RSC Advances

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 18627

Received 15th March 2019 Accepted 3rd June 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02019e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1 Introduction

The structure and dynamics of matter at the nanometer scale form the basis for both natural processes and technological applications reaching far beyond the pertinent nanoscale lengths, so tuning their (sub-)nanometer architecture and (sub-) microsecond dynamics can be seen as key to tuning macroscopic properties on much larger length scales and times as well.¹ Molecular design approaches have proven to be most beneficial in the development of next-generation materials with tailor-made properties.² Assembling various molecular building units enables the construction of numerous chemical architectures with discrete (zero-dimensional, 0D) to extended (1D, 2D and 3D) structures, ranging from inorganic to pure organic components, from disordered to regular arrangements and

Institute of Chemistry, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Von-Danckelmann-Platz 4, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany. E-mail: dariush. hinderberger@chemie.uni-halle.de; Fax: +49 345 55 27576; Tel: +49 345 55 25230 † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Containing information about the used ionic building blocks, the construction of our IEDs as well as details on analyzing DLS and CW EPR data. Furthermore, additional autocorrelation functions, CW EPR spectra, UV-vis spectra and fluorescence spectra for all tested systems. See DOI: 10.1039/c9ra02019e

Dynamic self-assembly of ions with variable size and charge in solution†

Jana Eisermann, **D** Andreas Kerth and Dariush Hinderberger **D**^{*}

Recently it was found that at ambient temperatures and in specific ternary solvents a cationic macrocyclic tetraimidazolium molecular box and small dianionic salts can self-assemble into highly defined, colloid-like ionic clusters, called ionoids. Here, we present evidence that the solution-based ionic self-assembly process leading to ionoids is a general phenomenon by characterizing new ionic building blocks which are capable of generating loosely bound globular and anisotropic structures similar to those in the established system. Using new cationic and anionic molecules, we show that variations in the size ratio between cationic and anionic component mainly affect size, shape and durability of the ionic clusters. Utilizing dynamic light scattering (DLS), continuously monitored phase-analysis light scattering (cmPALS) and continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance (CW EPR) spectroscopy, we can thus define generalized ionic ratios, in which specific combinations of ionic compounds with certain size and charge densities are able to form these soft yet durable and long-lived ionic clusters. Furthermore, we characterize the temporal development of our dynamically self-assembled structures in solution from the level of the individual ionic building blocks to stable clusters with minimum lifetimes of months through previously established ionoid evolution diagrams (IEDs). The direct comparison of various cluster systems with respect to their shape, size and charges allows correlations of structural changes of the individual building blocks with the fate of self-assembled entities inside the crafted IEDs. This work generalizes the concept of ionoid formation to ions of specific sizes and charge densities, which may broaden the scope of this new type of highly dynamic and soft yet remarkably durable structures in the field of supramolecular chemistry. PAPER
 EXERCT AND AND CONSULTER CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND CONSULTS AND A VEH ASSEMBLY OF SOMETHING CONSULTS AND A V

from non-porous to porous nature.³ The interactions which are exploited to link these monomeric precursors reach from strong covalent bonds in polymers over dynamic linkages to weak supramolecular forces *e.g.* π – π interactions, halogen bonding or hydrogen bonding.2,4

Over the course of the last three decades, numerous examples of materials with precisely controlled nano-environments could be achieved, primarily in the field of porous materials, in which one of the most fundamental principles of living systems is mimicked through offering confined spaces for molecular purposes.² Furthermore, establishing e.g. metalorganic frameworks⁵⁻¹³ (MOFs, also known as porous coordination polymers^{14,15}), covalent organic frameworks¹⁶⁻²² (COFs) and hydrogen-bonding organic frameworks²³⁻³⁰ (HOFs) inside application fields like gas separation and storage, catalysis, sensing, optoelectronics, electronic devices, proton conduction, drug delivery and imaging significantly advances the development of these tailor-made materials.² At about the same time, the synthesis and development of entangled molecular components through mechanical bonds³¹⁻³⁴ was introduced, starting the templating of mechanically interlocked molecules (MIMs).³¹–³⁶ MIMs encompass besides the two archetypal examples catenanes and rotaxanes^{32-34,37-40} also molecular

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

shuttles and bistable molecular switches^{34,37,41,42} as well as framework structures due to the process of molecular weaving.³¹ Thus, the potential applications of mechanically interlocked materials include various fields, reaching from $e.g.$ nanoelectromechanical systems^{42,43} over artificial molecular machines^{35,44} to drug delivery systems.⁴⁵

The 'Texas-sized molecular box' $(1⁴⁺, Fig. 1)$, developed by Sessler and coworkers, acts as MIM and forms pseudorotaxane structures in combination with bis-carboxylate anions.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ Going beyond solid-state structures, we discovered that in solution this compound electrostatically self-assembles in the presence of small dianionic salts (methanedisulfonate, 2^{2-} or Fremy's salt dianion, 3^{2-} , see Fig. 1) into loosely bound, yet remarkably durable, ion-based colloid-like globular cluster of several nanometer size,⁴⁹ termed globular ionoids (see ref. 50). The formation of such size-monodisperse supramolecular structures due to long-range electrostatic correlations also required the right interplay of weaker noncovalent interactions (solvation, hydrogen bonding, van der Waals interactions).⁵¹ Therefore, the composition of e.g. the solvent, in our case the established mixture DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v), plays an important role in the ionic self-assembly process.⁵⁰ Based on our previous studies, we postulate an initial ion cloud state after mixing cationic and anionic building units, where no globular structures are yet formed and the system has not reached its thermodynamic balance. As we have described it previously (see ref. 50), this ion cloud state persists over an extremely long incubation time of ten days and is defined by the existence of large structures (larger than 100 nm). We currently assume (experiments are ongoing) that the internal ion distribution obeys a Poisson–Boltzmann-type or similar mean-field interaction pattern, which characterize the phase after sample preparation. However, due to already carried out Monte Carlo simulations (see ref. 49), which revealed a surprising long-range correlation of 1^{4+} at about 15 nm, we presume electrostatic and excluded volume effects as essential for the formation of ionic clusters. Reliably after the incubation period of ten days, we detect our globular ionoids that are now able to withstand e.g. mechanical stress.⁵² As depicted above, the transition from ion cloud to globular ionoids is not fully understood yet and is out of the scope in this specific study, which is solely concerned with formation of ionoid structures built from new chemical units. **BSC Advances**

Surface are the process of molecular switchest ¹⁶ methods the material functions are the process are not
extent for the material functions are common access the material functions are commonly also the tw

To this end, we here focus on extending the concept of ionoid formation by substituting the 'Texas-sized molecular box' as well as the dianionic salts with other polyphilic ionic building blocks (see Fig. 1) that are capable of forming multiple partially orthogonal noncovalent interactions (like those mentioned before). This expands the mainly ionically driven self-assembly of ionoids with respect to the sizes, topologies and ionic ratios of the directly involved ionic compounds. We replace the large cation 1^{4+} with molecular structures that were also used in the creation of tailor-made materials like $4^{2+53-55}$ and $5^{4+56-60}$ and the biological oligoamine spermine 6^{4+61} and/or substitute the small dianionic salts 2^{2-} and 3^{2-} with dianions of similar structure $(7^{2-}, 8^{2-}, 9^{2-})$ and with a larger trianionic chromophore (10^{3-}) . Based on these modifications we use dynamic light scattering (DLS), continuous wave (CW) electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy, aided by UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy, to investigate the interplay between chemical nature, size and ionic ratio for developing dynamically formed, persistent structures like highly defined, colloid-like ionic clusters in solution. Additionally, we study the stability of selected self-assembled, colloid-like structures in solution through characterizing their zeta potential with continuously monitored phase-analysis light scattering (cmPALS). On a more general note, we expand the structural universe of ionoids and can show that this type of very soft structure formation is not limited to our initially studied 'model' system of 1^{4+} and 2^{2-} , but rather seems to constitute a general state and process for ions that fulfill certain prerequisites in size and charge in specific solution. Finally, we rationalize our findings by describing the different ionoid systems in evolution diagrams ('ionoid evolution diagrams', IEDs). We previously developed $IEDs⁵²$ to be able to characterize the complex underlying molecular features, like ionic sizes and ionic ratios, and describe the long term evolution (over the course of months) of the loosely bound ionic clusters in solution.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials

The model system for creating ionoids contains the 'Texas-sized molecular box' (1^{4+}) , which was synthesized according to ref. 47 and 48 as described in ref. 49 and 50 and the small dianionic salts methanedisulfonic acid dipotassium salt (2^{2-}) , Sigma-Aldrich, Munich, Germany) with purity \geq 99% as well as Fremy's salt (potassium nitrosodisulfonate, 3^{2-} , Sigma-Aldrich) of technical grade. These chemicals as well as malonic acid disodium salt $(7^{2-}$, 99%, abcr GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany), 1,2ethanedisulfonic acid disodium salt $(8^{2-}, 99\% ,$ abcr GmbH) and potassium disulfate $(9^{2-}, 99\% ,$ Sigma-Aldrich) were applied as received.

The ionic building blocks phthalocyaninedihydrochloride (4^{2+}) , tetrakis(4-aminophenyl)methanetetrahydrochloride (5^{4+}) , sperminetetrahydrochloride (6^{4+}) and Amaranth (10^{3-}) had to be modified or purified to be used for our study. These specific adjustments are summarized in the ESI.† All tested systems were measured in the established solvent mixture DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v), produced with DMSO containing $\leq 0.02\%$ water (Sigma-Aldrich) in a 1 : 1 (v/v) ratio with 86– 88 wt% glycerol (Acros Organics, Nidderau, Germany).49,50

2.2 Size ratio

Considering the ten chosen ionic building blocks (see Fig. 1), there exist countless ways in combining comparatively small and large cationic and anionic components. In this study, we highlight a small selection of these possible assemblies. However, most of the studied compositions consist of rather large cations and small anions, just like the established model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} $(1^{4+}$: 3^{2-} , respectively). Table 1 summarizes all tested mixtures categorized based on their underlying size ratio.

Fig. 1 Summary of the utilized ionic building blocks to form ionoids based on the model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} (1^{4+} : 3^{2-} , respectively).

The exact concentration for each component in its associated constellation varies depending on the applied methods and is summarized in Table 2. Calculations of the ionic ratio for all mixture are based on eqn (1) for the reference system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} $(1^{4+}$: 3^{2-} , respectively) 1 mM : 3 mM

ionic ratio =
$$
\left| \frac{z^+ c(1^{4+})}{z^- c(2^{2-}(3^{2-}))} \right| = \left| \frac{4 \times 1 \text{ mM}}{-2 \times 3 \text{ mM}} \right| = \frac{2}{3},
$$
 (1)

where $c(1^{4+})$ and $c(2^{2-}(3^{2-}))$ represent the corresponding concentrations. Note that we assume a constant charge z^\pm for

Table 1 Summary of all tested mixtures in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v), categorized based on the size ratio between cationic and anionic component

Combine	Large cation	Small cation
Small anion	1^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$ $4^{2+}:2^{2-}(3^{2-})$ 5^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$ $1^{4+} \cdot 7^{2-}$ $1^{4+} \cdot 8^{2-}$ $1^{4+} \cdot 9^{2-}$	6^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$
Large anion	1^{4+} : 10^{3-}	6^{4+} : 10^{3-}

the cationic ($z^{\pm} = +4$) and anionic ($z^{-} = -2$) component. Furthermore, we utilize eqn (1) also for systems containing other ionic building blocks with their respective concentration and charge.

2.3 Methods

2.3.1 Dynamic light scattering (DLS). In general, light scattering techniques provide a well-established foundation for the characterization of protein, polymer and colloidal structures along with nanostructured materials.⁶²⁻⁶⁴ Dynamic light scattering (DLS, also known as photon correlation spectroscopy or quasi-elastic light scattering) is a versatile and useful method to determine size, size distributions and in some cases the shape of nanoparticles.⁶⁵ Actually, we determine the hydrodynamic radius R_H , which is the radius of the hypothetical hard sphere that diffuses with the same speed as the particles studied with DLS.⁶⁶

We used a Litesizer 500 (Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria) for recording DLS. This device, which is also capable of measuring static light scattering, zeta potential, transmittance and refractive index, irradiates the sample with a 40 mW semiconductor laser at a typical wavelength of $\lambda = 658$ nm. An automatic configuration of the heatable optical bench allows perfected

Table 2 Summary of all tested mixtures in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v) with their respective molar ratio and ionic ratio

System	Concentration ratio	Molar ratio	Ionic ratio ^a
1^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$	$1 \text{ mM}: 3 \text{ mM}$	1:3	2/3
$1^{4+} \cdot 7^{2-}$	$1 \text{ mM}: 3 \text{ mM}$	1:3	2/3
1^{4+} : 8^{2-}	$1 \text{ mM}: 3 \text{ mM}$	1:3	2/3
$1^{4+} \cdot 9^{2-}$	$1 \text{ mM}: 3 \text{ mM}$	1:3	2/3
$1^{4+} \cdot 10^{3-}$	$10 \mu M: 30 \mu M$	1:3	4/9
$4^{2+}:2^{2-}(3^{2-})$	13.3 μM: 20 μM	2:3	2/3
5^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$	$1 \text{ mM}: 3 \text{ mM}$	1:3	2/3
6^{4+} : $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$	1.6 mM : 1.2 mM	4:3	8/3
6^{4+} : 10 ³⁻¹	$10 \mu M: 30 \mu M$	1:3	4/9

count rate traces. The choice between three different detection angles back (175 $^{\circ}$), side (90 $^{\circ}$) and forward (15 $^{\circ}$) scattering allows measuring a broader range of samples and getting information on the potential geometrical anisotropy.⁶⁷ Here, we studied our samples, which were filled into quartz cells (Hellma Analytics, Müllheim, Germany), utilizing back as well as side scattering at a fixed temperature of 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

Sample preparation was identical for all tested mixtures of Table 2. Before dissolving the compounds (see Fig. 1) in DMSO : glycerol : water $50:43:7$ (v/v/v), we filtered the solvent using Millex filter units (hydrophilic LCR (PTFE)membranes) with a pore-size of 450 nm. A second filtration step (with the same filter-type) was used to transfer the final solution into the measurement cell without any contamination such as dust.⁶² We measured all samples in regular, frequent intervals up to 43 days to get insight into their longterm stability. The resulting autocorrelation functions were analyzed using the ALV-5000/E/EPP (v. 3.0.1.13, ALV-Laser-Vertriebsgesellschaft m. b. H. Langen, Germany) software. Further information about the calculation of the hydrodynamic radius are given in the ESI.†

2.3.2 Continuously monitored phase-analysis light scattering (cmPALS). Continuously monitored phase-analysis light scattering (cmPALS) is based on the method of electrophoretic light scattering (ELS) and allows evaluating the zeta potential for sensitive samples like e.g. highly conductive protein solutions.68,69 The zeta potential, also known as electrokinetic potential,⁷⁰ describes the potential at the slipping/shear plane between the Stern layer, which consists of strongly bound ions, and the outer (diffuse) region with less firmly associated ions of a colloidal particle moving under an electric field.^{63,66} It represents an important parameter for a number of applications including characterization of biomedical polymers,⁷¹ electrokinetic transport of particles⁷²⁻⁷⁴ and the isoelectric point (IEP) of proteins.⁷⁵ For our self-assembled ionic cluster we use the zeta potential as indicator for colloidal stability,^{76,77} which is already established in drug delivery research. There, nanoparticle dispersions are classified as highly unstable, relatively stable, moderately stable and highly stable based on the zeta potential values ± 0 –10 mV, ± 10 –20 mV, ± 20 –30 mV and above $+30$ mV.^{66,78}

