Preparation and characterization of peptide modified ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides used as tumor targeting MRI contrast agent
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As desirable contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides (USPIOs) are required to exhibit both low cytotoxicity and specific targetability besides superparamagnetism to achieve better imaging contrast at lower dose, and cladding with biocompatible polymers and modification with targeting ligands are considered to be the most effective strategies. In this study, novel dextran wrapped and peptide WSGPGVGASVK (peptide-WSG) grafted USPIOs were meticulously prepared and systematically characterized. Firstly, dextran (Dex) cladded USPIOs (USPIOs@Dex) were synthesized with a well-designed co-precipitation procedure in which the biocompatible dextran played dual roles of grain inhibitor and cladding agent. After that, sodium citrate was applied to carboxylize the hydroxyls of the dextran molecules via an esterification reaction, and then tumor targeting peptide-WSG was grafted to the carboxyl groups by the EDC method. The XRD, TEM, and FTIR results showed that inverse spinel structure Fe3O4 crystallites were nucleated and grown in aqueous solution, and the catenulate dextran molecules gradually bound on their surface, meanwhile the growth of grains was inhibited. The size of original crystallite grains was about 7 nm, but the mean size of USPIOs@Dex aggregates was 165.20 nm. After surface modification by sodium citrate and peptide-WSG with ultrasonic agitation, the size of the USPIOs@Dex-WSG aggregates was smaller (66.06 nm) because the hydrophilicity was improved, so USPIOs@Dex-WSG could evade being eliminated by RES more easily, and prolong residence time in blood circulation. The VSM and T2-weighted MRI results showed that USPIOs@Dex-WSG were superparamagnetic with a saturation magnetization of 44.65 emu g⁻¹, and with high transverse relaxivity as the R2 relaxivity coefficient value was 229.70 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹. The results of MTT assays and the Prussian blue staining in vitro revealed that USPIOs@Dex-WSG exhibited nontoxicity for normal cells such as L929 and HUVECs, and were specifically targeted to the SKOV-3 cells. Thus, the novel dextran wrapped and WSG-peptide grafted USPIOs have potential to be applied as tumor active targeting contrast agents for MRI.

Introduction

In the past decades, molecular imaging techniques have been rapidly developed for the detection and diagnosis of early stage tumors. Among the clinical imaging methods, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is an efficient and noninvasive technique because of its tomographic capabilities and high resolution. However, the image contrast of the tumor site in MRI is not high enough for diagnosis frequently in clinical application; so contrast agents, which can change the longitudinal relaxation time (T₁) or the transverse relaxation time (T₂), are important to improve the imaging sensitivity between tumor and surrounding normal tissues. T₁ contrast agent, known as positive contrast agent, mainly refers to gadolinium agent which can brighten images of relevant parts, but their side effects have been found to cause nephrogenic systemic fibrosis in some cases. T₂ contrast agents based on ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxides (USPIOs), as negative contrast agent, have drawn much attention in biomedical fields for they are biocompatible and nontoxic in appropriate dose.

Iron oxide particles less than 25 nm are single magnetic domains for the lowest free energy, so USPIOs are superparamagnetic with high relaxation rate, they can shorten T₁ and decrease magnetic resonance signal to darken the images of the relevant parts. USPIOs synthesized by chemical coprecipitation methods have been widely used because of their small particle size, and the process involves initial nucleation followed by slow growth as the solutes diffuse to the surface of the crystal. USPIOs should be hydrophilic and biocompatible in biomedical applications, and be small enough to evade eliminated by reticuloendothelial system (RES); but USPIOs without wrappers would reunite spontaneously and form large aggregates because...
of the high surface energy.\textsuperscript{18} So the surface modification is necessary for USPIOs to enhance the steric hindrance effect, improve the hydrophilicity and dispersibility under physiological conditions, and prolong circulation time in the blood. Then, USPIOs modified with biocompatible agents have attracted increasing attention.\textsuperscript{15,12} As a frequently-used agent, dextran molecules are polysaccharides with branched chains of hydroxyl, and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} particles can be wrapped and restricted by dextran molecules. Many commercial \( T_2 \) contrast agents, such as Feridex and AMI-25, are wrapped by dextran molecules because they not only protect iron oxide nanoparticles, but also make them hydrophilic and biocompatible.\textsuperscript{13,14}

