



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 19924

# Toward heterostructured transition metal hybrids with highly promoted electrochemical hydrogen evolution†

Guanhui Gao,<sup>†\*</sup> Xiaobin Xie,<sup>‡c</sup> Shendong Kang,<sup>d</sup> Yanhua Lei,<sup>e</sup> Achim Trampert<sup>b</sup> and Lintao Cai<sup>†\*d</sup>

It is essential to precisely develop low-cost and sustainable electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. Herein, we explore a robust and controllable hydrothermal approach to synthesize defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub>. Such well-designed hetero-structural hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> exhibit dramatically promoted electrochemical activity and high stability. The as-grown MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes hybridized on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> generate abundant active edge sites (rich in basal defects) and unsaturated sulfur atoms, resulting in highly enhanced electrocatalytic performance. This is expected to pave the way towards a significant improvement in transition metal dichalcogenide heterostructures as electrocatalysts.

Received 10th April 2019  
 Accepted 9th June 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02673h

[rsc.li/rsc-advances](http://rsc.li/rsc-advances)

## 1 Introduction

Two-dimensional graphene-based atomic crystals and transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have been attracting intense interest owing to their extraordinary chemical and physical properties,<sup>1–6</sup> and they are expected to have a prosperous future in catalysis, water splitting, optic-electronic performance and energy storage devices.<sup>7–12</sup> Very recently, TMD heterostructures with highly enhanced electrochemical performance have been suggested as efficient catalysts to substitute the scarce and expensive noble metals such as platinum-based catalysts, which could supply a promising strategy to generate sustainable hydrogen by electrochemical water splitting. In particular, layered molybdenum disulfide (MoS<sub>2</sub>) and tungsten disulfide (WS<sub>2</sub>) have been put forward as robust and efficient catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), attributed to their abundant catalytically active sites in the atomic superlattice structure.<sup>13–15</sup> To date, anchoring massive sulfide nano-flakes

onto multi-layered TMD surfaces has been explored for building heterogeneous structural hybrids, which demonstrate promoted electrochemical performance and long-term stability.<sup>16,17</sup> Hinnemann *et al.* simulated the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta GH$ ) at the edge sites of MoS<sub>2</sub> *via* density functional theory, which can be applied as a reasonable descriptor of HER activity for general catalysts. An optimal HER performance is considered to be achieved at a value of  $\Delta GH \approx 0$ . A lower  $\Delta GH$  will lead to very high surface coverage of H<sub>ads</sub>, while a higher  $\Delta GH$  will make the proton bonding on the catalyst surface tremendously fragile, resulting in slow HER kinetics.<sup>18</sup> Prof. Song Jin's research group has instead engaged in efforts toward enriching defects on the basal planes and edges of TMD heterostructures to expose abundant active sites, triggering catalytic enhancement.<sup>19–24</sup> Tuning 1T (octahedral) structural TMDs could result in the restoration of the 2H (trigonal prismatic) phase with a band gap  $\sim 2.0$  eV, ideal for photocatalysis.<sup>13,25</sup> Tailoring the electronic arrangement of TMD crystals by doping could significantly promote their catalytic activity.<sup>26–32</sup>

Herein, defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes are synthesized *via* a chemical hydrothermal approach of loading onto the surface of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> layers to prepare extraordinary heterostructures. The exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> layers act as scaffolds. The Mo source is generated from (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, and the S source is supplied by excessive thiourea triggering to obtain defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub>, as shown as Scheme 1 (details of the experiments are given in ESI†), which is expected to enhance their catalytic performance and stability for HER due to the abundant edges and defect active sites.<sup>33</sup> The aim is to supply a platform for designing TMD-based heterostructures as alternatives for noble metal catalysts with increased catalytic properties.

<sup>a</sup>Materials Science and NanoEngineering Department, Rice University, Houston, 77005, USA. E-mail: gg13@rice.edu

<sup>b</sup>PaulPaul-Drude Institut Für Festkörperelektronik, Leibniz-Institut Im Forschungsverbund Berlin, Berlin, 10117, Germany

<sup>c</sup>Soft Condensed Matter, Debye Institute for Nanomaterials Science, Utrecht University, Utrecht, 3584CC, Netherlands


<sup>d</sup>Guangdong Key Laboratory of Nanomedicine, Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, 518055, China. E-mail: lt.cai@siat.ac.cn

<sup>e</sup>College of Ocean Environment and Engineering, Shanghai Maritime University, 1550 Haigang Ave, Shanghai, 201306, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02673h

‡ Dr Guanhui Gao and Xiaobin Xie contributed equally to this work.





