_2 concentration was reduced to an extremely low level of 0.10 mg L^{-1} for the O_3/VUV , but was still as high as 0.89 mg L^{-1} for O_3 -alone. In general, aqueous H_2S concentrations had similar change behaviors to CS_2 as shown in Fig. 6(b). Specially, when the H_2S concentration by the O_3/VUV was decreased after 20 min, it still increased significantly by O_3 -alone. Therefore, a low H_2S concentration of 0.16 mg L^{-1} for the O_3/VUV was obtained, but the H_2S concentration was as high as 3.34 mg L^{-1} for O_3 -alone after 90 min of DDC degradation. Consequently, although sulfur byproducts could be further oxidized by the O_3 and O_3/VUV , the O_3/VUV achieved much lower residual concentrations of CS_2 and H_2S , attributing to the enhanced yields of $\cdot\text{OH}$ radicals in the O_3/VUV system.

Fig. 7 depicts the amounts of gaseous CS_2 and H_2S emitted into air. The amount of emitted CS_2 for the O_3/VUV was slightly higher than that for O_3 -alone. As the CS_2 was a volatile contaminant, the higher concentration of aqueous CS_2 at initial stage (Fig. 6(a)) would release more CS_2 into gas phase in the O_3/VUV system. As shown in Fig. 7, the amount of emitted H_2S for






Fig. 6 The concentrations of aqueous CS₂ (a) and H₂S (b) byproducts in the O₃ and O₃/VUV degradation of DDC, respectively.




Fig. 7 The amounts of gaseous CS₂ and H₂S emitted into air for 90 min in the O₃ and O₃/VUV degradation of DDC.

O₃-alone was 12.24 times higher than that for the O₃/VUV. The results were well in accordance with much higher concentration of aqueous H₂S for O₃-alone as indicated in Fig. 6(b). By considering the emission of CS₂ and H₂S together, the amount of emitted CS₂ and H₂S for the O₃/VUV was just 0.685 mg, much lower than that (2.949 mg) for O₃-alone. In summary, in comparison with O₃-alone, the O₃/VUV achieved lower aqueous concentrations and less emitted amounts of sulfur byproducts (CS₂ and H₂S) during the degradation of DDC. The result revealed that the release of toxic CS₂ and H₂S could be inhibited by the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC.

3.5 Byproducts identified by SPE/GC-MS

To better understand the degradation mechanism of DDC, the SPE/GC-MS analysis was employed to identify organic byproducts generated in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC. All the peaks with a response on mass spectrometry were marked in Fig. 8. Identified

byproducts were summarized in Tables 3 and 4 with retention time and other special characteristics. The mass spectrums of identified byproducts were shown in Fig. S3 (see in the ESI[†]).

As shown in Fig. 8 and Table 3, six amide byproducts were detected at 20 min, and the *N,N*-diethylformamide (peak 1) was the main byproduct of DDC degradation. Since the removal of DDC at 20 min had reached 99.85% as given in Table 1, the DDC was not detected. By extending the degradation time from 20 to 90 min, the relative abundance of *N,N*-diethylformamide (peak 1) was remarkably decreased. Meanwhile, the peaks of *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (peak 2), *N*-ethylacetamide (peak 3) and *N*-methylacetamide (peak 4) disappeared completely. The results indicated the further decomposition of these amines by the O₃/VUV. Nevertheless, the relative abundance of 5,6-dihydrothymine (peak 5) and *N*-formyl-*N*-methylformamide (peak 6) increased, and the diacetamide (peak 7) appeared as shown in Fig. 8. Because almost 100% removal of DDC was achieved after




Fig. 8 GC-MS total ion chromatograms of DDC solutions treated at 20 and 90 min in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC.



