Check for updates

Combinatorial approach for screening and assessment of multiple therapeutic enzymes from marine isolate *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01

Yogeswaran Jagadeesan,[a] Shanmugapriya Meenakshisundaram,[a] Lokha Ranjani Alagar Boopathy,[b] Vijay Pradhap Singh Mookandi[c] and Anandaraj Balaiah [ID] *[a]

Industrialization and modernization have led to humans being more susceptible to diseases. Therapeutic enzymes from traditional earthbound bacterial origin result have less therapeutic value. Hence, the hunt for a novel source of enzymes is indispensable. Twenty different marine bacterial strains were isolated from mangrove soil around S. P. Pattinum, Tamilnadu, India. From repeated qualitative and quantitative experiments, the study results were that, out of twenty bacterial isolates, only one Gram-negative bacterium was positive for multiple therapeutic enzymes such as asparaginase, glutaminase, uricase and collagenase. Based on its 99% 16S rRNA sequence similarity with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, the isolate was designated as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01. Modified minimal medium amended with asparagine results in a simple and cost-effective, one-pot production medium for enhanced production and easy purification of all therapeutic enzymes. The biochemical studies imply that the therapeutic enzymes from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 may find a significant role in medical applications. The *in vitro* cytotoxic study reveals that the anticancer enzyme from *P. aeruginosa* is considerably effective with an IC_{50} value of 12 μg mL^{-1} against K-562 cell line. Colony PCR was performed for the detection of specific therapeutic enzyme-coding genes in the genome of *P. aeruginosa* AR01. PCR results confirm that *P. aeruginosa* AR01 possesses nucleotide regions for corresponding therapeutic enzymes in its gene cluster. BLASTN and BLASTX analyses of the partial nucleotide sequences of therapeutic enzymes were deposited in GenBank. The results appear so promising that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01 may be a potent candidate for medical biotechnology.

1. Introduction

The world, with a population of 7.63 billion people, is highly susceptible to more than 30 000 diseases, which have been clinically characterized. Of these, less than one-third can be treated and only a few can be cured.[1] Emptiness and ineffectiveness in the arsenal of therapeutic agents led to a medical massacre with a huge death toll. The concept of the therapeutic enzyme has been around for at least 40 years.[2] Screening of therapeutic enzymes is principally preferred from microbes, as they are cheaper, easy to cultivate and their enzymes are more predictable and controllable, unlike plant and animal enzymes. Therapeutic enzymes derived from existing native and engineered bacterial origins have become less effective. Hence, there is an urgent need for new effective therapeutic compounds that are potent, safe and cheaper for curing threatening diseases. Nature has been a provider of therapeutic compounds for millennia with many novel drugs isolated from microorganisms.[3] Sometimes terrestrial microbes are considered when there is a need for novel therapeutics.[2]

Owing to the alarming emergence of dreadful diseases, there has been a great and growing market for therapeutic enzymes in the past decade. As a result, more biopharmaceuticals have been entering drug discovery and development pipelines in recent times. However, due to extensive research, exploration of ground-based natural bioactive compounds has become increasingly challenging. Instead, water-based natural compounds have become more promising alternatives, not only as pharmacological leads but also in industrial and commercial applications.[4] It is believed that life on Earth began from the great ocean – the origin of life. The ocean covers 71% of the Earth's surface and contains 1.4×10^{21} litres of water. In the

[a]*Department of Biotechnology, University College of Engineering, BIT Campus, Anna University, Room no. 124, New Academic Block-B, Tiruchirappalli, Tamilnadu, 620 024, India. E-mail: drananadaraj74@gmail.com; yogi.affable@gmail.com; priyamsundaram94@gmail.com; Fax: +91-431-2407333; Tel: +91-431-2407947; +91-9790915036; +91-9843707586; +91-9840669723*

[b]*Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Illinois College of Medicine, Rockford, Illinois, 61107, USA. E-mail: lr.au.bit@gmail.com*

[c]*Department of Biotechnology, Vivekananda College of Engineering for Women, Namakkal, Elaiyampalayam, Tiruchengode, Tamil Nadu, 637 205, India. E-mail: vijaypradhapsingh@gmail.com; Tel: +91-8668157693*

oceans, the vast majority of biodiversity is confined to the microbial fraction containing prokaryotes and eukaryotic microbes, which represents ~60% of the biomass.[5] The Indian mangrove forests comprise about 3.3% of the world's mangroves. It has been predicted that pelagic bacteria are exceptionally abundant, achieving densities of up to 10^6 to 10^9 per mL of seawater.[6] Since primitive life most likely began in the oceans; marine microorganisms are considered as the closest living descendants of the original life form.[7] Marine microbes are more cosmopolitan than terrestrial ones, as they must adapt to extreme marine environment conditions making them more diversified among their communities and in their biochemistry.[1,7] Bioactive compounds isolated from these thriving microbial communities are likely to have a range of unique biochemical and physiological characteristics. Screening of a new source of therapeutic enzymes is a key research pursuit in red biotechnology. Marine bacteria have emerged as a vast repository of novel therapeutic enzymes in recent years. Despite the difficulty faced in isolation of marine bacteria, they are preferred over eukaryotes because of their higher productivity and ease of optimization of their culturing conditions.[6] This present scenario advocates an alternative source of these medically important enzymes. The novel source must be a higher producer with fewer or no side effects. Considering the current scenario, the present study is an attempt to explore marine bacteria capable of producing therapeutic enzymes such as asparaginase, glutaminase, uricase and collagenase.

Pseudomonas, ubiquitous and remarkably nutritionally versatile organisms, can acclimate to almost any kind of environment making them successful colonizers among the bacterial community. Given these distinct characters, the members of the *Pseudomonas* genus can secrete a variety of unique extracellular enzymes. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is the customary candidate for bioremediation that has the potential to mineralize a wide range of natural organic compounds. This versatility allows for the rapid evolution of new metabolic pathways in order to degrade a given substrate. For example, a *Pseudomonas* strain was recently isolated that can utilize TNT (2,4,6-trinitrotoluene) as a sole nitrogen source, producing toluene, aminotoluene and nitrotoluenes as end products.[8] A wide variety of extracellular enzymes such as asparaginase,[9–14] glutaminase,[11,15] uricase[16–22] and collagenase[23–26] were reported in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Isolation of bacteria

A soil sample was collected at a depth of 10 cm from the mangrove forest area around S. P. Pattinam (lat. 09°51′N, long. 79°05′E), Tamilnadu, India. The soil sample (approximately 10 g) was collected by using a sterile sample collection tube (Tarsons #510030) with a sterile spatula. The sample was then aseptically transported at 4 °C to the laboratory, where the sample was serially diluted up to 10^{-9} in sterile water and 100 μL from each dilution was plated onto sterile Zobell Marine Agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

2.2. *In situ* screening of therapeutic enzymes

2.2.1. Asparaginase and glutaminase. The isolates were screened for anticancer enzymes such as asparaginase and glutaminase by the qualitative plate assay method proposed by Mahajan *et al.*[27] Modified M-9 medium (pH 7.0) was used containing (per 1000 mL): $Na_2HPO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, 6 g; KH_2PO_4, 3 g; NaCl, 0.5 g; 1 mM $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$, 2 mL; 20% glucose stock, 10 mL; agar, 20 g; and substrates L-asparagine and L-glutamine, 5 g each. The media were supplemented with 0.02% (w/v) bromothymol blue, a pH indicator. Control plates were prepared using a medium without substrates and $NaNO_3$ was used as a nitrogen source instead of the substrate. The plates were inoculated and incubated overnight at 37 °C.