**Scheme 1** Schematic illustration of the fabrication of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, respectively.

## 2 Experiment

### 2.1 Exfoliation of layered MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub>

Pristine MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) powder is dissolved into NMP solvent (initial concentration of 1.0 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) sonicated in a low-power sonication bath for 1 h with the bath temperature kept at 50 °C. Then the above mixture is transferred to a higher power sonicator and continually sonicated for 6 h. Finally, the mixture is centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 15 minutes. The supernatant is collected with a pipette and filtered with a filtration system (PTFE membrane, diameter 47 mm, pore diameter 0.22 μm, Millipore filter). The above exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nanosheets are then uniformly dispersed into IPA, and dried at 60 °C in a vacuum. Finally, the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers containing single, double and multi-layers are stored under vacuum for their characteristics to be investigated further.

### 2.2 Preparation of hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub> grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> or WS<sub>2</sub> via a hydrothermal approach

Exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) dispersion: 20.00 mg of as-exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) is dissolved into 20.00 mL of IPA, sonicated for 30 min to obtain a homogeneous MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>)/IPA mixture (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Precursor: prepare 0.03 mM mL<sup>-1</sup> (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O solution and 0.1 mM mL<sup>-1</sup> thiourea solution. The synthesis of MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) heterostructures: add 20 mL of ultrapure water into 5 mL of the above MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>)/IPA mixture (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>), 0.5 mL of 0.03 mM mL<sup>-1</sup> (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O solution is mixed with 0.5 mL of 0.1 mM mL<sup>-1</sup> thiourea solution, sonicated for 10 minutes, transferred to a 45 mL PTFE reactor and reacted for 12 h at 210 °C. Then the reactant is centrifuged (12 000 rpm) at room temperature for 10 min, the supernatant solution removed, and the precipitate continuously washed three times using ultrapure water to remove precursor residues and surface adsorption contamination. Finally, the obtained products are freeze-dried for investigation.

### 2.3 Electrocatalytic performance

Electrochemical measurements are conducted with a three-electrode system on a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation (Shanghai Chenhua Instruments). The working electrode is

a glassy-carbon electrode (GCE, CHI104, diameter: 3 mm, area: 0.071 cm<sup>2</sup>); Pt wires and an Ag/AgCl are used as counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The preparation of working electrodes: 4 mg catalyst with 30 μL Nafion solution are dispersed into 1 mL of DI water/ethanol (1 : 3 in volume) mixture, and ultrasonicated for 30 minutes to obtain a homogeneous dispersion. Then 5 μL of the above dispersion (containing 20 μg of catalyst) is transferred onto the glassy-carbon electrode, and dried naturally for later investigation.

**RHE calibration.** In all electrochemical measurements, we use Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode. It is calibrated with respect to RHE. The calibration is performed in a high-purity argon saturated electrolyte with Pt foil as the working electrode. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) is run at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, and the average of the two potentials at which the current crossed 0 is taken to be the thermodynamic potential for the hydrogen electrode reaction. In 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution,

$$E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.213 \text{ V}$$

**Electrochemical performance.** Before the electrochemical measurement, the electrolyte (0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) is degassed with pure argon for 30 minutes to remove the dissolved oxygen. The polarization curves are acquired at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, sweeping the potential from -0.6 to 0.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) at room temperature.

The electrochemically active surface area (ECSA) measurements are determined by integrating the hydrogen adsorption charge on the cyclic voltammetry (CV) at room temperature in argon-saturated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. The potential scan rate is 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for the CV measurement. The Tafel plots are investigated by replotting the polarization curves as overpotential ( $\eta$ ) vs. log current (log  $j$ ) to assess the HER kinetics of the obtained catalysts. The durability tests are evaluated at room temperature in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution by applying a cyclic potential between -0.6 and 0.2 V versus Ag/AgCl electrode at a sweeping rate of 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup> for 3000 cycles.