Table 3 GC-MS retention time and special characteristics of identified byproducts in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC at 20 min

No.	Molecular formula	Compounds	Retention time (min)	Similarity (%)	Main characteristic ions (<i>m/z</i>)
1	C ₅ H ₁₁ NO	<i>N,N</i> -diethylformamide	4.099	99	58, 72, 86, 101, 102
2	C ₄ H ₉ NO	<i>N,N</i> -dimethylacetamide	6.185	91	58, 72, 73, 87
3	C ₄ H ₉ NO	<i>N</i> -ethylacetamide	6.406	96	72, 87
4	C ₃ H ₇ NO	<i>N</i> -methylacetamide	6.607	97	58, 73
5	C ₅ H ₈ N ₂ O ₂	5,6-Dihydro thymine	7.697	99	127, 128, 129
6	C ₃ H ₅ NO ₂	<i>N</i> -formyl- <i>N</i> -methylformamide	8.084	98	59, 87

20 min, these three amides should be generated from certain intermediates. Consequently, the number of organic byproducts of DDC could be reduced by extending the reaction time.




In this work, main byproducts in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC were amide compounds without the generation of *N*-nitrosamines such as NDEA. However, the formation of NDEA was previously observed in the oxidation of DDC with Cl₂, NaClO₂ and O₃, respectively.¹¹ In the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC, two mechanisms, *i.e.*, UV photolysis and enhanced [•]OH radical formation, may contribute to the inhibition of *N*-nitrosamine formation. Because *N*-nitrosamines have a strong UV absorption *via* the homolytic cleavage of the N–N bond, the UV photolysis was proved to degrade *N*-nitrosamines effectively through N–N bond fission.^{29,39} The rate constant for NDEA degradation under UV irradiation reached 2.60×10^{-2} and 1.62×10^{-3} mmol L^{−1} min^{−1} at pH 6.0 and 10.0, respectively.³⁹ In this O₃/VUV system, the UV (UV_{254nm} + 185 nm VUV) photolysis may promote to the degradation of possibly generated *N*-nitrosamines.

Previous studies had concluded that an oxidation system with the higher yield of [•]OH radicals could achieve higher degradation rate of NDEA.^{23,24} For instances, compared to O₃-alone, the UV/O₃ could inhibit the regeneration of NDEA effectively *via* the interaction of NDEA and [•]OH radicals.^{23,24} As mentioned above, the rate constant of NDEA degradation with [•]OH radicals is nearly 9 orders higher than that with the O₃.²² Therefore, [•]OH radicals are the key oxidant for NDEA degradation. In this case, three mechanisms, *i.e.*, the ozonation, VUV photolysis and O₃/UV_{254nm}, had made contributions to the formation of [•]OH radicals in the O₃/VUV system, resulting in much higher yield of [•]OH radicals compared to O₃-alone. Therefore, the degradation of possible *N*-nitrosamines would be enhanced, and the *N*-nitrosamine formation was inhibited by the O₃/VUV.

3.6 Possible degradation pathways of DDC by the O₃/VUV

In this work, the degradation pathways of DDC by the O₃/VUV were proposed as shown in Fig. 9. The degradation of DDC may

have three pathways. As shown in Fig. 8, the *N,N*-diethylformamide was the primary byproduct of DDC degradation. Therefore, the main pathway (pathway 1) may be the oxidation of the –CSS[−] group of DDC into –CHO group to form the *N,N*-diethylformamide. Simultaneously, the S^{2−} ions are released (eqn (9)). Another two pathways of DDC degradation may be related to the generation of the diethylamine (DEA). Although the DEA was not detected by SPE/GC-MS, the formation of DEA was previously reported through the hydrolysis or the oxidation of DDC.¹¹ As mentioned above, the DDC can be easily hydrolyzed to DEA with the release of CS₂ (eqn (10)).⁴⁰ But the hydrolysis of DDC can be inhibited in alkaline pH. For example, the hydrolysis rate constant of DDC at pH 5 is 9.2×10^{-4} s^{−1}, but the rate constant at pH 9 is remarkably reduced to 1.0×10^{-7} s^{−1}.¹¹ In this work, initial pH of DDC solution was 10.0, meaning that the DEA formation from the hydrolysis of DDC (pathway 2) may be low efficient. Thus, the yield of DEA (pathway 3) should be mainly attributed to the breaking of N–C bond of DDC by [•]OH radicals (eqn (11)).