The cmPALS measurements were again performed with the Litesizer 500 in combination with the respective Kalliope software (v. 2.6). As measurement cell we used the so-called Univette (Anton Paar GmbH), which allows zeta potential measurement with organic solvents as well as reduced sample volume (70 μ I) compared to the standard Omega cuvette.⁷⁹ The sample preparation itself was carried out after the described routine in the DLS section. Each probe was analyzed after the incubation period of ten days with an applied voltage of 40 V and a constant temperature of 20 \degree C. A complete data set consists of three repetitive measurements with a waiting period of 1 min between each measurement to prevent changes inside the sample due to the influence of Joule heating, a common side effect of this technique. The recorded electrophoretic mobilities μ_e , which are the actual accessible parameter in ELS, were averaged and subsequently transferred into the desired zeta potentials. To examine the survival of the self-assembled structures inside the samples, we again performed DLS and compare the autocorrelation functions as well as size distributions before and after the cmPALS measurements. The description for the calculation of the zeta potential as well as the determination of the relative permittivity ε_r for the solvent mixture DMSO : glycerol : water $50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v)$ can be found in the ESI.† **PSC Arbaness**

Take 2 Survey of all dense relocutes in 19850 cigosed comes the first of 2016 composition with the respected valid by set
 $\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $\$

2.3.3 Continuous wave electron paramagnetic resonance (CW EPR) spectroscopy. We used continuous wave (CW) electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy to explore the local structure in our self-assembled systems from the perspective of an observer electron spin⁸⁰ This allows a nanoscopic, local view and is complementary to the model-derived ensemble properties (such as hydrodynamic radius) we gained from DLS. In our case, the observer spins are localized on compound 3^{2-} , the dianion of Fremy's salt, which can replace methanedisulfonate (2^{2-}) . This circumstance limited the application of CW EPR spectroscopy to systems, which inherit the compound 2^{2-} in the first place (see Table 1).

EPR techniques in general are highly sensitive as well as selective and can operate on structurally disordered materials.^{81,82} We focus on the change in the rotational motion, as quantified by either the rotational rates or correlation times τ_c , the anisotropies of rotational rates and the spectral line shape to identify tendencies for ionic clusters. Spectral simulations were performed in Matlab (R2016a, v. 9.0) exploiting the Easy-Spin package (v. 5.2.11).⁸³ The ESI[†] contains descriptions about the general simulation approach and sets of simulation parameters.

CW EPR measurements were performed at X-band (9.4 GHz) microwave frequencies with a Miniscope MS400 (magnettech, Berlin, Germany) benchtop spectrometer. For recording the spectra we used a microwave power of 1 mW, a sweep width of 100 G and a modulation amplitude of 600 mG, while keeping the sample temperature at 20 \degree C with the temperature controller H03 (magnettech). A frequency counter (FC 400, magnettech) was used to record the microwave frequency. We additionally measured at higher frequencies/magnetic fields to improve the spectral $(g$ -)resolution and to obtain a different view on rotational motion of the radicals. Therefore, we switched to

Fig. 2 Comparison of the intensity time correlation functions at day ten for (a) side and (b) back scattering and development of the hydrody-
namic radius with (c) side and (d) back scattering for the systems 1⁴⁺ : 7^{2–} model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} same conditions (a) and (b) also show the autocorrelation, while (c) and (d) show a patterned box that highlights the region of its hydrodynamic radius.

Q-band frequencies (34 GHz) using a Bruker EMX-plus spectrometer with an ER5106QT resonator (Bruker Biospin GmbH, Rheinstetten, Germany). Measuring at the same temperature compared to X-band, the spectra were recorded with a microwave power of 1 mW, a sweep width of 130 G and a modulation amplitude of 1000 mG.

Sample preparation was similar for both X-band and Q-band EPR spectroscopy. About 10 μ l to 15 μ l of the samples were filled into small capillaries (Blaubrand, Wertheim, Germany) and capped with matching tube sealant (Leica Critoseal). All measurements were performed directly after preparation (day 0), since Fremy's salt in solution does not have a long-term stability at 20 $^{\circ}$ C.

2.3.4 UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy. Besides using ionic building units, which have an observer electron spin, we chose other compounds possessing remarkable color properties like $4^{2+53,54,84}$ and 10^{3-} . $85-88$ Therefore, we applied UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy to characterize the formation of selfassembled structures through changes in their absorption and emission spectra.

We used the Hewlett-Packard spectrophotometer HP 8453 (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, United States) for UV-vis spectroscopy and the FluoroMax-2 fluorescence spectrometer

(Instruments S. A. GmbH, Grasbrunn, Germany) to measure the corresponding emission spectra. Here, we did not prepare samples exclusively for these spectroscopic methods, but measured the already existing mixtures from dynamic light scattering at 20 \degree C. Reusing the DLS samples allowed us to directly compare the determined size as well as size distribution of the self-assembled structures with the recorded absorption and emission spectra. Additionally, we performed DLS, UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy in the same regular, frequent intervals to receive the optimal requirements for analyzing the potential ionic self-assembly process of 1^{4+} : 10^{3-} and 6^{4+} : 10^{3–}. We also tried to measure systems containing 4^{2+} ions, but the compound did not show (i) significant absorption peaks and (ii) emission spectra, probably due to the solvent mixture of DMSO : glycerol : water $50:43:7$ (v/v/v).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 General remarks

As introduced in ref. 50, we applied the established terms (i) globular ionoids, (ii) anisotropic ionic cluster and (iii) ion cloud to describe the ionic self-assembly process for all of the

following systems. To validate the formation of such nanoscopic entities due to the complex interactions between the ionic building units, we measured the pure solvent mixture DMSO : glycerol : water $50:43:7$ (v/v/v), which was treated exactly like every other sample, with DLS as shown in Fig. S.1.† The solvent itself does not present pronounced autocorrelation functions and therefore no tendencies in independently establishing defined structures. Moreover, the surface tension of the mixture DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v) (see Fig. S.2 \dagger), which is significantly lower compared to water, indicates that the building units tend to stay inside the bulk and do not move to the air-solvent interface. At last, DLS data of each building unit separately dissolved in our solvent mixture (see Fig. S.3 and S.4†) were recorded to ensure that (i) these compounds alone do not present high scattering intensities and (ii) the combination of matching cationic and anionic unit is the important condition for well-defined ionic cluster.

The construction principle of our ionoid evolution diagrams, elaborately introduced in ref. 52, can be found in the ESI.† CW EPR studies are limited in measuring the ion cloud state that forms in the initial hours after preparation of the system due to the disproportionation of the EPR-active Fremy's salt dianion $(3²)$ in solution proceeding faster than the ten-day incubation period of globular, colloid-like ionic structures. Finally, we mainly focus on new systems which combine (i) large cation with small anion and (ii) small cation with small anion to exemplary discuss the size ratio influence on the dynamically driven self-assembly process of ionoids.

3.2 Substitution of $2^{2-} (3^{2-})$

We have substituted the anionic component in our model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} $(1^{4+}$: 3^{2-} , respectively), to create new mixtures with the rather large multicationic molecular box 1^{4+} as cationic component and the following anionic building units:

(1) Small dianions 7^{2-} , 8^{2-} and 9^{2-} with the concentration ratio 1 mM : 3 mM and,

(2) Large trianionic chromophore 10^{3-} with the concentration ratio 10 μ M : 30 μ M.

The applied concentrations for these new systems are derived from several preliminary tests, yield pronounced scattering intensities compared to the used solvent and represent ionic ratios that in the established system lead to globular, least anisotropic ionoids. Note that we reduced the concentration ratio for the Amaranth dye (10^{3-}) to directly compare DLS with UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopy data.