### 2.4 Characterization

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM), high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) images and high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images and STEM-EDS mapping images are performed with a FEI Tecnai G2 F20 S-Twin microscope at an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. Cross-sectional method preparing TEM sample: Cross-sections of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> sheets transferred onto Si substrates are prepared by using the standard strategy of mechanical grinding and dimpling (dimple grinder II Model 657, Gatan) the specimen followed by Ar-ion beam milling with the Precision Ion Polishing System (PIPS, model 691, Gatan) down to electron transparency. TEM imaging measurements are performed with a JEOL 2100F field emission microscope operated at 200 kV. The microscope is equipped with a Gatan Ultra Scan 4000 CCD camera for image recording.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis is conducted with a Bruker D8 Advance with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ \AA}$ ). X-ray



Photoelectron Spectrometry (XPS) is carried out with a Thermo Fisher ESCALAB 250Xi. The sonication equipment is adapted with a sonicator, Fisherbrand FB15061, 750 W. The higher power sonicator is supplied with a Cole-Parmer 1200 W.

### 3 Results and discussion


Exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers are observed by TEM measurement, as shown in Fig. 1A. The size distribution has a rather large range, mostly with multi-layers. The lattice structure of MoS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets is obtained (Fig. 1B) and hardly any defects are found. The inset indicates the MoS<sub>2</sub> simulated lattice structure with the yellow standing for S atoms and the blue being Mo atoms. And the typical layered structure with an interlayer spacing distance of 0.635 nm is shown in Fig. 1C, which is evaluated *via* a cross-sectional method with the sample prepared by using the standard strategy of mechanical grinding and dimpling the specimen followed by Ar-ion beam milling down to electron transparency. The high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image with fast Fourier transform (FFT) pattern given in ESI (Fig. S1†) illustrates the complete hexagonal atomic arrangement with 2H phase.<sup>31</sup> From the TEM and high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) STEM images, a large quantity of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers can clearly be observed (Fig. 1D and E). The hexagonal lattice of this well-designed 3D hybrid is characterized by selected area electron diffraction (SAED), as shown as Fig. 1F, indicating its polycrystalline structure. The HRTEM images provide more obvious proof of the lattice structures of both synthesized MoS<sub>2</sub>

nanoflakes and exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers (Fig. 1G, H and S2†). The lattice structure of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers uncovered at the edge is complete with a spacing distance of 0.270 nm, (100) facet. In comparison, the atomic arrangement of synthesized MoS<sub>2</sub> seems irregularly disordered, inducing surface defects, and is expected to supply more activate sites for improving catalytic function.


The energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDS) mapping results indicate that the synthesized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes are uniformly deposited onto the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> surface (Fig. 2A–D). Furthermore, X-ray diffraction (XRD) is conducted to explore the structural information between exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers and hybrids of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers (MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>), as shown as Fig. 3E. This reveals that the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers are 2H phase, corresponding to JCPDS 65-0160.

Obviously, the crystallinity of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids is not as high as that of pure exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>. Hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> are produced to further evaluate the elements' binding mechanism using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The main peaks of Mo 3d are at 227 eV and 232 eV, corresponding to Mo 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Mo 3d<sub>3/2</sub>, respectively, which indicates the valence of Mo<sup>4+</sup>. The binding energies of 161 eV and 163 eV contribute to S 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and S 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, illustrating that the valence of S is –2 (Fig. 2F).

The exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets, as shown in Fig. 3A and B, are obtained by a chemical liquid method which is the same as for the fabrication of layered MoS<sub>2</sub>. The lattice structure of WS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets is obtained (Fig. 3C). The inset shows the WS<sub>2</sub> simulated lattice structure where blue stands for a W atom, and yellow represents an S atom. Similarly, the cross-sectional lamellar structure of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> is investigated, and the number of WS<sub>2</sub> layers can clearly be seen. And the FFT mode exhibits the hexagonal structure of layered WS<sub>2</sub> with 2H phase (Fig. S3†). It is observed that the synthesized MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes hybridize on layered WS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets, as shown in Fig. 3D and




**Fig. 1** (A) TEM image of the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets produced by a chemical liquid method. (B) Lattice structure of the exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> sheets. (C) Cross-sectional HRTEM image of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> sheets. (D) The TEM image of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers. (E) High-angle annular dark-field (HAADF)-STEM image of (D). (F) SAED pattern of (D). (G and H) HRTEM images of local defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers.



**Fig. 2** (A) Bright-field TEM image of hybrids of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>. (B) HAADF-STEM image of (A). (C and D) S and Mo elemental mappings. (E) XRD spectra of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers and MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids. (F) High-resolution XPS spectra of MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, Mo 3d, S 2s and S 2p core level, respectively.