Currently, \( T_2 \) contrast agents enter organs passively according to their particle sizes and surface properties in clinical application; so their distributions mainly focus in the organs with abundant blood flow and macrophages, such as liver and spleen. However, their distributions would be much lower in the tumors of organs with less blood flow. So functional USPIOs modified with specific ligands can improve the collection in tumor regions, change the distribution of USPIOs in the body, and reduce the usage amount of iron oxide to avoid potential organ damage by excessive iron intake.\textsuperscript{15-17} The targeting ligands, such as peptides and other biological molecules, can bind with the specific protein receptors of tumor cells. Peptide WSGPGVWGASVK (abbreviated as peptide-WSG) was isolated from nude mice bearing human ovarian carcinoma xenografts with \textit{in vivo} phage peptide display method, and whose targeting properties to ovarian carcinoma cells SKOV-3 have been verified \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} in our previous works.\textsuperscript{18} Peptide-WSG were detected to locate in cytoplasm and nucleus by immunofluorescence as an ideal candidate for ovarian cancer targeting peptide, and presented inhibitory effect in cell–cellular matrix adhesion assay, which was inferred to be involved in ovarian cancer metastasis. Peptides are usually bound to polymers by amide bond or maleimide bond, but there aren’t suitable functional groups on USPIOs or dextran, so the dextran molecules around USPIOs should be further modified with carboxyl to link to peptide-WSG by amido linkage.

In order to develop a new MRI contrast agent with active targetability for ovarian tumor in this study, USPIOs were synthesized by chemical coprecipitation methods in dextran wrappers (abbreviated as USPIOs@Dex), and the influence factors in the synthesis process of USPIOs@Dex were analyzed contrastively to search the optimal preparation scheme. Then USPIOs@Dex were carboxylated by sodium citrate, and then peptide-WSG was bound with the carboxyls by EDC method, the schematic illustration of these surface modifications were shown in Fig. 1. Then the magnetic performance, relaxation rate, cytotoxicity and targetability for SKOV-3 tumor cells were investigated to examine the potential of USPIOs@Dex-WSG for targeting MRI contrast agent.\textsuperscript{19-25}

Materials and method

Materials and reagents

Ferric chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{3}-6H\textsubscript{2}O), ferrous chloride (FeCl\textsubscript{2}-4H\textsubscript{2}O), sodium hydroxide, sodium citrate, ethylene diaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), hydrochloric acid and dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) were purchased from Kelong Chemical Co., Chengdu, China, AR. Dextran T20 and T40 (20 kDa and 40 kDa), 1-ethyl-3-[3-(dimethylamino)propyl]carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC), N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS), 2-(N-morpholino) ethanesulfonic acid were purchased from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). Peptide-WSG was synthesized by Shanghai Bootech Bioscience and Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

The mouse fibroblasts cells (L929), human umbilical vein cell line (HUVEC) and ovarian carcinoma cells (SKOV-3) were obtained from West China School of Basic Medical Sciences and Forensic Medicine, Sichuan University (Chengdu, China). The cells were respectively cultured in DMEM and RPMI 1640 (Gibco, USA) medium under a 5% CO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere at 37 °C.

Synthesis of USPIOs@Dex

USPIOs@Dex were synthesized by chemical coprecipitation method with dextran. Concretely, FeCl\textsubscript{3}-6H\textsubscript{2}O (0.32 g) and FeCl\textsubscript{2}-4H\textsubscript{2}O (0.19 g) were dissolved in the deoxidizing water (30 mL) stirring at 50 °C under N\textsubscript{2} atmosphere to avoid oxidation. Then, a preset amount of dextran T20 or dextran T40 was added to the solution for 2 h to form solution. After that, 5 mL of NaOH (1 M) was rapidly dripped to the above solution with mechanical agitation of 1000 rpm. The dark green viscous solution was ripened for 1 h, and the obtained black suspension was centrifuged at 8000 rpm for 5 min. Then the upper layer suspension was further treated by ultracentrifugation in 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff filtering column (Amicon, Millipore Corporation) at 3000 rpm for 40 min, to remove unreacted NaOH and unbound dextran. The obtained products were the suspension of USPIOs@Dex. The influence of the dextran molecular weight (20 kDa, 40 kDa), dextran contents (20 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}, 40 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}, 60 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1} and 80 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}), and the reaction temperature (50 °C, 60 °C, 70 °C, 80 °C) would be investigated.

