



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 18018

Lysine-based dendrimer with double arginine residues†

Nadezhda N. Sheveleva,^a Denis A. Markelov,^a Mikhail A. Vovk,^a Mariya E. Mikhailova,^a Irina I. Tarasenko,^b Peter M. Tolstoy,^a Igor M. Neelov^c and Erkki Lähderanta^d

Due to their well-defined structure, multivalency, biocompatibility, and low toxicity, lysine dendrimers can be used as safe and efficient nanocarriers for drug and gene delivery. One useful strategy for improving the gene delivery properties of dendrimers is modification with arginine amino acid (Arg) residues. Incorporation of Arg residues could be favorable for the enhancement in transfection efficiency of lysine based dendrimers. In this work, we have synthesized a new second-generation poly-L-lysine dendrimer with repeating units containing two linear Arg residues between neighboring lysine branching points (Lys-2Arg dendrimer) and studied its physicochemical properties. We confirmed the structure of Lys-2Arg dendrimer using various one- and two-dimensional ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy methods. Comparison of *T*_{1H} relaxation data for Lys-2Arg and Lys-2Lys dendrimers showed that the replacement of double Lys residues with double Arg residues resulted in a sharp decrease in the mobility of methylene groups in side segments and in the main chain of ε-Lys inner segments. We suggest that this unexpected effect is caused by a guanidine–guanidine pairing effect in water, which leads to entanglements between dendrimer branches.

Received 1st April 2019
 Accepted 29th May 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02461a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Dendrimers are nanosized artificial macromolecules with well-defined globular architecture that possess a variety of unique properties.^{1,2} They have a regular hyperbranched structure with a hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic interior, multivalency and extremely low polydispersity, which make them very suitable as nanocontainers for substances of different natures: from metal particles to genes and drugs.^{3–6} Every year, the number of papers dedicated to the study of the properties of dendrimers and their application in biomedicine and pharmaceuticals increases.^{7,8} This trend demonstrates the importance of searching for dendrimers of new compositions and architectures to achieve safer and more efficient drug and gene delivery.^{5,9,10}

Among a wide variety of dendrimers, poly-L-lysine (PLL) dendrimers have attracted especial attention from researchers

due to their high biocompatibility and relatively low toxicity. PLL dendrimers are modified with anionic, cationic and neutral amino acid residues to enhance their drug and gene delivery potential. The most common means of functionalization is through conjugation of other amino acid residues to the dendrimer terminal groups.¹¹ The dendrimer interior can also be modified by incorporation of amino acid residues between inner lysine branching points.¹²

Functionalization of a PLL dendrimer with arginine (Arg) residues improves its transfection properties, thus increasing the charge of the dendrimer.^{11,12} Arginine residues are positively charged and protonated under biological conditions due to the presence of guanidine groups.¹³ Decoration of the dendrimer periphery with Arg residues improves its interaction with a negatively charged cell membrane, facilitating membrane penetration and enhancing transfection efficiency.^{5,9,14–18} It has been shown that guanidine groups have high binding ability to phosphate groups of DNA, which increases the condensation of DNA on the dendrimer surface.^{5,9,11} The presence of arginine moieties in peptides improves cellular uptake of siRNA.^{13,19} Additionally, when we introduce charged double Arg residues between inner Lys branching points of PLL dendrimer, we make its interior more charged and thus more hydrophilic. Due to this reason, such modified arginine-rich dendrimer is less suitable for encapsulation of hydrophobic drugs but is of great interest for gene delivery. Transfection is enhanced by increasing the cationic charge of dendrimer.¹⁹ In the work,²⁰

^aSt. Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya Nab., St. Petersburg, 190034 Russia. E-mail: n.n.sheveleva@spbu.ru

^bInstitute of Macromolecular Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, Bolshoi Prospekt 31, V.O., St. Petersburg, 199004 Russia. E-mail: itarasenko@list.ru

^cSt. Petersburg National Research University of Information Technologies, Mechanics and Optics (ITMO University), Kronverkskiy Pr. 49, St. Petersburg, 197101 Russia. E-mail: i.neelov@mail.ru

^dDepartment of Physics, LUT University, Box 20, 53851 Lappeenranta, Finland. E-mail: erkki.lahderanta@lut.fi

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02461a



dendrimers with inner segments containing lysine–leucine or arginine–leucine amino acid residues were synthesized and investigated. It was found that dendrimers with Arg residues in the inner segments exhibited three-fold higher DNA transfection than dendrimers with Lys residues.