**Fig. 3** (A) TEM image of the exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets produced by a chemical liquid method. (B) Lattice structure of the exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> layers. (C) Cross-sectional HRTEM image of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> nano-sheets. (D) The TEM image of the hybrids of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> via a hydrothermal strategy. (E) HAADF-STEM image of (D). (F) SAED pattern of (D). (G and H) HRTEM image of local defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> layers.

E. The hexagonal lattice with the polycrystalline structure of the hybrids is investigated by SAED, as shown as Fig. 3F. The high-resolution TEM images indicate defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> with a multi-layered morphology grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3G). The clear boundary between as-grown MoS<sub>2</sub> and exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> is demonstrated in Fig. 3H and S4† due to the obvious lattice mismatch.

A morphological image of MoS<sub>2</sub> grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids is shown in Fig. 4A, indicating that the branch-like grafted MoS<sub>2</sub> grows *in situ* on the surface of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>. This typical hetero-structure might be advantageous for enhancing electro-catalytic performance. STEM and EDS elemental mappings illustrate the spatial distribution of these S, Mo and W elements of the obtained hybrids (Fig. 4B–E). In comparison, the pixel density of W is more compact than that of Mo, revealing that the W content is locally higher than the Mo content. Moreover, the distribution area of Mo is larger than that of W, suggesting that Mo element is dominant in the external surface of the hybrids. XRD spectra are conducted to explore the structural information between exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers, exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> layers and hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub> grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> layers (MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub>), as shown as Fig. 4F. This indicates that the exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> layer is 2H phase, corresponding to JCPDS 65-0160, but the crystallinity is not particularly high in comparison. In order to further investigate the variation in surface electron states of hetero-structural MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, XPS measurement is conducted, as shown as Fig. 4G. The peaks located at 33 eV and 38 eV are assigned to W



**Fig. 4** (A) Morphology of the hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub> grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> (MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>). (B–E) HAADF-STEM and STEM-EDS elemental mapping images of MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids. (F) XRD pattern of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>, exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers and hybrids of defect-rich MoS<sub>2</sub> nanoflakes grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>. (G) XPS spectra of the hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub> grown on exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>, W 5p, W 4f, Mo 3d, S 2s and S 2p core level.

4f<sub>5/2</sub> and W 4f<sub>7/2</sub>, respectively, indicating the valence state of W with +4. The binding energies of S 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and S 2p<sub>1/2</sub> negatively shift to 161.9 eV and 163.1 eV (compared to pure MoS<sub>2</sub> layers with their binding energies of 162.7 eV and 163.9 eV for S 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and S 2p<sub>1/2</sub>), illustrating the electron transfer from WS<sub>2</sub> to MoS<sub>2</sub> in MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids. And the typical peaks are located at 227 eV and 232 eV corresponding to Mo 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and Mo 3d<sub>3/2</sub>, indicating the valence state of Mo is +4.

Electrocatalytic measurements were investigated at room temperature using a working electrode made of glassy-carbon electron (GCE, CHI104, Ø = 3 mm) in argon-saturated 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> electrolyte (see ESI† for the experimental methods in detail). The polarization curves are acquired at a scan rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, sweeping the potential from -0.6 to 0.2 V (*vs.* Ag/AgCl) at room temperature. Subsequently, we evaluate the electrocatalytic performance for the hydrogen evolution reaction of the well-designed MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, MoS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, and exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> as investigated catalysts. Fig. 5A exhibits that the initial overpotentials of both MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids and MoS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids are much lower than those of exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, or exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>, indicating that the electrocatalytic activities of MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized layered MoS<sub>2</sub> and WS<sub>2</sub> heterostructures are promoted significantly. Comparably, the catalytic performance of the hetero-structural MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids is superior to that of the MoS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, a possible reason being that the structure of MoS<sub>2</sub> is not infected by MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridization, the lattice structure and constants of synthesized MoS<sub>2</sub> remain consistent with exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> layers, and thus the electronic effect would not be varied. But in terms of MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, the banding energy and electronic property of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> are influenced dramatically after MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridization.<sup>32</sup>








Fig. 5 Electrochemical hydrogen evolution of different catalysts. (A) Polarization curves for HER on pure exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub>, exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub>, obtained MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids, MoS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids and a high-quality commercial Pt/C catalyst for comparison. (B) Tafel plot for the different catalysts derived from (A). (C) Comparison of polarization curves for HER on MoS<sub>2</sub>/MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids and after 3000 cycles. (D) Comparison of polarization curves for HER on MoS<sub>2</sub>/WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids and after 3000 cycles.

