Rechargeable aluminum batteries: effects of cations in ionic liquid electrolytes†
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Room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) are solvent-free liquids comprised of densely packed cations and anions. The low vapor pressure and low flammability make ILs interesting for electrolytes in batteries. In this work, a new class of ionic liquids was formed for rechargeable aluminum/graphite battery electrolytes by mixing 1-methyl-1-propylpyrrolidinium chloride (Py13Cl) with various ratios of aluminum chloride (AlCl3) (AlCl3/Py13Cl molar ratio = 1.4 to 1.7). Fundamental properties of the ionic liquids, including density, viscosity, conductivity, anion concentrations and electrolyte ion percent were investigated and compared with the previously investigated 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (EMIC-AlCl3) ionic liquids. The results showed that the Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid exhibited lower density, higher viscosity and lower conductivity than its EMIC-AlCl3 counterpart. We devised a Raman scattering spectroscopy method probing ILs over a Si substrate, and by using the Si Raman scattering peak for normalization, we quantified speciation including AlCl4⁻, AlCl7⁻, and larger AlCl6 related species with the general formula (AlCl3)n in different IL electrolytes. We found that larger (AlCl3)n species existed only in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system. We propose that the larger cationic size of Py13⁺ (142 Å A) versus EMIC⁺ (118 Å A) dictated the differences in the chemical and physical properties of the two ionic liquids. Both ionic liquids were used as electrolytes for aluminum–graphite batteries, with the performances of batteries compared. The chloroaluminate anion–graphite charging capacity and cycling stability of the two batteries were similar. The Py13Cl–AlCl3 based battery showed a slightly larger overpotential than EMIC–AlCl3, leading to lower energy efficiency resulting from higher viscosity and lower conductivity. The results here provide fundamental insights into ionic liquid electrolyte design for optimal battery performance.

Introduction

In recent years, with the increased deployment of portable devices, electric vehicles and renewable energy, rechargeable batteries with high energy density, power density, safety and long cycle life at low cost become highly desired. Lithium ion batteries (LIBs) have high energy density and high capacity and are regarded as one of the most promising energy storage devices. In addition to LIBs, other types of battery have been developed including sodium-ion batteries, zinc-ion batteries, magnesium-ion batteries and aluminum-ion batteries (AIBs) that could complement or serve as alternatives to each other.1–3

The electrolyte lies at the heart of a battery. With the advances in battery technology, the development of a safe and stable electrolyte is critically important. Room temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) are safe and sufficiently conducting, useful as battery electrolytes.4–14 Various ionic liquids have been investigated for different types of batteries, including LIB and AIB.2,5,10,15–16 Our group has developed rechargeable Al-graphite battery based on two types of electrolytes, an IL electrolyte made by mixing 1-ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (EMIC) and AlCl3 and an quasi IL or deep-eutectic solvent (DES) by mixing urea with AlCl3.7–9 The batteries operate by reversible redox of Al at the negative Al foil electrode, and reversible carbon redox through chloroaluminate anion intercalation and de-intercalation at the graphite positive electrode.7–9,17–19 Still, much room exists in developing new IL electrolytes to improve Al battery, and especially, to understanding the relations...
between the composition, physical properties of IL electrolytes and battery performance.

Herein, we report a new series of ionic liquids formed by mixing 1-methyl-1-propylpyrrolidinium chloride and AlCl3 at various ratios (AlCl3/Py13Cl ratios: 1.4, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7). The electrolytes exhibited different physical and chemical properties compared to the widely used EMIC-AlCl3 ionic liquids. We devised an approach to probe and quantify the species in both ionic liquids containing monomeric AlCl4\(^{–}\) anion and dimeric Al2Cl7\(^{−}\) anion. We found that larger AlCl3 related species in the form of (AlCl3)\(_n\) existed only in Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid and were absent in EMIC-AlCl3. In addition, the overall concentration of AlCl4\(^{−}\) and AlCl3\(^{−}\) and ion percent were lower in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system. The difference in cation size (Py13+: 142 Å\(^2\) versus EMI+: 118 Å\(^2\)) was likely responsible for the differences in the physical properties of Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC-AlCl3 ILs. Batteries using Py13Cl–AlCl3 electrolyte showed lower energy and voltage efficiency as a result of their larger overpotential resulted from higher viscosity and lower ionic conductivity with the presence of large (AlCl3)\(_n\) species in the ionic liquid. Our results help to shed light into electrolyte design for Al batteries.