The aim of the present work is to take the first steps in studying a new poly-L-lysine dendrimer containing double Arg residues with guanidine groups in side segments (Fig. 1 and 2). We have attached arginine residues to the inner segments, rather than to the terminal groups, of the dendrimer, *i.e.* inserting arginine residues in each inner dendrimer shells except the terminal shell. This structure makes a dendrimer more cationic and can contribute to a more uniform distribution of charged groups in the volume of the dendrimer that should result in better transfection efficiency.²⁰ At the same time, Arg residues in dendrimer interior should be available to water and other small molecules because it is well known that about 70% of the volume inside the lysine dendrimers is occupied by water.²¹ We believe that the introduction of arginine into the dendrimer interior will significantly improve the delivery of RNA and DNA and promote the formation of a more stable complex between dendrimers and RNA/DNA molecules and an increase in their transfection. In this paper, we perform the synthesis of arginine-modified poly-L-lysine dendrimer as well as confirm and characterize its structure and physico-chemical properties by ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy.

Experimental

Lysine-based second-generation dendrimer with inserted Arg residues (Lys-2Arg dendrimer) was synthesized by standard solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) (see ESI†). The structure of the investigated dendrimer is shown in Fig. 2. The core of Lys-2Arg dendrimer consists of alanine amino acid residue. The inner segments contain ϵ - or α -part of Lys and two Arg residues. The side segments consist of ϵ -parts of Arg residues containing guanidine groups. The terminal segments contain Lys residues. Lys-2Arg dendrimer has asymmetric branching.

All NMR measurements were performed on a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz NMR (500 MHz and 126 MHz are frequencies for ^1H

and ^{13}C , respectively) spectrometer equipped with a standard 5 mm BBFO direct observation probe and a Great 1/60A gradient system with a MIC S2 Diff/30 diffusive probe with a ^1H convolution compensation coil (EVT). One-dimensional ^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded. We also performed two-dimensional NMR experiments to confirm the Lys-2Arg dendrimer structure. ^1H – ^1H COSY, ^1H – ^{13}C HSQC, and HMBC spectra were obtained using standard pulse sequences. The ^1H spin-lattice relaxation times, $T_{1\text{H}}$, were acquired with an “inversion-recovery” pulse sequence modified by the destructive gradient pulses at the beginning of the sequence (“spoiler-recovery” sequence).²² The diffusion coefficient was measured by a stimulated echo pulse sequence with bipolar gradients to compensate for the effect of convection.²³ We explored the signals from the CH_2 groups chemically connected with N-atoms to study the orientational mobility in the dendrimer by NMR relaxation.

According to Fig. 2, Lys-2Arg dendrimer has the CH_2 –(N) groups inside the main chain, but only in those segments that contain the ϵ -part of lysine, and we consider them as “inner” groups in this study. Also, Lys-2Arg-dendrimer has the “side” CH_2 –(N) groups of Arg residues in side segments. Methylene groups connected with protonated NH_3^+ groups in the terminal segments are considered “terminal” ones.

Lys-2Arg dendrimer was dissolved in 0.154 M NaCl D_2O (saline solution) at a concentration of about 1.50 g dl^{-1} .

Results

^1H and ^{13}C spectral studies

There are three regions in the ^1H NMR spectrum (Fig. 3), where peaks are observed: 4.39–3.89 ppm; 3.26–2.92 ppm and 2.03–1.22 ppm. In the first region (4.39–3.89 ppm), peaks 1–3 refer to CH groups in the dendrimer. The sum of the integrals for peaks 1–3 corresponds to the total content of protons in CH groups and has been taken as a reference. The other two regions belong to CH_2 groups in different chemical environments. These are groups bonded to nitrogen-containing groups (peaks 4–6), and groups located in aliphatic parts (peaks 7–9). The integral intensity of peaks in these areas corresponds well to the chemical structure of the dendrimer (see Table 1).

According to the chemical structure (Fig. 2), the dendrimer under consideration has several types of CH groups located: (1) at the Lys branching points between the inner segments; (2) at the side segments; (3) at the Lys branching points between the inner and the terminal segments. However, as will be shown below, there is no exact correspondence between peaks 1–3 and types of CH groups.

The electronegativity of a nitrogen atom influences protons in CH_2 groups and leads to their increased chemical shift with respect to other CH_2 groups. This means that peaks 4–6 in the range of 3.26–2.92 ppm belong to the CH_2 groups attached to nitrogen (CH_2 –(N)). Three distinct peaks indicate the presence of CH_2 –(N) groups in three different chemical environments. According to the chemical structure, there are CH_2 –(N) groups in the main chain and in the side segments, and CH_2 –(N) groups bonded to the protonated NH_3^+ groups in the terminal




Fig. 1 Schematic structure of the second generation Lys-2Arg dendrimer. Green color marks the core, black corresponds to the main chain, violet marks the side segments, and red corresponds to the terminal segments. Green and red circles show the Lys branching points in inner and terminal segments, respectively.