Surface modification of USPIOs@Dex with sodium citrate and peptide-WSG

In order to conjugate with peptide-WSG, USPIOs@Dex were carboxylated \textit{via} grafting carboxyl groups onto the dextran molecules around iron oxides. Firstly, 100 mL USPIOs@Dex suspension (0.7 mg Fe mL\textsuperscript{-1}) was pretreated by ultrasonic agitation under N\textsubscript{2} environment to avoid oxidation, and 100 mL sodium citrate solution (1 mg mL\textsuperscript{-1}) was slowly added to the suspension. The mixture was ultrasonicated and stirred for 12 hours to graft carboxylate onto the USPIOs@Dex. Then, the suspension was treated by ultracentrifugation (3000 rpm, 40 min) in 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff filtering column for 3
times to remove unreacted sodium citrate, and USPIOs@Dex-COOH suspension was synthesised. The peptide-WSG was conjugated on the USPIOs@Dex-COOH by the EDC method. EDC (9.2 mg) and NHS (5.5 mg) were dispersed in USPIOs@Dex-COOH suspension under the ultrasound agitation at room temperature for 2 h to activate the carboxyl groups of USPIOs@Dex-COOH. Then, peptide-WSG (40 mg) was dispersed in the mixture under the ultrasound agitation at room temperature for 12 h. Finally, the products (denoted as USPIOs@Dex-WSG) were purified by ultracentrifugation (3000 rpm, 40 min) in 100 kDa molecular weight cutoff filtering column for 3 times.

Characterization of USPIOs

The morphologies of the prepared USPIOs were observed by the transmission electron microscopy (TEM, LIBRA 200FE, Carl Zeiss SMT Pte Ltd., Germany). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer (Cu Kα, λ = 1.54178 Å), and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained on a Shimadzu IR Prestige-21 spectrometer. Thermogravimetry (TG/SDTA 851e analyzer of METTLER-TOLEDO Co., Switzerland) was utilized to analyze the proportion and thermal properties of the product. The hydrodynamic sizes and apparent zeta potentials of the USPIOs were measured by Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical Ltd., UK). Nitrogen contents were measured by elemental analyser (EA3000, Euro Vector, Italy) for USPIOs@Dex-WSG and the USPIOs disposed by EDC/NHS but without peptide-WSG. The magnetic properties of the USPIOs were measured by the vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM, Lake shore-7400, USA) at room temperature.

The MR imaging was measured by a 7.0 T system (BioSpec 70/30 USR MRI scanner, Germany). Series of USPIOs with iron concentrations of 0.035, 0.07, 0.14, 0.28 and 0.56 mM were dispersed in aqueous medium. An inversion recovery sequence with constant repetition time (TR) and echo time (TE) of 3163.9 and 22 ms respectively with varying inversion time (T1) of 50 to 3000 ms were used for calculating longitudinal relaxation rate (r1). A modified transverse relaxometry spin echo sequence with TR of 3163 ms with varying TE from 11 to 110 ms was used for getting transverse relaxation rate (r2). Pixel intensity with respect to each concentration was extracted from the MR images. Signal intensity against T1 and TE values were plotted for getting T1 and T2 relaxation times respectively. Likewise, T1 and T2 relaxation times for different concentration were obtained. These values were plotted against the iron concentrations. Longitudinal and transverse relaxation values (r1 and r2) were calculated by the linear fit.21

Cytotoxicity assay

To evaluate the cytotoxicity of USPIOs@Dex-WSG, MTT assays were performed to quantify the influences of USPIOs@Dex-WSG on the viability of HUVECs and SKOV-3. The logarithmic phase cells were grown in 96-well plates, when the cells reached confluence, suspensions of USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG with different concentrations (25, 50, 100 and 200 μg mL⁻¹) were respectively added to each well and then were co-cultured (5% CO₂, 37 °C). Meanwhile, cells without any USPIOs were chosen as a control. After 12 h, 24 h, and 36 h incubation, 20 μL 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) solution (5 mg mL⁻¹) were added to each well and incubated for another 4 h. After the nutrient solution was extracted, 150 μL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was poured into each well under the low-speed oscillation on the table concentrator (HZ-9210K). The optical density (OD) of each well was measured by a microplate reader (Molecular Devices, VM, USA) at 490 nm. The cell viability was calculated by the following formula:
cell viability (%) = optical density (OD) of the treated cells/OD of the untreated cells

Tumor specific affinity assay

The targeting effect of USPIOs@Dex-WSG was determined by the Prussian blue staining. SKOV-3, HUVEC and L929 cells were respectively inoculated on the 24-well plate. USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were diluted by the serum-free medium respectively, then co-cultured with attached cells for 4 hours, the nutrient solution was extracted and cells were washed twice by PBS. After 4% paraformaldehyde (400 μL) were added in each well to fix cells for 10 min, the fixative was sucked out and air dried for 10 min. After that, the materials were soaked by PBS (400 μL) for 5 min and then extracted solution. 2% potassium ferrocyanide and 2% hydrochloric acid were mixed (1 : 1) and added to cell culture plate (400 μL per well), staining for 30 min at room temperature. When the staining finished, the staining solution was sucked out and the cells were washed three times by the PBS solution. The samples were respectively observed by microscope and the results were recorded.13

