RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 17852

A promising Mo-based lithium-rich phase for Li-ion batteries

Herein, we demonstrate a composite Mo-based lithium-rich Li₂MoO₄.LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O₂ material, which exhibits a higher practical capacity of 270 mA h g⁻¹, and better capacity retention (61% after 50 cycles) when

Yongqing Wang[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0480-5477) \mathbf{D}^a Haoshen Zho[u](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8112-3739) \mathbf{D}^{*bc} and Hongbing Ji \mathbf{D}^{*a}

compared with the well-known $Li₂MnO₃$.

Received 8th May 2019 Accepted 26th May 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra03449h

rsc.li/rsc-advances

There is growing interest in developing high capacity electrode materials for lithium ion batteries.^{1,2} The strategy of using a lithium rich component, e.g. $Li₂MnO₃$, to enhance the electrochemical capacity of layered $LimO₂$ (M = a transition metal element or its mixture) has been intensively studied since 2000.³⁻⁶ However, $Li₂MnO₃$ suffers from severe capacity and voltage fading during cycling.^{7,8-14} Although surface modification¹⁵ and elemental substitution¹⁶⁻¹⁸ have been used to improve the electrochemical stability, its inherent shortcomings have not been fundamentally resolved. A search for alternatives is thus greatly required for highcapacity lithium ion batteries.¹⁹⁻²³ **PAPER**
 A Check for updates
 A Check for updates
 Conserved 3th Nay 2019

Conserved 3th Nay 2019

Conserved 3th Nay 2019

Nextroped 3th Nay 2019

Nextroped 3th Nay 2019

Nextroped 3th Nay 2019

Nextroped 3th Nay 20

Previous theoretical^{23,24} and experimental studies²⁵⁻²⁷ have shown that $Li₂MoO₃$ has higher electronic conductivity and better stability than $Li₂MnO₃$, because of the coexistence of $Mo⁶⁺/Mo⁵⁺$ valence states during lithium insertion/ extraction. However, directly obtaining Li_2MO_3 – $LiMO_2$ composites through high-temperature solid-state reaction (SSR) poses a substantial challenge, because Mo^{6+} is more stable at high temperature. Thus, we suggest $Li₂MoO₄$ as a lithium rich phase and fabricate a $Li₂MoO₄-LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}$ $Co_{0.2}O₂$ material (denoted as LMLNMC) directly through high-temperature SSR. A coin-type lithium half-cell with LMLNMC as the cathode exhibits a specific capacity of 270 mA h g^{-1} in the voltage range of 1.0–4.8 V, which is comparable to that of the $Li₂MnO₃$ -based composite. Additionally, we found an interesting structure change from $Li₂MoO₄$ toward $Li₃MoO₄$ at ca. 1.0 V during lithium insertion.²⁸ These findings provide a new platform for future high capacity electrode materials.

Fig. 1a shows the XRD pattern of the LMLNMC material. The majority of the X-ray diffraction peaks can be attributed to rhombohedral $Li₂MoO₄$ (JCPDS card no. 12-0768, space group $R\bar{3}$, $a = 14.34 \text{ Å}$, $c = 9.59 \text{ Å}$). The characteristic (003) diffraction peak of typical LiMO₂ layered structures could also be observed, which indicates the presence of $LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O₂$ (red dashed arrows). Fig. 1b and c show the typical scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the LMLNMCO after calcination. Both images show that the sample consists of nanoparticles with an average

Fig. 1 Morphological and structural characteristics of LMLNMC. (a) The XRD pattern; (b) SEM and (c) TEM images; (d) and (e) HRTEM images

[&]quot;Fine Chemical Industry Research Institute, School of Chemistry, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 510275, P. R. China. E-mail: jihb@mail.sysu.edu.cn

b College of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Nanjing University, 210093, P. R. China c National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Umezono 1- 1-1, Tsukuba, 305-8568, Japan

diameter of 100–200 nm. The smooth morphology also suggests that the composite forms a homogenous mixture during solidstate reaction. Fig. 1d and e show that the d -spacings from the HRTEM images are 0.48 nm and 0.57 nm, which can be well indexed with the (003) facets from the layered structure of LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O₂ and (021) facets of rhombohedral Li₂MoO₄, respectively.

