1. Introduction

Today, obesity had been become one of the leading public health concerns around the world. A Body Mass Index (BMI) above 30 kg m$^{-2}$ is considered obese.\textsuperscript{1} Over the past 40 years there has been a dramatic increase in the prevalence of obesity in males and females, from 3.2% and 6.4% in 1975 to 10.8% and 14.9% in 2014.\textsuperscript{2} Obesity increases the risks of various diseases including hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, cancer, impaired spermatogenesis and male subfertility.\textsuperscript{3} Obesity, as a consequence of a high-fat diet (HFD), has been reported to impair male reproductive function in both humans and animals.\textsuperscript{4,5} However, the mechanisms of obesity-induced male infertility are poorly understood.

Low levels of testosterone (T) and varying degrees of testicular dysfunction have been demonstrated in obese men and male animals, with oxidative stress and testicular apoptosis playing important roles in affecting testicular function.\textsuperscript{6–14} The involvement of oxidative stress in male reproductive dysfunction has been well documented.\textsuperscript{15–17} Enhanced oxidative stress and decreased antioxidant capacity are considered as having deep impact on the pathogenesis of obesity induced male reproductive damage.\textsuperscript{18,19} Fortunately, previous laboratory animal studies have supported the protective role of antioxidants against obesity induced male reproductive function damage.\textsuperscript{5,17} With regard to the undesirable consequences of obesity on male reproductive system, finding efficient protective pharmacological agents are of great therapeutic interest.

Several previous studies indicated that excessive germ cell apoptosis in the testes is a key pathophysiological process in the obesity-induced male spermatogenesis dysfunction.\textsuperscript{10–14} Apoptosis is essential for cellular homeostasis and normal male germ cell development process.\textsuperscript{20,21} However, excessive testicular germ cell apoptosis, in turn, may promote the progression of infertility. Conversely, inhibiting excessive apoptosis attenuates HFD induced impairment of spermatogenesis.\textsuperscript{10–13}

Grape seed proanthocyanidin extracts (GSPE) are a group of biologically active polyphenols bioflavonoids diverse in chemical structure, pharmacology and characteristics. The protective effects of GSPE on the reproductive system have been demonstrated for various conditions.\textsuperscript{22–27} All these studies attributes the protective effects to its antioxidant and anti-apoptotic activities. Many papers published in recent decades have documented that, the putative beneficial effects of polyphenols are frequently related to their antioxidant activity.\textsuperscript{28} There in all these implying beneficial role of GSPE.

On the basis of the studies above mentioned, it is not difficult to realize that oxidative stress and apoptosis are the major players in HFD induced testicular dysfunction, although the mechanisms responsible for this remain unclear. In addition, the protective effect of GSPE on obesity induced impaired spermatogenesis has not yet been reported. Considering the anti-apoptosis and anti-oxidative properties of GSPE, the aim of
the present study was to investigate potential protective effects of GSPE on HFD induced testicular apoptosis and oxidative damage in rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Chemicals

GSPE (purity is more than 95% as analysed by UV, in which dimer is 56%, trimer is 12%, tetramer is 6.6% and monomer and other high-molecular mass oligomers is 20.4% as analysed by HPLC) was purchased from Tianjin Peak Natural Product Research Development Co., Ltd. Reduced glutathione (GSH) assay kit (Spectrophotometric method, cat. no. A006-1), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-PX) assay kit (Colorimetric method, cat. no. A005), superoxide dismutase assay kit (Hydroxylamine method, cat. no. A001-1), and malondialdehyde (MDA) assay kit (TBA method, cat. no. A003-1) were obtained from Nanjing Xinxiang Medical University. A total of 40 male Sprague Dawley rats (90–120 g, 4 weeks) were quarantined 7 days and then randomly divided into four groups: control group (standard diet), HFD group, HFD + GSPE (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and HFD + GSPE (300 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) group. Rats in the control group were fed with a normal diet (control, 10 kcal% fat, D12451, Research Diets), whereas the other rats were fed with an (HFD, 45 kcal% fat, D12451, Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) for 13 weeks to induce obesity (Table 1). Rats in the HFD + GSPE group were orally administered with 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) GSPE (G100 group) or 300 mg kg\(^{-1}\) GSPE (G300 group) once per day for 13 weeks, and the other two groups received the same volume of vehicle as control. The dose of GSPE used in this study was selected on the basis of the previous studies.22-27 At the end of the experiment, the rats were sacrificed under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia (60 mg kg\(^{-1}\), ip). The testes and epididymis were quickly collected and stored at \(-20\) °C until centri-fuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes to separate serum. Serum was collected and stored at \(-20\) °C until analysis. Serum levels of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteotropic hormone (LH) and testosterone (T) were measured using hormone specific kits purchased from Beijing North Institute of Biological Technology by radioimmunoassay (RIA) method.