2.2.2. Uricase. Primary screening of uricase was conducted by inoculating the isolates onto modified minimal medium (MM) plates (pH 7.5) containing (w/v) tryptone, 0.15%; K_2HPO_4, 0.015%; $MgCl_2$, 0.05%; NaCl, 0.5%; and agar, 1.5%, which was adjusted with 0.5% uric acid. The plates were incubated at 37 °C overnight.[28]

2.2.3. Collagenase. Qualitative screening of collagenase was conducted by a qualitative plate method.[29] The isolates were inoculated on sterile MM agar plates containing 1% (w/v) gelatin. After incubation at 37 °C overnight, the plates were flooded with protein precipitating solution (30% w/v trichloroacetic acid).

2.3. Growth condition of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01

An overnight-grown culture of AR01 strain (1% v/v, 1.092 OD_{600}, 2.89×10^8 $CFU\ mL^{-1}$) was inoculated into each individual flask containing 150 mL of MM fortified with substrates asparagine (MM-ASP), glutamine (MM-GLU), and gelatin (MM-GEL) each at 2.5% (w/v) and uric acid (MM-UA) at 0.3% (w/v) separately. Unfortified MM and Zobell marine broth served as controls. The flasks were incubated in a shaker at 120 rpm, 37 °C for 36 h. At every 2 h interval, 2 mL of culture from each flask was collected and the respective growth and enzyme activities were measured.

2.4. Enzyme assays

For enzyme assay studies, cell-free culture supernatant (CFCS) of late-exponential phase (26 h) was collected from each production medium along with the controls. The absorbance for the enzymes assays was measured using an Agilent Cary 8454 UV-visible spectrophotometer (USA).

2.4.1. L-Asparaginase and L-glutaminase. L-Asparaginase and L-glutaminase activity of the crude enzyme was measured by following the Nesslerization method.[30] The reaction mixture contained 0.5 mL of the crude enzyme, CFCS and 0.5 mL of 0.04 M substrate solutions (asparagine and glutamine) taken in individual test tubes. To this, 0.5 mL of 0.05 M Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.6) was added and incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. After incubation, the reaction was terminated by adding 0.5 mL of 1.5 M trichloroacetic acid. The mixture was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min. To 0.1 mL of clarified reaction mixture supernatant, 3.75 mL of distilled water was added to dilute, followed by the addition of 0.2 mL of Nessler's reagent.

After 10 min of incubation at room temperature, the absorbance at 425 nm was measured with appropriate blanks. One unit (U) is defined as the amount of enzyme that liberates 1 μmol of ammonia per min at 37 °C.

2.4.2. Uricase. The uricase activity was measured as described by Khade et al.[31] To a test tube, 2.9 mL of 20 mM sodium borate (pH 8.5) containing 48 μM uric acid and 0.1 mL of the crude enzyme was mixed. The blank solution was taken by adding 0.1 mL of 20 mM sodium borate buffer instead of crude enzyme. The blank and test sample were incubated at 30 °C for 5 min under continuous mixing. The decrease in substrate concentration (uric acid) in the test samples was determined by measuring the absorbance at 293 nm. One unit (IU) of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of uricase needed to convert 1 μmol of uric acid into allantoin per minute under the standard assay conditions.

2.4.3. Collagenase. This procedure was based on the methods proposed by Kim et al.,[31] with slight modifications. A reaction mixture containing 10 mg of type I collagen was suspended in 2 mL of 50 mM TES (tris(hydroxymethyl)methyl-2-aminoethane sulfonate) buffer with 0.36 mM $CaCl_2$ (pH 8.0) and 0.1 mL of the crude enzyme and was incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The reaction was stopped by centrifuging the reaction mixture at $5000 \times g$ for 15 min at room temperature. For the blank, 0.1 mL of buffer was added in the place of crude enzyme. The supernatant (0.2 mL) was mixed with 1 mL of 4% ninhydrin solution and incubated in a boiling water bath (100 °C) for 20 min. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the solution was diluted with 2.5 mL of 50% 1-propanol for measurement of absorbance at 600 nm. The concentration of hydrolyzed amino acids was determined from a standard curve of L-leucine. One unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that released 1 μmol of leucine per hour under the above conditions.

2.5. Collagenase inhibition study

In order to rule out the type of collagenase, an inhibition study was performed.[32] To do so, 2 mL of CFCS of MM amended with 2.5% (w/v) asparagine was separately treated with 10 mM of inhibitors like PMSF (phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, a serine protease inhibitor) and PHNT (1,10-phenanthroline, a metalloprotease inhibitor). After incubation at 37 °C for 5 h, the inhibited collagenolytic activity was measured. The untreated CFCS was considered as 100% enzyme activity.

2.6. Zymography

Zymography was carried out by supplementing 0.1% (w/v) gelatin to the regular ingredients of the separating gel (10%) before polymerization and electrophoresis of samples treated with protease inhibitors (40 μL) under non-reducing conditions at 4 °C. After electrophoresis, the gel was washed twice for 30 min in a solution of 2.5% (v/v) Triton X-100 and then followed by incubation in an activation buffer (100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0; 10 mM $CaCl_2$; 150 mM NaCl) overnight at 37 °C. Then, the gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 and

destained with distilled water. Proteolytic activity was observed as a clear zone against the blue background of the gels.[33]

2.7. Effect of temperature, pH and metal ions

The effect of temperature on enzyme activity was investigated in their respective assay mixtures at different temperatures of 37, 40, 45, 50, 55 and 60 °C. The pH of the reaction was maintained as that of the original assay condition (pH 8.6 for anticancer enzymes, pH 8 for collagenase and pH 8.5 for uricase). Correspondingly, to determine the effect of pH on the enzyme activities, the crude enzyme was incubated at different pH values of 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11. Here, the temperature was maintained constant at 37 °C. The assay buffers used were 50 mM citrate–phosphate buffer (pH 4.0–6.0), Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.0–9.0), and carbonate–bicarbonate buffer (pH 10.0 and 11.0).[34] Similarly, the effect of metal ions on the enzyme activity was studied by using 2 mM chloride salts of K^+, Hg^{2+}, Ca^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, Mg^{2+} and sulfate salts of Mn^{2+}, Cu^{2+}, Zn^{2+}, Pb^{2+}.[35] In all cases, the CFCS was pre-incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. After incubation, relative activity was measured.