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 13.0 software, data were expressed as means ± standard deviations, and p < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical comparisons were performed by analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Plasma half-life assay

Six healthy rabbits (2–3 kg) were randomly divided into two group, and injected via ear veins with USPIOs@Dex and USPIOs@Dex-WSG suspensions (0.56 mg Fe kg⁻¹) respectively after abrosia with free access to water for 12 h. 150 μL of blood samples were collected before injection and at 15 min, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, 12 h and 24 h after injection, then digested with nitric acid and perchloric acid. The iron contents in the blood samples (abbreviated as C) were measured by ICP-AES, and the iron content before injection was denote as C0. Taking the time as the horizontal axis and the difference value of (C – C0) as the vertical axis, the plasma half-life was calculated with the curve fitted by single exponential decreasing function.
**Results and discussions**

**Optimization of USPIOs@Dex synthesis process**

It was generally known that the formation of nanoparticles by coprecipitation method was controlled by confinement effect in micelles made of catenulate molecules, so the formation of micelles would be the prerequisite of the regulation in coprecipitation. In order to find the suitably dextran molecular weight, dextran T20 and T40 were dissolved respectively in double distilled water (40 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) to prepare the magnetic particles in the reaction temperature 80 °C. The TEM images of USPIOs@Dex were shown in Fig. 2. Because abundant sodium hydroxide was rapidly dripped to the two solutions respectively with mechanical agitation, the crystal nucleus generated more quickly than the growth, then more and smaller crystallites were produced and restricted by the dextran chains wrapped around them. Therefore, the single nanoparticle synthesized with dextran T20 and T40 were both about 7 nm in size identically. Nevertheless, the results also showed that there were obvious differences in the length and distribution of the nanoparticle chains. The nano-chains prepared with dextran T20 (shown in Fig. 2a and b) were about 100–200 nm, distributed uniformly in the colloidal. Conversely, the chains with dextran T40 (shown in Fig. 2c and d) were over 200 nm long and interweaved with each other to form nets owing to the higher molecular weight and longer molecular chain of dextran T40. It can be speculated that the length and network of the iron oxide particle chains would aggravate if the dextran of even higher molecular weight had been applied as the coating agent.\(^{13}\)

TG analysis of synthesized USPIOs@Dex was carried out to further verify that the dextran T20 and dextran T40 were both successfully coated to nanoparticles, and the TG curves were shown in Fig. 3. The weight loss of 8.7\% before 200 °C was attributed to the adsorbed water on the USPIOs@Dex, and the weight loss from 200 °C to 900 °C was resulted from the thermal decomposition of dextran. The results also demonstrated that the proportion of dextran T40 was 68.7\% in the synthesized nanoparticles, less than the proportion of 57.1\% in USPIOs@Dex synthesized with dextran T20. Because of the larger molecular weight, the molecular chain of dextran T40 is longer than dextran T20, and then the proportion of dextran T40 in USPIOs@Dex was higher. Accordingly, the proportion decrease of iron oxide, which was the effective ingredient for MRI enhancing, would reduce the effect of the contrast agent.

It is well known that the size of particles influence the remaining time in blood circulation because the particles larger than 100 nm would be removed by phagocytosis in the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS) of liver and spleen. The USPIOs@Dex synthesized by dextran T40 were more than 100 nm in total size apparently, and the proportion of dextran T40 in USPIOs was higher than dextran T20, so dextran T40 was not suitable for magnetic resonance probes of ovarian tumor targeting. Then the USPIOs@Dex synthesized with dextran T20 would be analyzed for the advantages: smaller size, shorter length and less dextran proportion in USPIOs@Dex.