Fig. 2 Chemical structure of Lys-2Arg dendrimer. The core of Lys-2Arg dendrimer consists of alanine amino acid residue. The inner segments contain ϵ - or α -part of Lys and two Arg residues. The side segments consist of ϵ -parts of Arg residues containing guanidine groups. The terminal segments contain Lys residues. Green color marks the core, black corresponds to the main chain, violet indicates the side segments, and red corresponds to the terminal segments. Green and red open circles show the Lys branching points in inner and terminal segments, respectively. Blue open circles show the CH groups of Arg. The CH₂ groups of inner segment (i.e. of ϵ -part of branched Lys) connected to NH groups are highlighted by light blue, CH₂ groups connected to NH groups in side segments are highlighted by orange, CH₂ groups connected to protonated NH₃⁺ groups in terminal segments (i.e. in ϵ -parts of terminal Lys) are highlighted by magenta.




Fig. 3 ¹H NMR spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer at 298 K. The letter symbols correspond to the designations of the groups in Fig. 2. The attribution of peaks 1–9 is shown in Table 1.



Table 1 Chemical shift assignments and integral values

Peak number	Type of group	Chemical shift, ppm	Integral value		Number of groups	Number of protons in groups
1	CH-(N)	4.34	20.5	44.00	44	44
2	CH-(N)	4.23	16.3			
3	CH-(N)	3.93	7.2			
4	CH ₂ -(N) (side) ^a	3.19	60.9	68.9	28	56
5	CH ₂ -(N) (inner)	3.10, 3.19	8.0		7	14
6	CH ₂ -NH ₃ ⁺ (terminal)	2.99	16.2	16.2	8	16
7, 8, 9	CH ₂ , CH ₃ ^b	2.03–1.24	205.4	205.4	101 + 1 ^b	202 + 3 ^b

^a 92% side groups. ^b Located in core.

segments (Fig. 2). The integral value of peak 6 is proportional to the number of protons in CH₂-(N) groups in the terminal segments. Thus, peaks 4 and 5 refer to the side and the inner groups, respectively. Notice that the integral value of peak 5 is lower than the content of protons. Furthermore, the sum of the protons of peaks 4 and 5 is equal to 70 and the integral intensity is 68.9 (Table 1). We assume that peak 4 corresponds mainly to the signal from the side groups, and peak 5 corresponds to the signal from part of the protons of the inner groups. Then, using the ¹H-¹³C HMQC, HMBC, and ¹H-¹H COSY experiments, we have shown that our assumption is true. For accuracy and consistency in using of terminology, we will associate peak 4 with the side groups (symbol *l* in Fig. 2 and 3), and peak 5 with the inner groups (symbol *g* in Fig. 2 and 3).

Peaks 7–9 are assigned to all other CH₂ groups of Lys-2Arg dendrimer. Unfortunately, we were not able to carry out a detailed analysis in this region of the spectrum due to the overlapping of various peaks.

Fig. 4 shows the ¹³C NMR spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer. According to the chemical structure, there are five types of ¹³C nuclei in the dendrimer: methyl (CH₃), methylene (CH₂), methine (CH), carbonyl (CO) and quaternary (C). The signals in the range of 173.5–172.9 ppm belong to carbon atoms located in carboxyl groups. The quaternary carbon of the guanidine group of arginine has a chemical shift equal to 156.71 ppm. The group of peaks in the range of 54–52.95 ppm refers to the carbon

atoms in the CH groups. The next group of peaks in the range of 40.67–39 ppm refers to carbons of CH₂ groups bonded to nitrogen atom. In the region of 31.25–21.20 ppm, there are peaks from carbons in the CH₂ groups of the aliphatic part of the dendrimer.

The primary analysis of the spectrum does not allow establishing the correspondence between peaks and carbon atoms of a particular segment. For accurate correlation of peaks in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, we measured two-dimensional spectra. This allowed the possibility to determine a connection between the peaks in the spectrum of (i) hydrogen atoms in neighboring CH_n groups (¹H-¹H COSY), (ii) carbons and hydrogens in one group (¹H-¹³C HSQC), and (iii) carbons and protons in adjacent groups and groups separated by two to four chemical bonds (¹H-¹³C HMBC).

The detailed analysis of two-dimensional spectra is provided in ESI.† Here, we present only the main results and conclusions. Cross peaks (3.19; 39.19) and (3.09; 39.19) on the ¹H-¹³C HMQC spectrum of the dendrimer (Fig. 5) confirm that protons of the inner groups contribute to peaks 4 and 5 in Fig. 3 and Table 1. According to the ¹H-¹H COSY spectrum (Fig. 6), protons with chemical shifts of 3.09 and 3.19 ppm are in the same group. These two facts indicate that hydrogen nuclei in the same CH₂ group have different chemical shifts (*i.e.*, nonequivalent protons). In this case, the contribution of inner groups should be divided into two equal parts between peaks 4 and 5.