Results

Structure, density, viscosity, and conductivity of ILs

Fig. 1a shows the structure of Py13Cl and EMIC. DFT calculations (B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-TZVP) were performed to determine the geometrically optimized structure and the electrostatic potential maps of Py13\(^{+}\), EMI\(^{+}\) and AlCl4\(^{−}\) (Fig. S1†). Subsequently the sizes of the molecules were determined based on the van der Waals radii to be 142 Å\(^2\), 118 Å\(^2\), and 105 Å\(^3\), respectively. AlCl4\(^{−}\) size ratio to Py13\(^{+}\) and EMI\(^{+}\) is 0.74 and 0.89, respectively.

We first measured the density of ionic liquids formed by mixing AlCl3 with Py13Cl and EMIC respectively at various molar ratios (Fig. 1b). The EMIC-AlCl3 ionic liquid density increased linearly with the AlCl3/EMIC ratio in the 1–1.7 range, in close agreement with literature reported results.\(^{29}\) A comparison between our experimental results and those calculated from literature was shown in Fig. S2† (temperature used for density calculation was 25 °C).\(^{19}\) A significant difference between the two ionic liquids was that well behaved liquids for the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system could not form for AlCl3/Py13Cl < 1.4, unlike the homogeneous clear liquids formed for AlCl3/EMIC ≥ 1. For the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system, a gel like mixture was formed with visible precipitates when AlCl3/Py13Cl = 1–1.3. Also different was that for AlCl3/Py13Cl > 1.3, the change in density of Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid did not follow a linear trend with the increase in AlCl3/Py13Cl molar ratio. Density decreased first from AlCl3/Py13Cl = 1.4 to 1.5 and then increased as AlCl3/Py13Cl further increased (Fig. 1b black curve).

We also measured viscosity of the two ionic liquid systems at temperature of 23 to 24 °C. The viscosity of Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid was about 3 times higher than that of EMIC-AlCl3 ionic liquid (Fig. 1c), with its viscosity decreased as the AlCl3/Py13Cl ratio changed from 1.4 to 1.6 and then slightly increased as the AlCl3 ratio further increased to 1.7. Conductivity measurements of these ionic liquids found that, corroborated with the higher viscosity of Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid, its ionic conductivity, measured at 25 °C, was about 3 times lower than that of EMIC-AlCl3 (Fig. 1d).

Fig. 1 Structures and physical properties of Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3 ionic liquid. (a) The structure of EMIC and Py13Cl. (b) density comparison between Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3. (c) viscosity comparison between Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3 measured at 23–24 °C. (d) Conductivity comparison between Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3 measured at 25 °C.
Speciation of ionic liquids probed by Raman spectroscopy

Fig. 2a and b showed the Raman spectra of EMIC-AlCl3 and Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquids, respectively. A piece of p-type boron doped silicon wafer was placed inside a clear plastic pouch containing the IL, and micro-Raman was done by focusing the laser through the clear plastic pouch onto the Si wafer surface to obtain spectra of both the Si and ILs within the laser focal volume. All spectra were taken when the silicon signal was maximized and all the peaks were then normalized to Si. The peaks at around 311 cm$^{-1}$ and 433 cm$^{-1}$ were known to belong to dimeric Al$_2$Cl$_7$, and the peak at around 350 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to monomeric AlCl$_4$. The peak at around 520 cm$^{-1}$ was the silicon wafer and normalized to 100. Small peaks at around 240 cm$^{-1}$, 383 cm$^{-1}$, 597 cm$^{-1}$, 630 cm$^{-1}$, 650 cm$^{-1}$, 700 cm$^{-1}$ all belonged to the EMI$^+$ (Fig. 3). Some of them were also observed by Takahashi et al. and assigned to EMI$^+$ in their study of EMIC-AlCl$_3$ ionic liquid. In addition, the Raman spectrum of pure EMIC solid was taken and compared with the 1.7 EMIC IL, and the result further confirmed the validity of this peak assignment (Fig. S3†). The peaks at 311 cm$^{-1}$ and 433 cm$^{-1}$ increased in intensities and the peak at 350 cm$^{-1}$ decreased in intensity as more AlCl$_3$ was added, indicating that more Al$_2$Cl$_7$ and fewer AlCl$_4$ were formed at higher AlCl$_3$/EMIC or AlCl$_3$/Py13Cl ratios. The chemical equations govern these reactions were as follows:

$$\text{AlCl}_3 + \text{EMIC} \rightarrow \text{EMI}^+ + \text{AlCl}_4^- \quad (\text{AlCl}_3 \text{ ratio} \leq 1) \quad (1a)$$
$$\text{AlCl}_3 + \text{Py13Cl} \rightarrow \text{Py13}^+ + \text{AlCl}_4^- \quad (\text{AlCl}_3 \text{ ratio} \leq 1) \quad (1b)$$
$$\text{AlCl}_3 + \text{AlCl}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^- \quad (1 < \text{AlCl}_3 \text{ ratio} < 2) \quad (1c)$$

Three peaks unique to the Py13Cl–AlCl$_3$ ionic liquids were observed at ~270 cm$^{-1}$, 377 cm$^{-1}$ and 495 cm$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). These peaks were assigned to neutral-like AlCl$_3$ species in the form of aggregates, dimers, multimers and (AlCl$_3$)$_n$ species. Peaks near 280 cm$^{-1}$ were assigned to neutral aluminum chloride in the literature depending on the experimental conditions and chemical environment. The peak at 377 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to Al$_3$Cl$_{10}^-$ by Dymek et al. in their spectral study of Al$_3$Cl$_{10}^-$, and

Fig. 2 Raman spectra of Py13Cl–AlCl$_3$ and EMIC–AlCl$_3$ ionic liquid, normalized by the Si wafer peak at around 520 cm$^{-1}$. (a) Raman spectra of EMIC–AlCl$_3$ at different AlCl$_3$ ratios, with species assignment to major peaks. (b) Raman spectra of Py13Cl–AlCl$_3$ ionic liquid at different AlCl$_3$ ratio, with species assignment to major peaks.
the shoulder peak at 495 cm$^{-1}$ was also observed by Rytter et al. in their Raman spectroscopic investigation of the melts of AlCl$_3$ and AlkCl (Alk = Li, K, Cs).\textsuperscript{26,29} Peak at ~495 cm$^{-1}$ was present when AlCl$_3$ concentration exceeded 66.7 mol% and the authors assigned it to higher polymeric Al$_x$Cl$_3$$_{x+1}$ ions, with the possibility of $x > 3$.\textsuperscript{26} The peak position was also likely to shift depending on the cation size.\textsuperscript{26} These peaks were also observed in the inhomogeneous 1.3 Py13Cl–AlCl$_3$ mixture.

Quantitative speciation and ‘ion percent’ of electrolytes

From Raman spectra, we estimated the concentrations of AlCl$_4^-$ and Al$_2$Cl$_7^-$ in the ionic liquids by using the Si normalized Raman intensity of the peaks at 311 cm$^{-1}$ (Al$_2$Cl$_7^-$) and 350 cm$^{-1}$ (AlCl$_4^-$) respectively. In the 1.0 AlCl$_3$ : 1.0 EMIC ionic liquid, the only species present were AlCl$_4^-$ and EMI$^+$, and the molar concentration of AlCl$_4^-$ in the 1.0 IL electrolyte equaled to that of AlCl$_3$ (mole number of AlCl$_3$ in the IL/molar volume of the IL). The concentration of AlCl$_4^-$ at other AlCl$_3$ ratios ($x$) can be calculated using the following equation.

$$
\frac{[\text{AlCl}_4^-]_{\text{1.0EMIC}}}{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{1.0EMIC}}} = \frac{[\text{AlCl}_4^-]_{\text{xEMIC}}}{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{xEMIC}}} \quad (2)
$$

In eqn 2, $I$ was the intensity of the AlCl$_4^-$ peak at 350 cm$^{-1}$, and $x$ was the molar ratio of AlCl$_3$/EMIC ranging from 1.1 to 1.7. The dimeric anion concentration was calculated by

$$
\frac{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{EMIC}}}{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{xEMIC}}} = 0.78 \times \frac{[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-]_{\text{xEMIC}}}{[\text{AlCl}_4^-]_{\text{xEMIC}}} \quad (3)
$$