To address the electrochemical performance of LMLNMC, we investigated the charge and discharge profiles toward Li insertion/extraction. Coin-type cells with LMLNMCO as the cathode and Li metal as the anode were studied at a current density of 10 mA g^{-1} in the voltage range of 1.0-4.8 V (vs. Li⁺/Li). Fig. 2a and b show the charge/ discharge profiles. In the first charge process, the composite LMLNMCO material shows a specific capacity of ca. 78 mA h g^{-1} from 3.0 to 4.5 V, which mainly corresponds to the contribution from $LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O_2$. Meanwhile, during the first discharge process, in addition to the contribution from $\mathrm{LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O_{2}}$ (ca. 30 mA h $\mathrm{g}^{-1})$ from 4.5 V to 3.0 V, a long discharge plateau appeared at ca. 1.0 V (ca. 235 mA h g^{-1}), which can be attributed to lithium insertion into $Li₂MoO₄$. However, in the following cycles, an obvious voltage slope appeared between 1.5 and 2.5 V, distinct from the first cycle, which probably implies that a structural change of $Li₂MoO₄$ appeared during the first lithium insertion process. The battery was also cycled at a current density of 10 mA g^{-1} in the voltage range of 1.0– 4.8 V (vs. $Li⁺/Li$), and showed a capacity retention of about 61% after 50 cycles. The rate performance of this material was tested at 20 mA g^{-1} in the same voltage range, and

compared with the results at 10 mA g^{-1} , the charge and discharge capacity of this material decreased quickly from *ca.* 250 mA h $\rm{g^{-1}}$ to *ca.* 80 mA h $\rm{g^{-1}}.$ This poor rate capability is similar to that observed for its $Li₂MnO₃$ analog, and still needs ongoing improvement for future applications.

An ex situ X-ray diffraction experiment was carried out on commercial $Li₂MoO₄$ material and LMLNMCO to provide a general overview of the structure evolution of $Li₂MoO₄$ before and after lithium insertion. Fig. 3 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns. Commercial $Li₂MoO₄$ before lithium insertion shows a similar rhombohedral phase to LMLNMCO. However, an apparent structure change can be observed after lithium insertion. Two phases could be observed in Fig. 3. (1) An $Li₃MoO₄$ phase with a space group of $Fm\overline{3}m$, similar to that of rocksalt-like NaCl structures, marked with dashed red arrows (Fig. 3, top); the peaks could be well indexed as (111), (200), and (220) of $Li₃MoO₄$, respectively. (2) Rhombohedral $Li_{2+x}MoO_4$, similar to $Li₂MoO₄$, but with a larger lattice.²⁷ Scheme 1 illustrates the crystal structure change from $Li₂MoO₄$ to $Li₃MoO₄$ during lithium insertion, suggesting a structure change to a rocksalt structure, which is more similar to a layered structure and means that the structures are more likely to merge with each other. This structure change implies the instability of Li2MoO4 toward lithium insertion, but it provides the possibility of using an in situ electrochemical synthesis process to produce Mo^{4+} -based lithium-rich composite electrode materials for future high-capacity lithium ion batteries. Paper

Olannete of 100-200 nm. The smood monphology also suggests compared with the results at fo max χ^2 , the charge and

the the comparison from a horosepon contain distribution of the share captive of this share a d

Fig. 2 Electrochemical characterization of LMLNMCO. (a) and (b) Galvanostatic charge and discharge profiles; (c) cycle performance.

Fig. 3 The X-ray diffraction pattern of commercial $Li₂MoO₄$ (bottom), and the ex situ diffraction pattern of commercial $Li₂MoO₄$ (middle) and LMLNMCO (top) after 10 cycles when used as a cathode for lithium half cells.

Scheme 1 The crystal structure change from $Li₂MoO₄$ to $Li₃MoO₄$ during lithium insertion.