2.4 Epididymis sperm concentration and motility

The head of left epididymitis was placed in clean 30 mm dishes containing with 4 mL pre-warmed M199 and minced with a fine scissors to allow spermatozoa to swim out for 10 to 15 min at 37 °C. After incubation, the epididymal tissue–fluid mixture was filtered to separate the supernatant from tissue particles. The sperm suspensions were evaluated for sperm head counts, viability, motility and morphology following the procedure as described below. The sperm head counts were determined with a hemocytometer. A sample of 0.5 mL of the sperm suspension was diluted with 9.5 mL of physiological saline. Approximately 10 µL of diluted sperm suspension was transferred to each counting chamber and then was allowed to stand for 5 min and counted under a light microscope at 400× magnification.29 The total sperm counts (×10\(^6\)/ml) were calculated. The determination of sperm viability and motility was carried out according to the procedure described by previous article.18 Sperm morphological examination was performed as described by Terpsidis et al. with slight modification (a drop of the sperm suspension was spread onto a new glass plate for each sample and was allowed to dry in air).28

2.5 Determination of testicular oxidative stress markers

Testes tissues were taken from the deep-freezer and weighed. They were then transferred to cold glass tubes and diluted with a nine-fold volume of phosphate buffer (pH 7.4). For the enzymatic analysis, testicular tissues were minced and homogenized using an ultrasonic cell breaker. The homogenates were centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for the assay of GSH, GSH-Px, SOD, and MDA based on the methodology of assay kits.

2.6 Histopathological examination

A complete gross visual pathology inspection was conducted on testes of all animals during the necropsy by a senior pathologist. The testes were trimmed of extraneous fat and weighed immediately. The ratios of testes weight to terminal body weights were calculated. Tissue sections from testes were stained in hematoxylin and eosin for microscopic observation.

2.7 TUNEL assay

Testicular cell apoptosis was measured using a TUNEL assay kit (cat. no. G7360; Promega) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To assess testicular cells apoptosis in the testes, 200 different seminiferous tubules were randomly selected in each group (20 tubules per rat) and observed at a magnification of ×400. To find out the apoptotic germ cell index (AI), the percent of labeled germ cells was calculated in 20 tubules for each rat and finally, apoptotic germ cell index-1 (AI-1) as the number of tubules containing at least one TUNEL-positive cells per 100
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tubules and apoptotic index-2 (AI-2) was described as the number of TUNE-positive cells per 100 tubules.\textsuperscript{31,32}

2.8 Statistical analysis

Data were presented as means ± SD (standard deviation). Statistical analyses were performed by using one-way ANOVA test to evaluate the homogeneity of the data followed by a least squared differences model or Dunnett’s multiple comparison test if the homogeneity evaluation indicated significant deviation variances in SPSS for windows version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered to be significant at $p < 0.05$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>HFD</th>
<th>HFD + GSPE (100 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>HFD + GSPE (300 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>129.94 ± 4.77</td>
<td>135.00 ± 5.94</td>
<td>134.60 ± 3.69</td>
<td>128.50 ± 16.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>191.30 ± 10.10</td>
<td>215.00 ± 12.84</td>
<td>212.80 ± 7.93</td>
<td>205.70 ± 10.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>254.10 ± 15.69</td>
<td>291.70 ± 22.82</td>
<td>288.60 ± 14.24</td>
<td>283.00 ± 14.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>300.60 ± 22.66</td>
<td>358.30 ± 32.46</td>
<td>351.70 ± 16.96</td>
<td>343.00 ± 21.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>339.60 ± 27.75</td>
<td>407.10 ± 38.52</td>
<td>402.80 ± 21.89</td>
<td>395.70 ± 27.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>377.40 ± 31.85</td>
<td>455.10 ± 44.70</td>
<td>441.40 ± 25.26</td>
<td>426.62 ± 42.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>406.10 ± 34.26</td>
<td>501.30 ± 52.41</td>
<td>485.00 ± 24.61</td>
<td>472.10 ± 29.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>435.10 ± 37.83</td>
<td>531.30 ± 57.58</td>
<td>512.10 ± 30.00</td>
<td>504.20 ± 34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>459.10 ± 39.53</td>
<td>563.50 ± 59.73</td>
<td>543.00 ± 35.90</td>
<td>533.10 ± 28.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>481.10 ± 44.50</td>
<td>593.60 ± 58.35</td>
<td>573.80 ± 42.41</td>
<td>564.00 ± 31.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>503.20 ± 47.00</td>
<td>625.80 ± 61.95</td>
<td>598.30 ± 48.49</td>
<td>593.80 ± 33.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>515.80 ± 55.18</td>
<td>646.30 ± 59.13</td>
<td>617.60 ± 54.10</td>
<td>605.50 ± 33.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>526.50 ± 58.57</td>
<td>663.90 ± 53.54</td>
<td>622.00 ± 59.13</td>
<td>612.00 ± 29.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>537.07 ± 56.08</td>
<td>697.10 ± 39.35</td>
<td>614.10 ± 59.01</td>
<td>604.00 ± 27.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1  HFD treatment significantly increased the body weights gain (a and b) and reduced reproductive organ weight/body weight ratios (c and d) of rats compared with the control group. GSPE treatment alleviated this tendency. *$p < 0.05$ vs. control and #$p < 0.05$ vs. HFD.
3. Results