0.78 was the Raman cross section ratio between Al$_2$Cl$_7^-$ and AlCl$_4^-$ in the EMIC-AlCl$_3$ ionic liquid, determined from the method described by Gilbert et al.\textsuperscript{30}

For the Py13Cl–AlCl$_3$ ILs, quantitative analysis of the speciation was not as straightforward due to the inability in forming a AlCl$_3$/Py13Cl = 1.0 ratio electrolyte. We analyzed the concentrations of AlCl$_4^-$ and Al$_2$Cl$_7^-$ from their Raman peak intensities after normalizing the Raman spectra of the Py13Cl-AlCl$_3$ and EMIC-AlCl$_3$ electrolytes to the same Si reference placed into the two ionic liquids. By so doing we estimated the anions concentrations in the Py13Cl electrolytes through the normalized Raman intensities using

$$
\frac{[\text{AlCl}_4^-]_{\text{Py13Cl}}}{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{Py13Cl}}} = \frac{[\text{AlCl}_4^-]_{\text{xEMIC}}}{I_{\text{AlCl}_4^-, \text{xEMIC}}} \quad (4)
$$
\[
\frac{[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-]_{\text{EMIC}}}{I_{\text{EMIC}}^{\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-}} = \frac{[\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-]_{\text{Py}13\text{Cl}}}{I_{\text{Py}13\text{Cl}}^{\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-}}
\]  

(5)

In eqn (4) and (5), \( I \) was the normalized intensity for \( \text{AlCl}_4^- \) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^- \) and \( y \) was the ratio of \( \text{AlCl}_3 \) ranging from 1.4 to 1.7.

The ratios between \([\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-] / [\text{AlCl}_4^-] \) were similar in both Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3 ionic liquids, especially at AlCl3/organic chloride = 1.4–1.6 (Fig. 4a). In both systems, the monomeric anion concentration decreased with increasing AlCl3 ratio, and was lower in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system than that in EMIC–AlCl3 at AlCl3 ratio equals to 1.4–1.6. When AlCl3/organic chloride = 1.7, the monomer anion concentration in both ionic liquids was similar (Fig. 4b). As expected, the \( \text{Al}_3\text{Cl}_7^- \) concentration increased as the AlCl3 ratio increased (Fig. 4c), and was always lower in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 IL than in the EMIC–AlCl3 IL (Fig. 4c). This made the overall concentrations of \( \text{AlCl}_4^- \) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^- \) lower in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 IL than that in the EMIC–AlCl3 IL at a given AlCl3 ratio to organic chloride ratio (Fig. 4b and c).

We defined a term “ion percent” as the ratio between \([\text{AlCl}_4^-] + 2 \times [\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-] \) and \([\text{AlCl}_3] \). By so doing we only included \([\text{AlCl}_4^-] \) and \([\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^-] \) since they were the only electrochemically active species in our ILs for Al battery operation. If the ion percent was 1, it indicated that all AlCl3 were consumed for making monomers and dimers. When the ion percent was less than 1, larger \( (\text{AlCl}_3)_n \) could form. For EMIC-AlCl3 IL, the ion percent values were near 1.0 (Fig. 4d), suggesting anions in the electrolytes were mostly in the form of \( \text{AlCl}_4^- \) and \( \text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_7^- \). In the Py13Cl–AlCl3 system, however, this ion percent value was always lower. When the AlCl3 ratio to Py13Cl was 1.4 (the lowest required to form a liquid), the ion percent was at its lowest, 0.85, and increased slightly as more AlCl3 was added and was always lower than 1. This trend in ion percent was consistent with the observations of the three unique peaks (270 cm\(^{-1}\), 377 cm\(^{-1}\), 495 cm\(^{-1}\)) in the Py13Cl–AlCl3 Raman spectra. As the AlCl3 content increased, all these peaks had their intensities decreased, with the peaks at 270 cm\(^{-1}\) and 377 cm\(^{-1}\) being the most obvious. This trend suggested reduced concentrations of \( (\text{AlCl}_3)_n \) species as AlCl3/Py13Cl increased, which was also reflected by the slight increase in ion percent for the Py13Cl–AlCl3 IL. In the EMIC-AlCl3 spectra, however, these three peaks were absent, which was consistent with its ion percent value always close to 1. The error bars in Fig. 4 were obtained using formulas from error propagation (eqn S1†).
Cyclic voltammetry and battery data