Conclusions

In conclusion, a lithium-rich $Li_2MoO₄·LiNi_{0.4}Mn_{0.4}Co_{0.2}O₂$ composite material was obtained directly from high temperature solid-state reactions under an air atmosphere at 700 °C for 10 h. Li₃MoO₄ has a much higher lithium content than Li₂MoO₃ and the Mo^{4+}/Mo^{6+} redox couple can exchange multiple electrons and provide more specific capacity. The material shows a high specific capacity and exhibits a high initial coulombic efficiency in our study. Additionally, we found that $Li₂MoO₄$ undergoes a phase change toward $Li₃MoO₄$ after lithium insertion at ca. 1.0 V. With this phase change, the Mo^{4+} -based composite material is likely to be fabricated through an in situ electrochemical lithium insertion process. These advantages suggest that $Li₂MoO₄$ is a potential alternative for $Li₂MnO₃$. However, the cycling stability and rate performance of this material still need to be improved for future applications. The strategy here is simple yet very effective, and it may also be extended to other electrode materials for future high capacity Li-ion batteries used in electric vehicles and energy storage stations. **EXAMPRESS**

Subsequent Common Access Article 2019. This article is the common and the common and the common and the state of the common and the state of th

Methods

Material synthesis

The Mo-based LMLNMC material was synthesized by a hightemperature solid-state reaction. Stoichiometric amounts of $Li₂MoO₄$, MnAc₂ · 4H₂O, NiAc₂ · 4H₂O, CoAc₂ · 4H₂O and $LiOH·H₂O$ were mixed together, ground in an agate mortar and then pressed into pellets. Each pellet was about 0.5 g, and the pellet was then heated in a muffle furnace at 700 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 10 h. The resulting material was stored in a glovebox under argon atmosphere to prevent reaction with moisture in the air. All the reactants were used as purchased.

Electrochemical tests

Electrochemical tests were carried out in 2032 coin-type cells with an arrangement of $Li|LiPF_6$ in $EC:EMC:DEC$ $(1:1:1, wt\%)|LMO-LNMCO$. The cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box. To prepare the working electrode, LMO-LNMCO, Super-P, and PVDF (polyvinyl difluoride) were mixed at a weight ratio of 80 : 10 : 10 and ground in an agate mortar with a small volume of NMP (N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone), and the obtained electrode slurry was then pasted on aluminum foil and

dried in a vacuum oven at 100 $^{\circ}$ C after NMP was evaporated. The loading mass of active materials is about 2.5 mg cm^{-2} . Pure lithium foil was used as a counter electrode. Celgard-2400 film was used as a separator. Galvanostatic charge and discharge tests were undertaken in the voltage range of 1.0-4.8 V (vs. $Li⁺/$ Li) at a constant current density of 10 mA g^{-1} . The specific capacities were calculated based on the mass of active materials. Structural characterization

The phase and crystallographic structure were characterized by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D8 Advanced diffractometer equipped with a Cu K α 1 (λ = 1.54056 Å) radiation source. An ex situ XRD method was used to characterize the structural evolution of $Li₂MoO₄$ and LMLNMCO after the electrochemical tests. The electrode foils after the test were removed from the coin-type cell, and washed with DMC solvent several times before being sealed on the sample stage for the XRD tests with Kapton film. All operations were carried out inside an argon-filled glove box to avoid side reactions with moisture in the air. The size and morphology of the samples were characterized using a JSM-6330F scanning electron microscope (SEM) operated at 10 kV. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) microscopy were performed using a JEOL 2100F transmission electron microscope operated at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21425627), the NFSC-SINOPEC Joint fund (No. U1663220), and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (No. 2014A030308012).