2.8. Antibiogram assay

Antibiogram assay was performed by modifying the agar disc diffusion assay described by Jagadeesan et al.[36] The strain P. aeruginosa AR01 was swabbed on sterile Mueller–Hinton agar plates. Commercial antibiotic discs, each 30 μg (neomycin, erythromycin, colistin, chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, bacitracin, tetracycline, ampicillin, penicillin, streptomycin and vancomycin), were then placed on the agar surface. Petri dishes were incubated at 37 °C for 16 h. The diameters of the zone of clearance around each antibiotic disc were measured using a graduated scale.

2.9. In vitro cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxicity effects of anticancer enzymes from CFCS collected from MM-ASP were evaluated using the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay protocol.[37] Briefly, human leukemia cell line (K-562) was procured from National Centre for Cell Science, Pune, India. The cell line was cultured in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% L-glutamine, 100 U per mL penicillin, and 100 μg mL^{-1} streptomycin. The suspension cells were allowed to grow in an incubator shaker maintained at 5% CO_2 at 37 °C for 24 h prior to the experiment. The cancer cell line was seeded in 96-well plates in such a way that each well had 20×10^3 cells followed by incubation for 12 h. After incubation, K-562 cells were treated with various concentrations of CFCS (3, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 96 μg mL^{-1}). After treatment, the 96-well plates were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. The treated cells were incubated with 10% MTT for 3 h. The culture medium was aspirated and the wells were washed thrice with PBS and then 100 μL dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well. The untreated cells and camptothecin (25 μM) were used as controls. Cell viability was determined by measuring the absorbance at 570 nm with a microplate reader (ELX-800, Biotek, USA). All the experiments were carried out in triplicate and

then the values were calculated with the respective percentage of viable cells at different test concentrations relative to the untreated cells. The cell viability was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Cell viability } (\%) = (\text{OD}_{570 \text{ test cells}}/\text{OD}_{570 \text{ control cells}}) \times 100$$

The IC_{50} value is defined as the drug concentration required to inhibit growth by 50% compared to control untreated cells.

2.10. *In silico* analysis

The nucleotide sequences encoding therapeutic enzymes in *P. aeruginosa* AR01 were identified using gene-specific primers retrieved from the gene cluster of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 from the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) database.[38] Primers were synthesized and obtained from G-Biosciences, Delhi, India (Table 1). The presence of respective therapeutic genes in *P. aeruginosa* AR01 strain was detected by using these gene-specific primers by performing the colony PCR technique. Table 1 presents the information about genes encoding therapeutic enzymes and the primer details.

2.11. Colony PCR

A single colony of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was scraped from a marine agar plate and washed once with 100 μL of 1 M NaCl and thrice with 100 μL of Tris–EDTA (TE) buffer. The cells were finally suspended in 200 μL of TE buffer, lysed by freeze-thawing thrice and followed by 10 min of boiling and then snap-chilling for 5 min. The lysate was centrifuged at 12 000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was separated and used as a template for colony PCR. Each 20 μL of the reaction mixture contained 1 μL (10 ng) of the template, 10 μL of 2× ExPrime Taq DNA Polymerase Master Mix (GentBio), 1 μL each (10 pmol) of forward and reverse primers and 7 μL of nuclease-free water. Colony PCR was carried out using a Thermal Cycler C1000 (Bio-Rad). The cycling protocol for amplification involved an initial denaturation for 10 min at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation of 1 min at 95 °C, 1 min at 70, 68, 65, 63 and 62 °C for uricase, rhodanese, asparaginase, elastase and glutaminase respectively, 1 min at 72 °C, and a final extension was at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products

were electrophoresed on 0.8% agarose gel in 0.5× TAE buffer and stained with ethidium bromide. The visible band observed under a UV transilluminator was then documented.[39] The respective PCR products were purified using a PCR clean-up kit (PureLink® PCR Purification Kit, Invitrogen, K3100-01 and K3100-02). The purified PCR product was then subjected to DNA sequencing using an Applied BioSystems BigDye Terminator on a 3730xl Genetic Analyzer. The consensus of the two sequencing runs was processed and the contigs were aligned by the program Cap3. The aligned contigs were then deposited into GenBank.

2.12. Statistical analysis

Each set of experiments were carried out in triplicate. Experiments were repeated separately to ensure reproducibility. All the results are expressed by mean ± SD (standard deviation) values. All statistical analysis (one-way ANOVA) was performed by the software GraphPad Prism version 7.0. A value of *$p < 0.05$ was considered as statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. *In situ* qualitative screening of therapeutic enzymes

Based on colony morphology and pigmentation, 20 different bacterial isolates were observed on Zobell Marine Agar plate at a dilution of 10^{-7}. The isolates were designated as AR01–AR20 in order of their identification. These 20 isolates were screened for the therapeutic enzymes by qualitative and quantitative assays.

The qualitative screening of asparaginase and glutaminase reveals that the area on which the isolate AR01 was inoculated showed positive for the presence of both enzymes by the formation of a dark-blue-coloured zone around the patched area due to the release of ammonia. Subsequently, the 20 isolates were screened for uricase enzyme. The plate amended with uric acid showed a clear zone around the isolate AR01 indicating the presence of uricase; the remaining areas appear milky white in colour. Since gelatin is the precursor of collagen, it was used as a substrate for the collagenase activity. Among the 20 isolates, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed maximum gelatinolytic activity by forming a transparent zone around the patched area. Therefore, the isolate AR01 was considered for the further screening process.

Table 1 Nucleotide sequence of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 therapeutic enzymes and primers used for colony PCR. All the nucleotide sequences corresponding to therapeutic enzymes were derived from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1

Gene(s)	Gene name	Gene ID	Gene locations/positions	Primer	5′–3′ sequence	Product size (bp)	Accession no.
Asparaginase	ansA	878133	2480844–2481830	Asp-F	F-ATGCTCCCAGTCAAGAACCTCTTC	987	NC_002516
				Asp-R	R-TCAGTCGGCGTTCTCGCCGCACAG		
Glutaminase	PA1638	883013	1784108–1785016	Glu-F	F-ATGCAGCAACTGTTGAACGAGATT	909	
				Glu-R	R-TCAGAAGATCGACCAGCCGATCCG		
Elastase	lasB	880368	4168987–4170483	Ela-F	F-ATGAAGAAGGTTTCTACGGCTTGAC	1497	
				Ela-R	R-TTACAACGCGCTCGGGCAGGTCAC		
Uricase	PA2366	882284	2615461–2616945	Uri-F	F-ATGCCCAAGTCATCCGCCGCCGAA	1485	
				Uri-R	R-TCACGCCGCTACCGGCGGCGGCAG		
Rhodanese or thiosulfate sulfurtransferase	PA2603	882309	5561599–5562414	Rho-F	F-ATGTCCGTTTTCTCCGACCTGCCA	816	
				Rho-R	R-TCAAACCTCTACAGGGGTATCGGG		

3.2. Strain identification

From the molecular characterization, the multiple therapeutic enzyme-producing isolate AR01 was found to be a Gram-negative, motile, rod-shaped bacterium. The colony morphology of the strain was roundish, orangey smooth, semi-transparent, pearl-like appearance on Zobell Marine Agar plate. The BLAST analysis of the 16S rRNA sequence (KF929428) showed a high degree of similarity with *P. aeruginosa*. Therefore, this strain was considered to be a sub-species and it was designated as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01. Since most of the *Pseudomonas* spp. were found to be multi-drug resistant in nature, antibiogram assay was conducted for *P. aeruginosa* AR01. From the antibiogram assay, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was found to be susceptible to many commercial antibiotics and resistant to colistin, ampicillin and penicillin.