In principle, Tafel slopes demonstrate the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) kinetics of the as-synthesized catalysts. In an acidic system, there are generally three stages to describe the procedure of H<sup>+</sup> converting to H<sub>2</sub>, famously the reactions of Volmer, Heyrovsky, Tafel, shown as follows:<sup>33,34</sup>



The Tafel slope ( $b = 2.3RT/\alpha T$ ) is a convenient and reasonable way to analyze electrode properties and the reaction mechanism. It can be derived to be reversely proportional to the charge transfer coefficient ( $\alpha$ ), where  $T$  is temperature and  $F$  is the Faraday constant.

The charge transfer coefficient is a significant quantity in electrochemistry, reflecting the nature of electron transfer in an elementary reaction. While it is commonly assumed that the charge transfer coefficient of an elementary reaction is either 0.5 (single electron transfer) or 0 (nonelectron transfer). For instance, HER kinetic models suggest that a Tafel slope of about 120, 40 or 30 mV per decade will be obtained if the Volmer, Heyrovsky or Tafel reaction, respectively, is the rate-determining step. Likewise, the Tafel slope of Pt/C is 34 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, suggesting a Tafel-step-determined Volmer–Tafel mechanism at work in the Pt/C catalyst. Based on this analysis and the Butler–Volmer kinetics, we show that the Volmer–Heyrovsky mechanism is dominant for HER in these MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized MoS<sub>2</sub> and MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized WS<sub>2</sub> nanostructures due to their

Tafel slopes of 81 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> and 73 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5B) respectively. (The Tafel slope is higher than 30 mV dec<sup>-1</sup>, indicating there is no electron transfer, which is attributed to the reaction mechanism mainly being dominated by the Volmer–Heyrovsky mechanism.) However, this value of the Tafel slope is still higher than that of a Pt/C catalyst, the main possible reason for which is that the electrodes are extremely small in our electrochemical workstation system, resulting in an inefficient evaluation. Nevertheless, the building blocks of MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) demonstrate superior catalytic performance compared with exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) layers. In order to further evaluate the durability of as-catalysts, the electrochemical activity of MoS<sub>2</sub> hybridized MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) heterostructures are retained in the current after 3000 cycles, as shown in Fig. 5C and D. There is almost no variation in the polarization curves for HER before and after 3000 cycles. This confirms the outstanding stability and durability of MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> hybrids and MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> hybrids.

## 4 Conclusions

In summary, we explored heterogeneous hybrids of MoS<sub>2</sub>/exfoliated MoS<sub>2</sub> (WS<sub>2</sub>) via a facile and high-yielding hydrothermal strategy. These well-designed heterostructures possess abundant edges and basal plane defects which could supply rather more active sites, triggering significant promotion of electrochemical performance and stability for HER as catalysts. This is expected to pave the way for the development of innovative efficient catalysts in transition metal dichalcogenides instead of rare noble catalysts.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The presented research was financially supported by Key International Science and Technology Cooperation Project (2015DFH50230), the Natural Science Foundation of China (51602195, 51502333), the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation of Research Team (2016A030312006), and the Science and Technology Program of Shenzhen (JCYJ20120615124830232, JCYJ20160429191503002).

## Notes and references

- 1 Y. Yin, J. Han, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, P. Xu, Q. Yuan, L. Samad, X. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Zhang, P. Zhang, X. Cao, B. Song and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7965–7972.
- 2 H. S. Matte, A. Gomathi, A. K. Manna, D. J. Late, R. Datta, S. K. Pati and C. N. Rao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4059–4062.
- 3 Z. Y. Zeng, Z. Yin, X. Huang, H. Li, Q. He, G. Lu, F. Boey and H. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11093–11097.
- 4 A. J. Mannix, X. F. Zhou, B. Kiraly, J. D. Wood, D. Alducin, B. D. Myers, X. Liu, B. L. Fisher, U. Santiago, J. R. Guest,