Fig. 4 ¹³C NMR spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer at 298 K. The letter symbols correspond to the designations of the groups in Fig. 2.






Fig. 5 Two-dimensional ^1H - ^{13}C HSQC spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer in the range of 3.5–2.7 ppm at 298 K.




Fig. 6 Two-dimensional ^1H - ^1H COSY spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer in the range of 3.4–2.9 ppm at 298 K.

According to Table 1, the calculated integral value of peak 5 is actually lower than the content of protons in the inner groups. Consequently, the part of the protons in the inner groups gives a signal at peak 4. The nonequivalence of these protons can be explained by their vicinity to the chiral carbon atom in the CH group of Arg (symbol *i* in Fig. 2). Despite chirality being present in most amino acids, we did not observe such an effect in the PLL dendrimers (with Lys-2Lys and Lys repeating units) that we studied earlier.^{24,25} It seems that features of the chemical structure of arginine contribute to the appearance of another peak, namely peak 5 (Fig. 3), in the range of the signal from $\text{CH}_2\text{-(N)}$ groups.

We conclude that 28 protons of CH groups of the side segments are distributed between peaks 1 and 2 as follows: seven protons (symbol *i* in Fig. 2) give a signal at peak 2, and all other protons (symbol *o* in Fig. 2) contribute to peak 1. According to the cross peak (4.25; 30.67) (Fig. 7), the protons of CH groups at Lys branching points between the inner segments (Fig. 2, green open circles) also contribute to the integral of peak 2.

By analogy with $\text{CH}_2\text{-(N)}$ groups, we consider that the protons at peak 3 with the smallest chemical shift of 3.94 ppm belong to CH groups located at Lys branching points between the inner and the terminal segments (Fig. 2, red open circles).

According to ^1H - ^{13}C HMBC data, the assignment of chemical shift at 156.71 ppm (symbol *m* in Fig. 4) to the carbon signal of the guanidine group is confirmed by the presence of cross-peak (3.19; 156.71) (Fig. 7) with protons in the side $\text{CH}_2\text{-(N)}$ groups in Arg residues. Also, the chemical shift (156.71 ppm) of carbon in the guanidine group is close to the chemical shift of carbon in the guanidine group in L-arginine (159.50 ppm).

Thus, the detailed analysis of the one-dimensional and two-dimensional NMR spectra on ^1H and ^{13}C nuclei make it possible to confirm the structure of Lys-2Arg dendrimer. Moreover, the ratio of CH, $\text{CH}_2\text{-(N)}$ and CH_2 groups in the ^1H NMR spectrum (Fig. 3) differs by less than 2% from the theoretically calculated one according to the structural formula (Fig. 2). Also, it is important to repeat that the inner groups possess chemically nonequivalent protons.

Diffusion

We estimated the hydrodynamic parameters of Lys-2Arg dendrimer. The diffusion coefficient is $D = 1.02 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The calculation details are presented in ESI.† This value allows us to determine the hydrodynamic radius by using the Stokes-Einstein eqn (1):

$$D = \frac{k_B T}{6\pi\eta R_h} \quad (1)$$

where η is the solvent viscosity and R_h is the hydrodynamic radius; T and k_B are temperature and Boltzmann constant, respectively.


The calculated hydrodynamic radius from eqn (1) is 1.93 nm. It was found that the diffusion coefficient and hydrodynamic radius are the same as in Lys-2Lys dendrimer, which we obtained in our previous paper.²⁴ In comparison with Lys-2Lys dendrimer, Lys-2Arg dendrimer has a slightly higher density equal to 0.35 g cm^{-3} (in contrast to 0.31 g cm^{-3}). Therefore, we can conclude that the guanidine groups in side segments of Lys-2Arg dendrimer do not lead to significant changes in size and density of Lys-2Arg dendrimer in comparison with Lys-2Lys dendrimer.

^1H NMR relaxation

Local orientational mobility in macromolecules can be studied by NMR relaxation measurements. For these studies, we considered the temperature dependence of spin-lattice relaxation for the peptide dendrimers. The spin-lattice relaxation rate for the dipole-dipole relaxation mechanism of ^1H nuclei is written as^{24,26–30}

$$\frac{1}{T_{1H}} = A_0(J(\omega_H, \tau_i) + 4J(2\omega_H, \tau_i)), \quad (2)$$

where ω_H is the cyclic resonance frequency for ^1H nuclei; A_0 is a constant that does not depend on temperature and frequency; and J is the spectral density that corresponds to Fourier






Fig. 7 Two-dimensional ^1H - ^{13}C HMBP spectrum of Lys-2Arg dendrimer in the range of 4.6–2.7 ppm at 298 K. The letter symbols correspond to the designations of the groups in Fig. 2.

transform of P_2 orientational autocorrelation functions averaged over groups contributing to a corresponding peak. In the general case, the spectral density function for ^1H nuclei has the form

$$J(n\omega_{\text{H}}, \tau_i) = \sum_i \frac{C_i \tau_i}{1 + (\tau_i n\omega_{\text{H}})^2}, \quad (3)$$

where τ_i and C_i are, respectively, i th correlation times and their contribution to J , and $n = 1, 2$. The correlation time is determined by Arrhenius dependence

$$\tau = \tau_0 \exp\left(\frac{E_a}{k_{\text{B}}T}\right), \quad (4)$$

where E_a is the activation energy for mobility of the chosen group.