3.3. Growth of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 and therapeutic enzyme production pattern

P. aeruginosa AR01 exhibits normal growth pattern in all media except unfortified MM. The lag phase of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 persists for 2nd to 5th hour. The log phase commenced at the 6th hour and it continued until the 26th hour. *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed exponential growth throughout the phase. At the end of the log phase (26th hour), *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed maximum growth in all growth media. *P. aeruginosa* AR01 enters into death phase at 28th hour where the OD_{600} tends to decline. In the case of MM, the growth of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was highly retarded. The growth was restricted to a maximum OD_{600} of 1.102. *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed uniform growth phase irrespective of media. The corresponding growth and enzyme activities of each growth medium were recorded and are depicted in Fig. 1. From the repeated growth experimental studies, it was found that MM-ASP was found to be the best production medium for the production of all four therapeutic enzymes. Henceforth, MM-ASP was used for routine cultivation of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 and production of therapeutic enzymes. CFCS collected at the end of the log phase (26th hour) of incubation was used for further enzyme assays.

From the anticancer enzyme assays, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed maximum enzyme activity of 125.04 and 112.80 IU per mL for asparaginase and glutaminase respectively from the CFCS collected from MM-ASP (Fig. 1(b)). Also, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 displayed maximum activity of 12.53 IU per mL of uricase


Fig. 1 Growth of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 and therapeutic enzyme production patterns in different production media. (a) Growth patterns in different production media; (b) asparaginase and glutaminase activity; (c) uricase activity; (d) collagenase activity. MB, marine broth; MM, modified minimal medium; MM-ASP, modified minimal medium amended with 2.5% (w/v) asparagine; MM-GLU, modified minimal medium amended with 2.5% (w/v) glutamine; MM-GEL, modified minimal medium amended with 2.5% (w/v) gelatin; MM–UA, minimal medium amended with 0.3% (w/v) uric acid.

activity from the CFCS collected from MM-ASP (Fig. 1(c)) and 59.49 IU per mL of collagenase activity in the CFCS of MM-ASP (Fig. 1(d)). Significant enzyme activity was observed in other production media. Among the different production media, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 displayed maximum enzyme activity for all therapeutic enzymes in MM-ASP.

3.4. Inhibition study

To investigate the type of collagenase, CFCS of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was treated with metalloprotease and serine protease inhibitors. The collagenase assays revealed that metalloprotease inhibitor PHNT strongly inhibited the collagenase activity (88.90%). In contrast, the serine protease inhibitor PMSF showed limited inhibitory effect (20.67%). The residual activity of the treated samples is depicted in Fig. 2(a). Untreated CFCS was considered as 100% collagenase activity. Similarly, the zymogram depicts a distorted zone of clearance in wells loaded with CFCS treated with PHNT. Zone of clearance was observed in the wells loaded with untreated CFCS and PMSF-treated CFCS, indicating the presence of collagenase at a significant level (Fig. 2(b)).

3.5. Effect of temperature, pH and metal ions

The effects of temperature, pH and metal ions are summarized in Fig. 3. The anticancer enzymes displayed optimum activity at pH 9 (132.50 IU per mL for asparaginase and 120.32 IU per mL for glutaminase) and 45 °C (132.47 IU per mL for asparaginase and 120.92 IU per mL for glutaminase). Uricase demonstrated maximum activity at pH 9 (13.27 IU per mL) and 40 °C (15.11 IU per mL). Collagenase activity was found optimum at pH 7.5 (60.16 IU per mL) and 37 °C (59.49 IU per mL) (Fig. 3(a) and (b)). Among the metal ions tested, K^+ and Mg^{2+} ions substantially enhanced the asparaginase (119% and 109%) and glutaminase

activity (114% and 106%) and negligible inhibition was observed for Ca^{2+} (87%) and Mn^{2+} (97%). In contrast, the addition of metal ions Zn^{2+} and Hg^{2+} had a high degree of inhibitory effect. In the case of uricase, Ca^{2+} (121%) and Cu^{2+} (113%) showed a significant increase in the enzyme activity. Similarly, Ca^{2+} exhibited enhanced the collagenase activity to 112%. For all the enzymes, Hg^{2+} showed lower relative activity when compared to all metal ions (Fig. 3(c) and (d)).

3.6. *In vitro* cytotoxicity

In vitro cytotoxicity effects of the crude anticancer enzymes were evaluated against K-562 cells at different concentrations. A dose-dependent effect of CFCS against K-562 cells was observed. The IC_{50} value of CFCS was found to be 12 μg mL^{-1} (Fig. 4). The cell viability was significantly decreased with respect to an increase in the CFCS concentration. The commercial drug camptothecin exhibits an IC_{50} value of 25 μM. These results suggest that crude enzyme induces cytotoxicity in human cancer cells and its cytotoxic potential is comparable to that of a commercial drug.

3.7. *In silico* analysis

The NCBI database search reveals the nucleotide sequences corresponding to therapeutic enzymes of our interest are found in many strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, thus confirming a wide distribution of all therapeutic genes in most of the *Pseudomonas* spp. These *Pseudomonas* spp. were found to be closely related to *P. aeruginosa* AR01 in terms of 16S rRNA sequence homology. From the database search, it was found that many therapeutic enzymes were reported in the 6.3 million base pair gene clusters of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1. The nucleotide sequence and the gene ID data are presented in Table 1.


Fig. 2 Effect of protease inhibitors on collagenase activity. (a) Residual collagenase activity of CFCS against protease inhibitors. ***The significance of the test sample against control (untreated). (b) Zymography analysis of culture filtrate treated with different protease inhibitors: (1) control, untreated culture filtrate; (2) PMSF-treated culture filtrate; (3) PHNT-treated culture filtrate.

Fig. 3   Effect of temperature, pH and metal ions on therapeutic enzymes. (a) Effect of various temperatures, (b) effect of various pH and (c and d) effect of various monovalent and divalent metal ions on anticancer enzymes (asparaginase, glutaminase, uricase and collagenase).

3.8.   Therapeutic enzyme nucleotide sequences

The colony PCR products displayed positive amplification when they were electrophoresed along with 100 bp DNA ladder (GeneRuler 100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Thermo Scientific SM0323). The results of the therapeutic enzyme amplicons obtained by colony PCR are illustrated in Fig. 5. The BLASTn analysis of the obtained sequences of all therapeutic genes showed 99% similarity with respective genes of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The partial nucleotide sequences of all five therapeutic genes detected from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01 were deposited in GenBank (asparaginase, KR349299; glutaminase, KT862887; uricase, KT229576; elastase, KR534608; and rhodanese, KR534609). Fig. 6(a)–(f) illustrates the phylogenetic relationship of 16S rRNA sequence and amino acid sequence of all therapeutic genes of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 with closely related *P. aeruginosa* spp. Asparaginase, glutaminase and uricase were evidently proved by both functional and sequence approaches. Whereas, elastase and rhodanese were detected by a sequence-based approach and collagenase was evaluated by a functional-based one.