The DEA can be effectively oxidized by [•]OH radicals.^{41,42} The H-atom abstraction by [•]OH radicals from –NH and CH₂ groups of DEA may occur (eqn (12) and (13)).⁴¹ The *N*-ethylacetamide may be generated from eqn (13) and (14). Then, the *N*-ethylacetamide can be further oxidized to diacetamide, *N*-methylacetamide and *N,N*-dimethylacetamide. As shown in Fig. 8, the relative abundance of 5,6-dihydro thymine and *N*-formyl-*N*-methyl formamide increased with the degradation of the *N,N*-diethyl formamide while the degradation time rose from 20 to

Table 4 GC-MS retention time and special characteristics of identified byproducts in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC at 90 min

No.	Molecular formula	Compounds	Retention time (min)	Similarity (%)	Main characteristic ions (<i>m/z</i>)
1	C ₅ H ₁₁ NO	<i>N,N</i> -diethylformamide	4.101	96	57, 58, 86, 101
5	C ₅ H ₈ N ₂ O ₂	5,6-Dihydro thymine	7.692	95	102, 127, 128, 129
6	C ₃ H ₅ NO ₂	<i>N</i> -formyl- <i>N</i> -methylformamide	8.075	99	59, 87
7	C ₄ H ₇ NO ₂	Diacetamide	9.767	94	59, 60, 73




$$\text{S}^{2-} + \cdot\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{SO}_4^{2-} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (18)$$


Main byproducts in the O₃/VUV degradation of DDC were amide compounds such as *N,N*-diethylformamide. There were no *N*-nitrosamines such as NDEA detected by SPE/GC-MS, which may be attributed to UV (UV_{254nm} + 185 nm VUV) photolysis and enhanced formation of [•]OH radicals in the O₃/VUV system. Furthermore, the degradation pathways of DDC were proposed. The results suggested that the O₃/VUV was very effective in the removal of DDC collector from mineral flotation wastewaters with low risk of *N*-nitrosamine formation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant number: 51674017). The authors would greatly appreciate the Experiment Center in School of Metallurgical and Ecological Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing for providing the SPE/GC-MS analysis.