It is important to note that spectral density for different groups of a dendrimer macromolecule cannot be described in the framework of usual simplifications that take into account one or two correlation times. The developed theory of orientational mobility in dendrimers and hyperbranched macromolecules^{25,31–42} shows that local reorientation of a group in the dendrimer is determined by three main processes:

(i) Local reorientation of a given group which can be characterized by one relaxation time that is independent of the macromolecule size and the position of the group in the dendrimer;

(ii) Pulsation of branches/subbranches characterized by a set of relaxation times corresponding to the rotation as a whole of the pulsating branches/subbranches with various sizes;

(iii) The rotation of the dendrimer as a whole depends only on the size of the dendrimer.

We presented a detailed description of this theory in our recent review.⁴³ It is important to note that the developed theory is in agreement with the experimental data, in particular for




Fig. 8 Temperature dependences of the spin-lattice relaxation rate, $1/T_{1\text{H}}$, for different groups in Lys-2Arg and Lys-2Lys dendrimers. Experimental data are shown as symbols. Dash and solid lines indicate the fitting of experimental data for Lys-2Arg dendrimer by eqn (2)–(4) and Arrhenius equation, respectively.



Table 2 Calculation of mobility parameters of CH₂–(N) groups in eqn (2)–(4)

Type of CH ₂ –(N) groups	$A_0 \times 10^{-10}$ ($\pm 20\%$)	τ_0 [ps] ($\pm 20\%$)	E_a [kJ mol ⁻¹] ($\pm 5\%$)	τ_c [ps] at 298 K
Side ^a	0.41	0.21	17	200
Inner	0.38	1.03	14	293
Terminal	0.38 ^b	0.21 ^b	14	60 ^b

^a 92% side groups. ^b The absence of a maximum of dependence for the terminal groups does not allow extracting τ_c from this dependence. Thus, we used parameter A_0 for the inner groups and calculated τ_0 by $\tau_0 = \frac{e^a}{5A_0}$, where a is a parameter of Arrhenius fitting. This equation can be obtained from eqn (2)–(4) when $\omega\tau_c \ll 1$. In this table the values of τ_c are shown.

poly(acryl ether) dendrimers^{44,45} where it is possible to measure $1/T_{1H}$ for different dendrimer shells.

Fig. 8 shows the temperature dependences of $1/T_{1H}$ for the side (peak 4), inner (peak 5) and terminal groups (peak 6).

Exponential growth of the $1/T_{1H}$ dependence with decreasing temperature is observed for the terminal groups. The results of fitting by Arrhenius equation are shown in Table 2. Activation energy, E_a , of the terminal groups equals to 14 kJ mol⁻¹ and is similar to the E_a value for the terminal groups in Lys dendrimer.³⁸

In the case of the side and inner groups, the maxima of $1/T_{1H}$ dependencies have appeared. The maximum for the inner groups is at a higher temperature (323 K) than for the side groups (303 K). To estimate the difference between correlation times of the side and inner groups, we approximated $1/T_{1H}$ dependences by eqn (2)–(4) using the simplest approach with one correlation time, τ_c , which is an average relaxation time in the region of the $1/T_{1H}$ maximum. Results of these fittings are shown in Table 2. The activation energy of the side groups is higher than E_a of inner groups but τ_c is shorter for the side groups. For illustration, we present the calculated values of τ_c at room temperature (Table 2). Apparently, all three processes contribute to the mobility of the inner groups; as a result, we see a wider maximum than for the side groups (Fig. 8). In the case of the side groups, the maximum should be determined only by the second process – branch pulsations. Also, an important result is that the correlation time for the terminal groups is significantly faster than τ_c for the side and inner groups. This result is caused by the fact that the correlation time depends only on the mobility of the terminal segment, *i.e.*, the smallest possible dendrimer branch.⁴³ The explanation of this behavior is presented below in Discussion.