Fig. 2(a) and (b) summarizes the intensity time correlation functions with side and back scattering for the systems with malonate $(1^{4+} : 7^{2-})$ and ehanedisulfonate $(1^{4+} : 8^{2-})$ as well as for the model system $(1^{4+} : 2^{2-})$ in DMSO : glycerol : water $50:43:7$ (v/v/v) at measurement day ten. Both new systems, which combine a large cationic component with small dianions, display a (i) delayed decay in their autocorrelation functions and (ii) reduced y-intercept-values compared to 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} , indicating a preferred formation of larger entities and/or rather polydisperse particle size distributions. This fact is further highlighted in Fig. 2(c) and (d), which shows the development of

the hydrodynamic radii for the present colloid-like structures over time. 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} are able to partially build up anisotropic ionic clusters after an incubation time of ten days, but we still detect the large (>100 nm) initial ion cloud state (open symbols in Fig. 2(c) and (d)) as second scattering component. Furthermore, the self-assembled entities for both systems fall apart over the course of several days, possessing reduced durability compared to the long-lived globular ionoids of 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} ⁵⁰ Note that we applied the same concentration ratio of 1 mM : 3 mM as well as ionic ratio of 2/3 for the malonateand ethanedisulfonate-system, leaving their chemical nature (see Fig. 4) as reason for the changed dynamically driven selfassembly process. DLS data for system 1^{4+} : 9^{2-} show a similar behavior compared to mixtures containing compound 8^{2-} (see Fig. S.11†), while the combination of both large cation and large anion $(1^{4+}: 10^{3-})$ builds up rather extended structures near the transition from anisotropic ionic clusters to the initial ion cloud state with a certain durability (see Fig. S.12†). BSC Advances Courses Courses Articles. The conjection of the function of the transient on the complete interaction of the common between the comm

Based on the performed DLS measurements we studied the colloidal stability of systems 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} after the incubation period of ten days through the cmPALS method. Again we used the combination of 'Texas-sized molecular box' and methanedisulfonate as reference point. Here, the selfassembled globular ionoids present a well-defined phase plot (as shown in Fig. S.6†) and the calculated zeta potential of ζ = 66.5 mV \pm 1.2 mV, which is in absolute numbers similar to the electrokinetic potential for nanosphere size standards made out of polysterene (see Table S.2†), supports the well-known high stability of 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} ionic clusters in solution.⁵² Note that based on the measurements for pure 1^{4+} and 2^{2-} solutions the 'Texassized molecular box' is, under the influence of an external electric field and despite its larger diameter, the more mobile compound inside the DMSO : glycerol : water solvent mixture. To verify that the globular ionoids survived the treatment with the cmPALS method, we repeated the DLS measurements and compared the autocorrelation functions for both scattering angles, as shown in Fig. 3. The intensity time correlation functions as well as the monodisperse particle size distributions show almost no change, which again demonstrates the colloidal stability of our self-assembled entities.

The systems containing malonate and ethanedisulfonate instead of methanedisulfonate just partially build up anisotropic ionic clusters, therefore we assume a reduced zeta potential, but 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} should still reach a value of ± 30 mV to label these self-assembled entities as highly stable. Table 3 summarizes the recorded electrophoretic mobilities as well as determined zeta potentials for both systems and their respective ionic building units. Note that each ionic building unit contributes their associated counterions (see Fig. 1) into the solution, which will be affected by the external electric field. Similar to the model system we see that the 'Texas-sized molecular box' represents the more mobile ionic building unit and mainly determines the recorded electrokinetic potential for the new systems 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} . By adding the anionic compounds to 1^{4+} significantly reduces its margin of error for both electrophoretic mobility and zeta potential. Anisotropic ionic cluster containing malonate can be

Fig. 3 Comparison of the intensity time correlation functions at day ten for (a) side and (b) back scattering for system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v) before and after the cmPALS measurement. The inlays highlight the particle size distributions for the respective autocorrelation functions.

Table 3 Summary of the cmPALS results for the systems 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} 1 mM : 3 mM in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v)

Compound	$μ_e/μm$ cm V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	ζ /mV
1^{4+a}	0.045 ± 0.008	31.1 ± 5.2
7^{2-a}	-0.002 ± 0.001	-1.7 ± 1.0
8^{2-b}	-0.001 ± 0.001	-0.7 ± 0.7
$1^{4+} \cdot 7^{2-}$	0.062 ± 0.003	37.4 ± 2.0
$1^{4+} \cdot 8^{2-}$	0.056 ± 0.003	28.7 ± 1.5
1^{4+} : 2^{2-}	0.111 ± 0.002	66.5 ± 1.2
Polystyrene ^c	-3.695 ± 0.0210	-64.6 ± 1.0

^{*a*} Counterion: PF₆⁻. ^{*b*} Counterion: Na⁺. ^{*c*} Nanosphere size standards in deionized Milli-Q-water.

considered as highly stable, whereas the entities with ethanedisulfonate lie between highly stable and moderately stable.

After the cmPALS studies we repeated, similar to the model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} , DLS measurements with both systems (see Fig. S.8 and S.10†). Here, the partially build up anisotropic ionic cluster do not withstand the influence of the electric field as good as well-defined globular ionoids, which is visible in the less pronounced autocorrelation functions. Note that the mixture containing malonate gets more affected by the electrophoresis process, even though the determined zeta potential is larger than for system 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} . The applied electric field seems to induce a rearrangement between cationic and anionic building units, which alters the scattering intensity.

Replacing both sulfonate-groups in compound 2^{2-} with carboxylate-groups (7^{2-}) , as shown in Fig. 4, significantly reduces the electrostatic interactions between the anionic building block and the 'Texas-sized molecular box', which results in the formation of ionic clusters with anisotropic shape and less durability.‡ A similar effect is observable when keeping

Fig. 4 Direct comparison of the chemical structure for methanedisulfonate (2^{2-}) , malonate (7^{2-}) and ethanedisulfonate (8^{2-}) . Significant changes in the chemical nature for the new anionic building blocks are highlighted in red.

the sulfonate-groups, but exchanging the methylene-group of methanedisulfonate with an ethylene-group (8^{2-}) . Here, the increased distance between both negative charges and potentially the change in charge density precludes the dynamic selfassembly into long-lived ionic clusters. At this point, however, it is not possible to quantify the changes in electrostatic interactions as well as weaker noncovalent forces.

To further (i) visualize the development of the selfassembled entities for the systems 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} over time and (ii) compare these with 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} , we use the ionoid evolution diagram (IED) in Fig. 5. The left y -axis displays the volume charge density of the applied building units, which could be calculated by dividing the charges z^{\pm} (multiplied with the elementary charge e) of the cationic and anionic components by their respective volumes (see ESI† for details). As x-axis we use the diameter of all four ions (without solvation shell) due to the importance of the size and size ratio of the anionic and cationic component for the self-assembly process. Through combining the multicationic box 1^{4+} with one of the small anionic building blocks, we can profess the molar ratio of 1 : 3 and are able to adjust the resulting volume charge density as well as acting electrostatic correlations⁹¹ along the connecting line of both ions. Each system constitutes its own main sequence with one specific intersection highlighting the utilized molar/ionic ratio for DLS measurements, allowing us to directly compare the ionic self-assembly process for different systems with e.g. similar

[‡] Studying the effects of sulfonate- and carboxylate-groups are already well-known for so called 'ionomers' (polymers, which comprise fraction of ionized units), see e.g. ref. 89 and 90.

