



Concise total syntheses of phelligradins A, C, and D†

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2019, 9, 7321

Takayuki Ohyoshi,¹ Keisuke Mitsugi, Tatsuya Higuma, Fumitaka Ichimura, Masahito Yoshida and Hideo Kigoshi

Received 17th December 2018
 Accepted 19th February 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10346a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

We have established a concise and scalable synthetic pathway for phelligradins A (1), C (2) and D (3). The synthetic highlights were Suzuki–Miyaura coupling and aldol-type condensation of α -pyrone. Phelligradin A was synthesized in four steps, while phelligradins C and D were each synthesized in six steps. Furthermore, we have revealed that the whole structure is essential for the cytotoxicity of phelligradins.

Phelligradin A (1) is a polyphenol isolated from the fruiting body of *Phellinus igniarius*, a fungus collected in Liaoning Province, China in 2003 (Fig. 1).¹ A year later, analogs of 1, phelligradins C (2) and D (3), were also isolated from the fungus.² Also in 2004, meshimakobnols A and B were independently isolated from the Japanese mushroom of the same genus (*Phellinus linteus*). Meshimakobnols A and B were found to be identical to 3 and 2, respectively.³ Structurally, they possess a tricyclic fused ring system including two adjacent α -pyrone rings. In addition, they inhibit the cell growth of a variety of cancer cells.^{2,4} However, the reported values of cytotoxicity against lung cancer A549 cells differed greatly. Furthermore, hispidin (4), a similar pyrone compound to phelligradin D (3), inhibits in a dose-dependent manner the activity of BACE 1, which produces amyloid β , a causative biomolecule of Alzheimer's disease.⁵ Recently, Shigemori and co-workers reported on the inhibitory effect of

phelligradin D (3) on the aggregation of 42-mer amyloid β .⁶ However, the biological studies were limited to those of the natural products or their congeners. Because a structure–activity relationship study on phelligradins/meshimakobnols is expected to provide lead compounds for cancer and Alzheimer's disease, we decided to establish an adaptable synthetic route for their structure–activity relationships. Herein, we described a concise and scalable total synthesis of phelligradins A (1), C (2), and D (3) and their biological evaluation.

The retrosynthetic pathway of phelligradins is shown in Scheme 1. Phelligradins C (2) and D (3) would be derived from dimethylphelligradin A (6) by aldol-type condensation with the corresponding benzaldehyde. Dimethylphelligradin A (6) would be synthesized from boronate ester 7 and bromopyrone 8 by Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. In this synthetic plan, several analogs of the phelligradins can be synthesized by changing the two coupling partners of the aldol-type condensation and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.

Our synthesis of phelligradins started from the commercially available α -pyrone 9 (Scheme 2). Bromination⁷ and protection of 9 gave the bromopyrone 8, a precursor of Suzuki–Miyaura coupling. The coupling reaction between 8 and boronate ester 7 (ref. 8) followed by removal of the MOM group and concomitant





Fig. 1 Structure of phelligradins A (1), C (2), D (3), and hispidin (4).




Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic pathway of phelligradins.

Department of Chemistry, Graduate School of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba 305-8571, Japan. E-mail: kigoshi@chem.tsukuba.ac.jp; ohyoshi@chem.tsukuba.ac.jp

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental protocols, characterization data and NMR spectra of all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra10346a






Scheme 2 Synthesis of dimethylphelligradin A (6) and aldol-type reaction.

lactonization gave dimethylphelligradin A (6) as a precursor of the aldol-type condensation. Next, we examined the synthesis of phelligradin D (3). The aldol-type reaction of dimethylphelligradin A (6) and aldehyde 5a was carried out under reported conditions, LHMDS/THF⁹ or ^tBuOK/DMF.¹⁰ However, under these conditions, 6 was insoluble in solvent, and the reaction did not proceed.

Therefore, we then tried aldol-type reaction with 10 (Scheme 3). The aldol reaction of 10 and 5a gave the aldol 12 in moderate yield. Subsequently, the aldol 12 was dehydrated *via* mesylation, and the MOM group was removed to obtain tetramethylphelligradin D (14). Finally, removal of the four methyl groups in 14 under HCl·py gave phelligradin D (3). Although we had achieved the total synthesis of 3, there are three problems needed to be solved to adapt the synthetic route to a scalable preparation.




Scheme 3 Total synthesis of phelligradin D (3).

Firstly, the solubility of phelligradin D, as well as that of the synthetic intermediates from compound 10, was remarkably low in a variety of solvents. Therefore, there were restrictions on reaction conditions and purification methods. Secondly, isomerization of the *trans* olefin in 3 and 14 occurred easily by light. Finally, the demethylation of 14 required severe reaction conditions. All the aforementioned drawbacks resulted in a low overall yield for phelligradin D (3). In order to solve these three problems, we decided to protect the phenolic hydroxy groups as MOM ethers.

Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of bromopyrone 8 and di-MOM boronate ester 15 gave the coupling compound 16 (Scheme 4). The aldol-type reaction with di-MOM aldehyde 17 and dehydration afforded 18. In this case, the aldol-type reaction of 16 proceeded in high yield presumably due to improved solubility of 16. Finally, phelligradin D (3) was synthesized by deprotection of five MOM groups under mild acidic conditions.


Having established a synthetic route for phelligradin D (3), the total synthesis of phelligradin A (1) and C (2) was carried out using synthetic intermediate 16 (Scheme 5). Removal of the three MOM groups in 16 gave phelligradin A (1). In addition, phelligradin C (2) was synthesized in three steps from 16 by changing the aldehyde of the aldol-type reaction to 19.

With the synthetic samples in hand, the cytotoxicity against A549 cells and HeLa S3 cells of phelligradins were evaluated (Table 1). Phelligradin C (2) and D (3) showed cytotoxicity against A549 cells with IC₅₀ of 1.6 μM and 1.1 μM, respectively. These values were closer to those reported by Nagatsu than by Shi.¹¹ On the other hand, phelligradin A (1), a left segment of phelligradin D (3), show no cytotoxicity. These results showed that the combination of left and right segments is essential for the cytotoxicity of the phelligradins. In addition, we evaluated cytotoxicity against human cervical cancer cells. In cytotoxicity



Scheme 4 Concise total synthesis of phelligradin D (3).





Scheme 5 Total syntheses of phelligidins A (1), C (2).

Table 1 Cytotoxicity of phelligidins A, C, D, and hispidin

Cpd	A549 cells ^a (μM)			HeLa S3 cells (μM)
	Syn.	Nat.	GI ₅₀	Syn.
1	>100	>0.192 ^b	—	>100
2	1.6	0.012 ^b	15.0 ^c	3.0
3	1.1	0.016 ^b	22.6 ^c	2.1
4	>100	—	—	>100

^a See ESI. ^b Ref. 2. ^c Ref. 4.

against HeLa S3 cells, the same tendencies were observed as well.

Conclusions

We have established a concise and scalable synthetic pathway for phelligidins A (1), C (2), and D (3). The synthetic highlights were Suzuki–Miyaura coupling and aldol-type condensation of α -pyrone. Phelligidin A was synthesized in four steps, while

phelligidins C and D were each synthesized in six steps. Furthermore, we have investigated their cytotoxicity and revealed that the whole structure is essential for the cytotoxicity of phelligidins. A structure–activity relationships study based on this synthetic route is ongoing in our laboratory.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant Number JP26242073) from Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

Notes and references

- 1 S.-Y. Mo, Y.-C. Yang, W.-Y. He and J.-G. Shi, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **14**, 704.
- 2 S.-Y. Mo, S.-J. Wang, Y.-C. Yang, X.-G. Chen and J.-G. Shi, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2004, **67**, 823.
- 3 A. Nagatsu, S. Itoh, R. Tanaka, S. Kato, M. Haruna, K. Kishimoto, H. Hirayama, Y. Goda, H. Mizukami and Y. Ogihara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 5931.
- 4 K. Kojima, T. Ohno, M. Inoue, H. Mizukami and A. Nagatsu, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2008, **56**, 173.
- 5 I.-K. Lee and B.-S. Yun, *J. Antibiot.*, 2011, **64**, 349.
- 6 Y. Aihara, A. Kawaguchi, M. Hanaki, K. Murakami, K. Irie and H. Shigemori, *Heterocycles*, 2017, **91**, 1280.
- 7 P. D. March, M. Mareno-Manas, R. Pi, I. Ripoll and F. Sanchez-Ferrando, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 1985, **22**, 1537.
- 8 C. Genes, S. Michel, F. Tillequin and F. H. Poree, *Tetrahedron*, 2009, **65**, 10009.
- 9 J. Preindl, S. Schulthoff, C. Wirts, J. Lingnau and A. Furstner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 7525.
- 10 G. A. Kraus and U. K. Wanninayake, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2015, **56**, 7112.
- 11 Very recently, we got the personal communication from Shi that the unit of cytotoxicity was wrong in ref. 2 and the values of cytotoxicity should be given in $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. The revised values of cytotoxicity against A549 cells are as follows: 2, 4.4 μM (0.012 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$); 3, 6.1 μM (0.016 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Our results correspond to the revised values.