References

- 1 M. S. Whittingham, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 11414–11443.
- 2 Y.-G. Guo, J.-S. Hu and L.-J. Wan, Adv. Mater., 2008, 20, 2878– 2887.
- 3 K. Luo, M. R. Roberts, N. Guerrini, N. Tapia-Ruiz, R. Hao, F. Massel, D. M. Pickup, S. Ramos, Y.-S. Liu, J. Guo, A. V. Chadwick, L. C. Duda and P. G. Bruce, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 11211–11218.
- 4 B. R. Long, J. R. Croy, J. S. Park, J. G. Wen, D. J. Miller and M. M. Thackeray, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161, A2160– A2167.
- 5 J. Bareño, C. H. Lei, J. G. Wen, S. H. Kang, I. Petrov and D. P. Abraham, Adv. Mater., 2010, 22, 1122–1127.
- 6 M. Sathiya, G. Rousse, K. Ramesha, C. P. Laisa, H. Vezin, M. T. Sougrati, M. L. Doublet, D. Foix, D. Gonbeau, W. Walker, A. S. Prakash, M. Ben Hassine, L. Dupont and J. M. Tarascon, Nat. Mater., 2013, 12, 827–835.
- 7 M. M. Thackeray, C. S. Johnson, J. T. Vaughey, N. Li and S. A. Hackney, J. Mater. Chem., 2005, 15, 2257–2267.
- 8 J. R. Croy, K. G. Gallagher, M. Balasubramanian, B. R. Long and M. M. Thackeray, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161, 9.
- 9 J. Liu, J. Liu, R. Wang and Y. Xia, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2014, 161, A160–A167.
- 10 Y. Li, J. Bareno, M. Bettge and D. P. Abraham, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2015, 162, A155–A161.
- 11 L. Li, R. Jacobs, P. Gao, L. Gan, F. Wang, D. Morgan and S. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 2838–2848.
- 12 Y. Koyama, I. Tanaka, M. Nagao and R. Kanno, J. Power Sources, 2009, 189, 798–801.
- 13 R. Xiao, H. Li and L. Chen, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 4242– 4251.
- 14 S. Hy, F. Felix, J. Rick, W.-N. Su and B. J. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 999-1007.
- 15 F. Zheng, C. Yang, X. Xiong, J. Xiong, R. Hu, Y. Chen and M. Liu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 13058–13062.
- 16 J. Ma, Y.-N. Zhou, Y. Gao, Q. Kong, Z. Wang, X.-Q. Yang and L. Chen, Chem.–Eur. J., 2014, 20, 8723–8730.
- 17 Y. Gao, X. Wang, J. Ma, Z. Wang and L. Chen, Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 3456–3461.
- 18 Y. Q. Wang, Z. Z. Yang, Y. M. Qian, L. Gu and H. S. Zhou, Adv. Mater., 2015, 27, 3915–3920.
- 19 X. Liu, Y. Lyu, Z. Zhang, H. Li, Y.-s. Hu, Z. Wang, Y. Zhao, Q. Kuang, Y. Dong, Z. Liang, Q. Fan and L. Chen, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 13660–13667. Open Access Article. Published on 05 June 2019. Downloaded on 9/13/2024 4:47:07 PM. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c9ra03449h)**
	- 20 K. S. Park, D. Im, A. Benayad, A. Dylla, K. J. Stevenson and J. B. Goodenough, Chem. Mater., 2012, 24, 2673–2683.
	- 21 M. Zhang, G. Hu, L. Liang, Z. Peng, K. Du and Y. Cao, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 673, 237–248.
	- 22 D. Mikhailova, A. Sarapulova, A. Voss, A. Thomas, S. Oswald, W. Gruner, D. M. Trots, N. N. Bramnik and H. Ehrenberg, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 3165–3173.
	- 23 J. Ma, Y. N. Zhou, Y. R. Gao, X. Q. Yu, Q. Y. Kong, L. Gu, Z. X. Wang, X. Q. Yang and L. Q. Chen, Chem. Mater., 2014, 26, 3256–3262.
	- 24 M. Tian, Y. Gao, R. Xiao, Z. Wang and L. Chen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2017, 19, 17538–17543.
	- 25 D. Li, H. He, X. Wu and M. Li, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 682, 759–765.
	- 26 J. Ma, Y. Gao, Z. Wang and L. Chen, J. Power Sources, 2014, 258, 314–320.
	- 27 D. Li, H. Y. He, X. M. Wu and M. Q. Li, J. Alloys Compd., 2016, 682, 759–765.
	- 28 D. Mikhailova, A. Voss, S. Oswald, A. A. Tsirlin, M. Schmidt, A. Senyshyn, J. Eckert and H. Ehrenberg, Chem. Mater., 2015, 27, 4485–4492.