Fig. 5 Ionoid evolution diagram (IED) for systems 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} and 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} with a molar ratio of 1:3 in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v). The model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} 1 : 3 is incorporated as well as the three states of the ionic self-assembly process.

size ratio. Starting from these intersections we plot at the right y-axis the eccentricity $e = \sqrt{1 - \frac{b^2}{a^2}}$ $\sqrt{1-\frac{b^2}{c^2}}$ to define deviations from a perfect sphere (with a value of $e = 0$) versus the final size of the ionic cluster, \P illustrating the shape and its deviation from a perfect, isotropic sphere of the selfassembled entities. Additionally, we include the time beginning from the complete incubation period of ten days (blue full dots) until the last measurement day (arrow to blue crossed dots). Evolution with time is emphasized in IEDs by the oval (for globular ionoids more circular) frame.

As visualized in the IED (Fig. 5), we deduce for the new mixtures:

 (1) 1^{4+} : 7^{2-} builds up initial ionic structures with anisotropic shape, which, over the course of 43 days, revert to the ion cloud state.

(2) 1^{4+} : 8^{2-} shows larger initial ionic cluster with an inherently more anisotropic shape that decompose into trivial ion pair coordinations between 'Texas-sized molecular box' and ethanedisulfonate.

(3) The size combination of large cation and small anionic component is in general capable of building anisotropic ionic cluster. However, by (i) substituting the functional sulfonate-groups (7^{2-}) and (ii) increasing their respective distance (8^{2-}) in methanedisulfonate, the durability as well as monodispersity of the self-assembled entities is significantly reduced.

3.3 Substitution of 1^{4+}

Replacing the 'Texas-sized molecular box' in our model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} can be used to create new mixtures with the small dianion $2^{2-}(3^{2-})$, respectively) and:

(1) Cations of similar size as 1^{4+} like 4^{2+} (13.3 µM : 20 µM) and 5^{2+} (1 mM : 3 mM) and,

(2) The more flexible and slightly smaller 6^{4+} (1.6 mM : 1.2) mM).

Again we highlight the molar ratios, which (based on preliminary investigations) currently present the most promising cation-anion-compositions. Note that we had to reduce the concentration for the 4^{2+} -system to record DLS with sufficient transmission. At last, we prepared a mixture containing 6^{4+} together with the large trianionic chromophore 10^{3} (10 μ M : 30) M). Here, we (i) substituted both established building blocks at the same time and (ii) created a system with the inverse size ratio (small cation with large anion) compared to 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} . Further information about this mixture can be found in the ESI.†

Fig. 6(a) and (b) summarize the intensity time correlation functions with side and back scattering for the systems with phthalocyanine $(4^{2+} : 2^{2-})$ and spermine $(6^{4+} : 2^{2-})$ as well as for the model system $(1^{4+} : 2^{2-})$ in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v) at measurement day ten. The autocorrelation functions for the system 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} possess reduced y-interceptvalues, but just minor delays in their incipient decays compared to the established system. For the 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} mixture we record autocorrelation functions with similar shaping in relation to 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} , which present their decays at higher correlation times τ . Both new systems show the potential to at least partially build up anisotropic ionic cluster, which is further highlighted in Fig. 6(c) and (d). Here, we display the time-dependent evolution of the hydrodynamic radii for the present colloid-like structures. Besides the formation of relatively stable self-assembled anisotropic clusters for 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} and 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} over the course of 43 days, we also detect larger entities (open symbols in Fig. 6(c) and (d)) throughout the total measurement period, representing the initial ion cloud state. Note that for the combination of large cation and small anion $(4^{2+} : 2^{2-})$ the same ionic ratio of 2/3 as for the systems containing the 'Texas-sized molecular box' was used, while for the system of small cation and small anion $(6^{4+} : 2^{2-})$ the ionic ratio is 8/3. This shows that based on the dimensions, conformational flexibilities and charge densities of the utilized ionic building units we have to adjust the ionic ratio to build up colloid-like ionic cluster. Compound 5^{4+} in combination with methanedisulfonate does not build up ionoids or anisotropic ionic cluster after the ten-day incubation period, even if we apply the ionic ratio of 2/3 (see Fig. S.17†). **EXAMPRESS**

Solution of 1⁹²
 $\frac{1}{2}$ Article. Published on 2019.
 EXAMPRESS

Solution of 1920 and 12 Dubits are articles and the small of 10 current with the small field of 10.0 and 12 Dubits are articles and 1920

> To study the colloidal stability of the promising new system 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} , we again used the cmPALS method followed by renewed DLS measurements. Table 4 summarizes the recorded electrophoretic mobility as well as determined zeta potential for this system and its respective ionic building units. The electrokinetic potential for the anisotropic ionic clusters surpass the value of ± 30 mV, which verifies the existence of highly stable self-assembled entities. Note that the mixture with

[§] Parameter a and b are the length of the semi-major and semi-minor axis from ellipses. The exact adaptation of the eccentricity for the IEDs utilizing our DLS data can be found in the ESI.

[{] For creating the IEDs, we applied the values of the hydrodynamic radius correlating to the smaller entity of the polydisperse particle size distribution. If we did not record values in the region of (at least) anisotropic ionic cluster inside the sample, we took the results indicating the ion cloud state to complete the IED.

Fig. 6 Comparison of the intensity time correlation functions at day ten for (a) side and (b) back scattering and development of the hydrodynamic radius with (c) side and (d) back scattering for the systems 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} and 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v). For the model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} (same conditions) (a) and (b) also show the autocorrelation, while (c) and (d) show a patterned box that highlights the region of its hydrodynamic radius.

spermine did not reach the zeta potential value from the globular ionoids with 1^{4+} , because of (i) just partially build up selfassembled entities and (ii) the significantly lower electrophoretic mobility of spermine in the solvent mixture DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v).

The repetitive DLS measurement of 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} after the electrophoresis displays, analogous to the model system, an unaltered autocorrelation function and particle size distribution for side scattering, as shown in Fig. 7. For back scattering (see Fig. S.21†) we record a less pronounced autocorrelation function, which indicates a prorated decay or changed shape of the anisotropic ionic clusters. However, compared to the new system with substituted methanedisulfonate, the mixture 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} builds up the most stable self-assembled entities.

In contrast to the substitution of 2^{2-} , we could apply CW EPR spectroscopy by using Fremy's salt dianion, leading to the adjusted mixtures 4^{2+} : 3^{2-} and 6^{4+} : 3^{2-} in DMSO: glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v). Replacing 2^{2} with 3^{2} can influence interactions inside the ion cloud, but electrostatic correlations especially to the cation remain unchanged, as shown in ref. 49. To assess the electrostatic interactions

between our 'new' cationic component and the nitroxide radical, we measured different molar ratios for the tested systems and analyzed the resulting spectra. Note that we had to increase the concentration of Fremy's salt in the system 4^{2+} : 3^{2-} to 200 µM to obtain spectra with sufficient signal-tonoise ratio due to the fast decomposition of the nitroxide. Higher concentrations could not be used, because of the low solubility of 4^{2+} in the solvent mixture DMSO : glycerol : water $50:43:7$ (v/v/v). Furthermore, we measured pure Fremy's salt with its respective concentrations in the

Table 4 Summary of the cmPALS results for the system 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} 1.6 mM : 1.2 mM in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v)

Compound	$μ_e/μm$ cm V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	ζ /mV
6^{4+a}	0.005 ± 0.008	3.6 ± 5.4
2^{-b}	-0.001 ± 0.003	-0.3 ± 1.0
$6^{4+} \cdot 2^{2-}$	0.067 ± 0.003	39.8 ± 1.8
$1^{4+} \cdot 2^{2-}$	0.111 ± 0.002	66.5 ± 1.2

^{*a*} Counterion: Cl⁻. ^{*b*} Counterion: K⁺.