References

- 1 S. Kanchi, P. Singh and K. Bisetty, *Arabian J. Chem.*, 2014, **7**, 11–25.
- 2 L. Kloppers, W. Maree, O. Oyekola and G. Hangon, *Miner. Eng.*, 2016, **87**, 54–58.
- 3 J. Taguta, C. T. O'Connor and B. McFadzean, *Miner. Eng.*, 2018, **119**, 99–104.
- 4 X. P. Niu, R. M. Ruan, L. Y. Xia, L. Li, H. Y. Sun, Y. Jia and Q. Y. Tan, *Langmuir*, 2018, **34**, 2716–2724.
- 5 D. R. Nagaraj and R. S. Farinato, *Miner. Eng.*, 2016, **96–97**, 2–14.
- 6 R. Kesari and V. K. Gupta, *Talanta*, 1998, **45**, 1097–1102.
- 7 J. E. Poldoski, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1980, **14**, 735.
- 8 M. Block and P. Pärt, *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 1986, **8**, 295–302.
- 9 A. Oskarsson and H. Tjalve, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 1980, **45**, 45–52.
- 10 J. Aaseth, J. Alexander and A. Wannag, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 1981, **48**, 29–39.
- 11 L. P. Padye, J. H. Kim and C. H. Huang, *Water Res.*, 2013, **47**, 725–736.
- 12 H. Mestankova, K. Schirmer, S. Canonica and U. von Gunten, *Water Res.*, 2014, **66**, 399–410.
- 13 H. Takeuchi, N. Yamashita, N. Nakada and H. Tanaka, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2018, **15**, 1–16.
- 14 W. F. Wang, J. Wang, Y. L. Guo, C. Y. Zhu, F. Pan, R. J. Wu and C. F. Wang, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2018, **639**, 934–943.
- 15 C. Lee, C. Schmidt, J. Yoon and U. von Gunten, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, **41**, 2056–2063.
- 16 B. McFadzean, D. G. Castelyn and C. T. O'Connor, *Miner. Eng.*, 2012, **36–38**, 211–218.
- 17 J. Taguta, C. T. O'Connor and B. McFadzean, *Miner. Eng.*, 2017, **110**, 145–152.
- 18 S. H. Chen, W. Q. Gong, G. J. Mei, Q. Zhou, C. P. Bai and N. Xu, *Miner. Eng.*, 2011, **24**, 953–955.
- 19 S. H. Chen, W. Q. Gong, G. J. Mei, L. Xiong and N. A. Xu, *Int. J. Miner. Process.*, 2011, **101**, 112–115.
- 20 P. F. Fu, X. F. Lin, G. Li, Z. H. Chen and H. Peng, *Minerals*, 2018, **8**, 1–15.
- 21 B. Y. Cui, X. T. Wang, Q. Zhao and W. G. Liu, *J. Chem.*, 2019, 7038015.
- 22 S. P. Mezyk, W. J. Cooper, K. P. Madden and D. M. Bartels, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, **38**, 3161–3167.
- 23 B. B. Xu, Z. L. Chen, F. Qi, J. Ma and F. C. Wu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **168**, 108–114.
- 24 B. B. Xu, Z. L. Chen, F. Qi, J. Ma and F. C. Wu, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, **179**, 976–982.
- 25 M. G. Gonzalez, E. Oliveros, M. Wörner and M. A. Braum, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2004, **5**, 225–246.
- 26 P. F. Fu, J. Feng, H. F. Yang and T. W. Yang, *Process Saf. Environ. Prot.*, 2016, **102**, 64–70.
- 27 T. Ratpukdi, S. Siripattanukul and E. Khan, *Water Res.*, 2010, **44**, 3531–3543.
- 28 F. J. Beltran, F. J. Rivas and O. Gimeno, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **80**, 973–984.
- 29 C. Lee, W. Choi, Y. G. Kim and J. Yoon, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **39**, 2101–2106.
- 30 M. H. Plumlee, M. López-Mesas, A. Heidlberger, K. P. Ishida and M. Reinhard, *Water Res.*, 2008, **42**, 347–355.
- 31 N. Quici, M. I. Litter, A. M. Braun and E. Oliveros, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2008, **197**, 306–312.
- 32 J. Swietlik, A. Dabrowska, U. Raczky-Stanislawiak and J. Nawrocki, *Water Res.*, 2004, **38**, 547–558.
- 33 X. H. Chen, Y. H. Hu, H. Peng and X. F. Cao, *J. Cent. South Univ.*, 2015, **22**, 495–501.
- 34 W. X. Lin, J. Tian, J. Ren, P. T. Xu, Y. K. Dai, S. Y. Sun and C. Wu, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2016, **23**, 785–792.
- 35 E. Silvester, D. Truccolo and F. P. Hao, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2*, 2002, 1562–1571.
- 36 R. Q. Liu, W. Sun, K. Ouyang, L. M. Zhang and Y. H. Hu, *Miner. Eng.*, 2015, **70**, 222–227.
- 37 A. R. Bartholomaeus and V. S. Haritos, *Food Chem. Toxicol.*, 2005, **43**, 1687–1701.
- 38 W. Hugler, C. Acosta and S. Revah, *Environ. Prog.*, 1999, **18**, 173–177.
- 39 A. Aqeel, C. J. Kim and H. J. Lim, *Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control*, 2017, **64**, 194–203.
- 40 K. L. Aspila, V. S. Sastri and C. L. Chakrabarti, *Talanta*, 1969, **16**, 1099–1102.
- 41 E. C. Tuazon, P. Martin, S. M. Aschmann, J. Arey and R. Atkinson, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 2011, **43**, 631–638.
- 42 I. Barnes, P. Wiesen and M. Gallus, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2016, **120**, 8823–8829.
- 43 H. J. Fang, Q. Y. Bin, Y. Qin, W. B. Dong and H. Q. Hou, *Chin. Sci. Bull.*, 2005, **50**, 2832–2835.
- 44 C. Appaw and Y. G. Adewuyi, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2002, **90**, 237–249.
- 45 L. Wang, D. Y. Wu, S. D. Wang and Q. Yuan, *J. Environ. Sci.*, 2008, **20**, 436–440.
- 46 A. Kotronarou, G. Mills and M. R. Hoffmann, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1992, **26**, 2420–2428.