3.1 GSPE reduce body weights gain and organ/body weight ratios decrease

After 13 weeks feeding, the body weight of rat in both the HFD and GSPE groups increased significantly compared with the control group (P < 0.05; Fig. 1). GSPE treatment significantly attenuated HFD induced body weight increases (P < 0.05; Fig. 1). GSPE treatment also showed a tendency of alleviate HFD induced organ weights loss of the testes and epididymitis, but there were no significant differences in weight loss of testes between GSPE treatment groups (100 mg kg⁻¹ and 300 mg kg⁻¹) and the HFD group (Fig. 1). The GSPE (100 mg kg⁻¹) resulted in insignificant decrease in weight loss of epididymitis compared...
with the HFD group, while a significant difference was observed between the GSPE (300 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) treatment group and the HFD group \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 1})\).

### 3.2 GSPE attenuates HFD induced abnormal serum sexual hormone levels

Rats fed with HFD presented significantly decreased serum T levels compared with the control group \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 2})\). However, GSPE treatment significantly ameliorated HFD induced abnormal serum T levels \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 2})\). However, there were no significant differences in serum level of FSH and LH among groups \((P > 0.05; \text{Fig. 2})\).

### 3.3 GSPE attenuates HFD induced impaired spermatogenesis

After HFD exposure for 13 weeks obvious impaired spermatogenesis effects were observed. Sperm parameters such as concentration, sperm viability and motility were significantly decreased while sperm abnormalities increased in rats subjected to a HFD diet \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 3})\). GSPE treatment significantly attenuated HFD induced impaired spermatogenesis \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 3})\).

### 3.4 GSPE ameliorate HFD-induced testicular oxidative stress

The MDA and GSH levels and GSH-Px and SOD activities of all the treatment groups are shown in Fig. 4. HFD administration caused significant \((P < 0.05)\) increases in MDA levels, significant decreases in GSH levels \((P < 0.05)\), GSH-Px \((P < 0.05)\) and SOD activities \((P < 0.05)\) of the testes tissue compared with the control group. However, the administration of GSPE (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 400 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) reverted MDA increase and prevented the decreases in these antioxidants above mentioned induced by HFD exposure (Fig. 4).

### 3.5 GSPE improves pathological damage of testes induced by HFD

Significantly decreased testes weight/body ratios were found in the HFD group compared with the control group \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 1})\), which was also confirmed by testes histological changes analysis (Fig. 5). The testes of the control group showed a regular histological structure of the seminiferous tubules fulfilled with tight and organized spermatogenic cells at different stages. In the HFD treatment group, the seminiferous tubules structures were disrupted with disordered spermatogenic cells and more vacuoles. Notably, decreased spermatogenic cells and interstitial cells were also observed.
Histopathology changes of the testicular illustrated that, compared with the control group, HFD feeding induced obvious pathological damage in the testicular tissue. While histological changes were improved with GSPE treatment (Fig. 5).

3.6 GSPE suppresses HFD-induced apoptosis in testicular germ cells

The results of TUNEL analysis revealed that rats in the HFD group had a significantly higher rate of apoptosis compared with the control rats, and that GSPE significantly inhibited HFD induced testicular cell apoptosis ($P < 0.05$; Fig. 6).