Fig. 7 Comparison of the intensity time correlation functions at day ten for side scattering for system 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v) before and after the cmPALS measurement. The inlays highlight the particle size distributions for the respective autocorrelation functions.

established solvent to distinguish between the influence of solely (i) solvent viscosity and (ii) electrostatic interaction with increasing cationic concentration on the rotational mobility of our spin probe. To quantify the latter effect of increasing 4^{2+} or 6^{4+} concentration on the rotational mobility of Fremy's salt, we applied the evaluation systems⁵⁰ already established for the model system 1^{4+} : 3^{2-} :

(1) Analyzing the line width, where we use the full width at half maximum (FWHM) values, of the CW EPR spectra by simulating isotropic broadening, which is implemented in the EasySpin program package. Note that this method does not assume any physical model causing the broadening.

(2) Calculating the anisotropy T of the rotational diffusion tensor as

$$
T = \frac{D_{\parallel} - D_{\perp}}{D_{\parallel} + 2D_{\perp}}.
$$
 (2)

We mainly discuss this parameter that emphasizes the distinction between D_{\parallel} and D_{\perp} and characterize the magnitude of electrostatic interactions in the direct vicinity of Fremy's salt in the ESI.†

(3) As a very simple measurement, the isotropic rotational correlation time τ_c can be calculated even in the case of (slight) anisotropy of rotational motion (see ESI†).

Fig. 8(a) and (b) show the effect of adding one of the cationic components, 4^{2+} or 6^{4+} , to our pure radical at X- and Q-band frequencies for the molar ratios $1:6$ and $1:1$, the complete development of the line width with their increasing concentration is plotted in Fig. 8(c) and (d). For the model system 1^{4+} : 3^{2-} in the established solvent mixture we know⁵⁰ that the pure nitroxide shows rotational diffusion based on an axial tensor with the unique axis (D_{\parallel}) along the N–S-bond of Fremy's salt (see red arrow in Fig. 1). Adding 1^{4+} leads to significant line broadening due to slowed-down rotation of Fremy's salt dianion mainly around its molecular z-axis. We can further follow the

transition from weak electrostatic correlations between 1^{4+} and 3^{2-} to a more direct ion pair coordination with increasing cation concentration.⁵² The frequency-dependent differences in time-frame sensitivity/averaging lead to a stronger pronounced coordination transition inside the Q-band spectra due to a better resolution at the limit of fast rotation.

For the system 4^{2+} : 3^{2-} we record no significant changes in the rotational mobility of the nitroxide at both frequencies as well as no line broadening effects (Fig. 8(c)) due to electrostatic interactions between the phthalocyanine and Fremy's salt dianion. One reason could be the reduced concentration of 3^{2-} with 200 μ M compared to our studies with the model system (3 mM), which drastically hinders the formation of e.g. ion pair coordinations at larger molar ratios directly after sample preparation (day 0). Another cause could be that phthalocyanines in general are fairly rigid molecules with limited options for conformational flexibility⁹² compared to the 'Texas-sized molecular box' with its conformational flexibility and adjustable shape to accomodate guest molecules.^{46,48} However, analyzing the anisotropy T (see Fig. S.16†) reveals changes for the distinction between the unique axis D_{\parallel} and the axes perpendicular to it (D_{\perp}) , which indicates the intial selfassembly process of the later detected anisotropic ionic cluster. **PSC Advances**
 $\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1$

The system 6^{4+} : 3^{2-} shows effects in the CW EPR spectra at X-and Q-band frequencies that are similar to those in the model system with the multicationic box 1^{4+} :⁵²

(1) Steady increase in the line width correlating with higher amounts of 6^{4+} and stronger electrostatic interactions with and subsequent slow-down of Fremy's salt and,

(2) Reaching a plateau value for the FWHM at a molar ratio of 1 : 2 (within the margin of error), indicating similar local dynamic electrostatic attachment inside the ion cloud state at day 0 for mixtures above this ratio.

It seems that the flexibility of the ionic building block 6^{4+} allows,⁹³ just like for 1^{4+} , the formation of strong enough electrostatic interactions with the dianion of Fremy's salt to significantly influence its rotational mobility. Note that the absolute effect for line broadening as well as rising rotational correlation time τ_c (see Fig. S.23†) due to spermine do not reach the extent observed with the 'Texas-sized molecular box', which can explain the formation of just partially anisotropic clusters instead of monodisperse globular ionoids based on the DLS data. One reason could be again the slightly reduced concentration of 1.6 mM or the general alteration from a multicationic box to a more linear structure (see Fig. 1).

Similar to the section describing the exchange of methanedisulfonate 2^{2-} , we summarize the ionic self-assembly process for 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} and 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} in an ionoid evolution diagram (see Fig. 9). To compare the discussed systems, we include their respective main sequence lines with their specific intersection for the applied molar/ionic ratios. Note that the main sequence lines show a more prominent separation compared to Fig. 5, especially between the two larger cations and the rather small 6^{4+} , due to the stronger deviations in their volume charge densities.

Based on the IED in Fig. 9 we can deduce:

Fig. 8 (a) X-band and (b) Q-band CW EPR spectra of 4^{2+} : 3^{2-} and 6^{4+} : 3^{2-} with molar ratios 1: 6 (black) and 1: 1 (red) in DMSO: glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v). The insets mark the highfield peak, which is shown separately right next to the full spectra. (c) and (d) Plots of the isotropic broadening as full width at half maximum (FWHM) of pure Fremy's salt (4^{2+} and 6^{4+} at molar ratio 0 : 1) for the systems (c) 4^{2+} : 3^{2-} and (d) 6^{4+} : 3^{2-} with increasing cation concentration.

(1) 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} builds up ionic cluster in the size range of globular ionoids, but with highly increased shape anisotropy. Over the total measurement time of 43 days we recognize a slight decrease in the diameter of the self-assembled entities, which indicates a slow decomposition into rather trivial ion pair coordinations. Similar to the new systems, which also combine

Fig. 9 Ionoid evolution diagram (IED) for systems 4^{2+} : 2^{2-} (molar ratio 2 : 3) and 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} (molar ratio 4 : 3) in DMSO : glycerol : water 50 : 43 : 7 (v/v/v). The model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} 1 : 3 is incorporated as well as the three states of the ionic self-assembly process.

large cations with small anions $(1^{4+} : 7^{2-}$ and $1^{4+} : 8^{2-})$, we obtain anisotropic ionic cluster at an ionic ratio of 2/3. Note that for these introduced systems the self-assembled entities inside the phthalocyanine (4^{2+}) mixture possess the highest durability, which is visible in the IED with the small elongation of its oval frame.

(2) 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} shows small and durable anisotropic ionic cluster, which display just minor increase in their diameter over the course of the total measurement time. The IED again highlights the stability of the self-assembled entities through the small elongation of the oval frame. Replacing 1^{4+} and changing the size ratio to small cation and small anion can lead to long-lived ionic clusters, when the ionic ratio is correctly adapted. For the system 6^{4+} : 2^{2-} we used the ionic ratio 8/3, which has to be further verified with other ionic building blocks.

4 Conclusions

We set out to explore our previously described ionic selfassembly process towards ionoids in systems containing 'new' polyphilic ionic building units. In the main text we highlighted mixtures, which can be categorized due to their size ratio of cationic and anionic component into systems with (i) large cation and small anion and (ii) small cation and small anion. Based on our DLS data, we assigned a specific ionic ratio for both variations, which lead to the partial build up of anisotropic ionic clusters in solution after the incubation period of ten days. For the size combination mentioned first we applied the ionic ratio of 2/3, while for the second one we had to adapt the ionic ratio to 8/3. Note that these values can only serve as an indication for the formation of highly defined structures, because other parameters like the ion concentration also affect the selfassembly process.⁵⁰

This aspect can be further analyzed for systems falling into the first size ratio category. Even under constant measurement conditions (temperature, solvent mixture, concentration and ionic ratio), we arrive at long-lived globular ionoids for the system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-} , but solely obtain anisotropic ionic clusters with reduced long-term stability when replacing one of the model components. The lowered zeta potential for our new systems, which still own electrokinetic potentials between 29 mV and 40 mV, confirms their weakened colloidal stability. The chemical nature of the 'Texas-sized molecular box' and the methandisulfonate apparently represent an optimal combination to build up highly defined structures in solution. The multicationic box with conformational flexibility acts as structuring unit, while the small dianionic methanedisulfonate contains two sulfonate-groups with the right distance to each other for the formation of long-range electrostatic interactions as well as well-balanced weaker noncovalent forces. Note that at this point it is not possible to quantify the contribution of electrostatic interactions and noncovalent forces let alone their modifications by the substitution of one of the model compounds. This is ongoing work. BSC Advances Section and small anion and small anion. simpler solvent mistures and the general since on the Particle on 13 June 2019 of the methods are common the elected physical electrical purcels.