Discussion

As mentioned above, the size of Lys-2Arg dendrimer does not change in comparison with Lys-2Lys dendrimer. However, NMR relaxation of the inner and the side groups in Lys-2Arg dendrimer changed dramatically. To illustrate these changes, we compare $1/T_{1H}$ dependences for Lys-2Arg and Lys-2Lys dendrimers in Fig. 8. The temperature dependences of $1/T_{1H}$ for the terminal groups of both dendrimers are practically identical and possess exponential growth with a decrease of temperature. This behavior is due to the high orientational mobility of the

terminal groups in both dendrimers. The opposite is true for the side groups. In Lys-2Lys dendrimers, the side groups have the same high mobility as the terminal groups. In Lys-2Arg, the temperature dependence of $1/T_{1H}$ for the side groups has the maximum at 303 K. The correlation time, τ_c , of the side groups is longer by several times than τ_c of the terminal groups. This demonstrates the low mobility of the side groups. It is important to note that the shift in the position of $1/T_{1H}$ maximum to high temperatures means the slowing down of the orientational mobility. The inner groups in Lys-2Arg dendrimer have lower mobility than the side groups because the maximum is achieved at 323 K and τ_c is longer than τ_c of the side groups.

According to the theory, the mobility of the side segments should be similar to the mobility of the terminal segments.^{24,40} This statement was confirmed by our recent experimental work using Lys-2Lys dendrimers.²⁴ The opposing situation is in Lys-2Arg dendrimer, where the mobility of the side groups is similar to the mobility of the inner ones. This effect is probably connected with some specific feature of guanidine groups in the side segments of Lys-2Arg dendrimer.

The obvious explanation for this effect is that there are extra interactions between the guanidine groups, with each other or with other dendrimer parts. Unfortunately, we cannot establish the nature of these guanidine group interactions by using NMR methods. However, the interaction between guanidine groups in neighboring side segments occurs due to a like-charge arginine–arginine pairing effect.^{46–49} This effect is absent in aqueous poly-lysine containing ammonium-terminated side chains.⁴⁶ Most likely, these interactions of guanidine groups of Arg residues hinder the orientational mobility of the side segments. This effect can cause a $1/T_{1H}$ maximum for the mobility of the side groups. Moreover, any additional interactions between dendrimer branches slow down the reorientation of these branches. As shown earlier (see review⁴³), the mobility of a branch – the pulsation of a branch – as a whole makes a significant contribution to the NMR relaxation of inner groups. Thus, the same guanidine interactions can lead to a shift of $1/T_{1H}$ maximum to higher temperatures. It is important to note that NMR relaxation has been utilized for studies of structural properties of dendrimers; for example, see our works in ref. 50–52.

Additionally, we would like to draw attention to the nonequivalent protons in the inner groups, which were found in the analysis of the NMR spectra above. On the one hand, this



nonequivalence can be explained by the chirality of lysine and arginine amino acids. On the other hand, it is more likely caused by a slowdown in the mobility of the side segments. Thus, the conformation in which hydrogen nuclei within the same CH₂ group have different chemical shifts is the predominant conformation for Lys-2Arg dendrimer. This assumption is supported by the fact that there is no similar effect in Lys-2Lys dendrimer, in which the guanidine groups are “replaced” with NH₂. However, we should highlight the difference between NMR spectroscopy and relaxation data. In NMR relaxation, the correlation time values for dendrimer groups do not exceed 1 ns. However, to detect the nonequivalence of the protons of the CH₂ group in an NMR spectral experiment, the lifetime of this conformation on the order of milliseconds is required.

We plan further study of the influence of the guanidine–guanidine pairing effect on NMR relaxation in dendrimers by molecular dynamic simulations methods. We believe that the possibility of detecting this pairing effect in dendrimers using a relatively simple NMR relaxation method is of interest to biological applications.

Conclusions

In this work, we have synthesized and studied physicochemical properties of a new structure of second-generation poly-L-lysine dendrimer, in which segments (repeating units) between lysine branching points of the dendrimer consist of two linear Arg residues. This modification could be favorable for an increase of transfection by lysine based dendrimers that is important for biomedical applications.²⁰

Lys-2Arg dendrimer was synthesized by standard solid phase peptide synthesis. The structure of the dendrimer (see Fig. 1 and 2) was established by one and two dimensional ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, including ¹H–¹H COSY, ¹H–¹³C HSQC, and HMBC techniques as well as relaxation (*T*_{1H}) and diffusion measurements.