To discover the influence of concentration range, the USPIOs@Dex were synthesized with different dextran T20 concentrations respectively (20 mg mL\(^{-1}\), 40 mg mL\(^{-1}\), 60 mg mL\(^{-1}\), and 80 mg mL\(^{-1}\)) at 80 °C, and the TEM images of USPIOs@Dex were shown in Fig. 4. The USPIOs@Dex synthesized with dextran T20 of 20 mg mL\(^{-1}\) were shown in Fig. 4a, the nonuniform morphology and size were observed in the yellow circle, and these USPIOs@Dex agglomerated together for the insufficient of coating agent. Whereas, the USPIOs@Dex synthesized with dextran T20 concentration of 40 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4b) exhibited the short nano-chains with globular particles of similar shape and uniform size (diameter of ~7 nm). And it was analogous in dextran T20 concentration up to 60 mg mL\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 4c), the particle size of the spherical nanoparticle was still about 7 nm, but the chain length was longer because of the aggrandized dextran concentration. However, with the further
increase of dextran T20 concentration to 80 mg mL−1 (Fig. 4d), Fe3O4 particles were connected by too much dextran as shown by white arrows. Dextran molecules are chain-like structure with a large number of hydrophilic hydroxyl groups. When dextran was dissolved in water, these hydroxyl groups formed hydrogen bonds with oxygen atoms of water molecules. After agitation, the flexible dextran molecular chains were bent and dispersed in water evenly, then NaOH was added to the mixed solution of iron salt and dextran, Fe3O4 crystal nucleus were produced. When Fe3O4 crystals grew to a certain size, the chance for the contact between them and the dispersed dextran chains increased. Because the hydrogen bond energy between dextran's hydroxyl and the oxygen atom of Fe3O4 is stronger than that of water molecules, some hydroxyl groups would form hydrogen bonds with Fe3O4 when they were close to the Fe3O4 crystals, as shown in the schematic illustration in Fig. 5a. Some sinuous parts of the dextran molecules would adhere to the surface of Fe3O4 crystals via a number of hydrogen bonds between dextran and Fe3O4. Then, Fe3O4 particles were wrapped by dextran molecules, and the growth of Fe3O4 crystals would be inhibited by the dextran wrappers. And the thickness of dextran in USPIOs@Dex was according to the curved length of molecular chains as shown in Fig. 5b. During the growth of Fe3O4 crystals, one dextran molecule might simultaneously connect with several Fe3O4 particles via hydrogen bonds, and participated in wrapping several iron oxide nanoparticles; and the dextran molecules would increase the connection density between neighboring particles. Several neighboring Fe3O4 particles would be linked together by several dextran molecules if the molecular chain was long and concentration was high. Therefore, the larger molecular weighted dextran with longer molecular chains was not suitable for the synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles; and high concentration of dextran wasn’t suitable for the synthesis either.

In this study, the USPIOs@Dex synthesized with dextran T20 in different concentrations by chemical coprecipitation. When the NaOH solution dropped into the solution of dextran T20 and iron salt, Fe3O4 crystallites generated and grew; when the crystallites grew to a certain size range, Fe3O4 particles were constrained by the dextran wrappers. But as shown in the yellow circle of Fig. 4a with concentration of 20 mg mL−1, the number of dextran molecules was not enough for the Fe3O4 crystallites, some crystallites weren’t wrapped adequately by dextran molecules. Then, some Fe3O4 nanoparticles grew bigger without uniform spatial constraint, so they were irregular in both granularity and morphology, and agglomerated together because the steric hindrance of dextran between them was feeble. And as shown in Fig. 4b–d, 40 and 60 mg mL−1 of dextran were adaptive to synthesizing USPIOs@Dex, but the Fe3O4 nanoparticles synthesized with 80 mg mL−1 dextran T20 were connected by too much dextran. With the increase of dextran concentration, more and more dextran molecules would adhere to the surface of Fe3O4 particles via hydrogen bonds between dextran and Fe3O4, so the dextran wrappers would be thicker and thicker. Consequently, the appropriate concentration of dextran T20 was 40–60 mg mL−1.

The influence of reaction temperature for the USPIOs@Dex was also investigated, and the TEM images of USPIOs@Dex synthesized by dextran T20 (40 mg mL−1) at different reaction temperature were shown in Fig. 6. Firstly, many acicular particles can be observed in Fig. 6a which were different obviously from spherical Fe3O4 nanoparticles. For the overdosage of NaOH was dropped at the reaction temperature 50 °C, acicular

![Fig. 4 TEM images of USPIOs@Dex synthesized with different dextran T20 concentrations of 20 mg mL−1 (a), 40 mg mL−1 (b), 60 mg mL−1 (c), and 80 mg mL−1 (d) at 80 °C.](image-url)

![Fig. 5 Schematic illustration for hydrogen bonds between dextran and iron oxide (a); schematic illustration for thickness of dextran in USPIOs@Dex (b).](image-url)
β-FeOOH crystallite was synthesized more competitive than Fe₃O₄ crystallite. When the temperature rose to 60 °C, the Fe₃O₄ crystallites increased and β-FeOOH crystallites decreased obviously (Fig. 6b). Eventually, when the solutions were heated up to 70 °C, 80 °C (d), the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles coated by dextran were synthesized in suited size without FeOOH or any other impurities (Fig. 6c and d).