- M. J. Yacaman, A. Ponce, A. R. Oganov, M. C. Hersam and N. P. Guisinger, *Science*, 2015, **350**, 1513–1516.
- 5 X. Huang, Z. Zeng and H. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1934–1946.
- 6 C. Zhang, Y. Shi, Y. Yu, Y. Du and B. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 8077–8083.
- 7 Y. Yu, Y. Shi and B. Zhang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1711–1721.
- 8 J. N. Coleman, M. Lotya, A. O'Neill, S. D. Bergin, P. J. King, U. Khan, K. Young, A. Gaucher, S. De, R. J. Smith, I. V. Shvets, S. K. Arora, G. Stanton, H. Y. Kim, K. Lee, G. T. Kim, G. S. Duesberg, T. Hallam, J. J. Boland, J. J. Wang, J. F. Donegan, J. C. Grunlan, G. Moriarty, A. Shmeliov, R. J. Nicholls, J. M. Perkins, E. M. Grievson, K. Theuwissen, D. W. McComb, P. D. Nellist and V. Nicolosi, *Science*, 2011, **331**, 568–571.
- 9 H. Li, C. Tsai, A. L. Koh, L. Cai, A. W. Contryman, A. H. Fragapane, J. Zhao, H. S. Han, H. C. Manoharan, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov and X. Zheng, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 48–53.
- 10 S. F. Zhuo, Y. Xu, W. W. Zhao, J. Zhang and B. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 8602–8606.
- 11 H. Li, G. Lu, Z. Yin, Q. He, H. Li, Q. Zhang and H. Zhang, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 682–686.
- 12 J. Duan, S. Chen, B. A. Chambers, G. G. Andersson and S. Z. Qiao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4234–4241.
- 13 D. Voiry, H. Yamaguchi, J. Li, R. Silva, D. C. Alves, T. Fujita, M. Chen, T. Asefa, V. B. Shenoy, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 850–855.
- 14 M. Chhowalla, H. S. Shin, G. Eda, L. J. Li, K. P. Loh and H. Zhang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 263–275.
- 15 D. Voiry, M. Salehi, R. Silva, T. Fujita, M. Chen, T. Asefa, V. B. Shenoy, G. Eda and M. Chhowalla, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 6222–6227.
- 16 M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, L. Li and S. Jin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10274–10277.
- 17 H. T. Wang, Z. Lu, S. Xu, D. Kong, J. J. Cha, G. Zheng, P. C. Hsu, K. Yan, D. Bradshaw, F. B. Prinz and Y. Cui, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 19701–19706.
- 18 B. Hinnemann, P. G. Moses, J. Bonde, K. P. Jørgensen, J. H. Nielsen, S. Hørch, I. Chorkendorff and J. K. Nørskov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 5308–5309.
- 19 L. Cheng, W. Huang, Q. Gong, C. Liu, Z. Liu, Y. Li and H. Dai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7860–7863.
- 20 Y. Yin, Y. Zhang, T. Gao, T. Yao, X. Zhang, J. Han, X. Wang, Z. Zhang, P. Xu, P. Zhang, X. Cao, B. Song and S. Jin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1700311.
- 21 Q. Ding, B. Song, P. Xu and S. Jin, *Chem*, 2016, **1**, 699–726.
- 22 H. Vrabel, D. Merki and X. Hu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 6136–6144.
- 23 J. D. Benck, Z. Chen, L. Y. Kuritzky, A. J. Forman and T. F. Jaramillo, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 1916–1923.
- 24 D. Merki and X. Hu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 3878–3888.
- 25 M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, C. R. English, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, R. J. Hamersa and S. Jin, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 2608–2613.
- 26 J. L. Zhang, M. B. Vukmirovic, Y. Xu, M. Mavrikakis and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 2132–2135.
- 27 W. F. Chen, K. Sasaki, C. Ma, A. I. Frenkel, N. Marinkovic, J. T. Muckerman, Y. Zhu and R. R. Adzic, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6131–6135.
- 28 L. T. Qu, Y. Liu, J. B. Baek and L. Dai, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 1321–1326.
- 29 R. Silva, D. Voiry, M. Chhowalla and T. Asefa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7823–7826.
- 30 Z. Yang, Z. Yao, G. Li, G. Fang, H. Nie, Z. Liu, X. Zhou, X. Chen and S. Huang, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 205–211.
- 31 R. Koppera, D. Voiry, S. E. Yalcin, B. Branch, G. Gupta, A. D. Mohite and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **13**, 1128–1134.
- 32 X. Chen and A. R. McDonald, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 5738–5746.
- 33 J. Xie, H. Zhang, S. Li, R. Wang, X. Sun, M. Zhou, J. Zhou, X. W. Lou and Y. Xie, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 5807–5813.
- 34 M. R. Gao, J. X. Liang, Y. R. Zheng, Y. F. Xu, J. Jiang, Q. Gao, J. Li and S. H. Yu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **6**, 1–7.