4.   Discussion

In the present work, a multiple therapeutic enzyme-producing marine bacterium was isolated and identified as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01. *Pseudomonas* sp. is well known for its wide variety of enzyme production spectra. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is not a single report describing the screening and presence of multiple therapeutic enzymes by a functional and sequence-based approach from this species.

L-Asparaginase is one of a few microbial enzymes with high therapeutic importance in treating certain kinds of cancers, where it is used to starve cancer cells of L-asparagine. Cancer cells, mainly lymphatic cells, require a high amount of asparagine for fast and malignant growth, as they are always in migration. L-Asparaginase was reported in various microorganisms, and *E. coli* and *Erwinia* asparaginases were widely used as chemotherapy agents due to their high catalytic activity and specificity.[40] Usage of recombinant L-asparaginase in combination with other agents causes hypersensitivity in long-term usage, leading to allergic reactions and anaphylaxis.[41]

Fig. 4 *In vitro* cytotoxic activity of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 CFCS against K-562 cell line. (A) Control, untreated cells; (B) camptothecin, 25 μM; C–H, CFCS at 3, 6, 12, 24, 48 and 96 μg mL^{-1}. The IC$_{50}$ value was found to be 12 μg mL^{-1}. ***The significance of the test sample against control, untreated; ###the significance of the test sample against the commercial drug camptothecin.

In this investigation, qualitative screening of asparaginase and glutaminase using bromothymol blue as a pH indicator results in better sensitivity than phenol red. The control plates without L-asparagine also showed prominent colony formation but no change in colour. The bromothymol blue dye is yellow at acidic pH, green at neutral and turns deep blue at alkaline pH. Due to the liberation of ammonia, the pH around the colony


Fig. 5 Detection of therapeutic genes from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 by colony PCR. Agarose gel images of purified PCR products (therapeutic genes). Lane M: 100-bp DNA Ladder (GeneRuler 100 bp Plus DNA Ladder, Thermo Scientific SM0323); lanes 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 correspond to elastase (1497 bp), uricase (1485 bp), asparaginase (987 bp), glutaminase (909 bp) and rhodanese (816 bp) respectively; lanes 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 correspond to negative controls for respective genes.

becomes alkaline and the dye changes its colour to deep blue.[26] *P. aeruginosa* AR01 displayed maximum asparaginase and glutaminase activities of 125.04 and 112.80 IU per mL in the MM-ASP production medium respectively. This result was in accordance with those of El-Bessoumy *et al.*[9] and Yasser and Zakia[10] recorded for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 50071 when cultivated in a solid-state fermenter. A similar observation has been noted in a marine bacterium, *P. aeruginosa* PAO1,[11] isolated from Andaman coastal region and *P. aeruginosa* SN004 (ref. 12) strains displayed a substantial asparaginase activity in modified M9 medium. Intracellular asparaginase was reported in *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 by Kuwabara *et al.*[13] These findings disclose the therapeutic importance of the asparaginase from *P. aeruginosa* AR01. In the case of glutaminase, marine isolates such as *Pseudomonas* sp. SFL-3 and *Pseudomonas* sp. BTMS-51 showed 32.7 IU and 21.07 U per mL activities respectively.[14,15] Many attempts have been made for exploring new bacterial sources with different physiological properties to address certain problems. Undoubtedly, asparaginase from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 will meet these needs.

Evolutionary changes caused mutation in the gene cluster of humans and higher primates by introducing nonsense and missense mutation that leads to the annihilation of the uricase-encoding gene.[42] Hence, we humans are lacking a self-producing uricase enzyme and are highly dependent on external sources. As a result, uric acid, the end product of purine metabolism, which is largely present as an insoluble monosodium salt, when elevated in human blood serum can cause gout.[43] Thus, microbial uricase plays a vital role in degrading the accumulated uric acid. Various members of the genus *Pseudomonas* are able to grow using purines either as nitrogen source or as both nitrogen and carbon sources, where adenine, guanine, hypoxanthine, and xanthine served as a nitrogen source for *P. aeruginosa*.[16] Several microorganisms can utilize uric acid as their sole source of nitrogen, this activity being detected as clear zones accompanying the growth of microorganisms in solid agar.[44]

The findings of the present study indicate *P. aeruginosa* AR01 as a potent producer of uricase as an extracellular enzyme which was confirmed by qualitative plate assay. From the uricase plate assay, the strain AR01 showed a significantly bigger zone of clearance by degrading the substrate uric acid within 24 h of incubation. In order to examine the nature of uricase synthesized by *P. aeruginosa* AR01, the CFCS was examined on the uric acid-agar plate. The well loaded with CFCS of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed a significantly large zone of clearance within 48 h of incubation, indicating the uricase produced by *P. aeruginosa* AR01 is extracellular in nature. Generally, the incubation period for uricase screening was up to 5–7 days, as the rate of uric acid utilization by bacteria is relatively slow.[45] The above findings indicate *P. aeruginosa* AR01 as a potent producer of uricase as an extracellular enzyme.

From the enzyme assay study, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 exhibits a maximum uricase activity of 12.53 IU per mL. Several reports are available on uricase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with an enzyme activity of 17.77 U per mL and 13.42 U per mL for *P. aeruginosa* 5Y2 and *P. aeruginosa* Ph3 respectively.[17,18] Successful

Fig. 6 Phylogenetic tree relationships of partial 16S rRNA and therapeutic enzyme sequences of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 with its closely related *P. aeruginosa* strains. (a) 16S rRNA sequence. Bootstrap values are indicated. The tree illustrates the maximum likelihood of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 strain among other *P. aeruginosa* strains. Evolutionary analysis by maximum likelihood method and Kimura 2-parameter model. The tree with the highest log likelihood is shown. The evolutionary analysis was conducted in MEGA X. (b) Asparaginase, (c) glutaminase, (d) elastase, (e) rhodanese, and (f) uricase phylogenetic tree relations between the protein sequences of therapeutic enzymes obtained from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 compared with protein sequences of closely related *P. aeruginosa*. The evolutionary history was inferred using the UPGMA method. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X.

cloning and high-level expression of native uricase gene from *P. aeruginosa* Ps43 were reported by Shaaban *et al.*[22] Some strains exhibit significantly higher enzyme activity of 25.88 U per mL at 12 h of incubation at a uric acid concentration 0.3% (w/v).[19] The enzyme activity declined when the incubation was further extended as well as an increase in the substrate concentration. A similar phenomenon was observed in *P. aeruginosa* AR01, as it displayed maximum uricase activity of 8.1 IU per mL in the CFCS collected at 26th hour from MM-UA. Further increase in the concentration of uric acid in the production medium results in the hindrance in the growth of bacteria. This eventually results in a decline in uricase production. As mentioned earlier, even though the therapeutic enzymes produced by *P. aeruginosa* AR01 were in jeopardy because of the presence of the self-secreting extracellular protease, uricase was found to be highly stable, unlike other *P. aeruginosa* spp.