This result was agreed with the XRD patterns of the products synthesized with dextran T20 (40 mg mL⁻¹) at 50 °C and 80 °C (b) as shown in Fig. 6e. As discussed above, Fe₃O₄ and β-FeOOH were both crystallized at 50 °C, so the XRD patterns of the mixed phase were mussy, and the diffraction peaks of the crystallites were observable but not obvious. Nevertheless, the sharpened peaks in XRD pattern of Fe₃O₄ crystallite at 80 °C suggested the higher Fe₃O₄ crystal formation degree than at 50 °C.

From the above, the optimal synthetic method for USPIOs@Dex was with dextran T20 (40 mg mL⁻¹) at 80 °C, and the high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) image and FTIR absorption spectra of the USPIOs@Dex were shown in Fig. 7.

It could be observed in the HRTEM that the crystal Fe₃O₄ cores with diameter of about 7 nm (Fig. 7a), and the fringe spacing was about 0.262 nm coinciding with the (220) crystal plane spacing of Fe₃O₄ crystallite. However, the dextran around Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle was not obvious (as the white arrow positions) because of the low electron density (Fig. 7b).

The FTIR absorption spectra of dextran and the USPIOs@Dex synthesized by the optimal method were shown in Fig. 7c. The characteristic peaks could be obviously observed in the spectra of USPIOs@Dex, two peaks at around 2931 cm⁻¹ and 1438 cm⁻¹ are stretching vibration peaks of methylene, three peaks at 1151 cm⁻¹, 1110 cm⁻¹ and 1014 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the stretching vibration peaks of carbon and oxygen single bond, peaks at 2901 cm⁻¹ and 1371 cm⁻¹ are the stretching vibration peaks of carbon and hydrogen bond, and peaks at 613 cm⁻¹ and 549 cm⁻¹ are the transforming vibration peaks of β-pyran ring in the dextran molecules. Furthermore, the stretching vibration peaks of Fe–O bond (585 cm⁻¹) was also observed which means there were iron oxide particles in USPIOs@Dex. In consideration of the ultrafiltration for removing the unreacted dextran, the USPIOs@Dex were not simple mixture of dextran and USPIOs. So the results of FTIR
absorption spectra, as well as the HRTEM image (Fig. 7a), indicated that the USPIOs were successfully wrapped by the dextran molecules.

**Carboxylation of USPIOs@Dex and graft with tumor targeting peptide**

In order to investigate whether the carboxylation of USPIOs@Dex and graft with tumor targeting peptide were successful, FTIR and ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra were analyzed further. The FTIR absorption spectra of the USPIOs@Dex, USPIOs@Dex-COOH, USPIOs@Dex-WSG and peptide-WSG were shown in Fig. 8a. Comparing with the spectrum of the USPIOs@Dex, the characteristic peaks could be obviously observed in the spectrum of USPIOs@Dex-COOH; peak at around 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) is the vibration peak of C–O bond, two peaks at 1402 cm\(^{-1}\) and 924 cm\(^{-1}\) are the flexural vibration peaks of O–H bond in carbonyl, and the stretching vibration peak of C–O bond (1016 cm\(^{-1}\)) was observed. For the unreacted sodium citrate had been removed by ultrafiltration, carboxyl have been combined in USPIOs@Dex-COOH. There are three –COO\(^-\) in the sodium citrate molecule, so the carboxyl modification might be occurred by hydrogen-bond interaction between the hydroxyls of dextran molecules and the –COO\(^-\) of sodium citrate. The carboxylic groups of citrate also likely replaced the hydroxyl groups of the dextran on the surface of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\). And then, the uncombined carboxyl of sodium citrate would be retained on the surface of USPIOs@Dex-COOH as shown in the first step of Fig. 9.

EDC method was applied to active carboxylic group because the carbonized diimine molecule has a positive charge with strong chemical reactivity, NHS was applied to stable the intermediates; and then, amido bond was formed between the intermediates and peptide-WSG, as shown in Fig. 9. The FTIR absorption spectra of USPIOs@Dex, USPIOs@Dex-COOH, USPIOs@Dex-WSG and peptide-WSG were shown in Fig. 9. The stretching vibration peak of C–O bond (1014 cm\(^{-1}\)) and the stretching vibration peak of Fe–O bond (585 cm\(^{-1}\)) were also observed. Furthermore, peak at 1589 cm\(^{-1}\) was the absorption peak of aromatic ester in tryptophan (abbreviated as W); and two peaks at around 1204 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1151 cm\(^{-1}\) were the stretching vibration peaks of C–N bonds which were contained in the aliphatic amines such as glycine (G), alanine (A) and valine (V) in the peptide WSGPGVWASVK. For unreacted peptide-WSG had been eliminated by ultrafiltration, peptide-WSG combined to surface of USPIOs@Dex-COOH.