Solution-that the in

The previously introduced ionoid evolution diagrams (IED) are able to illustrate the influence of various parameters (like e.g. molar ratio or size ratio) on the formation of our highly defined structures (i) directly after the incubation time of ten days and (ii) throughout the entire measurement period. Furthermore, we can analyze the durability of the selfassembled ionic clusters as well as characterize their deviations from a spherical shape with the calculated eccentricity. The respective IEDs in Fig. 5 and 9 allow the direct comparison between the new systems (and the model system 1^{4+} : 2^{2-}) with respect to size/ionic ratio and are thus valuable tools for optimizing the ionic self-assembly process for a variety of ionic building units. We further try to expand (i) different combinations between the introduced ionic building units and (ii) the measured molar/ionic ratios for already established systems to refine the design parameters for the formation of ionic clusters.

Going beyond the solution-based self-assembly processes in ionoids one may speculate whether the here described processes may be relevant for other structure formation processes, e.g. for the above mentioned mechanically interlocked molecules or even crystallization of ionic substances from solution. Currently, this type of questions connecting solid state structures formed from solution with our dynamically selfassembled clusters cannot be conclusively answered and is a central question in our current work. Another future aim will be to achieve anisotropic clusters (or even globular ionoids) in

simpler solvent mixtures and the general aim remains to understand the delicate physical–chemical processes leading to the formation of these remarkably robust yet extremely 'soft', solution-based structures.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Till Hauenschild (MLU Halle-Wittenberg) for synthesizing the 1^{4+} compound as well as modifying compounds 4^{2+} , 6^{4+} and 10^{3-} . We also gratefully acknowledge Heike Schimm and Stefanie Weber for continuing technical support. This work was financially supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (FCI).

Notes and references

- 1 I. Stassen, N. Burtch, A. Talin, P. Falcaro, M. Allendorf and R. Ameloot, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 3185–3241.
- 2 F. Beuerle and B. Gole, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 4850– 4878.
- 3 S.-Y. Ding and W. Wang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 548–568.
- 4 T. Hasell and A. I. Copper, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2016, 1, 16053.
- 5 A. J. Howarth, A. W. Peters, N. A. Vermeulen, T. C. Wang, J. T. Hupp and O. K. Farha, Chem. Mater., 2017, 29, 26–39.
- 6 O. M. Yaghi and H. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 10401– 10402.
- 7 B. Li, H.-M. Wen, Y. Cui, W. Zhou, G. Qian and B. Chen, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 8819–8860.
- 8 H. Li, M. Eddaoudi, M. OKeeffe and O. M. Yaghi, Nature, 1999, 402, 276–279.
- 9 P. Z. Moghadam, A. Li, S. B. Wiggin, A. Tao, A. G. P. Maloney, P. A. Wood, S. C. Ward and D. Fairen-Jimenez, Chem. Mater., 2017, 29, 2618–2625.
- 10 B. Li, M. Chrzanowski, Y. Zhang and S. Ma, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 307, 106–129.
- 11 Q. Yang, Q. Xu and H.-L. Jiang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 4774–4808.
- 12 S. M. J. Rogge, A. Bavykina, J. Hajek, H. Garcia, A. I. Olivios-Suarez, A. Sepúlveda-Escribano, A. Vimont, G. Clet, P. Bazin, F. Kapteijn, M. Daturi, E. V. Ramos-Fernandez, F. X. L. i. Xamena, V. V. Speybroeck and J. Gascon, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 3134–3184.
- 13 Y.-B. Huang, J. Liang, X.-S. Wang and R. Cao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 126–157.
- 14 A. Karmakar, A. V. Desai and S. K. Ghosh, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2016, 307, 313–341.
- 15 Y. V. Kaneti, J. Tang, R. R. Salunkhe, X. Jiang, A. Yu, K. C.-W. Wu and Y. Yamauchi, Adv. Mater., 2017, 29, 1604898.
- 16 S. Cao, B. Li, R. Zhu and H. Pang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 355, 602–623.
- 17 X. Feng, X. Ding and D. Jiang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 6010–6022.
- 18 G. Lin, H. Ding, D. Yuan, B. Wang and C. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3302-3305.
- 19 Y. Du, H. Yang, J. M. Whiteley, S. Wan, Y. Jin, S.-H. Lee and W. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 1737–1741.
- 20 X. Han, Q. Xia, J. Huang, Y. Liu, C. Tan and Y. Cui, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8693–8697.
- 21 Q. Sun, B. Aguila, J. Perman, N. Nguyen and S. Ma, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 15790–15796.
- 22 P. J. Waller, F. Gándara and O. M. Yaghi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 3053–3063.
- 23 Y. He, S. Xiang and B. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14570–14573.
- 24 X.-Z. Luo, X.-J. Jia, J.-H. Deng, J.-L. Zhong, H.-J. Liu, K.-J. Wang and D.-C. Zhong, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 11684–11687.
- 25 P. Li, Y. He, J. Guang, L. Weng, J. C.-G. Zhao, S. Xiang and B. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 547–549.
- 26 H. Wang, B. Li, H. Wu, T.-L. Hu, Z. Yao, W. Zhou, S. Xiang and B. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 9963–9970.
- 27 A. Karmakar, R. Illathvalappil, B. Anothumakkool, A. Sen, P. Samanta, A. V. Desai, S. Kurungot and S. K. Ghosh, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 10667–10671.
- 28 T. Yu, D. Ou, Z. Yang, Q. Huang, Z. Mao, J. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, J. Xu, M. R. Bryce and Z. Chi, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 1163– 1168.
- 29 P. Li, Y. He, H. D. Arman, R. Krishna, H. Wang, L. Weng and B. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 13081–13084.
- 30 P. Li, Y. He, Y. Zhao, L. Weng, H. Wang, R. Krishna, H. Wu, W. Zhou, M. OKeeffe, Y. Han and B. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 574–577.
- 31 C. S. Diercks and O. M. Yaghi, Science, 2017, 355, eaal1585.
- 32 M. Denis and S. M. Goldup, Nat. Rev. Chem., 2017, 1, 0061.
- 33 J. E. M. Lewis, M. Galli and S. M. Goldup, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 298–312.
- 34 J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 11094–11125.
- 35 C. J. Bruns and J. F. Stoddart, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 2186– 2199.
- 36 N. Pairault and J. Niemeyer, Synlett, 2018, 29, 689–698.
- 37 M. A. Olsen, A. B. Braunschweig, T. Ikeda, L. Fang, A. Trabolsi, A. M. Z. Slawin, S. I. Khan and J. F. Stoddart, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2009, 7, 4391–4405.
- 38 H. Y. Au-Yeung, C.-C. Yee, A. W. H. Ng and K. Hu, Inorg. Chem., 2018, 57, 3475–3485.
- 39 A. Credi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter, 2006, 18, S1779–S1795.
- 40 A. de Juan, M. M. Bernal and E. M. PAIrez, ChemPlusChem, 2015, 80, 1153–1157.
- 41 S. F. M. van Dongen, S. Cantekin, J. A. A. W. Elemans, A. E. Rowan and R. J. M. Nolte, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 99–122.
- 42 S.-S. Jester and M. Famulok, Acc. Chem. Res., 2014, 47, 1700– 1709.
- 43 H. Li, A. C. Fahrenbach, S. K. Dey, S. Basu, A. Trabolsi, Z. Zhu, Y. Y. Botros and J. F. Stoddart, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 8260–8265.
- 44 C. Pezzato, C. Cheng, J. F. Stoddart and R. D. Astumian, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2017, 46, 5491–5507.
- 45 K. K. Coti, M. E. Belowich, M. Liong, M. W. Ambrogio, Y. A. Lau, H. A. Khatib, J. I. Zink, N. M. Khashab and J. F. Stoddart, Nanoscale, 2009, 1, 16–39.
- 46 B. M. Rambo, H.-Y. Gong, M. Oh and J. L. Sessler, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 1390–1401.
- 47 H.-Y. Gong, B. M. Rambo, E. Karnas, V. M. Lynch, K. M. Keller and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1526–1533.
- 48 H.-Y. Gong, B. M. Rambo, V. M. Lynch, K. Kelly and J. L. Sessler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 6330–6337.
- 49 D. Kurzbach, D. R. Kattnig, N. Pfaffenberger, W. Schärtl and D. Hinderberger, ChemistryOpen, 2012, 1, 211–214.
- 50 J. Eisermann, L. Prager and D. Hinderberger, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2018, 20, 1421–1430.
- 51 K. J. M. Bishop, C. E. Wilmer, S. Soh and B. A. Grzybowski, Small, 2009, 5, 1600–1630.
- 52 J. Eisermann and D. Hinderberger, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2019, 21, 1152–1159.
- 53 F. H. Moser and A. L. Thomas, J. Chem. Educ., 1964, 41, 245– 249.
- 54 F. Ghani, J. Kristen and H. Riegler, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2012, 57, 439–449.
- 55 J. Liu, W. Zhou, J. Liu, I. Howard, G. Kilibarda, S. Schlabach, D. Coupry, M. Addicoat, S. Yoneda, Y. Tsutsui, T. Sakurai, S. Seki, Z. Wang, P. Lindemann, E. Redel, T. Heine and C. Wöll, Angew. Chem., 2015, 127, 7549-7593. Paper