Also, the strain AR01 was screened for the presence of collagenase by using gelatin as a substrate. Gelatin is a class of protein fractions that have no existence in nature but are derived from the parent protein collagen by denaturation. Gelatin hydrolysis can confirm the qualitative determination of collagenase activity.[46] The strain exhibits significant collagenase activity by degrading the precursor gelatin by forming a transparent zone. Collagenase production was evident as a clear or clear halo zone around the colonies.[29,47] However, the clarity of the hydrolyzed zone may not be very sharp on the media plate. Therefore, the clarity of the hydrolyzed zone around the microbial colony can be improved by precipitating the proteins with trichloroacetic acid. The collagenase assay discloses *P. aeruginosa* AR01 to possess maximum collagenase activity of 59.49 IU per mL from the CFCS collected from MM-ASP. Even though the strain utilizes gelatin as its nitrogen source, MM-GEL displayed maximum collagenase activity of 35.03 IU per mL. Many *P. aeruginosa* species have been reported for collagenase production.[24,26]

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, the microbial hyena, can adapt to all environments; thus, it has become the most nutritionally versatile and ubiquitous in nature.[48] The occurrence of *P. aeruginosa* in the water system of the Apollo space shuttle showed enhanced growth compared to normal gravity condition and strong biofilm production in space.[49–51] These characters allowed us to optimize the culturing conditions and growth medium to a greater extent. Brewing astringent and strict growth medium by using simple ingredients result in an enhanced and unique behaviour of *Pseudomonas*. The ingredients are limited in a way to ensure simplicity, cost-effectiveness and compatibility for easy downstream processing. To accomplish the above standards, yeast extract is completely ignored as it may interfere with the purification process. The production medium was fortified with a specific substrate in order to enhance the production of a specific enzyme. In this current study, MM supplemented with specific substrates like asparagine, glutamine, uric acid and gelatin at different concentrations was extensively examined. The production of L-asparaginase was enhanced in the presence of L-asparagine.[52] Gelatin was supplemented at various concentrations of 0.5–2.0% (w/v) in the production medium as a nitrogen source. The

production of collagenase was decreased when the concentration of gelatin was increased beyond 1% (w/v).[29] In contrast to these results, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was able to produce more collagenase at 2.5% (w/v). A similar result was also found for protease production by *Salinivibrio* genus, where enzyme production gradually increased with increasing gelatin concentration from 1.0 to 2.0% (w/v).[53] This could be because gelatin is an organic nitrogen source which acted as an inducer for enzyme production. Being an enzyme factory, *Pseudomonas* sp. is well known for its extracellular proteases.[25] Also, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was found to secrete an extracellular metalloprotease enzyme, collagenase. This scenario represents a great threat to the stability of other therapeutic enzymes in the production media. From the enzyme assay, it was found that, even though protease was present, the remaining therapeutic enzymes were highly stable and the enzyme activity does not undergo any change (data not shown). Increasing the concentration of asparagine, glutamine and gelatin (2.75% to 3%, w/v) and uric acid (0.5%, w/v) results in a decline of growth and enzyme production of bacteria (data not shown). This was due to high substrate concentration inhibition.

Utmost importance was given to designing the production medium for the enhanced production of these multiple therapeutic enzymes. As a result, MM was formulated by selecting the ingredients carefully. The ingredients must provide all the vital sources for the growth of bacteria and, at the same time, they should not possess any inhibitory effect. The result emphasizes that MM fortified with 2.5% (w/v) asparagine is the best production medium for all therapeutic enzymes. The advantages of MM-ASP as production medium were the low cost of the formulation as it is constituted of simple ingredients, allowing easy and simple downstream processing steps during the purification of therapeutic enzymes and one-pot production of all four therapeutic enzymes in a single production medium.

For medical applications, therapeutic enzymes should be thermally stable. This is considered as an important trait, for an enzyme with high thermal stability will have advantages in transportation, storage and clinical application.[54] Most of the asparaginases act optimally at pH range 7–9 (ref. 55) and temperature range of 30–40 °C.[52,56,57] The crude asparaginase and glutaminase from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed maximum activity at pH 9 and at 45 °C. Many reports are in agreement with a similar finding of our work.[9,11–13] This result indicates the anticancer enzymes were highly stable and can encounter adverse physiochemical conditions when used as a drug. Sometimes, metal ions are important for enzyme actions and their structural modifications. Among the various metal ions tested, K^+ and Mg^{2+} were found to enhance asparaginase and glutaminase activity. Mohamed *et al.*[58] and Kumar *et al.*[59] reported similar enhancement and inhibition results in asparaginase when treated with metal ions. Uricase from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed optimally higher activity at pH 9 and 40 °C under the influence of Ca^{2+} metal ion. This result was in agreement with Saeed *et al.*[38] and Anderson and Vijayakumar.[21] A few reports are available on the uricase from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* where the enzyme activity was found to be highly stable at pH 8.5 (ref. 17, 18 and 60) and 9.[20]

The *Pseudomonas* genus is well known for its extracellular proteases and biofilm formation. Hence, the isolate AR01 was screened for collagenase enzyme. Most of the collagenolytic proteases come under two major groups, either metallo or serine collagenases. Among bacterial collagenolytic proteases, metallo collagenases are the most frequent, while serine classes are very rarely seen.[61] Predominantly, microbial collagenases are found to be optimum at pH 5 to 9.5.[46] Protease from *P. aeruginosa* has characteristic features of optimal activity at pH 7 and optimal temperature of 30 °C.[62] In the current study, *P. aeruginosa* AR01 showed maximum collagenase activity at pH 7.5 and 37 °C. In the case of metal ion treatment, Ca^{2+} exhibits enhanced collagenase activity. Metal ions play a major role in improving the activity of microbial collagenase. Structural stability and activity of *Clostridium* collagenase were enhanced in the presence of calcium ions.[63–65] However, collagenase activity was significantly inhibited by Fe^{2+}.

From the zymogram analysis, a faint zone of clearance was observed in the lane loaded with CFCS treated with metalloprotease inhibitors. This indicates *P. aeruginosa* AR01 is capable of producing multiple types of proteases (serine and metalloproteases). Even though two classes of proteases are present, the metalloproteases constitute a greater extent, as there was a maximum inhibition found in the sample treated with metalloprotease inhibitor PHNT (88.90%) when compared to serine protease inhibitor PMSF (20.67%). The CFCS treated with PMSF showed 46.92 IU per mL collagenase activity, whereas the PHNT-treated CFCS exhibited 6.57 IU per mL of enzyme activity. This finding reveals *P. aeruginosa* AR01 produces metalloproteases at a dominant level when compared to serine protease. The residual collagenase activity result was in accordance with the zymogram result.