Ultraviolet-visible absorbance spectra were applied to further confirm the success of surface modification of peptide-WSG (Fig. 8b). Compared with the spectrum of peptide-WSG, the peak was also at around 286 nm and then declined sharply from 290 to 300 nm in the spectrum of USPIOs@Dex-WSG, which was the absorption peak of tryptophan (W) in peptide.

![Fig. 8 FTIR (a) and ultraviolet-visible (b) absorbance spectra of peptide-WSG, USPIOs@Dex-WSG, USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex.](image)

![Fig. 9 Schematic illustration of targeting modifications for USPIOs@Dex.](image)
WSPGWGASVK. Because unreacted peptide-WSG was eliminated by ultrafiltration, it indicated that peptide-WSG had been bound in USPIOs@Dex-WSG.

Nitrogen weight contents were measured for USPIOs@Dex-WSG (1.872%) and the USPIOs disposed by the same method of EDC/NHS without peptide-WSG (1.396%). To exclude the content of nitrogen element in EDC/NHS and the air absorbed in USPIOs, the difference value (0.476%) was calculated and then divided by the nitrogen contents in peptide-WSG molecule (14.716%), it could be get to know the percentage content of peptide-WSG conjugated in USPIOs@Dex-WSG was about 3.234%.

Then, the TEM images, hydrodynamic size distributions and apparent zeta potentials of USPIOs@Dex, USPIOs@Dex-COOH, USPIOs@Dex were shown in Fig. 10. It could be observed in Fig. 10b and c that the two modified groups of USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were both smaller than USPIOs@Dex (Fig. 10a), while the single Fe3O4 particle size didn’t change observably. And the mean hydrodynamic size of USPIOs@Dex was 165.20 nm, while the mean hydrodynamic size of USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were 61.98 nm and 60.66 nm respectively (Fig. 10d–f). Because the hydrophilicity of nano-chains was enhanced after surface modification, USPIOs@Dex were disintegrated. Then, USPIOs@Dex-WSG and USPIOs@Dex-COOH were smaller in size, easier to evade from eliminated by RES, and to prolong residence time in blood circulation.

Nevertheless, the surface charges of the nano-chains reduced as the decreasing of size. As shown in Fig. 10g–i, the apparent zeta potential of USPIOs@Dex was —35.3 mV, it was stable relatively in aqueous solution, while the apparent zeta potentials of USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were —26.1 mV and —15.7 mV respectively. The absolute values of the apparent zeta potentials decreased after surface modification, but the surface charge were still negative, then the electrostatic repulsion between nano-chains decreased a bit, which might mean the dispersion stability of USPIOs@Dex-WSG in aqueous solution was lower than USPIOs@Dex. So the nano-chains would become weak reunion after long-time immobility, it should be pretreated by ultrasound for dispersion before applications. But on the other hand, nano-chains with less surface charge were less adsorption of substances in the blood after they were injected into the body intravenously, it also could prolong the residence time in blood circulation.

Performances of USPIOs@Dex-WSG as tumor targeted MRI contrast agent

To discuss the performance as tumor targeted MRI contrast agent, the relaxivity, cytotoxicity and targetability of USPIOs@Dex-WSG should be investigated. The hysteresis loops of USPIOs@Dex and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were shown in Fig. 11a. In the magnetization curves, saturation magnetization of USPIOs@Dex-WSG was 44.65 emu g⁻¹, the magnetic property did not change obviously after surface modification, for there was just little decrease comparing with 46.51 emu g⁻¹ of USPIOs@Dex. And the coercivities (Hc) were less than 10 Oe, almost negligible coercivity indicated their superparamagnetic behavior. Because the inner magnetic domain wall is unstable when Fe3O4 nanoparticles are less than 20 nm, the particles tend to be single magnetic domain with superparamagnetism.2

As demonstrated in Fig. 11b, the MRI contrast enhancement results of USPIOs@Dex, USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG observably showed that T₂ signals reduce with the increase of Fe concentration, but the change between T₁ signals was not significant. In Fig. 11c, the transverse relaxivity coefficient value (r₂) of USPIOs@Dex, USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG were 282.96, 251.99 and 229.70 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ respectively, which was identical with literatures. For example, Jing reported the r₂ of Fe3O4 nanoparticles rose from approximately 173.37 to 248.89 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹ as the size increased, and they were all higher than that of the well-known commercial MRI contrast agent Feridex (127.48 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹) with smaller core size (about 4.8 nm).6 So USPIOs@Dex-WSG have the sufficient relaxivity as a MRI contrast agent might due to their appropriate core size of Fe3O4 crystal (about 7 nm). Besides the core size, the Fe3O4 clustered condition within the dextran matrix potentially led to the confinement-driven enhanced magnetic relaxivity, so it was another influence factor for relaxivity coefficient.26 Then, r₂ of USPIOs@Dex with more Fe3O4 cluster was higher than USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG as shown in Fig. 11c. Longitudinal relaxation rate (r₁) of USPIOs@Dex-WSG was also shown in Fig. 11c. Comparing with the r₁ in the reports for iron oxide nanoparticles of T₁ contrast agents,27 r₁ (3.41 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹) was much less than r₂ (229.70 mM⁻¹ s⁻¹), which demonstrated T₁ contrast efficiency is lower than T₂ for USPIOs@Dex-WSG, so the modified USPIOs were T₂ contrast agent.