16 G. Lin, II. Ding, D. Vann, D. Warticle. One, T. J. D. S. Lin, D. S. Lin, D. H. Chan, N. This article. Chem

16 G. Lin, D. N. S. Lin, D. N. S. Lin, D. N. Chan, D. N. Chan, D. N. Chan, D. N. Chan, D. N. W. N. S. N.
	- 56 T. Muller and S. Bräse, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 6886-6907.
	- 57 G. P. Lorenzi, A. Manessis, N. C. Tirelli and V. Gramlich, Struct. Chem., 1997, 8, 435–441.
	- 58 G. Li and Z. Wang, Macromolecules, 2013, 46, 3058–3066.
	- 59 W. Luo, Y. Zhu, J. Zhang, J. He, Z. Chi, P. W. Miller, L. Chen and C.-Y. Su, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 11942–11945.
	- 60 G. Lin, H. Ding, D. Yuan, B. Wang and C. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 3302–3305.
	- 61 D. Kurzbach, C. Velte, P. Arnold, G. Kizilsavas and D. Hinderberger, Soft Matter, 2011, 7, 6695-6704.
	- 62 W. Schärtl, Light Scattering from Polymer Solution and Nanoparticle Dispersions, Springer, 2007.
	- 63 J. Holoubek, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2007, 106, 104–121.
	- 64 A. P. Minton, Anal. Biochem., 2016, 501, 4–22.
	- 65 R. Pecora, J. Nanopart. Res., 2000, 2, 123–131.
	- 66 S. Bhattacharjee, J. Controlled Release, 2016, 235, 337–351.
	- 67 S. K. Brar and M. Verma, Trends Anal. Chem., 2011, 30, 4–17.
	- 68 H. Noack and C. Moitzi, US Pat., US 2014/0144780 A1, May 29, 2014.
	- 69 C. Moitzi and B. Petrillo, Faster, more sensitive zeta-potential measurements with cmPALS and the Litesizer 500, Anton paar application report d51/a021 technical report, 2016.
	- 70 A. V. Delgado, F. GonzÃalez-Caballero, R. J. Hunter, L. K. Koopal and J. Lyklema, Pure Appl. Chem., 2005, 10, 1753–1805.
	- 71 D. Erickson and D. Li, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2001, 237, 283– 289.
	- 72 H. Keh and J. L. Anderson, J. Fluid Mech., 1985, 153, 417–439.
	- 73 A. Sze, D. Erickson, L. Ren and D. Li, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2003, 261, 402–410.
- 74 N. Schultz, G. Metrevil, M. Franzreb, F. H. Frimmel and C. Syldatk, Colloids Surf., B, 2008, 66, 39–44.
- 75 A. Salis, M. Boström, L. Medda, F. Cugia, B. Barse, D. F. Parsons, B. W. Ninham and M. Monduzzi, Langmuir, 2011, 27, 11597–11604.
- 76 B. Derjaguin and L. Landau, Acta Physicochim. URSS, 1941, 14, 633–662.
- 77 E. J. W. Verwey, J. T. G. Overbeek and K. V. Nes, Theory of the stability of lyophobic colloids: the interaction of sol particles having an electric double layer, Elsevier Amsterdam, 1948. **PSC Advances**

24 N. Schuls, G. Metrod, M. Homsel, J. H. Friemrel and 64 M.C. Standard, A. Standard, Commons Article. C. Schuls, Commons Article. Published on 2014. Downloaded and Article. Published and Article is license
	- 78 C. Jacobs and R. H. Müller, *Pharm. Res.*, 2002, 2, 189-194.
	- 79 J. Reichenwallner, A. Thomas, T. Steinbach, J. Eisermann, C. E. H. Schmelzer, F. Wurm and D. Hinderberger, Biomacromolecules, 2019, 20, 1118–1131.
	- 80 G. Jeschke, Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2002, 23, 227–246.
	- 81 D. Hinderberger, G. Jeschke and H. W. Spiess, Macromolecules, 2002, 35, 9698–9706.
	- 82 D. Hinderberger, H. W. Spiess and G. Jeschke, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 3698–3704.
	- 83 S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, J. Magn. Reson., 2006, 178, 42–55.
- 84 M. C. Staniford, M. M. Lezhnina and U. H. Kynast, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 3974–3977.
- 85 M. Snehalatha, C. Ravikumar, N. Sekar, V. S. Jayakumar and I. H. Joe, J. Raman Spectrosc., 2008, 39, 928–936.
- 86 Y. Ni, Y. Wang and S. Kokot, Talanta, 2009, 78, 432–441.
- 87 N. E. Llamas, M. Garrido, M. S. D. Nezio and B. S. F. Band, Anal. Chim. Acta, 2009, 655, 38–42.
- 88 D. Dey, A. Hussain, R. K. Nath and D. Bhattacharjee, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2008, 70, 307–312.
- 89 A. Z. Okkema and S. L. Cooper, Biomaterials, 1991, 12, 668– 676.
- 90 N. K. Tierney, S. T. Trzaska and R. A. Register, Macromolecules, 2004, 37, 10205–10207.
- 91 W. Demtröder, Experimentalphysik 2 Elektrizität und Optik, Springer Spektrum, 2013.
- 92 The Porphyrin Handbook Volume 19 Applications of Phthalocyanines, ed. K. M. Kadish, K. M. Smith and R. Guilard, Elsevier, 2000.
- 93 N. Korolev, A. P. Lyubartsev, A. Laaksonen and L. Nordenskiöld, Biophys. J., 2002, 82, 2860-2875.