To explore the cytotoxic activity, we performed MTT assay with the human cancer cell line K-562 by measuring the cellular reduction of the tetrazolium dye MTT to its insoluble formazan crystals and observed that crude enzyme potently and significantly inhibited the proliferation of the tested cancer cell line. The cytotoxic efficacy of CFCS against the cell line is comparable to that of a commercial drug, which suggests that asparaginase or glutaminase purified from *P. aeruginosa* could be considered as an effective alternative chemotherapeutic agent. Similar cytotoxicity effect was observed in *P. otitidis*.[66]

The translated protein sequences for the corresponding therapeutic enzyme nucleotide sequences from *P. aeruginosa* AR01 were designated as asparaginase (ALB57098), glutaminase (ALP46107), uricase (ALP46108), elastase (ALI57161) and rhodanese (ALI57162). The phylogenetic tree shows the amino acid sequence of the therapeutic enzymes of *P. aeruginosa* AR01 was closely related (99–100% similarity) to that of the already reported therapeutic enzymes from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sp. Although there are plenty of reports stating the presence of these therapeutic enzymes in many strains of *P. aeruginosa*, there are no such wet lab reports confirming the enzyme activity in terms of both functional- and sequence-based approach in any *P. aeruginosa* sp.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a ubiquitous yet unique bacterium with an exceptional social IQ, is renowned for its wide range of enzyme profiles, making it an important candidate in modern biotechnology. A familiar anecdote concerning *Pseudomonas* spp. is that, for any real or imagined hydrocarbon, there is a species of *Pseudomonas* that can catabolize it given oxygen or nitrite and sufficient time.[67] This wondrous species that can breed even in mundane distilled water can do miracles when it was cultured with a nourishing MM supplemented with asparagine. Recent exploration of *P. aeruginosa* for antibiotics against tuberculosis[68] and anticancer compounds[69,70] has been initiated. Many new antibiotics and anticancer drugs have been identified from marine bacteria. Recently, it was estimated that the culturability of microorganisms from marine sediments (0.25%) and especially seawater (0.001–0.10%) is considerably lower compared to those of soil (0.30%).[71] Of the total sea surface, only 7–8% is a coastal and shallow area, the rest is an abyssal zone.[72] So far, only the surface has been scratched. Modern technology enables us to go much deeper into the ocean facilitating the exploration of untouched environments.

5. Conclusions

In summary, for the first time a novel marine bacterium, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01, has been claimed to produce multiple therapeutic enzymes such as asparaginase (125.04 IU per mL), glutaminase (112.80 IU per mL), uricase (12.53 IU per mL) and collagenase (59.49 IU per mL) at a significant level that simultaneously exhibited excellent therapeutic potential in its crude form. Although there are many scientific reports on the production of these therapeutic enzymes from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, they emerged as a result of either functional research or benchwork research (bioinformatics). This is the first study to report the one-pot production of multiple therapeutic enzymes from a single strain which is confirmed by both functional and sequence-based approaches. Biochemical study shows the therapeutic enzymes from *P. aeruginosa* have a great scope in medical applications as they exhibited unique enzyme activity over wide temperature and pH ranges. In conclusion, we have developed a simple and contemporary process to screen therapeutic enzymes from bacteria. This approach possesses unique economy features such as production of multiple enzymes in a low-cost, simple, downstream-friendly production medium at a significant level with high potential to change the aspect of *Pseudomonas* sp. from its neglect due to its mythical pathogenicity. This present work may render a new insight into the rational research of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for the exploration of therapeutic enzymes. Therefore, this method will attract much attention in academic and pharmaceutical research. Further details of the purification and amino acid sequence of these enzymes are being pursued in our laboratory. At this point, we believe that these results from the current study emphasize the therapeutic importance of *Pseudomonas* sp. as a lead organism for further extensive research. Hence, we conclude that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* AR01 seems to have promising potential in red biotechnology.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

References

1 L. Zhang, R. An, J. Wang, N. Sun, S. Zhang, J. Hu and J. Kuai, *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.*, 2005, **8**, 276–281.

2 P. Mane and V. Tale, *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.*, 2015, **4**(4), 17–26.

3 G. M. Cragg and D. J. Newman, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2013, **1830**, 3670–3695.

4 A. B. Soliev, K. Hosokawa and K. Enomoto, *Evid. Based Complement Altern. Med.*, 2011, **2011**, 1–17.

5 A. C. Gregory, A. A. Zayed, N. Conceicao-Neto, B. Temperton, B. Bolduc, A. Alberti, *et al.*, *Cell*, 2019, **117**, 1–15.

6 J. Kennedy, J. R. Marchesi and A. D. W. Dobson, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2008, **7**, 27–37.

7 J. Hunter-Cevera, D. Karl and M. Buckley, *Marine microbial diversity: The key to earth's habitability*, American Academy of Microbiology, Washington, DC, USA, 2005, vol. 1–21.

8 B. D. Ensley, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 1994, **5**(3), 249–252.

9 A. A. El-Bessoumy, M. Sarhan and J. Mansour, *J. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2004, **37**, 387–393.

10 R. A. F. Yasser and A. O. Zakia, *Process Biochem.*, 2002, **38**, 115–122.

11 S. Dutta, R. Roy and D. Lahiri, *J. Microbiol., Biotechnol. Food Sci.*, 2015, **05**, 34–39.

12 A. B. Dalfard, *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **4**, 388–397.

13 T. Kuwabara, A. A. Prihanto, M. Wakayama and K. Takagi, *Procedia Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **28**, 72–77.

14 P. J. Prakash, E. Poorani, P. Anantharaman and T. Balasubramaniam, *Res. J. Microbiol.*, 2009, **4**, 168–172.

15 S. R. Kumar and M. Chandrasekaran, *Process Biochem.*, 2003, **38**, 1431–1436.

16 W. Frank and G. E. Hahn, *Z. Physiol. Chem.*, 1955, **301**, 90–106.

17 S. Khade, S. K. Srivastava and A. D. Tripathi, *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **8**, 139–145.

18 Y. R. Abdel-Fattah, H. M. Saeed, Y. M. Gohar and M. A. El-Baz, *Process Biochem.*, 2005, **40**, 1707–1714.

19 H. M. Saeed, Y. R. Abdel-Fattah, Y. M. Gohar and M. A. El-Baz, *Pol. J. Microbiol.*, 2004, **53**, 45–52.

20 S. K. Abdullah and M. T. Flayyih, *Iraqi J. Sci.*, 2015, **56**, 2253–2263.

21 A. Anderson and S. Vijayakumar, *J. Exp. Sci.*, 2011, **2**, 5–8.

22 M. I. Shaaban, E. Abdelmegeed and Y. M. Ali, *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **25**(6), 887–892.

23 B. Diener, L. Carrick Jr and R. S. Berk, *Infect. Immun.*, 1973, **7**(2), 212–217.

24 G. Wellisch, E. Cohen, Z. Cahane and J. Horowitz, *J. Clin. Microbiol.*, 1984, **20**, 1020–1021.

25 D. Chakraborty, S. Basu, C. Payel, K. Subarata and S. Das, *Int. J. Microbiol. Res.*, 2011, **2**, 208–212.

26 S. Das and D. Chakraborty, *Int. J. Infect. Dis.*, 2012, **16**, e213.

27 R. V. Mahajan, S. Saran, R. K. Saxena and A. K. Srivastava, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2013, **341**, 122–126.