The Py13Cl–AlCl3 ionic liquid was used as an electrolyte for rechargeable aluminum–graphite battery (Fig. 5a). A simplistic battery operation mechanism was that during charging, AlCl4− in the electrolyte intercalated into the positive electrode and oxidized the graphite, making Cn(AlCl4−) compound with electrons released. At the negative electrode, Al2Cl7− in the electrolyte was reduced to Al metal and formed AlCl4− that migrated to the positive electrode side.7,9 When the battery was discharged, the opposite reactions occurred. At the negative electrode, aluminum metal was oxidized to Al2Cl7− by consuming AlCl4− in the electrolyte. At the positive electrode, AlCl4− deintercalated from the graphite and reduced Cn(AlCl4−) to Cn.

Cyclic voltammetry of the graphite electrodes (Fig. 5b) and aluminum electrode (Fig. 5c) in Al batteries were performed in 1.5 AlCl3 : 1.0 EMIC and 1.5 AlCl3 : 1.0 Py13Cl electrolytes respectively (scan rate = 0.58 mV s−1 with an Al metal reference electrode). The overall shapes of these two curves were somewhat similar, but obvious difference was observed. The 1.5 AlCl3/Py13Cl electrolyte showed a slightly higher voltage

![Diagram of battery operation](image)

Fig. 5 Aluminum–graphite battery performances when Py13Cl–AlCl3 and EMIC–AlCl3 ionic liquids were used as electrolyte (a) schematic depiction of how the Al–graphite battery worked, (b) cyclic voltammetry data at graphite electrode, three electrodes CV with Al as reference, (c) cyclic voltammetry data at Al electrode, three electrodes CV with Al as reference, (d) stability and capacity of Al–graphite batteries using 1.5 Py13Cl and 1.5 EMIC as electrolyte (C-rate were indicated in the figure. For 1.5 Py13Cl battery, cycle 1–10: cutoff voltage 2.6 V, cycle 11–55: cutoff voltage 2.5 V, cycle 56–100: cutoff voltage 2.4 V. For 1.5 EMIC battery, cutoff voltage was 2.4 V for all cycles), (e) Al battery charge–discharge curves comparison between 1.5 Py13Cl and 1.5 EMIC as electrolytes.
window. The irreversible reaction did not appear until a potential of 2.6 V, whereas in the 1.5 AlCl3/EMIC electrolyte the irreversible reaction appeared at 2.4 V. The overpotential (voltage difference in redox peaks) in the Py13Cl based electrolyte was higher than that in the EMIC based electrolyte, attributed to higher parasitic resistance due to the higher viscosity and lower conductivity of the Py13Cl system. The graphite side CVs had current normalized because the graphite electrodes loading for the two CVs were too low to keep the mass exact (Experimental methods section). Aluminum redox was clearly observed in both systems (Fig. 5c). It was observed that at the same voltage, the 1.5 EMIC battery showed higher current density than those in 1.5 Py13Cl battery, suggesting more facile Al redox reaction in the EMIC based electrolyte. The aluminum side CVs didn’t need normalization as the size of the aluminum electrodes in the two CVs were kept the same (Experimental methods section).

The aluminum–graphite battery using 1.5 AlCl3 : 1.0 Py13Cl as electrolyte showed activation behavior during initial cycling (Fig. 5d), after which clear discharge voltage plateaus at around ~2.2 V and ~1.8 V appeared (Fig. 5e black curve). The battery was then cycled at various current densities (100 mA g\(^{-1}\) to 800 mA g\(^{-1}\)) to investigate the rate performance, with high coulombic efficiency in the range of 99% to 100%. The battery at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) current under a cutoff voltage of 2.4 V showed a capacity around 75 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with a coulombic efficiency about 99.2%. The discharging energy could be maintained at around 141 mW h g\(^{-1}\) (based on the graphite mass) with an energy efficiency about ~89%. The aluminum–graphite battery using 1.5 AlCl3 : 1.0 EMIC as electrolyte could operate from 1 V to 2.4 V and no activation was needed in the beginning. Both batteries had similar stability over 100 cycles of charge–discharge (Fig. 5d). Comparison of the charge–discharge curves between 1.5 Py13Cl and 1.5 EMIC batteries at a current density of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5e) showed a larger overpotential in the 1.5 Py13Cl based battery, consistent with the cyclic voltammetry data (Fig. 5b).