NMR spectroscopy studies allowed us not only to confirm the claimed structure but also to find the presence of nonequivalence of protons in the inner CH₂–(N) groups, which is absent in similar Lys-2Lys dendrimer. Moreover, this circumstance should be taken into account in further study of peptide dendrimers. It will allow more accurate analysis of the ¹H NMR spectra and avoid misinterpretation of the integral values. *T*_{1H} relaxation measurements showed a non-typical sharp decrease in mobility of methylene groups in side segments. For typical dendrimer topology, the mobility of the side CH₂–(N) groups should be close to fast mobility of the terminal CH₂–(N) groups; for instance, see the results for Lys-2Lys dendrimer in ref. 24 In the case of Lys-2Arg dendrimer, the mobility of side segments is similar to the mobility of inner segments. Our estimation of the correlation times, *τ*_c, at room temperature for CH₂–(N) groups in the inner, side, and terminal segments gave the following values: 290 ps, 200 ps, and 60 ps, respectively. This unexpected *T*_{1H} behavior is most likely caused by well-known guanidine–guanidine pairing effect in water, which leads to entanglements between dendrimer branches. Thus, the unique structure of the dendrimer creates the possibility to identify the presence of

entanglements inside the macromolecule using fairly simple measurements of NMR relaxation. We believe that this finding will be important not only to establish the structure of dendrimers but also to study a wider class of hyperbranched macromolecules.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The NMR measurements were carried out in the Center for Magnetic Resonance of Research Park of St. Petersburg State University. This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grants No. 19-13-00087). E. L. and N. N. S. are thankful for the Researcher Mobility Grant of the Academy of Finland (No. 318608) and the travel grant provided by Saint Petersburg State University (Event 6, ID 36154550). I. M. N. was supported by grant 08-08 of the Government of Russian Federation.

References

- 1 A. Samad, I. Alam and K. Saxena, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2009, **15**, 2958–2969.
- 2 E. Abbasi, S. F. Aval, A. Akbarzadeh, M. Milani, H. T. Nasrabadi, S. W. Joo, Y. Hanifehpour, K. Nejati-Koshki and R. Pashaei-Asl, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2014, **9**, 247.
- 3 S. Mutalik, H. S. Parekh, Y. G. Anissimov, J. E. Grice and M. S. Roberts, *Skin Pharmacol. Physiol.*, 2013, **26**, 127–138.
- 4 B. Noriega-Luna, L. A. Godínez, F. J. Rodríguez, A. Rodríguez, G. Zaldívar-Lelo de Larrea, C. F. Sosa-Ferreira, R. F. Mercado-Curiel, J. Manríquez and E. Bustos, *J. Nanomater.*, 2014, **2014**, 1–19.
- 5 M. Ghaffari, G. Dehghan, F. Abedi-Gaballu, S. Kashanian, B. Baradaran, J. E. N. Dolatabadi and D. Losic, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2018, **122**, 311–330.
- 6 L. M. Bronstein and Z. B. Shifrina, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 5301–5344.
- 7 L. Palmerston Mendes, J. Pan and V. Torchilin, *Molecules*, 2017, **22**, 1401.
- 8 S. S. Santos, R. V. Gonzaga, J. V. Silva, D. F. Savino, D. Prieto, J. M. Shikay, R. S. Silva, L. H. A. Paulo, E. I. Ferreira and J. Giarolla, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2017, **95**, 907–916.
- 9 J. Yang, Q. Zhang, H. Chang and Y. Cheng, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 5274–5300.
- 10 T. Kuanga, D. Fub, L. Changb, Z. Yangb, Z. Chenc, L. Jine, F. Chenb and X. Peng, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **20**, 1820–1826.
- 11 T. Okuda, A. Sugiyama, T. Niidome and H. Aoyagi, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**, 537–544.
- 12 A. Kwok, G. A. Eggimann, M. Heitz, J. L. Reymond, F. Hollfelder and T. Darbre, *ChemBioChem*, 2016, **17**, 2223–2229.
- 13 C. Liu, X. Liu, P. Rocchi, F. Qu, J. L. Iovanna and L. Peng, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2014, **25**, 521–532.