4. Discussion

The present study explored the protective effect of GSPE on HFD exposure induced oxidative damage and germ cell apoptosis in rats. We found that HFD could provoke oxidative stress and sperm damage in rats, and GSPE could repair oxidative damage and improve sperm quality to some extent. The results of the present study demonstrated that GSPE treatment ameliorate HFD induced serum T decline and testicular cell apoptosis. Additionally, GSPE treatment improves pathological damage of testes induced by HFD. Taken together, GSPE have protective effects in HFD-induced spermatogenesis dysfunction.

Sex hormones such as FSH, LH and T are known to play important roles in the spermatogenesis process. Especially, T synthesized in Leydig cells, plays key roles in the development of male reproductive tissues and spermatogenesis. Consistent with previous studies, in our experiment, the serum T levels were markedly decreased in the HFD group when compared with the control group. The present study demonstrated that GSPE up regulates the serum level of T, which suggests that restored T levels may contribute to the protective effects of GSPE. However how testosterone biosynthesis pathway could be modulated by these extracts is still undiscovered. Future studies involving the expression level of testosterone biosynthesis pathway related genes and proteins evaluated in future studies may help to explore the mechanism. GSPE had no significant effect on FSH and LH levels, which may indicates that it does not affect pituitary hormones.

To evaluate the effects of GSPE on the spermatogenesis in rat testes fed with HFD, sperm quality was determined in the present study. The results showed that sperm quality was markedly elevated by GSPE administration in HFD rats, which may be due to the stimulated effects of GSPE on testicular testosterone, as high testosterone level is essential for seminiferous tubules, spermatogenesis and sperm maturation.

Oxidative stress, a state related to increased cellular damage triggered by oxygen and oxygen-derived free radicals known as reactive oxygen species (ROS), has been identified as one of the many mediators of male infertility by causing sperm dysfunction. During this process, augmented production of ROS overwhelms the body’s antioxidant defences. Excessive ROS result in severe oxidative damage to not only stimulate consecutive reactions resulting in further free radicals production, but also induce lipid peroxidation that lead to producing lipid peroxide (LPO) such as MDA, which then results in the damage of cellular compartments and function. Antioxidant enzymes, such as SOD, GSH, and GSH-Px play vital roles in the elimination of ROS, which defend cellular integrity against free radical-induced damages. Oxidative stress has been shown in this study and was in agreement with those reported in other studies. In the present study, exposure to HFD induce an increase in the production of MDA and decrease in GSH level and activities of SOD and GSH-Px, which may be due to the overproduction of ROS and the deficiency of antioxidant defenses, thereby induced testicular oxidative damage. However, the increased MDA production and decreased in GSH level, activities of SOD and GSH-Px were significantly restored by GSPE treatment, suggesting that GSPE attenuated HFD-induced oxidative damage in the testes of rat.

Many previous studies have proved the fact that HFD exposure can result in testicular histopathological lesions and decreased reproductive organ weights. In the present study, HFD-induced testicular damage was supported by the decrease in relative weights of tests, sperm parameters, low levels of serum T, which may be consequences of elevated oxidative stress and excessive apoptosis in the testes. However, the adverse alterations induced by HFD exposure were alleviated by GSPE treatment.

Oxidative stress in the testes has already been considered as potent inducers of cell apoptosis. Many studies have been revealed that excessive apoptosis of germ cell plays a key role in male reproductive dysfunction induced by HFD.
Spermatozoa production in the testes is a regulated balance between germ cell proliferation and germ cell apoptosis. Inhibiting excessive cell apoptosis were proved to be an effective treatment for defective spermatogenesis induced by HFD. The low concentration of spermatozoa, poor morphology after HFD feeding appeared to be correlated with pathological damage of the testes and high levels of testicular cell apoptosis. Our data simply revealed that GSPE treatment significantly inhibits testicular cell apoptosis, which suggests that apoptosis may be one of the underlying mechanisms by which GSPE protects against HFD induced defective spermatogenesis.

In conclusion, the present study suggested that HFD caused severe testicular oxidative damage and apoptosis. GSPE treatment can attenuate HFD induced testicular toxicity by decreasing oxidative damage and testicular apoptosis. These data provide important insights into the application of GSPE in alleviating HFD-induced testicular dysfunction, GSPE may be a potential novel therapeutic medicine for obesity associated male reproductive dysfunction.
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