**Discussion**

In this work, we investigated a new ionic liquid system based on Py13Cl and AlCl3 for rechargeable Al batteries. Although the battery performance failed to match that on the commonly used EMIC and AlCl3 IL. The results led to fundamental insights into electrolyte composition, chemical and physical properties and their relation to battery performance.

We used Raman spectroscopy as a tool to probe and quantify chloroaluminate anionic species in different ionic liquids. In the EMIC-AlCl3 ILs, the peak at around 598 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to EMI\(^+\) was present in every spectrum. Therefore, besides the Si chip peak at around 520 cm\(^{-1}\), the EMI\(^+\) peak was also useful as an internal normalization factor to calculate AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) concentrations in EMIC-AlCl3 ILs for AlCl\(_3\)/EMIC = 1.0–1.7. To this end, we first determined the concentration of EMI\(^+\) in every ratio of AlCl3 by the following equation.

\[
[E_{EMI}^+]_{EMIC} = \frac{1}{{I_{EMI}^+}}_{raw, EMIC} \times \frac{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}
\]

In eqn (6), \(x\) was the AlCl3 to EMIC ratio ranging from 1.0 to 1.7, and \(V_n\) was the molar volume of the IL, which could be determined from the average molecular weight dividing by the measured density. The EMI\(^+\) concentrations in different AlCl3 ratio ILs were different due to their difference in molar volume, originated from their difference in densities (Fig. 1b).

Next, the AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) intensity, normalized to EMI\(^+\), were calculated using the following equations.

\[
I_{AlCl^4^-} = \frac{I_{AlCl^4^- raw, x}}{I_{EMI^+ raw, x}} \times \frac{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}
\]

\[
I_{Al_2Cl_7^-} = \frac{I_{Al_2Cl_7^- raw, x}}{I_{EMI^+ raw, x}} \times \frac{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}{[E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}}
\]

In eqn (7) and (8), subscript \(x\) was the ratio of AlCl3 to EMIC ranging from 1.0 to 1.7. \(I_{AlCl^4^-}\) and \(I_{Al_2Cl_7^-}\) were the EMI\(^+\) normalized intensity for AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) in xEMIC, respectively. \(I_{AlCl^4^- raw, x}\) and \(I_{Al_2Cl_7^- raw, x}\) and \(I_{EMI^+ raw, x}\) were the raw Raman intensity of AlCl\(_4^-\), Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) and EMI\(^+\) in xEMIC. Lastly, \([E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}\) and \([E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}\) were the EMI\(^+\) concentration in xEMIC and 1.0 EMIC, calculated from eqn (6), respectively. The ratio of \([E_{EMI}^+]_{1.0EMIC}\) was a correction factor for the EMI\(^+\) normalized intensity, due to the fact that EMI\(^+\) concentration were different in different AlCl3 ratio ILs.

After obtaining the EMI\(^+\) normalized peak intensity for AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) from eqn (7) and (8), these two quantities were plugged into eqn (2) and (3) to determine the AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) concentrations, similar to the Si normalization case. Ion percent could also be easily calculated using these newly obtained AlCl\(_4^-\) and Al\(_2\)Cl\(_7^-\) concentrations. These results obtained by EMI\(^+\) normalization were compared with the Si normalization results (Fig. S4†), showing a high degree of agreement. This confirmed that the validity of the normalization method using Si as an external Raman reference. We believe that this method could be broadly applicable to facilitate quantitative anion speciation comparisons of a wide range ILs that lack a common cation Raman signature.