28 R. Ravichandran, S. Hemaasri, S. S. Cameotra and N. S. Jayaprakash, *Protein Expression Purif.*, 2015, **114**, 136–142.

29 W. Suphatharaprateep, B. Cheirsilp and A. Jongjareonrak, *New Biotechnol.*, 2011, **28**, 649–655.

30 P. R. Krishnapura and P. D. Belur, *J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym.*, 2016, **124**, 83–91.

31 S. K. Kim, J. P. Park, J. B. Kim and F. Shahidi, *J. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2002, **35**, 165–171.

32 N. Teramura, K. Tanaka, K. Iijima, O. Hayashida, K. Suzuki, S. Hattori and S. Irie, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2011, **193**, 3049–3056.

33 F. C. Lopes, L. A. D. Silva, D. M. Tichota, D. J. Daroit, R. V. Velho, J. Q. Pereira, A. P. F. Corrêa and A. Brandelli, *Enzyme Res.*, 2011, **2011**, 1–9.

34 V. S. Thite and A. S. Nerurkar, *J. Appl. Microbiol.*, 2018, **124**, 1147–1163.

35 Q. Luo, Y. Chen, J. Xia, K. Q. Wang, Y. J. Cai, X. R. Liao and Z. B. Guan, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2018, **109**, 11–19.

36 Y. Jagadeesan, S. Athinarayanan, S. B. M. Ayub and A. Balaih, *Probiotics Antimicrob. Proteins*, 2019, DOI: 10.1007/s12602-019-09541-w.

37 H. Liu, L. Xiao, J. Wei, J. C. Schmitz, M. Liu, C. Wang, L. Cheng, N. Wu, L. Chen, Y. Zhang and X. Lin, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **29**, 1271–1278.

38 C. K. Stover, X. Q. Pham, A. L. Erwin, S. D. Mizoguchi, P. Warrener, F. S. Hickey, *et al.*, *Nature*, 2006, **406**, 959–964.

39 D. I. Prabhu, S. G. Sankar, P. T. Vasan, P. S. Piriya, B. K. Selvan and S. J. Vennison, *Acta Trop.*, 2013, **127**, 158–164.

40 S. Kumar, K. Pakshirajan and V. V. Dasu, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2009, **84**, 477–486.

41 A. Ebrahiminezhad, S. Rasoul-Amini and Y. Ghasemi, *Indian J. Microbiol.*, 2012, **51**, 307–311.

42 J. Chen, N. Jiang, T. Wang, G. Xie, Z. Zhang, H. Li, J. Yuan, Z. Sun and J. Chen, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2016, **82**, 522–529.

43 C. C. Lee, X. W. Wu, R. A. Gibbs, R. G. Cook, D. M. Muzny and C. T. Caskey, *Science*, 1988, **239**, 1288–1291.

44 A. Magda, T. Sanaa, A. Saleh and A. Reda, *Turk. J. Biol.*, 2013, **37**, 520–529.

45 W. A. Lotfy, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2008, **99**, 699–702.

46 G. K. Pal and P. V. Suresh, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 33763–33780.

47 Q. Wu, C. Li, C. Li, H. Chen and L. Shuliang, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **160**, 129–139.

48 R. B. Fick Jr, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa the Opportunist, Pathogenesis and Disease*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1993.

49 W. Kim, F. K. Tengra, Z. Young, J. Shong, N. Marchand, H. K. Chan, R. C. Pangule, M. Parra, J. S. Dordick, J. L. Plawsky and C. H. Collins, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e62437.

50 W. Kim, F. K. Tengra, Z. Young, J. Shong, N. Marchand, H. K. Chan, R. C. Pangule, M. Parra, J. S. Dordick, J. L. Plawsky and C. H. Collins, *BMC Microbiol.*, 2013, **13**, 241.

51 L. S. England, M. Gorzelak and J. T. Trevors, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2003, **1624**, 76–80.

52 S. Kumar, V. V. Dasu and K. Pakshirajan, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, **102**, 2077–2082.

53 L. Lama, I. Romano, V. Calandrelli, B. Nicolaus and A. Gambacorta, *Res. Microbiol.*, 2005, **156**, 478–484.

54 M. J. Arrizubieta and J. Polaina, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2000, **275**, 28843–28848.

55 A. A. Prista and D. A. Kyriakidis, *Mol. Cell. Biochem.*, 2001, **216**, 93–101.

56 A. Khushoo, Y. Pal, B. N. Singh and K. J. Mukherjee, *Protein Expression Purif.*, 2004, **38**, 29–36.

57 R. V. Mahajan, V. Kumar, V. Rajendran, S. Saran, P. C. Ghosh and R. K. Saxena, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, 1–8.

58 S. A. Mohamed, M. F. Elshal, T. A. Kumosani and A. M. Aldahlawi, *Evid. Based Complement Altern. Med.*, 2015, **2015**, 1–6.

59 L. Kumar, B. Singh, D. K. Adhikari, J. Mukherjee and D. Ghosh, *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **166**, 1723–1735.

60 X. Zhou, X. H. Ma, G. Q. Sun, X. Li and K. P. Guo, *Process Biochem.*, 2005, **40**, 3749–3753.

61 L. Liu, M. Ma, Z. Cai, X. Yang and W. Wang, *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **48**, 151–160.

62 V. P. Zambare, S. S. Nilegaonkar and P. P. Kanekar, *New Biotechnol.*, 2011, **28**, 173–181.

63 N. Ohbayashi, N. Yamagata, M. Goto, K. Watanabe, Y. Yamagata and K. Murayama, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2012, **78**, 5839–5844.

64 N. Ohbayashi, T. Matsumoto, H. Shima, M. Goto, K. Watanabe, A. Yamano, *et al.*, *Biophys. J.*, 2013, **104**, 1538–1545.

65 A. Baehaki, M. T. Suhartono, S. D. Sukarno, A. B. Sitanggnag, S. Setyahadi and F. Meinhardt, *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.*, 2012, **6**, 2373–2379.

66 I. Husain, A. Sharma, S. Kumar and F. Malik, *Biochimie*, 2016, **121**, 38–51.

67 S. L. Gellatly and R. E. Hancock, *Pathog. Dis.*, 2013, **67**, 159–173.

68 E. J. Ashforth, C. Fu, X. Liu, H. Dai, F. Song, H. Guo and L. Zhang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2010, **27**, 1709–1719.

69 N. Sithranga Boopathy and K. Kathiresan, *J. Oncol.*, 2010, **2010**, 1–18.

70 S. Meesala and M. G. Watve, *Nat. Prod. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **3**, 6.

71 R. Subramani and W. Aalbersberg, *Microbiol. Res.*, 2012, **167**, 571–580.

72 S. Das, P. S. Lyla and S. A. Khan, *Curr. Sci.*, 2006, **90**, 1325–1335.