- 14 J. B. Kim, J. S. Choi, K. Nam, M. Lee, J.-S. Park and J.-K. Lee, *J. Controlled Release*, 2006, **114**, 110–117.
- 15 T. Kim, C. Z. Bai, K. Nam and J. Park, *J. Controlled Release*, 2009, **136**, 132–139.
- 16 H. Hirose, T. Takeuchi, H. Osakada, S. Pujals, S. Katayama, I. Nakase, S. Kobayashi, T. Haraguchi and S. Futaki, *Mol. Ther.*, 2012, **20**, 984–993.
- 17 K. Luo, C. Li, L. Li, W. She, G. Wang and Z. Gu, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 4917–4927.
- 18 T. Kim, J. Baek, C. Zhe Bai and J.-S. Park, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 2061–2067.
- 19 X. Liu, C. Liu, J. Zhou, C. Chen, F. Qu, J. J. Rossi, P. Rocchi and L. Peng, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 3867–3875.
- 20 A. Kwok, G. A. Eggimann, J.-L. L. Reymond, T. Darbre and F. Hollfelder, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 4668–4682.
- 21 S. Falkovich, D. Markelov, I. Neelov and A. Darinskii, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **139**, 064903.
- 22 G. H. Sorland, in *Dynamic Pulsed-Field-Gradient NMR*, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberger Platz 3, D-14197 Berlin, Germany, 2014, vol. 110, pp. 169–190.
- 23 A. Jerschow and N. Müller, *J. Magn. Reson., Ser. A*, 1996, **123**, 222–225.
- 24 N. N. Sheveleva, D. A. Markelov, M. A. Vovk, M. E. Mikhailova, I. I. Tarasenko, I. M. Neelov and E. Lähderanta, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 1–7.
- 25 D. A. Markelov, S. G. Falkovich, I. M. Neelov, M. Y. Ilyash, V. V. Matveev, E. Lähderanta, P. Ingman and A. A. Darinskii, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 3214–3226.
- 26 A. Abragam, *The principles of nuclear magnetism*, Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press, Oxford [Oxfordshire], New York, 1983.
- 27 N. Bloembergen, E. M. Purcell and R. V. Pound, *Phys. Rev.*, 1948, **73**, 679–712.
- 28 I. Solomon, *Phys. Rev.*, 1955, **99**, 559–565.
- 29 V. I. Chizhik, Y. S. Chernyshev, A. V. Donets, V. V. Frolov, A. V. Komolkin and M. G. Shelyapina, *Magnetic Resonance and Its Applications*, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- 30 M. H. Levitt, *Spin dynamics: basics of NMR*, Chichester, England, Hoboken, NJ, 2nd edn, 2008.
- 31 Y. Y. Gotlib and D. A. Markelov, *Polym. Sci., Ser. A*, 2004, **46**, 815–832.
- 32 O. V Shavykin, I. M. Neelov and A. A. Darinskii, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 24307–24317.
- 33 M. Dolgushev, S. Schnell and D. A. Markelov, *Appl. Magn. Reson.*, 2017, **48**, 657–671.
- 34 D. A. Markelov, F. Fürstenberg and M. Dolgushev, *Polymer*, 2018, **144**, 65–71.
- 35 Y. Y. Gotlib and D. A. Markelov, *Polym. Sci., Ser. A*, 2007, **49**, 1137–1154.
- 36 D. A. Markelov, S. V. Lyulin, Y. Y. Gotlib, A. V. Lyulin, V. V. Matveev, E. Lahderanta and A. A. Darinskii, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **130**, 1–9.
- 37 I. Neelov, S. Falkovich, D. Markelov, E. Paci, A. Darinskii and H. Tenhu, in *Dendrimers in Biomedical Applications*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, 2013, pp. 99–114.
- 38 I. M. Neelov, D. A. Markelov, S. G. Falkovich, M. Y. Ilyash, B. M. Okrugin and A. A. Darinskii, *Polym. Sci., Ser. C*, 2013, **55**, 154–161.
- 39 D. A. Markelov, M. Dolgushev, Y. Y. Gotlib and A. Blumen, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **140**, 244904.
- 40 M. Dolgushev, D. A. Markelov, F. Fürstenberg and T. Guérin, *Phys. Rev. E*, 2016, **94**, 012502.
- 41 D. A. Markelov, A. N. Shishkin, V. V. Matveev, A. V. Penkova, E. Lähderanta and V. I. Chizhik, *Macromolecules*, 2016, **49**, 9247–9257.
- 42 J. Grimm and M. Dolgushev, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 19050–19061.
- 43 D. A. Markelov, M. Dolgushev and E. Lähderanta, in *Annual Reports on NMR Spectroscopy*, ed. G. A. Webb, Academic Press, 2017, vol. 91, pp. 1–66.
- 44 L. F. Pinto, R. Riguera and E. Fernandez-Megia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11513–11516.
- 45 L. F. Pinto, J. Correa, M. Martin-Pastor, R. Riguera and E. Fernandez-Megia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1972–1977.
- 46 J. Vondrášek, P. E. Mason, J. Heyda, K. D. Collins and P. Jungwirth, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 9041–9045.
- 47 J. Gao, C. Tang, M. A. Elsayy, A. M. Smith, A. F. Miller and A. Saiani, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 826–834.
- 48 D. Lee, J. Lee and C. Seok, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 5844–5853.
- 49 C. P. Schneider, D. Shukla and B. L. Trout, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 7447–7458.
- 50 D. A. Markelov, V. V. Matveev, P. Ingman, M. N. Nikolaeva, A. V. Penkova, E. Lahderanta, N. I. Boiko and V. I. Chizhik, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 24270.
- 51 V. V. Matveev, D. A. Markelov, S. V. Dvinskikh, A. N. Shishkin, K. V. Tyutyukin, A. V. Penkova, E. A. Tatarinova, G. M. Ignat'eva and S. A. Milenin, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 13710.
- 52 D. A. Markelov, V. V. Matveev, P. Ingman, M. N. Nikolaeva, E. Lähderanta, V. A. Shevelev and N. I. Boiko, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 4159–4165.