The Py13Cl-AlCl3 ionic liquids exhibited different properties (higher viscosity, lower conductivity, lower overall monomeric and dimeric anion concentrations and formation of large (AlCl\(_3\))\(_n\) species) from the EMIC-AlCl3 ionic liquid, originated from the larger cationic size of Py13\(^+\) than the EMI\(^+\) cation (DFT calculated size of the Py13\(^+\) and EMI\(^+\) ~142 Å\(^3\) and 118 Å\(^3\), respectively, Fig. S1†). When the cation size changed in an ionic liquid, it could greatly affect the chemical environment around it and its solvation shell. Bigger size cations could stabilize and favored the formation of larger species such as (AlCl\(_3\))\(_n\). In addition, the pi-system and the Brønsted acidic set of hydrogen atoms, which were unique in the EMI\(^+\) and absent in the Py13\(^+\), helped with solubilizing and liquidizing of the ionic liquid. Larger (AlCl\(_3\))\(_n\) species tend to form in the Py13Cl-AlCl3 system without forming a stable solvation shell. This trend was reported by several authors in the literature. Larger (AlCl\(_3\))\(_n\) species were only observed in Py13Cl-AlCl3 ionic liquid, and their concentration decreased as we increased the AlCl3 concentration.
We also calculated the interaction energy and the Gibbs free energy change for de-solvation in these two ILs (Table S1†). Our results showed that the interactions between EMIm+ and AlCl4− was always stronger than that between Py13+ and AlCl4−. Weaker interaction in the Py13Cl−/AlCl3 case. When larger dimeric ions increased in concentration above a threshold level for AlCl3/Py13Cl, the system evolves into a well solvated liquid. This phenomenon also suggested mismatch of cation/anion ratios of AlCl3 with Py13Cl. The physical and chemical properties of both ionic liquids as electrolytes in an aluminum–graphite battery were also compared. The batteries had similar capacity and similar stability. However, the battery with Py13Cl/AlCl3, as electrolyte had higher overpotential, which was due to its higher viscosity and lower conductivity. The cation/anion size in an IL can dictate its physical properties including density, viscosity and conductivity, and the battery performances such as overpotential, rate capabilities and energy efficiency. All of these are rooted in the solvation and coordination of ion-counter ions in the ionic liquid. Therefore, in order to synthesize better ionic liquids to be used as electrolyte, the cation size needs to be controlled carefully. Overall, RTILs are still very open for further investigation. With more and more discoveries and understanding on RTILs, their advantageous properties, including low flammability and high rate capabilities can be further utilized in energy storage.
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Conclusion
In this work, new ionic liquids were formed by mixing various ratios of AlCl3 with Py13Cl. The physical and chemical properties of resulting ionic liquid were investigated and they turned out to be very different from the commonly used EMIC-AlCl3 ionic liquid. At the same AlCl3/organic chloride ratio, Py13Cl−/AlCl3 system had lower density, higher viscosity and lower conductivity than the EMIC-AlCl3 counterpart. Clear liquid could not form in Py13Cl−/AlCl3 IL until AlCl3/Py13Cl molar ratio reached 1.4. Raman spectroscopy revealed monomeric AlCl4− and dimeric Al2Cl7− existed in both ILs, with their concentrations decreased and increased, respectively, as the content of AlCl3 was increased. The sum of [AlCl4−] and [Al2Cl7−] was lower in the Py13Cl−/AlCl3 IL, in agreement with its lower conductivity. Large polymeric [AlCl3]n species only existed in Py13Cl−/AlCl3 IL. The properties for both ionic liquids as electrolytes in an aluminum–graphite battery were also compared. The batteries had similar capacity and similar stability. However, the battery with Py13Cl−/AlCl3 as electrolyte had higher overpotential, which was due to its higher viscosity and lower conductivity. The cation/anion size in an IL can dictate its physical properties including density, viscosity and conductivity, and the battery performances such as overpotential, rate capabilities and energy efficiency. All of these are rooted in the solvation and coordination of ion-counter ions in the ionic liquid. Therefore, in order to synthesize better ionic liquids to be used as electrolyte, the cation size needs to be controlled carefully. Overall, RTILs are still very open for further investigation. With more and more discoveries and understanding on RTILs, their advantageous properties, including low flammability and high rate capabilities can be further utilized in energy storage.