Furthermore, due to the concentration dependence of contrast enhancing effect as shown in Fig. 11b, targeting aggregation for tumor could increase the concentration in tumor areas, and reduce the dosage to decrease the possible damages caused by excessive iron intake.
For the application of MRI contrast agent, the cytocompatibility and targetability of USPIOs@Dex-WSG were significant. The MTT assays were carried out to evaluate the influences of synthesized USPIOs@Dex-COOH on the viability of the HUVECs and the results were exhibited in Fig. 12a and b. After co-cultured with USPIOs@Dex-COOH and USPIOs@Dex-WSG for 12 h, 24 h, and 36 h, the viabilities of HUVECs were not obviously influenced by them because of the well-cytocompatibility of dextran. However, results of MTT assay in Fig. 12d further demonstrated that USPIOs@Dex-WSG could obviously inhibit the proliferation of SKOV-3 cells in both time and dose dependent manners, and a significant decrease ($p < 0.001$) of cell viability could be obtained after 36 h of incubation with USPIOs@Dex-WSG at iron concentration of 200 ($\mu$g Fe) mL$^{-1}$. Meanwhile, the USPIOs@Dex-COOH showed negligible toxic effect (viable cells > 90%) even at iron concentration of 200 ($\mu$g Fe) mL$^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 12c. These results suggest that the USPIOs@Dex-WSG could inhibit proliferation of SKOV-3 cells and start the tumor cell apoptosis process, with no cytotoxicity to HUVECs.

Meantime, the targetability of USPIOs@Dex-WSG was further verified by the Prussian blue staining as shown in Fig. 13. A strong binding between the USPIOs@Dex-WSG and the SKOV-3 cells was observed (Fig. 13f), whereas there was no significant combination for USPIOs@Dex-COOH (Fig. 13c). However, there was only slight combination between the USPIOs@Dex-WSG and the L929 and HUVEC cells selected as the comparison (Fig. 13d and e).

In our previous work, peptide-WSG was screened out by in vitro and in vivo phage display, and it was detected to locate in cytoplasm and nucleus of SKOV-3 cells by immunofluorescence. Peptide-WSG could competitively inhibit cellular adhesion molecules on the SKOV-3 cell membrane and target to corresponding receptors, then bind to nucleus through the cell membrane, so USPIOs@Dex-WSG could induce SKOV-3 cell death in the in vitro study as demonstrated in Fig. 12d. And the results of Prussian blue staining suggested that USPIOs@Dex-WSG could specifically bind to SKOV-3 cells under the mediation of conjugated peptide-WSG, while they are not bind to HUVECs. Thus, USPIOs@Dex-WSG would exhibit the targetability to the tumor cells, and could be considered as an ideal candidate for ovarian cancer targeting MRI contrast agent. Nevertheless, this targetability might need to be further verified in vivo.

In order to research the difference in blood circulation time between USPIOs before and after modification, the plasma half-
Conclusions
A novel dextran wrapped USPIO was synthesized with a well-designed co-precipitation procedure in which the biocompatible dextran played the dual roles of the grain inhibitor and the cladding agent, and the sodium citrate was applied to carboxylize the hydroxyls of dextran molecules via the esterification reaction, and then tumor targeting peptide-WSG was grafted to the carboxyl groups by the EDC method. Then, the hydrophobicity of particles was enhanced, the USPIOs@Dex-WSG would have the ability to evade from eliminated by RES more easily and prolong residence time in blood circulation. USPIOs@Dex-WSG were superparamagnetic with the saturation magnetization of 44.65 emu g$^{-1}$, and with high transverse relaxivity as the $R_2$ relaxivity coefficient value of 229.70 mM$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ which met the requirement of MRI contrast. Furthermore, USPIOs@Dex-WSG exhibited nontoxicity for normal cells as L929 and HUVECs, and were specifically targeted to the SKOV-3 cells. Thus, the novel dextran wrapped and WSG-peptide grafted USPIOs have potential to be applied as the tumor active targeting contrast agent for MRI.
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